(b) The requirements of §51.150 of this chapter are not met by the classification of counties in APC–22 for the purposes of attainment and maintenance of the total suspended particulate ambient air quality standards.

(c) The requirements of §51.150 of this chapter are not met by the classification of counties in APC–22 for the purposes of attainment and maintenance of the photochemical oxidant (hydrocarbon) ambient air quality standards.

(d) The requirements of §51.150 of this chapter are not met by the classification of Jefferson, LaPorte, Porter, Vigo and Warrick Counties in Indiana in Regulation APC–22 for the purposes of attainment and maintenance of the sulfur dioxide ambient air quality standards.

§ 52.772 [Reserved]

§ 52.773 Approval status.

(a) With the exceptions set forth in this subpart, the Administrator approves Indiana’s plan for attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards under section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) The Administrator finds that Indiana’s new source review strategy satisfies all requirements of Part D, Title 1 of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977.

(d)–(e) [Reserved]

(f) The Administrator finds ozone strategies for Clark, Elkhart, Floyd, Lake, Marion, Porter, and St. Joseph Counties satisfy all requirements of Part D, Title 1 of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977.

(g) The Administrator finds that the total suspended particulate strategies for Clark, Dearborn, Dubois, St. Joseph, Vanderburgh, and Vigo Counties satisfy all the requirements of Part D, Title 1 of the Clean Air Act except as noted below.

(h) The Administrator finds that the SO₂ strategies for Lake, LaPorte, Marion, Vigo, and Wayne Counties satisfy all requirements of Part D, Title 1 of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977. See §52.770 (c)(67) and (c)(72).

(i) The Administrator finds that Indiana’s ozone plan for Lake and Porter Counties, which was required to be submitted by July 1, 1992, does not satisfy all the requirements of part D, title 1 of the Clean Air Act and, thus, is disapproved. See §§52.770(c)(69) and 52.770(d). The disapproval does not affect USEPA’s approval (or conditional approval) of individual parts of Indiana’s ozone plan and they remain approved.

(j) The Administrator finds that the following portions of Indiana’s ozone and CO plans satisfy the related requirements of part D, title 1 of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977:


(3) The demonstration of attainment, submitted December 2, 1983, and the carbon monoxide plan as a whole for the designated nonattainment area in Lake County.

§ 52.774 [Reserved]

§ 52.775 Legal authority.

(a) The requirements of §51.232(b) of this chapter are not met since the following deficiencies exist in the local agency legal authority:

(1) East Chicago: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(h) The Administrator finds that the SO₂ strategies for Lake, LaPorte, Marion, Vigo, and Wayne Counties satisfy all requirements of Part D, Title 1 of

§ 52.777 [Reserved]
§ 52.776 Control strategy: Particulate matter.

(a) The requirements of subpart G of this chapter are not met since the plan does not provide for attainment and maintenance of the secondary standards for particulate matter in the Metropolitan Indianapolis Intrastate Region.

(b) APC 4–R of Indiana’s “Air Pollution Control Regulations” (emission limitation for particulate matter from fuel combustion sources), which is part of the control strategy for the secondary standards for particulate matter, is disapproved for the Metropolitan Indianapolis Intrastate Region since it does not provide the degree of control needed to attain and maintain the secondary standards for particulate matter. APC 4–R is approved for attainment and maintenance of the primary standards for particulate matter in the

maintenance of a national standard is inadequate (§51.230(d) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(3) Gary: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(4) Hammond: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(5) Indianapolis: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(6) Michigan City: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(7) Wayne County: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping and to make inspections and conduct tests of air pollution sources is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(8) Lake County: (i) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of any stationary source at any location where emissions from such source will prevent the attainment or maintenance of a national standard is inadequate (§51.230(d) of this chapter).

(9) St. Joseph County: (i) Authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of any stationary source at any location where emissions from such source will prevent the attainment or maintenance of a national standard is inadequate (§51.230(d) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(10) Vigo County: (i) Authority to require recordkeeping is inadequate (§51.230(e) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(iii) Authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of any stationary source at any location where emissions from such source will prevent the attainment or maintenance of a national standard is inadequate (§51.230(d) of this chapter).

(11) Anderson County: (i) Authority to require installation of monitoring devices is inadequate (§51.230(f) of this chapter).

(ii) Authority to prevent construction, modification, or operation of any stationary source at any location where emissions from such source will prevent the attainment or maintenance of a national standard is inadequate (§51.230(d) of this chapter).