Food and Nutrition Service, USDA

§ 275.12 Review of active cases.

(a) General. A sample of households which were certified prior to, or during, the sample month and issued food stamp benefits for the sample month shall be selected for quality control review. These active cases shall be reviewed to determine if the household is eligible and, if eligible, whether the household is receiving the correct allotment. The determination of a household’s eligibility shall be based on an examination and verification of all elements of eligibility (i.e., basic program requirements, resources, income, and deductions). The elements of eligibility are specified in §§273.1 and 273.3 through 273.9. The verified circumstances and the resulting benefit level determined by the quality control review shall be compared to the benefits authorized by the State agency as of the review date. When changes in household circumstances occur, the reviewer shall determine whether the changes were reported by the participant and handled by the agency in accordance with the rules set forth in §§273.12, 273.13 and 273.21, as appropriate. For active cases, the review date shall always fall within the sample month, either the first day of a calendar or fiscal month or the day of certification, whichever is later. The review of active cases shall include: a household case record review; a field investigation, except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section; the identification of any variances; an error analysis; and the reporting of review findings.

(b) Household case record review. The reviewer shall examine the household case record to identify the specific facts relating to the household’s eligibility and basis of issuance. If the reviewer is unable to locate the household case record, the reviewer shall identify as many of the pertinent facts as possible from the household issuance record. The case record review shall include all information applicable to the case as of the review month, including the application and worksheet in effect as of the review date. Documentation contained in the case record can be used as verification if it is not subject to change and applies to the sample month. If during the case record review

Which the suspension or termination was effective other than continuation of benefits pending a fair hearing. Pro rated benefits are not considered to be a full allotment;

(vii) A household which has been sent a notice of pending status but which was not actually denied participation;

(viii) A household which was terminated for failure to file a complete monthly report by the extended filing date, but reinstated when it subsequently filed the complete report before the end of the issuance month;

(ix) Other households excluded from the negative case universe during the review process as identified in §275.13(e).

(g) Demonstration projects/SSA processing. Households correctly classified for participation under the rules of an FNS-authorized demonstration project which FNS determines to significantly modify the rules for determining households’ eligibility or allotment level, and households participating based upon an application processed by Social Security Administration personnel shall be included in the selection and review process. They shall be included in the universe for calculating sample sizes and included in the sample frames for sample selection as specified in paragraphs (b) through (e) of this section. In addition, they shall be included in the quality control review reports as specified in §275.21(e) and included in the calculation of a State agency’s completion rate as specified in §275.23(e)(6). However, all results of reviews of active and negative demonstration project/SSA processed cases shall be excluded from the determination of State agencies’ active and negative case error rates, payment error rates, and underissuance error rates as described in §275.23(c). The review of these cases shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions specified in §§275.12(h) and 275.13(f).
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the reviewer can determine and verify the household’s ineligibility the review can be terminated at that point, provided that if the determination is based on information not obtained from the household then the correctness of that information must be confirmed as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section. The reviewer shall utilize information obtained through the case record review to complete column (2) of the Integrated Worksheet, Form FNS–380, and to tentatively plan the content of the field investigation.

(c) Field investigation. A full field investigation shall be conducted for all active cases selected in the sample month except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section. A full field investigation shall include a review of any information pertinent to a particular case which is available through the State Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) as specified in §272.8. If during the field investigation the reviewer determines and verifies the household’s ineligibility, the review can be terminated at that point, provided that if the determination is based on information not obtained from the household then the correctness of that information must be confirmed as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section. In Alaska an exception to this requirement can be made in those isolated areas not reachable by regularly scheduled commercial air service, automobile, or other public transportation provided one fully documented attempt to contact the household has been made. Such cases may be completed through case file review and collateral contact. The field investigation will include interviews with the head of household, spouse, or authorized representative; contact with collateral sources of information; and any other materials and activity pertinent to the review of the case. The scope of the review shall not extend beyond the examination of household circumstances which directly relate to the determination of household eligibility and basis of issuance status. The reviewer shall utilize information obtained through the field investigation to complete column (3) of the Integrated Worksheet, Form FNS–380.

1) Personal interviews. Personal interviews shall be conducted in a manner that respects the rights, privacy, and dignity of the participants. Prior to conducting the personal interview, the reviewer shall notify the household that it has been selected, as part of an ongoing review process, for review by quality control, and that a personal face-to-face interview will be conducted in the future. The method of notifying the household and the specificity of the notification shall be determined by the State agency, in accordance with applicable State and Federal laws. The personal interview may take place at the participant’s home, at an appropriate State agency certification office, or at a mutually agreed upon alternative location. The State agency shall determine the best location for the interview to take place, but would be subject to the same provisions as those regarding certification interviews at §273.2(e)(2) of this chapter. Those regulations provide that an office interview must be waived under certain hardship conditions. Under such hardship conditions the quality control reviewer shall either conduct the personal interview with the participant’s authorized representative, if one has been appointed by the household, or with the participant in the participant’s home. Except in Alaska, when an exception to the field investigation is made in accordance with this section, the interview with the participant may not be conducted by phone. During the personal interview with the participant, the reviewer shall:

(i) Explore with the head of the household, spouse, authorized representative, or any other responsible household member, household circumstances as they affect each factor of eligibility and basis of issuance;

(ii) Establish the composition of the household;

(iii) Review the documentary evidence in the household’s possession and secure information about collateral sources of verification; and

(iv) Elicit from the participant names of collateral contacts. The reviewer shall use, but not be limited to, these designated collateral contacts. If required by the State, the reviewer shall obtain consent from the head of
the household to secure collateral information. If the participant refuses to sign the release of information form, the reviewer shall explain fully the consequences of this refusal to cooperate (as contained in paragraph (g)(1)(ii) of this section), and continue the review to the fullest extent possible.

(2) Collateral contacts. The reviewer shall obtain verification from collateral contacts in all instances when adequate documentation was not available from the participant. This second party verification shall cover each element of eligibility as it affects the household's eligibility and coupon allotment. The reviewer shall make every effort to use the most reliable second party verification available (for example, banks, payroll listings, etc.), in accordance with FNS guidelines, and shall thoroughly document all verification obtained. If any information obtained by the QC reviewer differs from that given by the participant, then the reviewer shall resolve the differences to determine which information is correct before an error determination is made. The manner in which the conflicting information is resolved shall include recontacting the participant unless the participant cannot be reached. When resolving conflicting information reviewers shall use their best judgment based on the most reliable data available and shall document how the differences were resolved.

(d) Variance identification. The reviewer shall identify any element of a basic program requirement or the basis of issuance which varies (i.e., information from review findings which indicates that policy was applied incorrectly and/or information verified as of the review date that differs from that used at the most recent certification action). For each element that varies, the reviewer shall determine whether the variance was State agency or participant caused. The results of these determinations shall be coded and recorded in column (5) of the Integrated Worksheet, Form FNS–380.

(1) Variance included in error analysis. Except for those variances in an element resulting from one of the situations described in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, any variance involving an element of eligibility or basis of issuance shall be included in the error analysis. Such variances shall include but not be limited to those resulting from a State agency's failure to take the disqualification action related to SSN's specified in §273.6(c), and related to work requirements, specified in §273.7(f).

(2) Variance excluded from error analysis. The following variances shall be excluded from the determination of a household's eligibility and basis of issuance for the sample month:

(i) Any variance resulting from the nonverified portion of a household's gross nonexempt income where there is conclusive documentation (a listing of what attempts were made to verify and why they were unsuccessful) that such income could not be verified at the time of certification because the source of income would not cooperate in providing verification and no other sources of verification were available. If there is no conclusive documentation as explained above, then the reviewer shall not exclude any resulting variance from the error determination. This follows certification policy outlined in §273.2(f)(1)(i).

(ii) Any variance in cases certified under expedited certification procedures resulting from postponed verification of an element of eligibility as allowed under §273.2(f)(4)(i). Verification of gross income, deductions, resources, household composition, alien status, or tax dependency may be postponed for cases eligible for expedited certification. However, if a case certified under expedited procedures contains a variance as a result of a residency deficiency, a mistake in participant identification, or incorrect expedited income accounting, the variance shall be included in the error determination. This exclusion shall only apply to those cases which are selected for QC review in the first month of participation under expedited certification.

(iii) Any variance subsequent to certification in an element of eligibility or basis of issuance which was not reported and was not required to have been reported as of the review date. The elements participants are required to report and the time requirements
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for reporting are specified in §§273.12(a) and 273.21(h) and (i), as appropriate. If, however, a change in any element is reported, and the State agency fails to act in accordance with §§273.12(c) and 273.21(j), as appropriate, any resulting variance shall be included in the error determination.

(iv) Any variance in deductible expenses which was not provided for in determining a household’s benefit level in accordance with §273.2(f)(3)(i)(B). This provision allows households to have their benefit level determined without providing for a claimed expense when the expense is questionable and obtaining verification may delay certification. If such a household subsequently provides the needed verification for the claimed expense and the State agency does not redetermine the household’s benefits in accordance with §273.12(c), any resulting variance shall be included in the error determination.

(v) Any variance resulting from use by the State agency of information concerning households or individuals from an appropriate Federal source, provided that such information is correctly processed by the State agency. An appropriate Federal source is one which verifies: Income that it provides directly to the household; deductible expenses for which it directly bills the household; or other household circumstances which it is responsible for defining or establishing. To meet the provisions for correct processing, the eligibility worker must have appropriately acted on timely information. In order to be timely, information must be the most current that was available to the State agency at the time of the eligibility worker’s action.

(vi) Two variances relating to the Immigration and Naturalization Service’s (INS) Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) Program.

(A) A variance based on a verification of alien documentation by INS. The reviewer shall exclude such variance only if the State agency properly used SAVE and the State agency provides the reviewer with:

1. The alien’s name;
2. The alien’s status; and
3. Either the Alien Status Verification Index (ASVI) Query Verification Number or the INS Form G–845, as annotated by INS.

(B) A variance based on the State agency’s wait for the response of INS to the State agency’s request for official verification of the alien’s documentation. The reviewer shall exclude such variance only if the State agency properly used SAVE and the State agency provides the reviewer with either:

1. The date of request, if the State agency was waiting for an automated response; or
2. A copy of the completed Form G–845, if the State agency was waiting for secondary verification from INS.

(vii) Subject to the limitations provided in paragraphs (d)(2)(vii)(A) through (d)(2)(vii)(F) of this section any variance resulting from application of a new Program regulation or implementing memorandum (if one is sent to advise State agencies of a change in Federal law, in lieu of regulations during the first 120 days from the required implementation date.

(A) When a regulation allows a State agency an option to implement prior to the required implementation date, the date on which the State agency chooses to implement may, at the option of the State, be considered to be the required implementation date for purposes of this provision. The exclusion period would be adjusted to begin with this date and end on the 120th day that follows. States choosing to implement prior to the required implementation date must notify the appropriate FNS Regional Office, in writing, prior to implementation that they wish the 120 day variance exclusion to commence with actual implementation. Absent such notification, the exclusionary period will commence with the required implementation date.

(B) A State agency shall not exclude variances which occur prior to the States implementation.

(C) A State agency which did not implement until after the exclusion period shall not exclude variances under this provision.

(D) Regardless of when the State agency actually implemented the regulation, the variance exclusion period shall end on the 120th day following the
required implementation date, including the required implementation date defined in paragraph (d)(2)(vii)(A) of this section.

(E) For purposes of this provision, implementation occurs on the effective date of State agency’s written statewide notification to its eligibility workers.

(F) This variance exclusion applies to changes occasioned by final regulations or interim regulations. In the case of a final regulation issued following an interim regulation, the exclusion applies only to significant changes made to the earlier interim regulation. A significant change is one which the final regulation requires the State agency to implement on or after publication of a final rule.

(viii) Any variance resulting from incorrect written policy that a State agency acts on that is provided by a Departmental employee authorized to issue Food Stamp Program policy and that the State agency correctly applies. For purposes of this provision, written Federal policy is that which is issued in regulations, notices, handbooks, category three and four Policy Memoranda under the Policy Interpretation Response System, and regional policy memoranda issued pursuant to these. Written Federal policy is also a letter from the Food and Nutrition Service to a State agency which contains comments on the State agency’s food stamp manual or instructions.

(ix) Any variance in a child support deduction which was the result of an unreported change subsequent to the most recent certification action shall be excluded from the error determination.

(3) Other findings. Findings other than variances made during the review which are pertinent to the food stamp household or the case record may be acted on at the discretion of the State agency. Examples of such findings are: an incorrect age of a household member which is unrelated to an element of eligibility; an overdue subsequent certification; no current application on file; insufficient documentation; incorrect application of the verification requirements specified in part 273; and deficiencies in work registration procedural requirements. Such deficiencies include: inadequate documentation of each household member’s exempt status; work registration form for each nonexempt household member not completed at the time of application and every six months thereafter; and the household not advised of its responsibility to report any changes in the exempt status of any household member.

(e) Error analysis. The reviewer shall analyze all appropriate variances in completed cases, in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section, which are based upon verified information and determine whether such cases are either eligible, eligible with a basis of issuance error, or ineligible. The review of an active case determined ineligible shall be considered completed at the point of the ineligibility determination. For households determined eligible, the review shall be completed to the point where the correctness of the basis of issuance is determined, except in the situations outlined in paragraph (g) of this section. In the event that a review is conducted of a household which is receiving restored or retroactive benefits for the sample month, the portion of the allotment which is the restored or retroactive benefit shall be excluded from the determination of the household’s eligibility and/or basis of issuance. A food stamp case in which a household member(s) receives public assistance shall be reviewed in the same manner as all other food stamp cases, using income as received. The determination of a household’s eligibility and the correctness of the basis of issuance shall be determined based on data entered on the computation sheet as well as other information documented on other portions of the Integrated Worksheet, Form FNS–380, as appropriate.

(f) Reporting of review findings. All information verified to be incorrect during the review of an active case shall be reported to the State agency for appropriate action on an individual case basis. This includes information on all variances in elements of eligibility and basis of issuance in both error and nonerror cases. In addition, the reviewer shall report the review findings on the Integrated Review Schedule,
Form FNS-380-1, in accordance with the following procedures:

(1) Eligibility errors. If the reviewer determines that a case is ineligible, the occurrence and the total allotment issued in the sample month shall be coded and reported. Whenever a case contains a variance in an element which results in an ineligibility determination and there are also variances in elements which would cause a basis of issuance error, the case shall be treated as an eligibility error. The reviewer shall also code and report any variances that directly contributed to the error determination. In addition, if the State agency has chosen to report information on all variances in elements of eligibility and basis of issuance, the reviewer shall code and report any other such variances which were discovered and verified during the course of the review.

(2) Basis of issuance errors. If the reviewer determines that food stamp allotments were either overissued or underissued to eligible households in the sample month, in an amount exceeding $25.00, the occurrence and the amount of the error shall be coded and reported. The reviewer shall also code and report any variances that directly contributed to the error determination. In addition, if the State agency has chosen to report information on all variances in elements of eligibility and basis of issuance, the reviewer shall code and report any other such variances which were discovered and verified during the course of the review.

(3) Automated Federal Information Exchange System Errors. Variances resulting from the use by the State agency of information received from automated Federal information exchange systems, which are excluded in accordance with §275.12(d)(2)(v), shall be coded and reported as variances. They shall not, however, be used in determining a State's error rates.

(g) Disposition of case reviews. Each case selected in the sample of active cases must be accounted for by classifying it as completed, not completed, or not subject to review. These case dispositions shall be coded and recorded on the Integrated Review Schedule, Form FNS-380-1.

(1) Cases reported as not complete. Active cases shall be reported as not completed if the household case record cannot be located and the household itself is not subsequently located; if the household case record is located but the household cannot be located unless the reviewer attempts to locate the household as specified in this paragraph; or if the household refuses to cooperate, as discussed in this paragraph. All cases reported as not complete shall be reported to the State agency for appropriate action on an individual case basis. Without FNS approval, no active case shall be reported as not completed solely because the State agency was unable to process the case review in time for it to be reported in accordance with the timeframes specified in §275.21(b)(2).

(i) If the reviewer is unable to locate the participant either at the address indicated in the case record or in the issuance record and the State agency is not otherwise aware of the participant’s current address, the reviewer shall attempt to locate the household by contacting at least two sources which the State agency determines are most likely to be able to inform the reviewer of the household’s current address. Such sources include but are not limited to:

(A) The local office of the U.S. Postal Service;

(B) The State Motor Vehicle Department;

(C) The owner or property manager of the residence at the address in the case record;

(D) Any other appropriate sources based on information contained in the case record, such as public utility companies, telephone company, employers, or relatives. Once the reviewer has attempted to locate the household and has documented the response of each source contacted, if the household still cannot be located and the State agency has documented evidence that the household did actually exist, the State agency shall report the active case as not subject to review. In these situations documented evidence shall be considered adequate if it either documents two different elements of eligibility or basis of issuance, such as a copy of a birth certificate for age and
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pay status for income; or documents
the statement of a collateral contact
indicating that the household did exist.
FNS Regional Offices will monitor the
results of the contacts which State
agencies make in attempting to locate
households.

(ii) If a household refuses to cooperate
with the quality control reviewer
and the State agency has taken other
administrative steps to obtain that co-
operation without obtaining it, the
household shall be notified of the
penalties for refusing to cooperate
with respect to termination and re-
application, and of the possibility that
its case will be referred for investiga-
tion for willful misrepresentation. If a
household refuses to cooperate after
such notice, the reviewer may attempt
to complete the case and shall report
the household’s refusal to the State
agency for termination of its participa-
tion without regard for the outcome of
that attempt. For a determination of
refusal to be made, the household must
be able to cooperate, but clearly dem-
onstrate that it will not take actions
that it can take and that are required
to complete the quality control review
process. In certain circumstances, the
household may demonstrate that it is
unwilling to cooperate by not taking
actions after having been given every
reasonable opportunity to do so, even
though the household or its members
do not state that the household refuses
to cooperate. Instances where the
household’s unwillingness to cooperate
in completing a quality control review
has the effect of a refusal to cooperate
shall include the following:

(A) The household does not respond
to a letter from the reviewer sent Cer-
tified Mail-Return Receipt Requested
within 30 days of the date of receipt;

(B) The household does not attend an
agreed upon interview with the re-
viewer and then does not contact the
reviewer within 10 days of the date of
the scheduled interview to reschedule
the interview; or

(C) The household does not return a
signed release of information state-
ment to the reviewer within 10 days of
either agreeing to do so or receiving a
request from the reviewer sent Cer-
tified Mail-Return Receipt Requested.
However, in these and other situations,
if there is any question as to whether
the household has merely failed to co-
operate, as opposed to refused to co-
operate, the household shall not be re-
ported to the State agency for termi-
nation.

(2) Cases not subject to review. Active
cases which are not subject to review,
if they have not been eliminated in the
sampling process, shall be eliminated
in the review process. In addition to
cases listed in §275.11(f)(1), these shall
include:

(i) Death of all members of a house-
hold if they died before the review
could be undertaken or completed;

(ii) The household moved out of State
before the review could be undertaken
or completed;

(iii) The household, at the time of the
review, is under active investigation
for intentional Food Stamp Program
violation, including a household with a
pending administrative disqualification
hearing;

(iv) A household receiving restored
benefits in accordance with §273.17 but
not participating based upon an ap-
proved application for the sample
month;

(v) A household dropped as a result of
correction for oversampling;

(vi) A household participating under
disaster certification authorized by
FNS for a natural disaster;

(vii) A case incorrectly listed in the
active frame;

(viii) A household appealing an ad-
verse action when the review date falls
within the time period covered by con-
tinued participation pending the hear-
ing;

(ix) A household that did not receive
benefits for the sample month; or

(x) A household that still cannot be
located after the reviewer has at-
ttempted to locate it in accordance with
paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this section.

(h) Demonstration projects/SSA proc-
essing. Households correctly classified
for participation under the rules of a
demonstration project which estab-
lishes new FNS-authorized eligibility
criteria or modifies the rules for deter-
mining households’ eligibility or allot-
ment level shall be reviewed following
standard procedures provided that FNS
does not modify these procedures to re-
reflect modifications in the treatment of
§ 275.13 Review of negative cases.

(a) General. A sample of households whose applications for food stamp benefits were denied or whose food stamp benefits were suspended or terminated by an action in the sample month or effective for the sample month shall be selected for quality control review. These negative cases shall be reviewed to determine whether the State agency's decision to deny, suspend, or terminate the household, as of the review date, was correct. Depending on the characteristics of individual State systems, the review date for negative cases could be the date of the agency's decision to deny, suspend, or terminate program benefits, the date on which the decision is entered into the computer system, the date of the notice to the client, or the date the negative action becomes effective. However, State agencies must consistently apply the same definition for review date to all sample cases of the same classification. The review of negative cases shall include a household case record review; an error analysis; and the reporting of review findings, including procedural problems with the action regardless of the validity of the decision to deny, suspend or terminate.

(b) Household case record review. The reviewer shall examine the household case record and verify through documentation in it whether the reason given for the denial, suspension, or termination is correct or whether the denial, suspension, or termination is correct for any other reason documented in the casefile. When the case record alone does not prove ineligibility, the reviewer may attempt to verify the element(s) of eligibility in question by telephoning either the household and/or a collateral contact(s). Through the review of the household case record, the reviewer shall complete the household case record sections and document the reasons for denial, suspension or termination on the Negative Quality Control Review Schedule, Form FNS–245.

(c) Error analysis. (1) A negative case shall be considered correct if the reviewer is able to verify through documentation in the household case record or collateral contact that a household was correctly denied, suspended or terminated from the program. Whenever the reviewer is unable to verify the correctness of the State agency's decision to deny, suspend or terminate a household's participation through such documentation or collateral contact, the negative case shall be considered incorrect.

(2) The reviewer shall exclude a variance when the State agency erroneously denied, suspended or terminated a household's participation based on an erroneous verification of alien documentation by the Immigration and Naturalization Services (INS) Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements (SAVE) Program. The reviewer shall exclude the variance only if the State agency properly used SAVE, and the State agency provides the reviewer with:
   (i) The alien’s name;
   (ii) The alien’s status; and
   (iii) Either the Alien Status Verification Index (ASVI) Query Verification Number or the INS Form G–845, as annotated by INS.

(d) Reporting of review findings. When a negative case is incorrect, this information shall be reported to the State agency for appropriate action on an individual case basis, such as recomputation of the coupon allotment and restoration of lost benefits. In addition, the reviewer shall code and record the error determination on the Negative Quality Control Review Schedule, Form FNS–245.