(3) The disapproval of a grantee’s written request for permission to incur an expenditure during the term of a grant.

(4) A determination that a grant is void because the award was obtained fraudulently, or was otherwise illegal or invalid from inception.

(c) Notice of adverse determination. If the Director, or his or her designee, makes an adverse determination with respect to a grant, he or she shall promptly issue a notice of adverse determination to the State which contains the reasons for the determination in sufficient detail to enable the State agency to respond and informing the State agency of the opportunity for review under paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) Request for review of an adverse determination. (1) If the State agency wants a review of the determination, it must submit a request for such review to the Director no later than 30 days after the postmark on the notice, unless an extension of time is granted for good cause.

(2) The request for review must contain a full statement of the State’s position with respect to the determination being appealed and the pertinent facts and reasons in support of such position. The State agency must attach to the submission a copy of the notice.

(3) The Director may, at his or her discretion, invite the State to discuss pertinent issues and to submit such additional information as he or she deems appropriate.

(4) Based on his or her review, the Director will send a written response to the State. If the response is adverse to the State’s position, the correspondence shall state the State’s right to appeal to the Departmental Grant Appeals Board, pursuant to part 16 of this title.

(e) Request for appeal of an adverse determination. (1) To appeal an adverse determination, a State agency must file an appeal with the Departmental Grant Appeals Board, in accordance with requirements contained in part 16 of this title.

(2) The State’s application for review must be postmarked no later than 30 days after the postmark on the Director’s response to the State’s request for review in paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

§ 400.13 Cost allocation.

(a) A State must allocate costs, both direct and indirect, appropriately between the Refugee Resettlement Program (RRP) and other programs which it administers.

(b) Within the RRP, a State must allocate costs appropriately among its CMA grant, social services grant, and any other Refugee Resettlement Program (RRP) grants which it may receive, as prescribed by the Director.

(c) Certain administrative costs incurred for the overall management of the State’s refugee program (e.g., development of the State plan, overall program coordination, and salary and travel costs of the State Refugee Coordinator), as identified by the Director, may be charged to the CMA grant. All other costs must be allocated among the CMA grant, social services grant, and any other Refugee Resettlement Program (RRP) grants.

(d) Costs of case management services, as defined in § 400.2, may not be charged to the CMA grant.

(e) Administrative costs incurred by local resettlement agencies in the administration of the public/private RCA program (i.e., administrative costs of providing cash assistance) may be charged to the CMA grant. Administrative costs of managing the services component of the RCA program must be charged to the social services grant.
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§§ 400.20–400.21 [Reserved]

§ 400.22 Responsibility of the State agency.

(a) The State agency may not delegate, to other than its own officials, responsibility for administering or supervising the administration of the plan.

(b) The State agency must have—