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§ 52.241 Inspection and maintenance program.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) Approval. On June 5, 2009, the California Air Resources Board submitted a revision to the California Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program (2009 I/M Revision) to satisfy the requirements for basic and enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) in applicable ozone nonattainment areas. On October 28, 2009, the California Air Resources Board amended the 2009 I/M Revision to include revised enhanced performance program evaluations for six nonattainment areas. Approved elements of the 2009 I/M Revision, as amended on October 28, 2009, include a discussion of each of the required design elements of the I/M program; description of the current geographic coverage of the program; I/M-related statutes and regulations; enhanced I/M performance standard evaluations for the urbanized areas within six California ozone nonattainment areas (South Coast Air Basin, San Joaquin Valley, Sacramento Metro, Coachella Valley, Ventura County, and Western Mojave Desert); basic I/M performance standard evaluation for the urbanized area within the San Francisco Bay Area ozone nonattainment area; and emission analyzer specifications and test procedures, including BAR–97 specifications. The 2009 I/M Revision, as amended on October 28, 2009, meets the requirements of sections 182(a)(2)(B) and 182(c)(3) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990, and 40 CFR part 51, subpart S and is approved as a revision to the California State Implementation Plan.

[75 FR 38026, July 1, 2010]

§ 52.242 Disapproved rules and regulations.

(a) The following Air Pollution Control District rules are disapproved because they do not meet the requirements of section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

(1) South Coast Air Quality Management District.


(2) Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District.


(3) Imperial County Air Pollution Control District.


(4) San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District.


(5) Kern County Air Pollution Control District.


(6) Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District
§ 52.243 Interim approval of the Carbon Monoxide plan for the South Coast.

The Carbon Monoxide plan for the Los Angeles-South Coast Air Basin is approved as meeting the provisions of sections 171(1), 172(c)(2), and 187(a)(7) for quantitative milestones and reasonable further progress, and the provisions of section 187(a)(7) for attainment demonstration. This approval expires on August 7, 1998, or earlier if by such earlier date the State has submitted as a SIP revision a demonstration that the carbon monoxide emission reduction credits for the enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance program are appropriate and that the program is otherwise in compliance with the Clean Air Act and EPA takes final action approving that revision, as provided by section 348(c) of the National Highway System Designation Act (Public Law 104-59).

[63 FR 19662, Apr. 21, 1998]

§ 52.244 Motor vehicle emissions budgets.

(a) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following ozone rate-of-progress and attainment SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

(1) Antelope Valley, approved January 8, 1997;
(2) Coachella, approved January 8, 1997;
(3) Kern, approved January 8, 1997;
(4) Mojave, approved January 8, 1997;
(5) Sacramento, approved January 8, 1997;
(6) South Coast, approved April 10, 2000;
(7) Ventura, approved January 8, 1997.

(b) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following ozone maintenance SIP will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

(1) Monterey, approved January 17, 1997;
(2) Chico, approved March 31, 1998;
(3) Fresno, approved March 31, 1998;
(4) Lake Tahoe-North, approved March 31, 1998;
(5) Lake Tahoe-South, approved March 31, 1998;
(6) Modesto, approved March 31, 1998;
(7) Sacramento, approved March 31, 1998;
(8) San Diego, approved March 31, 1998;
(9) San Francisco Bay Area, approved March 31, 1998;
(10) Stockton, approved March 31, 1998.

(c) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following carbon monoxide maintenance SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

(1) Bakersfield, approved March 31, 1998;
(2) Chico, approved March 31, 1998;
(3) Fresno, approved March 31, 1998;
(4) Lake Tahoe-North, approved March 31, 1998;
(5) Lake Tahoe-South, approved March 31, 1998;
(6) Modesto, approved March 31, 1998;
(7) Sacramento, approved March 31, 1998;
(8) San Diego, approved March 31, 1998;
(9) San Francisco Bay Area, approved March 31, 1998;
(10) Stockton, approved March 31, 1998.

(d) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following nitrogen dioxide maintenance SIP will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.

(1) South Coast, approved on July 24, 1998.
(2) [Reserved]

(e) Approval of the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the following PM-10 reasonable further progress and attainment SIPs will apply for transportation conformity purposes only until new budgets based on updated planning data and models have been submitted and EPA has found the budgets to be adequate for conformity purposes.