§ 52.271

(i) Those cogeneration and resource recovery projects which are major stationary sources or major modifications under §52.21 and which would cause violations of PSD increments.

(ii) Those projects which are major stationary sources or major modifications under §52.21 and which would either have stacks taller than 65 meters or would use “dispersion techniques” as defined in §51.1.

(iii) Any sources for which EPA has issued permits under §52.21, including any permits for which applications are received by July 31, 1985.

(4) The PSD rules for Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District are approved under Part C, Subpart 1, of the Clean Air Act. However, EPA is retaining authority to apply §52.21 in certain cases. The provisions of §52.21 except paragraph (a)(1) are therefore incorporated and made a part of the State plan for California for the Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District for:

(i) Those cogeneration and resource recovery projects which are major stationary sources or major modifications under §52.21 and which would cause violations of PSD increments.

(ii) Those projects which are major stationary sources or major modifications under §52.21 and which would either have stacks taller than 65 meters or would use “dispersion techniques” as defined in §51.1.

(iii) Any sources for which EPA has issued permits under §52.21, including any permits for which applications are received by July 31, 1985.

§ 52.271 Malfunction, startup, and shutdown regulations.

(a) The following regulations are disapproved because they would permit the exemption of sources from the applicable emission limitations and therefore do not satisfy the enforcement imperatives of section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

(i) Amador County APCD.

(ii) Rule 4f, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(ii) Rule 4f, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(iii) Rule 404, submitted on April 21, 1976.

(2) Bay Area APCD.

(i) Regulation 2, Section 3212, and Regulation 3, Section 3203, submitted on April 21, 1976.

(ii) Regulation 2, Section 3212, submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(iii) Calaveras County APCD.


(3) Colusa County APCD.

(i) Rule 4.4g, submitted on July 25, 1973, and Rule 4.4g, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(ii) Regulation 2, Section 3212, submitted on April 21, 1976, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).


(ii) Rule 45, submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(5) Del Norte County APCD.

(i) Rule 110, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223.

(ii) Rule 402(f), submitted on October 23, 1974.

(7) Glenn County APCD.

(i) Rules 95.2 and 95.3, submitted on January 10, 1975.

(8) Great Basin Unified APCD.

(i) Rule 403, submitted on June 6, 1977.


(9) Humboldt County APCD.

(i) Rule 540, Submitted on November, 10, 1976.

(ii) Rule 59, Submitted on February 21, 1972 and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(10) Kern County APCD.

(i) Rule 540, Submitted on November, 10, 1976.


(10) Kern County APCD.

(11) Kings County APDC.

(12) Lake County APDC.
   (i) Chapter III, Article I, Section 500, and Article II, Sections 510 and 511, submitted on February 10, 1977.
   (ii) Part VI, Sections 1 and 2, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223.

(13) Los Angeles County APDC.

(14) Madera County APDC.
   (i) Rule 402(f), submitted on January 10, 1975, and Rule 110, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223.

(15) Mariposa County APDC.
   (i) Rule 203(j), submitted on January 10, 1975, and Rule 4.3(g), submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223.

(16) Mendocino County APDC.
   (ii) Sections 1 and 2 of Part VI, submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(17) Merced County APDC.
   (i) Rule 109, submitted on August 2, 1976.
   (ii) Rule 109, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(18) Nevada County APDC.
   (i) Rule 55(f), submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(19) Northern Sonoma County APDC.

(20) Placer County APDC.
   (i) Rule 55(f), submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(21) Plumas County APDC.
   (i) Rule 203(j), submitted on January 10, 1975.

(22) Riverside County APDC.

(23) San Bernardino County APDC.

(24) San Joaquin County APDC.
   (i) Rule 110, submitted on October 23, 1974, and Rule 110, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(25) San Luis Obispo County APDC.
   (i) Rule 107, submitted on November 10, 1976.
   (ii) Rule 102, submitted on February 21, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 10842).

(26) Shasta County APDC.
   (ii) Rule 3:10, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(27) Sierra County APDC.
   (i) Rule 51, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812), and Rule 203(j), submitted on January 10, 1975, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (42 FR 23805).

(28) Southern California APDC.

(29) Stanislaus County APDC.
   (i) Rule 110, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812), and Rule 110, submitted on July 19, 1974.

(30) Tehama County APDC.
   (ii) Rule 41g, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).

(31) Trinity County APDC.
   (ii) Rule 44, submitted on June 30, 1972, and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223 (37 FR 19812).
§ 52.272

(a) The following regulations are disapproved because they merely describe how state agencies intend to apply their enforcement discretion and thus, if approved, the regulations would have no effect on the State Implementation Plan.

(1) Antelope Valley AQMD.

(d) The following regulations are disapproved because they merely describe how state agencies intend to apply their enforcement discretion and thus, if approved, the regulations would have no effect on the State Implementation Plan.

(1) Antelope Valley AQMD.

(2) Kern County APCD.
   (i) Rule 111, Equipment Breakdown, submitted on July 23, 1996.

(3) Mojave Desert AQMD.

(43 FR 3277, Jan. 24, 1978)

EDITORIAL NOTE: For Federal Register citations affecting § 52.271, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at www.fdsys.gov.