individual SIP revision. Thus, any existing federally approved work practices remain in effect, until such time that subsequent revisions are submitted to EPA and approved as SIP revisions.

(g) The Missouri portion of the Kansas City metropolitan area was designated as nonattainment for ozone in 40 CFR part 81. Therefore, the Administrator approves continuation of the 7.8 RVP limit as federally enforceable in the Kansas City metropolitan area, even after the area is redesignated to attainment, because of its nonattainment designation effective January 6, 1992. Also, the requirement for 7.8 psi RVP volatility is deemed necessary to ensure attainment and maintenance of the ozone standard as demonstrated by the emissions inventory projections (based on use of 7.8 psi RVP) in Missouri’s ozone maintenance plan for the Kansas City metropolitan area.

(h) The state of Missouri commits to revise 10 CSR 6.300 to remove language in paragraphs (3)(C)4 and (9)(B) which is more stringent than the language in the Federal General Conformity rule. In a letter to Mr. Dennis Grams, Regional Administrator, EPA, dated December 7, 1995, Mr. David Shorr, Director, MDNR, stated:

We commit to initiating a change in the wording in the above paragraphs [paragraphs (3)(C)4 and (9)(B)] of Missouri rule 10 CSR 6-300, and to submit the change to EPA within one year from the date of this letter [December 7, 1995]. We intend that the change will give our rule the same stringency as the General Conformity Rule.

(i) Emission limitations and related provisions which are established in Missouri’s operation permits as federally enforceable conditions shall be enforceable by EPA. EPA reserves the right to deem permit conditions not federally enforceable. Such a determination will be made according to appropriate procedures, and be based upon the permit, permit approval procedures, or permit requirements which do not conform with the operating permit program requirements or the requirements of EPA’s underlying regulations.

(j) The state of Missouri revised 10 CSR 10-6.300 to remove language in paragraphs (3)(C)4 and (9)(B) which made the language more stringent than that contained in the Federal general conformity rule. This fulfills the requirements of the conditional approval granted effective May 10, 1996, as published on March 11, 1996.


(l) The Administrator conditionally approves Missouri emergency rule 10 CSR 10-2.330 under §52.1320(c)(98). Full approval is contingent on the state submitting the permanent rule, to the EPA, by November 30, 1997.

(m) The Administrator approves Missouri rule 10 CSR 10-2.330 under §52.1320(c)(105). This fulfills the requirements of the conditional approval granted effective November 10, 1997, as published on October 9, 1997.

[37 FR 10876, May 31, 1972]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For Federal Register citations affecting §52.1323, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and on GPO Access.

§ 52.1324 [Reserved]

§ 52.1325 Legal authority.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The requirements of §51.232(b) of this chapter are not met since the following deficiencies exist in local legal authority.

(1) St. Louis County Division of Air Pollution Control:

(i) Authority to require recordkeeping is lacking (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to make emission data available to the public is inadequate because section 612.350, St. Louis County Air Pollution Control Code, requires confidential treatment in certain circumstances if the data concern secret processes (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(2) St. Louis City Division of Air Pollution Control:

(i) Authority to require recordkeeping is lacking (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require reports on the nature and amounts of emissions
from stationary sources is lacking (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to require installation, maintenance, and use of emission monitoring devices is lacking. Authority to make emission data available to the public is inadequate because Section 39 of Ordinance 54699 requires confidential treatment in certain circumstances if the data relate to production or sales figures or to processes or production unique to the owner or operator or would tend to affect adversely the competitive position of the owner or operator (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(3) Kansas City Health Department:

(i) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(4) Independence Health Department:

(i) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to make emission data available to the public is lacking since section 11.161 of the code of the city of Independence requires confidential treatment in certain circumstances if the data relate to secret processes or trade secrets affecting methods or results of manufacture (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(5) Springfield Department of Health:

(i) Authority to abate emissions on an emergency basis is lacking (§51.230(c) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require record-keeping is lacking (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to make emission data available to the public is inadequate because section 2A–42 of the Springfield City Code requires confidential treatment of such data in certain circumstances (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(c) The provisions of §51.230(d) of this chapter are not met since statutory authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of a facility, building, structure, or installation, or combination thereof, which indirectly results or may result in emissions of any air pollutant at any location which will prevent the maintenance of a national air quality standard is not adequate.


§§ 52.1326–52.1334 [Reserved]

§ 52.1335 Compliance schedules.

(a) The compliance schedule for the source identified below is approved as a revision to the plan pursuant to §51.104 and subpart N of this chapter. All regulations cited are air pollution control regulations of the State, unless otherwise noted.

### MISSOURI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Regulation involved</th>
<th>Adopted date</th>
<th>Effective date</th>
<th>Final compliance date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMAX Lead Co ..........................</td>
<td>Boss, MO ...</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Apr. 27, 1985.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>