§ 648.96 Monkfish annual adjustment process and framework specifications.

(a) General. The Monkfish Monitoring Committee (MFMC) shall meet on or before November 15 of each year to develop target TACs for the upcoming fishing year in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section, and options for NEFMC and MAFMC consideration on any changes, adjustment, or additions to DAS allocations, trip limits, size limits, the Northeast Region SBRM (including the CV-based performance standard, fishery stratification, and/or reports), or other measures necessary to achieve the Monkfish FMP’s goals and objectives. The MFMC shall review available data pertaining to discards and landings, DAS, and other measures of fishing effort; stock status and fishing mortality rates; enforcement of and compliance with management measures; and any other relevant information.

(b) Annual Adjustment Procedures—(1) Annual Target TACs for FY 2007 through FY 2009—(i) NFMA. The annual target TAC for the NFMA is 5,000 mt for FY 2007 through FY 2009, unless otherwise recommended by the MFMC through its annual review procedure specified in paragraph (a) of this section, and adopted through the procedures outlined in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(ii) SFMA. The annual target TAC for the SFMA is 5,100 mt for FY 2007 through FY 2009, unless otherwise recommended by the MFMC through its annual review procedure specified in paragraph (a) of this section, and adopted through the procedures outlined in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(2) Annual Target TACs for FY 2010 and beyond. If a regulatory action is not implemented to establish target TACs for the monkfish fishery for FY 2010 or subsequent years, either through the annual review procedure described in paragraph (a) of this section or another type of regulatory action, the target TACs in effect during FY 2007 - FY 2009 will remain in effect until new measures are implemented. The management measures for FY 2010 or subsequent years that would be associated with these target TACs are described in paragraph (b)(6) of this section.

(3) Setting DAS allocations—(i) The process of determining the appropriate DAS allocations for each management area involves first estimating incidental landings for each management area and then estimating the proportional catch for permit categories A and C, and permit categories B, D, and H based upon vessel trip reports for the most recently completed fishing year for which a complete set of landings data exists. The landings proportions generated for each permit category group (A and C versus B, D, and H) are then used to estimate the landings that would be associated with each permit category group under a given target TAC, less projected incidental landings. For example, a target TAC of 5,100 mt equates to 11,243,580 lb (5,100,000 kg). If incidental landings for the SFMA are projected to be 2,070,000 lb (938,936 kg) the total amount of the target TAC available to limited access vessels would be 9,173,580 lb (4,161,066 kg). If the proportion of landings for permit category A and C vessels is 37 percent, and the proportion for permit category B, C, and H vessels is 63 percent, then the landing levels associated with each permit category group under this target TAC would be 3,394,225 lb (1,539,595 kg) and 5,779,355 lb (2,621,471 kg), respectively.

(ii) Landings are assumed to be fixed at a constant rate per day for each vessel, equivalent to the average daily landings of each vessel in the reference year, of the last applicable full year of landings data (a year is applicable if the TAC in that year was lower than the TAC in the year to be calculated).

(iii) To adjust for the ability of vessels to carryover up to 10 unused
monkfish DAS from the previous fishing year to the current fishing year, adjustments to DAS usage shall be made by first reducing the landings for all permit holders who have used more than the annual DAS allocation specified in §648.94(b)(1)(i) (e.g., 31 monkfish DAS) by the proportion of DAS exceeding that annual DAS allocation, and then resetting the upper limit of DAS usage at the annual DAS allocation.

(iv) Linear interpolation is then used to determine which DAS level would closest achieve the estimated landing levels for each permit category group under a given target TAC.

(4) Council TAC Recommendations. The Councils shall consider any target TAC(s) recommended by the MFMC as part of its annual review specified in paragraph (a) of this section, and then forward their target TAC recommendation to the Regional Administrator. If the Councils recommend target TAC(s) to the Regional Administrator, and the Regional Administrator concurs with this recommendation, the Regional Administrator shall promulgate the target TAC(s) and associated management measures through rulemaking consistent with the APA. If the Regional Administrator does not concur with the Councils’ recommendation, then the Councils shall be notified in writing of the reasons for the non-concurrence.

(5) [Reserved]

(6) Management measures for FY 2010 and beyond. If a regulatory action is not implemented to establish management measures for the monkfish fishery for FY 2010 or subsequent years, the management measures in effect during FY 2009 (i.e., trip limits and DAS allocations) shall remain in effect.

(c) Annual and in-season framework adjustments to management measures—(1) Annual framework process. (i) Based on their annual review, the MFMC may develop and recommend, in addition to the target TACs and management measures established under paragraph (b) of this section, other options necessary to achieve the Monkfish FMP’s goals and objectives, which may include a preferred option. The MFMC must demonstrate through analysis and documentation that the options it develops are expected to meet the Monkfish FMP goals and objectives. The MFMC may review the performance of different user groups or fleet sectors in developing options. The range of options developed by the MFMC may include any of the management measures in the Monkfish FMP, including, but not limited to: Closed seasons or closed areas; minimum size limits; mesh size limits; net limits; liver-to-monkfish landings ratios; annual monkfish DAS allocations and monitoring; trip or possession limits; blocks of time out of the fishery; gear restrictions; transferability of permits and permit rights or administration of vessel upgrades, vessel replacement, or permit assignment; measures to minimize the impact of the monkfish fishery on protected species; gear requirements or restrictions that minimize bycatch or bycatch mortality; transferable DAS programs; changes to the Northeast Region SBRM, including the CV-based performance standard, the means by which discard data are collected/obtained, fishery stratification, reports, and/or industry-funded observers or observer set-aside programs; and other frameworkable measures included in §§648.55 and 648.90.

(ii) The Councils shall review the options developed by the MFMC and other relevant information, consider public comment, and submit a recommendation to the Regional Administrator that meets the Monkfish FMP’s objectives, consistent with other applicable law. The Councils’ recommendation to the Regional Administrator shall include supporting documents, as appropriate, concerning the environmental and economic impacts of the proposed action and the other options considered by the Councils. Management adjustments made to the Monkfish FMP require majority approval of each Council for submission to the Secretary. (A) The Councils may delegate authority to the Joint Monkfish Oversight Committee to conduct an initial review of the options developed by the MFMC. The oversight committee would review the options developed by the MFMC and any other relevant information, consider public comment,
(B) If the Councils do not submit a recommendation that meets the Monkfish FMP’s goals and objectives, and that is consistent with other applicable law, the Regional Administrator may adopt any option developed by the MFMC, unless rejected by either Council, provided such option meets the Monkfish FMP’s goals and objectives, and is consistent with other applicable law. If either the NEFMC or MAFMC has rejected all options, then the Regional Administrator may select any measure that has not been rejected by both Councils.

(iii) If the Councils submit, on or before January 7 of each year, a recommendation to the Regional Administrator after one framework meeting, and the Regional Administrator concurs with the recommendation, the recommendation shall be published in the Federal Register as a proposed rule. The Federal Register notification of the proposed action shall provide a public comment period in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. The Councils may instead submit their recommendation on or before February 1, if they choose to follow the framework process outlined in paragraph (c)(3) of this section and request that the Regional Administrator publish the recommendation as a final rule. If the Regional Administrator concurs that the Councils’ recommendation meets the Monkfish FMP’s goals and objectives, and is consistent with other applicable law, and determines that the recommended management measures should be published as a final rule, the action shall be published as a final rule in the Federal Register. If the Regional Administrator concurs that the recommendation meets the Monkfish FMP’s goals and objectives, and is consistent with other applicable law, and determines that a proposed rule is warranted, and, as a result, the effective date of a final rule falls after the start of the fishing year, fishing may continue. However, DAS used by a vessel on or after the start of a fishing year shall be counted against any DAS allocation the vessel ultimately receives for that year.

(iv) Following publication of a proposed rule and after receiving public comment, if the Regional Administrator concurs in the Councils’ recommendation, a final rule will be published in the Federal Register prior to the start of the next fishing year. If the Councils fail to submit a recommendation to the Regional Administrator by February 1 that meets the goals and objectives of the Monkfish FMP, the Regional Administrator may publish as a proposed rule one of the MFMC options reviewed and not rejected by either Council, provided the option meets the goals and objectives of the Monkfish FMP, and is consistent with other applicable law.

(2) In-season Action. At any time, the Councils or the Joint Monkfish Oversight Committee (subject to the approval of the Councils’ Chairmen) may initiate action to add or adjust management measures, if it is determined that action is necessary to meet or be consistent with the goals and objectives of the Monkfish FMP. Recommended adjustments to management measures must come from the categories specified under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section. In addition, the procedures for framework adjustments specified under paragraph (c)(3) of this section must be followed.

(3) Framework Adjustment Procedures. Framework adjustments shall require at least one initial meeting of the Monkfish Oversight Committee or one of the Councils (the agenda must include notification of the framework adjustment proposal) and at least two Council meetings, one at each Council. The Councils shall provide the public with advance notice of the availability of both the proposals and the analysis, and opportunity to comment on them prior to the first of the two final Council meetings. Framework adjustments and amendments to the Monkfish FMP require majority approval of each Council for submission to the Secretary.

(i) Councils’ recommendation. After developing management actions and receiving public testimony, the Councils shall make a recommendation to the Regional Administrator. The Councils’ recommendation must include supporting rationale and, if management
measures are recommended, an analysis of impacts and a recommendation to the Regional Administrator on whether to issue the management measures as a final rule. If the Councils recommend that the management measures should be issued as a final rule, the Councils must consider at least the following four factors and provide support and analysis for each factor considered:

(A) Whether the availability of data on which the recommended management measures are based allows for adequate time to publish a proposed rule, and whether regulations have to be in place for an entire harvest/fishing season;

(B) Whether there has been adequate notice and opportunity for participation by the public and members of the affected industry in the development of the Councils’ recommended management measures;

(C) Whether there is an immediate need to protect the resource or to impose management measures to resolve gear conflicts; and

(D) Whether there will be a continuing evaluation of management measures adopted following their implementation as a final rule.

(ii) Action by NMFS. (A) If the Regional Administrator approves the Councils’ recommended management measures and determines that the recommended management measures should be issued as a final rule based on the factors specified in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section, the Secretary may, for good cause found under the standard of the Administrative Procedure Act, waive the requirement for a proposed rule and opportunity for public comment in the Federal Register. The Secretary, in so doing, shall publish only the final rule. Submission of the recommendations does not preclude the Secretary from deciding to provide additional opportunity for prior notice and comment in the Federal Register.

(B) If the Regional Administrator concurs with the Councils’ recommendation and determines that the recommended management measures should be published first as a proposed rule, then the measures shall be published as a proposed rule in the Federal Register. After additional public comment, if NMFS concurs with the Councils’ recommendation, then the measures shall be issued as a final rule in the Federal Register.

(C) If the Regional Administrator does not concur, then the Councils shall be notified in writing of the reasons for the non-concurrence.

(iii) Adjustments for gear conflicts. The Councils may develop a recommendation on measures to address gear conflict as defined under §600.10 of this chapter, in accordance with the procedure specified in §648.55(d) and (e).

(d) Emergency action. Nothing in this section is meant to derogate from the authority of the Secretary to take emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act.


§ 648.97 Closed areas.

(a) Oceanographer Canyon Closed Area. No fishing vessel or person on a fishing vessel may enter, fish, or be in the area known as Oceanographer Canyon Closed Area (copies of a chart depicting this area are available from the Regional Administrator upon request), as defined by straight lines connecting the following points in the order stated, while on a monkfish DAS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>N. Lat.</th>
<th>W. Long.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) OC1</td>
<td>40°10'</td>
<td>68°12'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) OC2</td>
<td>40°24'</td>
<td>68°09'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) OC3</td>
<td>40°24'</td>
<td>68°09'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) OC4</td>
<td>40°10'</td>
<td>67°59'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) OC1</td>
<td>40°10'</td>
<td>68°12'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Lydonia Canyon Closed Area. No fishing vessel or person on a fishing vessel may enter, fish, or be in the area known as Lydonia Canyon Closed Area (copies of a chart depicting this area are available from the Regional Administrator upon request), as defined by straight lines connecting the following points in the order stated, while on a monkfish DAS: