

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.1184

more appropriate contingency measure(s) which are contained in the contingency plan. The menu of contingency measures includes enforceable emission limitations for stationary sources, transportation control measures, or a vehicle inspection and maintenance program. The redesignation request and maintenance plan meet the redesignation requirements in sections 107(d)(3)(E) and 175A of the Act as amended in 1990.

(b) Approval—On December 19, 2003, Michigan submitted a request to revise its plan for the Southeast Michigan CO maintenance area (consisting of portions of Wayne, Oakland and Macomb Counties). The submittal contains updated emission inventories for 1996 and 2010, and an update to the 2010 motor vehicle emissions budget (MVEB). The 2010 MVEB is 3,842.9 tons of CO per day.

[70 FR 4023, Jan. 28, 2005]

§ 52.1180 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of § 52.21 except paragraph (a)(1) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable State plan for the State of Michigan.

(c) All applications and other information required pursuant to § 52.21 of this part from sources located in the State of Michigan shall be submitted to the state agency, Michigan Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Air Quality Division, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, rather than to EPA's Region 5 office.

[45 FR 8299, Feb. 7, 1980; 45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980, as amended at 68 FR 11323, Mar. 10, 2003; 68 FR 74489, Dec. 24, 2003; 75 FR 55275, Sept. 10, 2010]

§ 52.1181 Interstate pollution.

(a) The requirements of Section 126(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 are not met since the state has not submitted to EPA, as a part of its State Implementation Plan, the procedures on which the state is rely-

ing to notify nearby states of any proposed major stationary source which may contribute significantly to levels of air pollution in excess of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards in that state.

[46 FR 30084, June 5, 1981]

§ 52.1182 State boards.

(a) The requirements of Section 128 of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 are not met since the state has not submitted to EPA, as a part of its State Implementation Plan, the measures on which the state is relying to insure that the Air Pollution Control Commission contains a majority of members who represent the public interest and do not derive a significant portion of their income from persons subject to permits or enforcement orders under the Act and that the board members adequately disclose any potential conflicts of interest.

[46 FR 30084, June 5, 1981]

§ 52.1183 Visibility protection.

(a) The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met, because the plan does not include approvable procedures for protection of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.

(b) Regulation for visibility monitoring and new source review. The provisions of §§ 52.26 and 52.28 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of Michigan.

(c) *Long-term strategy.* The provisions of § 52.29 are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable plan for the State of Michigan.

[50 FR 28553, July 12, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 45137, Nov. 24, 1987]

§ 52.1184 Small business stationary source technical and environmental compliance assistance program.

The Michigan program submitted on November 13, 1992, January 8, 1993, and November 12, 1993, as a requested revision to the Michigan State Implementation Plan satisfies the requirements of section 507 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

[59 FR 28788, June 3, 1994]