

## Environmental Protection Agency

## § 52.795

the requirements of CAIR for PM<sub>2.5</sub> relating to SO<sub>2</sub> under §51.124 of this chapter, except to the extent the Administrator's approval is partial or conditional or unless such approval is under §51.124(r) of this chapter.

[72 FR 62347, Nov. 2, 2007]

### §§ 52.791–52.792 [Reserved]

#### § 52.793 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) *Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality.* The provisions of §52.21(a)(2) and (b) through (bb) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable state plan for the State of Indiana.

(c) All applications and other information required pursuant to §52.21 from sources located in the State of Indiana shall be submitted to the Commissioner, Indiana Department of Environmental Management, 105 South Meridian Street, P.O. Box 6015, Indianapolis, IN 46206.

[45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980, as amended at 53 FR 18985, May 26, 1988; 68 FR 11323, Mar. 10, 2003]

#### § 52.794 Source surveillance.

(a) The requirements of 51.212 of this chapter are not met by the phrase “for more than a cumulative total of 15 minutes in a 24-hour period” contained in section 1 of APC-3 of the Indiana Air Pollution Control Regulations.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) 325 IAC 5-1 (October 6, 1980, submittal—§52.770(c)(53)) is disapproved for the Lake County sources specifically listed in Table 2 of 325 IAC 6-1-10.2 (§52.770(c)(57)); for pushing and quenching sources throughout the State (August 27, 1981, 325 IAC 11-3-2 (g) and (h)—§52.770(c)(42)); and for coke oven doors in Lake and Marion Counties (325 IAC 11-3-2(f)—§52.770(c)(42)). Applicability of this regulation to these sources is being disapproved because 325 IAC 5-1 does not meet the enforceability requirements of §51.22 as it applies to these sources. Opacity limits

in 325 IAC 6-1-10.2 and certain opacity limits in 325 IAC 11-3 supersede those in 325 IAC 5-1, and USEPA has previously disapproved these superseding regulations (§52.776 (j), (g), and (f), respectively).

[40 FR 50033, Oct. 28, 1975; 41 FR 3475, Jan. 23, 1976, as amended at 48 FR 55860, Dec. 16, 1983; 51 FR 40676, 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 52 FR 3644, Feb. 5, 1987; 52 FR 23038, June 17, 1987]

#### § 52.795 Control strategy: Sulfur dioxide.

(a) Revised APC-13 (December 5, 1974 submission) of Indiana's Air Pollution Control regulations (sulfur dioxide emission limitation) is disapproved insofar as the provisions identified below will interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the suffix dioxide ambient air quality standards:

(1) The phrase “equivalent full load” in section 1(b)(2).

(2) The formula “ $E_p=17.0 Q_m^{0.67}$  where  $E_p=E_m \times Q_m$ ” in section 2(a).

(3) The phrase “Direct fired process operations” in sections 2(a), 3(c), 4(b), and 4(c).

(4) The modification of  $Q_m$  for non-Indiana coal as expressed in Section 2(a).

(b) The requirements of §51.281 are not met by Warrick and Culley electrical generating stations enforcement orders which would revise the sulfur dioxide emission limitations for these two stations.

(c) The requirements of §51.110(e) are not met by Wayne, Dearborn, Jefferson, Porter, and Warrick Counties.

(d)–(e) [Reserved]

(f) Approval—On March 14, 1996, the State of Indiana submitted a maintenance plan for Lawrence, Washington, and Warren Townships in Marion County and the remainder of the county, and requested that it be redesignated to attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulfur dioxide. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(g) Approval—On June 17, 1996, the State of Indiana submitted a maintenance plan for LaPorte, Vigo, and Wayne Counties and requested redesignation to attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulphur dioxide for each county in its entirety. The redesignation requests and

## § 52.796

maintenance plans satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(h) Approval—On June 21, 2005, and as supplemented on August 11, 2005, the State of Indiana submitted a request to redesignate the Lake County sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) nonattainment area to attainment of the NAAQS. In its submittal, the State also requested that EPA approve the maintenance plan for the area into the Indiana SO<sub>2</sub> SIP. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

[41 FR 35677, Aug. 24, 1976, as amended at 42 FR 34519, July 6, 1977; 47 FR 10825, Mar. 12, 1982; 47 FR 39168, Sept. 7, 1982; 49 FR 585, Jan. 5, 1984; 51 FR 40676, 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 53 FR 1358, Jan. 19, 1988; 54 FR 2118, Jan. 19, 1989; 61 FR 58486, Nov. 15, 1996; 70 FR 56131, Sept. 26, 2005]

### § 52.796 Industrial continuous emission monitoring.

(a) APC-8, Appendix I 1.2.3, 3.3, and 6.0 are disapproved because they do not meet the requirements of 40 CFR 51.214.

(b)(1) The requirements of 40 CFR 51, Appendix P 3.3 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable implementation plan for the State of Indiana.

(2) APC-8 does not apply to any source scheduled for retirement by October 6, 1980, or within five years after the promulgation of continuous emission monitoring requirements for that source category in 40 CFR part 51, Appendix P 1.1, provided that adequate evidence and guarantees are provided that clearly show that the source will cease operations on or before such date.

[43 FR 26722, June 22, 1978, as amended at 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986]

### § 52.797 Control strategy: Lead.(a)-(b) [Reserved]

(c) On January 12, 1988, Indiana's Office of Air Management (OAM), Indiana Department of Environmental Management, agreed to review all relevant hood designs and performance guidance to determine which criteria to use in determining ongoing compliance with the capture efficiency provisions in 326 IAC 15-1 for Quemetco, Inc., and Refined Metals. Because these efficiencies are closely related to equipment de-

## 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-10 Edition)

sign, OAM believes that a review of the process and control equipment designs and operating parameters should provide the necessary determination of compliance. OAM will work with the Indianapolis local agency, the Indianapolis Air Pollution Control Division, on viable alternatives and will keep USEPA up to date on its progress. OAM anticipates that specific criteria for determining compliance will be incorporated into the sources' operation permits (and forwarded to USEPA for informational purposes), and, should the opportunity arise, 326 IAC 15-1 will be revised to similarly incorporate capture efficiency criteria.

(d) On March 2, 2000, Indiana submitted a maintenance plan for Marion County as part of its request to redesignate the County to attainment of the lead standard.

(e) On April 1, 2009, Indiana submitted an updated maintenance plan under section 175A of the CAA for Marion County for the continued attainment of the 1.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> lead standard.

[53 FR 12905, Apr. 19, 1988, as amended at 53 FR 38722, Oct. 3, 1988; 54 FR 33896, Aug. 17, 1989; 65 FR 29963, May 10, 2000; 74 FR 48662, Sept. 24, 2009]

### § 52.798 Small business stationary source technical and environmental compliance assistance program.

The Indiana program submitted on January 14, 1993, as a requested revision to the Indiana State Implementation Plan satisfies the requirements of section 507 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

[58 FR 46544, Sept. 2, 1993]

## Subpart Q—Iowa

### § 52.820 Identification of plan.

(a) *Purpose and scope.* This section sets forth the applicable SIP for Iowa under section 110 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7401, and 40 CFR part 51 to meet NAAQS.

(b) *Incorporation by reference.* (1) Material listed in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section with an EPA approval date prior to July 1, 2009, was approved for incorporation by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR