§ 327.9 Assessment risk categories and pricing methods.

(a) Risk Categories.—Each insured depository institution shall be assigned to one of the following four Risk Categories based upon the institution’s capital evaluation and supervisory evaluation as defined in this section.

(1) Risk Category I. All institutions in Supervisory Group A that are Well Capitalized;

(2) Risk Category II. All institutions in Supervisory Group A that are Adequately Capitalized, and all institutions in Supervisory Group B that are either Well Capitalized or Adequately Capitalized;

(3) Risk Category III. All institutions in Supervisory Groups A and B that are Undercapitalized, and all institutions in Supervisory Group C that are Well Capitalized or Adequately Capitalized; and

(4) Risk Category IV. All institutions in Supervisory Group C that are Undercapitalized.

(b) Capital evaluations. An institution will receive one of the following three capital evaluations on the basis of data reported in the institution’s Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income, Report of Assets and Liabilities of U.S. Branches and Agencies of Foreign Banks, or Thrift Financial Report dated as of March 31 for the assessment period beginning the preceding January 1; dated as of June 30 for the assessment period beginning the preceding April 1; dated as of September 30 for the assessment period beginning the preceding July 1; and dated as of December 31 for the assessment period beginning the preceding October 1.

(1) Well Capitalized. (i) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section, a Well Capitalized institution is one that satisfies each of the following capital ratio standards: Total risk-based ratio, 10.0 percent or greater; Tier 1 risk-based ratio, 6.0 percent or greater; and Tier 1 leverage ratio, 5.0 percent or greater.

(ii) For purposes of this section, an insured branch of a foreign bank will be deemed to be Well Capitalized if the insured branch:

(A) Maintains the pledge of assets required under §347.209 of this chapter; and

(B) Maintains the eligible assets prescribed under §347.210 of this chapter at 108 percent or more of the average book value of the insured branch’s third-party liabilities for the quarter ending on the report date specified in paragraph (b) of this section.

(2) Adequately Capitalized. (i) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, an Adequately Capitalized institution is one that does not satisfy the standards of Well Capitalized under this paragraph but satisfies each of the following capital ratio standards: Total risk-based ratio, 8.0 percent or greater; Tier 1 risk-based ratio, 4.0 percent or greater; and Tier 1 leverage ratio, 4.0 percent or greater.

(ii) For purposes of this section, an insured branch of a foreign bank will be deemed to be Adequately Capitalized if the insured branch:

(A) Maintains the pledge of assets required under §347.209 of this chapter; and

(B) Maintains the eligible assets prescribed under §347.210 of this chapter at 106 percent or more of the average book value of the insured branch’s third-party liabilities for the quarter ending on the report date specified in paragraph (b) of this section; and

(C) Does not meet the definition of a Well Capitalized insured branch of a foreign bank.

(3) Undercapitalized. An undercapitalized institution is one that does not qualify as either Well Capitalized or...
Adequately Capitalized under paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section.

(c) Supervisory evaluations. Each institution will be assigned to one of three Supervisory Groups based on the Corporation’s consideration of supervisory evaluations provided by the institution’s primary federal regulator. The supervisory evaluations include the results of examination findings by the primary federal regulator, as well as other information that the primary federal regulator determines to be relevant. In addition, the Corporation will take into consideration such other information (such as state examination findings, as appropriate) as it determines to be relevant to the institution’s financial condition and the risk posed to the Deposit Insurance Fund. The three Supervisory Groups are:

(1) Supervisory Group “A.” This Supervisory Group consists of financially sound institutions with only a few minor weaknesses;

(2) Supervisory Group “B.” This Supervisory Group consists of institutions that demonstrate weaknesses which, if not corrected, could result in significant deterioration of the institution and increased risk of loss to the Deposit Insurance Fund; and

(3) Supervisory Group “C.” This Supervisory Group consists of institutions that pose a substantial probability of loss to the Deposit Insurance Fund unless effective corrective action is taken.

(d) Determining Initial Base Assessment Rates for Risk Category I Institutions. Subject to paragraphs (d)(2), (4), (5), (6), (8), (9) and (10) of this section, an insured depository institution in Risk Category I, except for a large institution that has at least one long-term debt issuer rating, as defined in §327.8(1), shall have its initial base assessment rate determined using the financial ratios method set forth in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. A large insured depository institution in Risk Category I that has at least one long-term debt issuer rating shall have its initial base assessment rate determined using the large bank method set forth in paragraph (d)(2) of this section (subject to paragraphs (d)(2), (4), (5), (6), (8), (9) and (10) of this section). The initial base assessment rate for a large institution whose assessment rate in the prior quarter was determined using the large bank method, but which no longer has a long-term debt issuer rating, shall be determined using the financial ratios method.

(1) Financial ratios method. Under the financial ratios method for Risk Category I institutions, each of six financial ratios and a weighted average of CAMELS component ratings will be multiplied by a corresponding pricing multiplier. The sum of these products will be added to or subtracted from a uniform amount. The resulting sum shall equal the institution’s initial base assessment rate; provided, however, that no institution’s initial base assessment rate shall be less than the minimum initial base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter nor greater than the maximum initial base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter. An institution’s initial base assessment rate, subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(4), (5) and (6) of this section, as appropriate (which will produce the total base assessment rate), and adjusted for the actual assessment rates set by the Board under §327.10(c), will equal an institution’s assessment rate. The six financial ratios are: Tier I Leverage Ratio; Loans past due 30-89 days/gross assets; Nonperforming assets/gross assets; Net loan charge-offs/gross assets; Net income before taxes/risk-weighted assets; and the Adjusted brokered deposit ratio. The ratios are defined in Table A.1 of Appendix A to this subpart. The ratios will be determined for an assessment period based upon information contained in an institution’s report of condition filed as of the last day of the assessment period as set out in §327.9(b). The weighted average of CAMELS component ratings is created by multiplying each component by the following percentages and adding the products: Capital adequacy—25%, Asset quality—20%, Management—25%, Earnings—10%, Liquidity—10%, and Sensitivity to market risk—10%. The following table sets forth the initial values of the pricing multipliers:
The six financial ratios and the weighted average CAMELS component rating will be multiplied by the respective pricing multiplier, and the products will be summed. To this result will be added the uniform amount of 11.861. The resulting sum shall equal the institution’s initial base assessment rate; provided, however, that no institution’s initial base assessment rate shall be less than the minimum initial base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter nor greater than the maximum initial base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter. Appendix A to this subpart describes the derivation of the pricing multipliers and uniform amount and explains how they will be periodically updated.

(i) Publication and uniform amount and pricing multipliers. The FDIC will publish notice in the Federal Register whenever a change is made to the uniform amount or the pricing multipliers for the financial ratios method.

(ii) Implementation of CAMELS rating changes—(A) Changes between risk categories. If, during a quarter, a CAMELS composite rating change occurs that results in an institution whose Risk Category I assessment rate is determined using the financial ratios method moving from Risk Category I to Risk Category II, III or IV, the institution’s initial base assessment rate for the portion of the quarter that it was in Risk Category I shall be determined using the supervisory ratings in effect before the change, subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(5), (6) and (7), shall be determined under the assessment schedule for the appropriate Risk Category. If, during a quarter, a CAMELS composite rating change occurs that results in an institution moving from Risk Category II, III or IV to Risk Category I, and its initial base assessment rate would be determined using the financial ratios method, then that method shall apply for the portion of the quarter that it was in Risk Category I, subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(4), (5), and (6) of this section, as appropriate, and adjusted for the actual assessment rates set by the Board under §327.10(c). For the portion of the quarter that the institution was not in Risk Category I, the institution’s initial base assessment rate, which shall be subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(5), (6) and (7), shall be determined under the assessment schedule for the appropriate Risk Category.

(B) Changes within Risk Category I. If, during a quarter, an institution’s CAMELS component ratings change in a way that would change the institution’s initial base assessment rate within Risk Category I, the initial base assessment rate for the period before the change shall be determined under the financial ratios method using the CAMELS component ratings in effect before the change, subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(4), (5), and (6) of this section, as appropriate. Beginning on the date of the CAMELS component ratings change, the initial base assessment rate for the remainder of the quarter shall be determined using the CAMELS component ratings...
in effect after the change, again subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(4), (5), and (6) of this section, as appropriate.

(2) Large bank method. A large insured depository institution in Risk Category I that has at least one long-term debt issuer rating, as defined in §327.8(i), shall have its initial base assessment rate determined using the large bank method. The initial base assessment rate under the large bank method shall be derived from three components, each given a 33 1/3% percent weight: a component derived using the financial ratios method, a component derived using long-term debt issuer ratings, and a component derived using CAMELS component ratings. The institution’s assessment rate computed using the financial ratios method shall be converted to a financial ratios score by first subtracting 10 from the financial ratios method assessment rate and then multiplying the result by 1/2. The result will equal an institution’s financial ratios score. Its CAMELS component ratings will be weighted to derive a weighted average CAMELS rating using the same weights applied in the financial ratios method as set forth under paragraph (d)(1) of this section. Long-term debt issuer ratings will be converted to numerical values between 1 and 3 as provided in Appendix B to this subpart and the converted values will be averaged. The financial ratios score, the weighted average CAMELS rating and the average of converted long-term debt issuer ratings each will be multiplied by 1.692 (which shall be the pricing multiplier), and the products will be summed. To this result will be added 3.873 (which shall be a uniform amount for all institutions subject to the large bank method). The resulting sum shall equal the institution’s initial base assessment rate; provided, however, that no institution’s initial base assessment rate shall be less than the minimum initial base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter nor greater than the maximum initial base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter. An institution’s initial base assessment rate, subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(4), (5), and (6) of this section, as appropriate (which will produce the total base assessment rate), and adjusted for the actual assessment rates set by the Board pursuant to §327.10(c), will equal an institution’s assessment rate.

(1) Implementation of Large Bank Method Changes between Risk Categories. If, during a quarter, a CAMELS or ROCA rating change occurs that results in an institution whose Risk Category I initial base assessment rate is determined using the large bank method or an insured branch of a foreign bank moving from Risk Category I to Risk Category II, III or IV, the institution’s initial base assessment rate for the portion of the quarter that it was in Risk Category I shall be determined as for any other institution in Risk Category I whose initial base assessment rate is determined using the large bank method, subject to adjustments pursuant to paragraph (d)(4), (5), and (6) of this section, as appropriate or, if the institution is an insured branch of a foreign bank, using the weighted average ROCA component rating, subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraph (d)(4). For the portion of the quarter that the institution was not in Risk Category I, the institution’s initial base assessment rate, which, unless the institution is an insured branch of a foreign bank, shall be subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(5), (6) and (7), shall be determined under the assessment schedule for the appropriate Risk Category. If, during a quarter, a CAMELS or ROCA rating change occurs that results in a large institution with a long-term debt issuer rating or an insured branch of a foreign bank moving from Risk Category II, III or IV to Risk Category I, the institution’s assessment rate for the portion of the quarter that it was in Risk Category I shall equal the rate determined under paragraphs (d)(2) (and (d)(4), (5), and (6)) or (d)(3) (and (d)(4), (5), and (6)) of this section, as appropriate. For the portion of the quarter that the institution was not in Risk Category I, the institution’s initial base assessment rate, which shall be subject to adjustment pursuant to paragraphs (d)(5), (6) and (7), shall be determined under the assessment schedule for the appropriate Risk Category.
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(ii) Implementation of Large Bank Method Changes within Risk Category I. If, during a quarter, an institution whose Risk Category I initial base assessment rate is determined using the large bank method remains in Risk Category I, but the financial ratios score, a CAMELS component or a long-term debt issuer rating changes that would affect the institution’s initial base assessment rate, or if, during a quarter, an insured branch of a foreign bank remains in Risk Category I, but a ROCA component rating changes that would affect the institution’s initial base assessment rate, separate assessment rates for the portion(s) of the quarter before and after the change(s) shall be determined under paragraphs (d)(2) (and (d)(4), (5), and (6)) or (d)(3) (and (d)(4)) of this section, as appropriate.

(3) Assessment rate for insured branches of foreign banks—(i) Insured branches of foreign banks in Risk Category I. Insured branches of foreign banks in Risk Category I shall be assessed using the weighted average ROCA component rating, as determined under paragraph (d)(3)(ii) of this section.

(ii) Weighted average ROCA component rating. The weighted average ROCA component rating shall equal the sum of the products that result from multiplying ROCA component ratings by the following percentages: Risk Management—35%, Operational Controls—25%, Compliance—25%, and Asset Quality—15%. The weighted average ROCA rating will be multiplied by 5.076 (which shall be the pricing multiplier). To this result will be added 3.873 (which shall be a uniform amount for all insured branches of foreign banks). The resulting sum—the initial base assessment rate—subject to adjustments pursuant to paragraph (d)(4) of this section will equal an institution’s total base assessment rate; provided, however, that no institution’s total base assessment rate will be less than the minimum total base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter nor greater than the maximum total base assessment rate in effect for Risk Category I institutions for that quarter.

(iii) No insured branch of a foreign bank in any risk category shall be subject to the unsecured debt adjustment, the secured liability adjustment, or the brokered deposit adjustment.

(4) Adjustment for large banks or insured branches of foreign banks—(i) Basis for and size of adjustment. Within Risk Category I, large institutions and insured branches of foreign banks except new institutions as provided under paragraph (d)(9)(i)(A) of this section, are subject to adjustment of their initial base assessment rate. Any such large bank adjustment shall be limited to a change in the initial base assessment rate of up to one basis point higher or lower than the rate determined using the financial ratios method, the large bank method, or the weighted average ROCA component rating method, whichever is applicable. In determining whether to make this initial base assessment rate adjustment for a large institution or an insured branch of a foreign bank, the FDIC may consider other relevant information in addition to the factors used to derive the risk assignment under paragraphs (d)(1), (2), or (3) of this section. Relevant information includes financial performance and condition information, other market or supervisory information, potential loss severity, and stress considerations, as described in Appendix C to this subpart.

(ii) Adjustment subject to maximum and minimum rates. No adjustment to the initial base assessment rate for large banks shall decrease any rate so that the resulting rate would be less than the minimum initial base assessment rate, or increase any rate above the maximum initial base assessment rate.

(iii) Prior notice of adjustments—(A) Prior notice of upward adjustment. Prior to making any upward large bank adjustment to an institution’s initial base assessment rate because of considerations of additional risk information, the FDIC will formally notify the institution and its primary federal regulator and provide an opportunity to respond. This notification will include the reasons for the adjustment and when the adjustment will take effect.

(B) Prior notice of downward adjustment. Prior to making any downward large bank adjustment to an institution’s initial base assessment rate because of considerations of additional
risk information, the FDIC will formally notify the institution’s primary federal regulator and provide an opportunity to respond.

(iv) Determination whether to adjust upward; effective period of adjustment. After considering an institution’s and the primary federal regulator’s responses to the notice, the FDIC will determine whether the large bank adjustment to an institution’s initial base assessment rate is warranted, taking into account any revisions to weighted average CAMELS component ratings, long-term debt issuer ratings, and financial ratios, as well as any actions taken by the institution to address the FDIC’s concerns described in the notice. The FDIC will evaluate the need for the adjustment each subsequent assessment period, until it determines that an adjustment is no longer warranted. The amount of adjustment will in no event be larger than that contained in the initial notice without further notice to, and consideration of, responses from the primary federal regulator and the institution.

(v) Determination whether to adjust downward; effective period of adjustment. After considering the primary federal regulator’s responses to the notice, the FDIC will determine whether the large bank adjustment to an institution’s initial base assessment rate is warranted, taking into account any revisions to weighted average CAMELS component ratings, long-term debt issuer ratings, and financial ratios, as well as any actions taken by the institution to address the FDIC’s concerns described in the notice. Any downward adjustment in an institution’s initial base assessment rate will remain in effect for subsequent assessment periods until the FDIC determines that an adjustment is no longer warranted. Downward adjustments will be made without notification to the institution. However, the FDIC will provide advance notice to an institution and its primary federal regulator and give them an opportunity to respond before removing a downward adjustment.

(vi) Adjustment without notice. Notwithstanding the notice provisions set forth above, the FDIC may change an institution’s initial base assessment rate without advance notice under this paragraph, if the institution’s supervisory or agency ratings or the financial ratios set forth in Appendix A to this subpart deteriorate.

(5) Unsecured debt adjustment to initial base assessment rate for all institutions. All institutions within all risk categories, except new institutions as provided under paragraph (d)(9)(1)(C) of this section and insured branches of foreign banks as provided under paragraph (d)(3)(iii) of this section, are subject to downward adjustment of assessment rates for unsecured debt, based on the ratio of long-term unsecured debt (and, for small institutions as defined in paragraph (ii) below, specified amounts of Tier 1 capital) to domestic deposits. Any unsecured debt adjustment shall be made after any adjustment under paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(i) Large institutions—The unsecured debt adjustment for large institutions shall be determined by multiplying the institution’s ratio of long-term unsecured debt to domestic deposits by 40 basis points.

(ii) Small institutions—The unsecured debt adjustment for small institutions will factor in an amount of Tier 1 capital (qualified Tier 1 capital) in addition to any long-term unsecured debt; the amount of qualified Tier 1 capital will be the sum of the amounts set forth below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average assets</th>
<th>Amount of Tier 1 capital within range which is qualified (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤5% ................................................................ 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5% and ≤8% ................................................ 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8% and ≤12% ............................................. 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12% and ≤15% ........................................... 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15% and ≤18% ............................................. 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;18% and ≤21% ............................................ 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;21% and ≤25% ............................................ 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25% and ≤30% ............................................. 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30% and ≤35% ............................................. 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35% and ≤40% ............................................. 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40% .................................................... 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For institutions that file Thrift Financial Reports, adjusted total assets will be used in place of adjusted average assets in the preceding table. The sum of qualified Tier 1 capital and long-term unsecured debt as a percentage of domestic deposits will be multiplied by 40 basis points to produce the
unsecured debt adjustment for small institutions.

(iii) Limitation—No unsecured debt adjustment for any institution shall exceed five basis points.

(iv) Applicable quarterly reports of condition—Ratios for any given quarter shall be calculated from quarterly reports of condition (Call Reports and Thrift Financial Reports) filed by each institution as of the last day of the quarter. Until institutions separately report long-term senior unsecured liabilities and long-term subordinated debt in their quarterly reports of condition, the FDIC will use subordinated debt included in Tier 2 capital and will not include any amount of senior unsecured liabilities in calculating the unsecured debt adjustment.

(6) Secured liability adjustment for all institutions. All institutions within all risk categories, except insured branches of foreign banks as provided under paragraph (d)(3)(iii) of this section, are subject to upward adjustment of their assessment rate based upon the ratio of their secured liabilities to domestic deposits. Any such adjustment shall be made after any applicable large bank adjustment or unsecured debt adjustment.

(i) Secured liabilities for banks—Secured liabilities for banks include Federal Home Loan Bank advances, securities sold under repurchase agreements, secured Federal funds purchased and other borrowings that are secured as reported in banks' quarterly Call Reports.

(ii) Secured liabilities for savings associations—Secured liabilities for savings associations include Federal Home Loan Bank advances as reported in quarterly Thrift Financial Reports ("TFRs"). Secured liabilities for savings associations also include securities sold under repurchase agreements, secured Federal funds purchased or other borrowings that are secured. Any of these secured amounts not reported separately from unsecured or other liabilities in the TFR will be imputed based on simple averages for Call Report filers as of June 30, 2008. As of that date, on average, 63.0 percent of the sum of Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements were secured, and 49.4 percent of other borrowings were secured.

(iii) Calculation—An institution’s ratio of secured liabilities to domestic deposits will, if greater than 25 percent, increase its assessment rate, but any such increase shall not exceed 50 percent of its assessment rate before the secured liabilities adjustment. For an institution that has a ratio of secured liabilities (as defined in paragraph (ii) above) to domestic deposits of greater than 25 percent, the institution’s assessment rate (after taking into account any adjustment under paragraphs (d)(5) or (6) of this section) will be multiplied by the following amount: The ratio of the institution’s secured liabilities to domestic deposits minus 0.25. Ratios of secured liabilities to domestic deposits shall be calculated from the report of condition, or similar report, filed by each institution.

(7) Brokered Deposit Adjustment for Risk Categories II, III, and IV. All institutions in Risk Categories II, III, and IV, except insured branches of foreign banks as provided under paragraph (d)(3)(iii) of this section, shall be subject to an assessment rate adjustment for brokered deposits. Any such brokered deposit adjustment shall be made after any adjustment under paragraph (d)(5) or (6). The brokered deposit adjustment includes all brokered deposits as defined in Section 29 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1831f), and 12 CFR 337.6, including reciprocal deposits as defined in §327.8(r), and brokered deposits that consist of balances swept into an insured institution by another institution. The adjustment under this paragraph is limited to those institutions whose ratio of brokered deposits to domestic deposits is greater than 10 percent; asset growth rates do not affect the adjustment. The adjustment is determined by multiplying by 25 basis points the difference between an institution’s ratio of brokered deposits to domestic deposits and 0.10. The maximum brokered deposit adjustment will be 10 basis points. Brokered deposit ratios for any given quarter are calculated from the quarterly reports of condition filed by each institution as of the last day of the quarter.
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(8) Request to be treated as a large institution—(i) Procedure. Any institution in Risk Category I with assets of between $5 billion and $10 billion may request that the FDIC determine its initial base assessment rate as a large institution. The FDIC will grant such a request if it determines that it has sufficient information to do so. The absence of long-term debt issuer ratings alone will not preclude the FDIC from granting a request. The initial base assessment rate for an institution without a long-term debt issuer rating will be derived using the financial ratios method, but will be subject to adjustment as a large institution under paragraph (d)(4) of this section. Any such request must be made to the FDIC’s Division of Insurance and Research. Any approved change will become effective within one year from the date of the request. If an institution whose request has been granted subsequently reports assets of less than $5 billion in its report of condition for four consecutive quarters, the FDIC will consider such institution to be a small institution subject to the financial ratios method.

(ii) Time limit on subsequent request for alternate method. An institution whose request to be assessed as a large institution is granted by the FDIC shall not be eligible to request that it be assessed as a small institution for a period of three years from the first quarter in which its approved request to be assessed as a large institution became effective. Any request to be assessed as a large institution must be made to the FDIC’s Division of Insurance and Research.

(iii) An institution that disagrees with the FDIC’s determination that it is a large or small institution may request review of that determination pursuant to §327.4(c).

(9) New and established institutions and exceptions—(i) New Risk Category I institutions—(A) Rule as of January 1, 2010. Effective for assessment periods beginning on or after January 1, 2010, a new institution that is well capitalized shall be subject to the large bank adjustment as determined under paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(B) Rule prior to January 1, 2010. Prior to January 1, 2010, a new institution’s initial base assessment rate shall be determined under paragraph (d)(1) or (2) of this section, as appropriate. Prior to January 1, 2010, a Risk Category I institution that is well capitalized and has no CAMELS component ratings shall be assessed at two basis points above the minimum initial base assessment rate applicable to Risk Category I institutions until it receives CAMELS component ratings. The initial base assessment rate will be determined by annualizing, where appropriate, financial ratios obtained from the quarterly reports of condition that have been filed, until the institution files four such reports. Prior to January 1, 2010, assessment rates for new institutions in Risk Category I shall be subject to the large bank adjustment as determined under paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(C) Applicability of adjustments to new institutions prior to and as of January 1, 2010. No new institution in any risk category shall be subject to the unsecured debt adjustment as determined under paragraph (d)(5) of this section. All new institutions in any Risk Category shall be subject to the secured liability adjustment as determined under paragraph (d)(6) of this section. All new institutions in Risk Categories II, III, and IV shall be subject to the brokered deposit adjustment as determined under paragraph (d)(7) of this section.

(ii) CAMELS ratings for the surviving institution in a merger or consolidation. When an established institution merges with or consolidates into a new institution, if the FDIC determines the resulting institution to be an established institution under §327.8(m)(1), its CAMELS ratings for assessment purposes will be based upon the established institution’s ratings prior to the merger or consolidation until new ratings become available.

(iii) Rate applicable to institutions subject to subsidiary or credit union exception. If an institution is considered established under §327.8(m)(4) and (5), but...
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(10) Assessment rates for bridge depository institutions and conservatorships. Institutions that are bridge depository institutions under 12 U.S.C. 1821(n) and institutions for which the Corporation has been appointed or serves as conservator shall, in all cases, be assessed at the Risk Category I minimum initial base assessment rate, which shall not be subject to adjustment under paragraphs (d)(4), (5), (6) or (7) of this section.

[74 FR 9552, Mar. 4, 2009]

§ 327.10 Assessment rate schedules.

(a) Initial Base Assessment Rate Schedule. The initial base assessment rate for an insured depository institution shall be the rate prescribed in the following schedule:

### INITIAL BASE ASSESSMENT RATE SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk category</th>
<th>I*</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual rates (in basis points) ...................</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All amounts for all risk categories are in basis points annually. Initial base rates that are not the minimum or maximum rate will vary between these rates.

(1) Risk Category I Initial Base Assessment Rate Schedule. The annual initial base assessment rates for all institutions in Risk Category I shall range from 12 to 16 basis points.

(2) Risk Category II, III, and IV Initial Base Assessment Rate Schedule. The annual initial base assessment rates for Risk Categories II, III, and IV shall be 22, 32, and 45 basis points, respectively.

(3) All institutions in any one risk category, other than Risk Category I, will be charged the same initial base assessment rate, subject to adjustment as appropriate.

(b) Total Base Assessment Rate Schedule after Adjustments. The total base assessment rates after adjustments for an insured depository institution shall be the rate prescribed in the following schedule:

### TOTAL BASE ASSESSMENT RATE SCHEDULE (AFTER ADJUSTMENTS) *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk category</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial base assessment rate .......................</td>
<td>12–16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsecured debt adjustment ..........................</td>
<td>−5–0</td>
<td>−5–0</td>
<td>−5–0</td>
<td>−5–0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secured liability adjustment ........................</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>0–11</td>
<td>0–16</td>
<td>0–22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brokered deposit adjustment ........................</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>0–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total base assessment rate ........................</td>
<td>7–24.0</td>
<td>17–43.0</td>
<td>27–58.0</td>
<td>40–77.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All amounts for all risk categories are in basis points annually. Total base rates that are not the minimum or maximum rate will vary between these rates.

(1) Risk Category I Total Base Assessment Rate Schedule. The annual total base assessment rates for all institutions in Risk Category I shall range from 7 to 24 basis points.

(2) Risk Category II Total Base Assessment Rate Schedule. The annual total...