§ 1003.701 Basis for review. In reviewing each grantee’s performance, HUD will consider all available evidence which may include, but not be limited to, the following:

1. The approved application and any amendments thereto;
2. Reports prepared by the grantee;
3. Records maintained by the grantee;
4. Results of HUD’s monitoring of the grantee’s performance, including field evaluation of the quality of the work performed;
5. Audit reports;
6. Records of drawdowns on the line of credit;
7. Records of comments and complaints by citizens and organizations; and
8. Litigation.

§ 1003.701 Corrective and remedial action.

(a) General. One or more corrective or remedial actions will be taken by HUD when, on the basis of the performance review, HUD determines that the grantee has not:
1. Complied with the requirements of the Act, this part, and other applicable laws and regulations, including the environmental responsibilities assumed under section 104(g) of title I of the Act;
2. Carried out its activities substantially as described in its applications;
3. Made substantial progress in carrying out its approved program; or
4. Shown the continuing capacity to carry out its approved activities in a timely manner.

(b) Action. The action taken by HUD will be designed, first, to prevent the continuance of the deficiency; second, to mitigate any adverse effects or consequences of the deficiency; and third, to prevent a recurrence of the same or similar deficiencies. The following actions may be taken singly or in combination, as appropriate for the circumstances:
1. Request the grantee to submit progress schedules for completing approved activities or for complying with the requirements of this part;
2. Issue a letter of warning advising the grantee of the deficiency (including environmental review deficiencies), describing the corrective actions to be taken, establishing a date for corrective actions, and putting the grantee on notice that more serious actions will be taken if the deficiency is not corrected or is repeated;
3. Advise the grantee to suspend, discontinue, or not incur costs for the affected activity;
4. Advise the grantee to reprogram funds from affected activities to other eligible activities, provided that such action shall not be taken in connection with any substantial violation of part 58 and provided that such reprogramming is subjected to the environmental review procedures of part 58 of this title;
5. Advise the grantee to reimburse the grantee’s program account or line of credit in any amount improperly expended;
6. Change the method of payment from a line of credit basis to a reimbursement basis; and/or
7. Suspend the line of credit until corrective actions are taken.

§ 1003.702 Reduction or withdrawal of grant.

(a) General. A reduction or withdrawal of a grant under paragraph (b) of this section will not be made until at least one of the corrective or remedial actions specified in §1003.701(b) has been taken and only then if the grantee has not made an appropriate and timely response. Before making such a grant reduction or withdrawal, the grantee also shall be notified and given an opportunity within a prescribed time for an informal consultation regarding the proposed action.

(b) Reduction or withdrawal. When the Area ONAP determines, on the basis of a review of the grantee’s performance, that the objectives set forth in §1003.700(a)(2) or (3) have not been met, the Area ONAP may reduce or withdraw the grant, except that funds already expended on eligible approved activities shall not be recaptured.

§ 1003.703 Other remedies for noncompliance.

(a) Secretarial actions. If the Secretary finds a grantee has failed to comply with any provision of this part even