

### § 1.1033(a)-3

### 26 CFR Ch. I (4-1-11 Edition)

real estate affected for benefits accruing in connection with the condemnation, the amount so retained shall be deducted from the gross award in determining the amount of the net award.

(11) If, in a condemnation proceeding, the Government retains out of the award sufficient funds to satisfy liens (other than liens due to special assessments levied against the remaining portion of the plot or parcel of real estate affected for benefits accruing in connection with the condemnation) and mortgages against the property, and itself pays the same, the amount so retained shall not be deducted from the gross award in determining the amount of the net award. If, in a condemnation proceeding, the Government makes an award to a mortgagee to satisfy a mortgage on the condemned property, the amount of such award shall be considered as a part of the *amount realized* upon the conversion regardless of whether or not the taxpayer was personally liable for the mortgage debt. Thus, if a taxpayer has acquired property worth \$100,000 subject to a \$50,000 mortgage (regardless of whether or not he was personally liable for the mortgage debt) and, in a condemnation proceeding, the Government awards the taxpayer \$60,000 and awards the mortgagee \$50,000 in satisfaction of the mortgage, the entire \$110,000 is considered to be the *amount realized* by the taxpayer.

(12) An amount expended for replacement of an asset, in excess of the recovery for loss, represents a capital expenditure and is not a deductible loss for income tax purposes.

(Secs. 1033 (90 Stat. 1920, 26 U.S.C. 1033), and 7805 (68A Stat. 917, 26 U.S.C. 7805))

[T.D. 6500, 25 FR 11910, Nov. 26, 1960, as amended by T.D. 6679, 28 FR 10515, Oct. 1, 1963; T.D. 7075, 35 FR 17996, Nov. 24, 1970; T.D. 7625, 44 FR 31013, May 30, 1979; T.D. 7758, 46 FR 6925, Jan. 22, 1981]

### § 1.1033(a)-3 Involuntary conversion of principal residence.

Section 1033 shall apply in the case of property used by the taxpayer as his principal residence if the destruction, theft, seizure, requisition, or condemnation of such residence, or the sale or exchange of such residence

under threat or imminence thereof, occurs before January 1, 1951, or after December 31, 1953. However, section 1033 shall not apply to the seizure, requisition, or condemnation (but not destruction), or the sale or exchange under threat or imminence thereof, of such residence property if the seizure, requisition, condemnation, sale, or exchange occurs after December 31, 1957, and if the taxpayer properly elects under section 1034(i) to treat the transaction as a sale (see paragraph (h)(2)(ii) of § 1.1034-1). See section 121 and paragraphs (d) and (g) of § 1.121-5 for special rules relating to the involuntary conversion of a principal residence of individuals who have attained age 65.

[T.D. 6856, 30 FR 13319, Oct. 20, 1965. Redesignated and amended by T.D. 7625, 44 FR 31013, May 30, 1979]

### § 1.1033(b)-1 Basis of property acquired as a result of an involuntary conversion.

(a) The provisions of the first sentence of section 1033(b) may be illustrated by the following example:

*Example:* A's vessel which has an adjusted basis of \$100,000 is destroyed in 1950 and A receives in 1951 insurance in the amount of \$200,000. If A invests \$150,000 in a new vessel, taxable gain to the extent of \$50,000 would be recognized. The basis of the new vessel is \$100,000; that is, the adjusted basis of the old vessel (\$100,000) minus the money received by the taxpayer which was not expended in the acquisition of the new vessel (\$50,000) plus the amount of gain recognized upon the conversion (\$50,000). If any amount in excess of the proceeds of the conversion is expended in the acquisition of the new property, such amount may be added to the basis otherwise determined.

(b) The provisions of the last sentence of section 1033(b) may be illustrated by the following example:

*Example:* A taxpayer realizes \$22,000 from the involuntary conversion of his barn in 1955; the adjusted basis of the barn to him was \$10,000, and he spent in the same year \$20,000 for a new barn which resulted in the nonrecognition of \$10,000 of the \$12,000 gain on the conversion. The basis of the new barn to the taxpayer would be \$10,000—the cost of the new barn (\$20,000) less the amount of the gain not recognized on the conversion (\$10,000). The basis of the new barn would not be a substituted basis in the hands of the taxpayer within the meaning of section