to a joint assessment of the equivalency of the conformity assessment bodies (CAB’s) that participated in the confidence building activities. CAB’s will be determined to be equivalent provided they have demonstrated proficiency through the submission of a sufficient number of adequate reports. CAB’s may be determined to be equivalent with regard to the ability to perform any type of quality system or product evaluation covered by this subpart and with regard to any type of product covered by this subpart. The parties shall develop a list contained in appendix E of this subpart of CAB’s determined to be equivalent, which shall contain a full explanation of the scope of the equivalency determination, including any appropriate limitations, with regard to performing any type of quality system or product evaluation.

(b) The parties shall allow CAB’s not listed for participation in this subpart, or listed for participation only as to certain types of evaluations, to apply for participation in this subpart once the necessary measures have been taken or sufficient experience has been gained, in accordance with §26.46.

(c) Decisions concerning the equivalency of CAB’s must be agreed to by both parties.

§ 26.40 Start of the operational period.

(a) The operational period will start at the end of the transition period after the parties have developed the list of conformity assessment bodies (CAB’s) found to be equivalent. The provisions of §§26.40, 26.41, 26.42, 26.43, 26.44, 26.45, and 26.46 will apply only with regard to listed CAB’s and only to the extent of any specifications and limitations contained on the list with regard to a CAB.

(b) The operational period will apply to quality system evaluation reports and product evaluation reports generated by CAB’s listed in accordance with this subpart for the evaluations performed in the respective territories of the parties, except if the parties agree otherwise.

§ 26.41 Exchange and endorsement of quality system evaluation reports.

(a) Listed European Community (EC) conformity assessment bodies (CAB’s) will provide FDA with reports of quality system evaluations, as follows:

(1) For preapproval quality system evaluations, EC CAB’s will provide full reports; and

(2) For surveillance quality system evaluations, EC CAB’s will provide abbreviated reports.

(b) Listed U.S. CAB’s will provide to the EC Notified Body of the manufacturer’s choice:

(1) Full reports of initial quality system evaluations;

(2) Abbreviated reports of quality systems surveillance audits.

(c) If the abbreviated reports do not provide sufficient information, the importing party may request additional clarification from the CAB.

(d) Based on the determination of equivalency in light of the experience gained, the quality system evaluation reports prepared by the CAB’s listed as equivalent will normally be endorsed by the importing party, except under specific and delineated circumstances. Examples of such circumstances include indications of material inconsistencies or inadequacies in a report, quality defects identified in postmarket surveillance or other specific evidence of serious concern in relation to product quality or consumer safety. In such cases, the importing party may request clarification from the exporting party which may lead to a request for reinspection. The parties will endeavor to respond to requests for clarification in a timely manner. Where divergence is not clarified in this process, the importing party may carry out the quality system evaluation.

§ 26.42 Exchange and endorsement of product evaluation reports.

(a) European Community (EC) conformity assessment bodies (CAB’s) listed for this purpose will, subject to the specifications and limitations on the list, provide to FDA 510(k) premarket notification assessment reports prepared to U.S. medical device requirements.

(b) U.S. CAB’s will, subject to the specifications and limitations on the list, provide to the EC Notified Body of