APPENDIX C TO PART 682—PROCEDURES FOR CURING VIOLATIONS OF THE DUE DILIGENCE IN COLLECTION AND TIMELY FILING OF CLAIMS REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO FISLP AND FEDERAL PLUS PROGRAM LOANS AND FOR REPAYMENT OF INTEREST AND SPECIAL ALLOWANCE OVERBILLINGS [BULLETIN L–77a]

NOTE: The following is a reprint of Bulletin L–77a, issued on January 7, 1983, with minor modifications made to reflect changes in the program regulations since that date. All references to “the date of this bulletin” refer to that date. All references made to the Federal Insured Student Loan Program (FISLP) shall be understood to include the Federal PLUS Program. The bulletin includes references to the 120- and 180-day default periods that apply to PLUS and FISLP and PLUS Program loans. Public Law 99–272 established new default periods of 180 and 240 days (as established in 34 CFR 682.200 of these regulations) for all new loans and many existing ones. Although the discussion in this appendix C refers to the 120- and 180-day default periods, it is equally applicable to the new 180- and 240-day default periods.

INTRODUCTION

This bulletin prescribes procedures for lenders to use (1) to cure violations of the requirements for due diligence in collection (“due diligence”) and timely filing of claims under the Federal Insured Student Loan Program (FISLP), and (2) to repay interest and special allowance and claim payments on loans evidencing such violations. See 34 CFR 682.507, 682.511. These procedures allow for the reinstatement of a lender’s eligibility for interest and special allowance and claim payments on loans evidencing such violations, under specified circumstances. These procedures apply to loans for which the first day of the 120-day or 180-day default period occurred on or after October 21, 1979 (the effective date of the September 17, 1979 regulations), whether or not the loans have previously been submitted as claims to the Secretary.

The due diligence and timely filing requirements governing the FISLP were established in response to requests from some lenders for more detailed regulatory guidance on the proper handling of FISLP loans. Despite the promulgation of these provisions, a number of lenders have failed to exercise the requisite care in their treatment of these loans, thereby increasing the risk of default thence and, in many cases, prejudicing the Secretary’s ability to collect from the borrowers. At the time the current due diligence and timely filing rules were issued, the Secretary anticipated that violations of these rules would be so infrequent as to permit requests for cure to be handled individually. However, the unexpectedly high incidence of violations of these rules has made continued case-by-case treatment of all cure requests administratively unmanageable. After carefully considering the views of lenders and other program participants, the Secretary has decided to exercise his authority under 20 U.S.C. 1082(a)(5), (6), and institute uniform procedures by which lenders with loans involving violations of the due diligence or timely filing requirements may cure these violations.

DUE DILIGENCE

Collection activity is required to begin immediately upon delinquency by the borrower in honoring the repayment obligation. This holds true whether or not the borrower received a repayment schedule or signed a repayment agreement. Under 34 CFR 682.200, default on a FISLP loan occurs when a borrower fails to make a payment when due, provided this failure persists for 120 days for loans payable in monthly installments, or for 180 days for loans payable in less frequent installments. If, however, the lender has added the optional provision to the promissory note requiring the borrower to execute a repayment agreement not later than 120 days prior to the expiration of the grace period, the loan entered repayment prior to September 4, 1985 (see 50 FR 35970), the lender sends the agreement to the borrower 120 days or more before the end of the grace period, and the agreement is not executed before the end of the grace period, default occurs at that time. One exception to this rule is as follows: If the holder of the loan is not the lender that made the loan, the holder may choose to foreclose enforcement of the optional 120-day provision in the note.

The 120/180 day default period applies regardless of whether payments were missed consecutively or intermittently. For example, if the borrower, on a loan payable in monthly installments, makes his January 1st payment on time, his February 1st payment two months late (April 1st), his March 1st payment three months late (June 1st), and makes no further payments, the default period begins on February 1st, with the first delinquency, and ends on August 1st, when the April 1st payment becomes 120 days past due. The lender must treat the payment made on April 1st as the February 1st payment, since the February 1st payment had not been made prior to that time. Similarly, the lender must treat the payment made on June 1st as the March 1st payment, since the March payment had not been made prior to that time.

The due diligence and timely filing requirements governing the FISLP were established in response to requests from some lenders for more detailed regulatory guidance on the proper handling of FISLP loans. Despite the promulgation of these provisions, a number of lenders have failed to exercise the requisite care in their treatment of these loans, thereby increasing the risk of default thence and, in many cases, prejudicing the Secretary’s ability to collect