#### § 52.243

(i) Rule 400, Visible Emissions, submitted on March 7, 2008. Rule 400 submitted on January 15, 2004, is retained.

[65 FR 10717, Feb. 29, 2000, as amended at 66 FR 49297, Sept. 27, 2001; 67 FR 62385, Oct. 7, 2002; 69 FR 1273, Jan. 8, 2004; 72 FR 63110, Nov. 8, 2007; 75 FR 25778, May 10, 2010; 75 FR 37730, June 30, 2010]

# § 52.243 Interim approval of the Carbon Monoxide plan for the South Coast.

The Carbon Monoxide plan for the Los Angeles-South Coast Air Basin is approved as meeting the provisions of sections 171(1), 172(c)(2), and 187(a)(7)for quantitative milestones and reasonable further progress, and the provisions of section 187(a)(7) for attainment demonstration. This approval expires on August 7, 1998, or earlier if by such earlier date the State has submitted as a SIP revision a demonstration that the carbon monoxide emission reduction credits for the enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program are appropriate and that the program is otherwise in compliance with the Clean Air Act and EPA takes final action approving that revision, as provided by section 348(c) of the National Highway System Designation Act (Public Law 104-59).

[63 FR 19662, Apr. 21, 1998]

### § 52.244 Motor vehicle emissions budg-

- (a) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following ozone rate-of-progress and attainment SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.
- (1) Antelope Valley, approved January 8, 1997;
- (2) Coachella, approved January 8, 1997;
  - (3) Kern, approved January 8, 1997;
  - (4) Mojave, approved January 8, 1997;
- (5) Sacramento, approved January 8, 1997:
- (6) South Coast, approved April 10, 2000:
- (7) Ventura, approved January 8, 1997.
- (b) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following

ozone maintenance SIP will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

- (1) Monterey, approved January 17, 1997.
  - (2) [Reserved]
- (c) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following carbon monoxide maintenance SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.
- (1) Bakersfield, approved March 31, 1998:
  - (2) Chico, approved March 31, 1998;
  - (3) Fresno, approved March 31, 1998;
- (4) Lake Tahoe-North, approved March 31, 1998;
- (5) Lake Tahoe-South, approved March 31, 1998;
  - (6) Modesto, approved March 31, 1998;
- (7) Sacramento, approved March 31, 1998:
- (8) San Diego, approved March 31, 1998;
- (9) San Francisco Bay Area, approved March 31, 1998;
- (10) Stockton, approved March 31, 1998.
- (d) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following nitrogen dioxide maintenance SIP will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.
- (1) South Coast, approved on July 24, 1998.
  - (2) [Reserved]
- (e) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following PM-10 reasonable further progress and attainment SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

#### **Environmental Protection Agency**

- (1) South Coast, approved April 18, 2003.
- (2) Coachella Valley, approved April 18, 2003.

 $[67~{\rm FR}~69146,~{\rm Nov.}~15,~2002,~{\rm as}~{\rm amended}~{\rm at}~68~{\rm FR}~19317,~19319,~{\rm Apr.}~18,~2003]$ 

#### § 52.245 [Reserved]

# §52.246 Control of dry cleaning solvent vapor losses.

- (a) For the purpose of this section, "dry cleaning operation" means that process by which an organic solvent is used in the commercial cleaning of garments and other fabric materials.
- (b) This section is applicable in the Metropolitan Los Angeles, Sacramento Valley, and San Joaquin Valley Intrastate Air Quality Control Regions (the "Regions"), as described in 40 CFR part 81, dated July 1, 1979, except as follows:
- (1) In the following portions of the Sacramento Valley Region, this section is rescinded:
  - (i) Sacramento County APCD.
- (ii) Placer County APCD (Mountain Counties Air Basin portion).
  - ounties Air Basin portion).
    (iii) Yuba County APCD.
  - (iv) Sutter County APCD.
- (2) In the following portions of the Metropolitan Los Angeles Intrastate Region, this section is rescinded:
  - (i) Ventura County APCD.
- (3) In the following portions of the San Joaquin Valley Intrastate Region, this section is rescinded:
  - (i) San Joaquin County APCD.
  - (ii) Stanislaus County APCD.
  - (iii) Tulare County APCD.
  - (iv) Fresno County APCD.
- (c) Any dry cleaning establishment that uses solvents containing 4 percent or more by volume of any reactive organic material listed under paragraphs (k) (1), (2), and (3) of §52.254 except perchloroethylene or any saturated halogenated hydrocarbon shall reduce the emissions of the discharged organics by 90 percent by use of activated carbon adsorption, or other appropriate means, not later than January 1, 1975.
- (d) If incineration is used as a control technique, 90 percent or more of the carbon in the organic compounds being

incinerated must be oxidized to carbon dioxide.

[38 FR 31246, Nov. 12, 1973, as amended at 42 FR 41122, Aug. 15, 1977; 42 FR 42226, Aug. 22, 1977; 47 FR 15586, Apr. 12, 1982; 47 FR 18856, May 3, 1982; 47 FR 26385, June 18, 1982; 47 FR 28622, July 1, 1982; 47 FR 29670, July 8, 1982; 47 FR 50865, Nov. 10, 1982]

#### §§ 52.247-52.251 [Reserved]

# § 52.252 Control of degreasing operations.

- (a) "Degreasing" means any operation using an organic solvent as a surface cleaning agent prior to fabricating, surface coating, electroplating, or any other process.
- (b) This section is applicable in the Sacramento Valley, San Joaquin Valley, and San Francisco Bay Area Intrastate Air Quality Control Regions (the "Regions"), as described in 40 CFR part 81, dated July 1, 1979, except as follows:
- (1) In the following portions of the Sacramento Valley Region, this section is rescinded:
  - (i) Sacramento County APCD.
- (ii) Placer County APCD (Mountain Counties Air Basin portion).
  - (iii) Yuba County APCD.
  - (iv) Sutter County APCD.
- (c) Any organic emissions discharged from degreasing operations must either be reduced by at least 85 percent, or the degreasing solvent must be classified as non-photochemically reactive as defined by paragraph (k) of \$52.254 not later than January 1, 1975. This regulation shall not be construed as lessening any emission control requirement specified under EPA approved regulations or \$52.254. Degreasing operations using perchloroethylene or saturated halogenated hydrocarbons shall be exempt from the requirements of this section.

[38 FR 31249, Nov. 12, 1973, as amended at 42 FR 42226, Aug. 22, 1977; 47 FR 15586, Apr. 12, 1982; 47 FR 18856, May 3, 1982; 47 FR 19332, May 5, 1982; 47 FR 28622, July 1, 1982]

## § 52.253 Metal surface coating thinner and reducer.

- (a) All terms defined in §52.254 are used herein with the meanings so defined.
- (b) This section is applicable in the Metropolitan Los Angeles, San Diego,