§ 327.15 Emergency special assessments.

(a) Emergency special assessment imposed on June 30, 2009. On June 30, 2009, the FDIC shall impose an emergency special assessment of 20 basis points on each insured depository institution based on the institution’s assessment base calculated pursuant to §327.5 for the second assessment period of 2009.

(b) Emergency special assessments after June 30, 2009. After June 30, 2009, if the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund is estimated to fall to a level that the Board believes would adversely affect public confidence or to a level which shall be close to zero or negative at the end of a calendar quarter, an emergency special assessment of up to 10 basis points may be imposed by a vote of the Board on all insured depository institutions based on each institution’s assessment base calculated pursuant to §327.5 for the corresponding assessment period.

(1) Estimation process. For purposes of any emergency special assessment under this paragraph (b), the FDIC shall estimate the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund for the applicable calendar quarter end from available data on, or estimates of, insurance fund assessment income, investment income, operating expenses, other revenue and expenses, and loss provisions, including provisions for anticipated failures. The FDIC will assume that estimated insured deposits will increase during the quarter at the average quarterly rate over the previous four quarters.

(2) Imposition and announcement of emergency special assessments. Any emergency special assessment under this paragraph (b) shall be on the last day of a calendar quarter and shall be announced by the end of such quarter. As soon as practicable after announcement, the FDIC will have a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of the emergency special assessment.

(c) Invoicing of any emergency special assessments. The FDIC shall advise each insured depository institution of the amount and calculation of any emergency special assessment imposed under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section. This information shall be provided at the same time as the institution’s quarterly certified statement invoice for the assessment period in which the emergency special assessment was imposed.

(d) Payment of any emergency special assessment. Each insured depository institution shall pay to the Corporation any emergency special assessment imposed under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section in compliance with and subject to the provisions of §§327.3, 327.6 and 327.7 of subpart A, and the provisions of subpart B. The payment date for any emergency special assessment shall be the date provided in §327.3(b)(2) for the institution’s quarterly certified statement invoice for the calendar quarter in which the emergency special assessment was imposed.
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- A model (the Statistical Model) that estimates the probability that a Risk Category I institution will be downgraded to a composite CAMELs rating of 3 or worse within one year:
  - Minimum and maximum downgrade probability cutoff values, based on data from June 30, 2006, that will determine which small institutions will be charged the minimum and maximum initial base assessment rates applicable to Risk Category I:
    - The minimum initial base assessment rate for Risk Category I, equal to 12 basis points, and
    - The maximum initial base assessment rate for Risk Category I, which is four basis points higher than the minimum rate.

II. THE STATISTICAL MODEL

The Statistical Model is defined in equations 1 and 2 below.

Equation 1

\[
{\text{Downgrade}(0,1)_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (\text{Tier 1 Leverage Ratio}_{it}) + \beta_2 (\text{Loans past due 30 to 89 days ratio}_{it}) + \beta_3 (\text{Nonperforming asset ratio}_{it}) + \beta_4 (\text{Net loan charge-off ratio}_{it}) + \beta_5 (\text{Net income before taxes ratio}_{it}) + \beta_6 (\text{Adjusted brokered deposit ratio}_{it}) + \beta_7 (\text{Weighted average CAMELs component rating}_{it})}
\]

where Downgrade(0,1)_{it} (the dependent variable—the event being explained) is the incidence of downgrade from a composite rating of 1 or 2 to a rating of 3 or worse during an on-site examination for an institution i between 3 and 12 months after time t.

Equation 2

\[
B_{it} = \left[ \frac{\text{Brokdered Deposits}_{i,T}}{\text{Domestic Deposits}_{i,T}} - 0.10 \right] \times A_{it}
\]

where

\[
A_{it} = \left[ \left( \frac{\text{GrossAssets}_{i,T} - \text{GrossAssets}_{i,T-4}}{\text{GrossAssets}_{i,T-4}} \right) - 0.4 \right] \times 10 \frac{3}{3}, \text{ subject to } 0 \leq A_{it} \leq 1 \text{ and } B_{it} \geq 0.
\]

The component rating for sensitivity to market risk (the “S” rating) is not available for years prior to 1997. As a result, and as described in Table A.1, the Statistical Model is estimated using a weighted average of five component ratings excluding the “S” component. Delinquency and non-accrual data on government guaranteed loans are not available before 1993 for Call Report filers and before the third quarter of 2003 for TFR filers. As a result, and as also described in Table A.1, the Statistical Model is estimated without deducting delinquent or past-due government guaranteed loans from either the loans past due 30–89 days to gross assets ratio or the nonperforming assets to gross assets ratio. Reciprocal deposits are not presently reported in the Call Report or TFR. As a result, and as also described in Table A.1, the Statistical Model is estimated without deducting reciprocal deposits from brokered deposits in determining the adjusted brokered deposit ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A.1—Definitions of Regressors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1 Leverage Ratio (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The financial variable regressors used to estimate the downgrade probabilities are obtained from quarterly reports of condition (Reports of Condition and Income and Thrift Financial Reports). The weighted average of the “C,” “A,” “M,” “E,” and “L” component ratings regressor is based on component ratings obtained from the most recent bank examination conducted within 24 months before the date of the report of condition.

The Statistical Model uses ordinary least squares (OLS) regression to estimate downgrade probabilities. The model is estimated with data from a multi-year period (as explained below) for all institutions in Risk Category I, except for institutions established within five years before the date of the report of condition.

The OLS regression estimates coefficients, βj for a given regressor j and a constant amount, β0, as specified in equation 1. As shown in equation 3 below, these coefficients are multiplied by values of risk measures at time T, which is the date of the report of condition corresponding to the end of the quarter for which the assessment rate is computed. The sum of the products is then added to the constant amount to produce an estimated probability, \( d_T \), that an institution will be downgraded to 3 or worse within 3 to 12 months from time T.

The risk measures are financial ratios as defined in Table A.1, except that: (1) The loans past due 30 to 89 days ratio and the nonperforming asset ratio are adjusted to exclude the maximum amount recoverable from the U.S. Government, its agencies or government-sponsored agencies, under guarantee or insurance provisions; (2) the weighted sum of six CAMELS component ratings is used, with weights of 28 percent each for the “C” and “M” components, 20 percent for the “A” component, and 10 percent each for the “E,” “L,” and “S” components; and (3) reciprocal deposits are deducted from brokered deposits in determining the adjusted brokered deposit ratio.

**Equation 3**

\[
d_T = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \left( \frac{\text{Tier 1 Leverage Ratio}_T}{\text{Tier 1 Capital Ratio}_T} \right) + \beta_2 \left( \frac{\text{Loans past due 30 to 89 days ratio}_T}{\text{Average Loans}} \right) + \beta_3 \left( \frac{\text{Nonperforming asset ratio}_T}{\text{Average Loans}} \right) + \beta_4 \left( \frac{\text{Net income before taxes ratio}_T}{\text{Average Loans}} \right) + \beta_5 \left( \frac{\text{Adjusted brokered deposit ratio}_T}{\text{Average Loans}} \right)
\]

III. MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM DOWNGRADE PROBABILITY CUTOFF VALUES

The pricing multipliers are also determined by minimum and maximum downgrade probability cutoff values, which will be computed as follows:

- The minimum downgrade probability cutoff value will be the maximum downgrade probability among the twenty-five percent of all small insured institutions in Risk Category I (excluding new institutions) with the lowest estimated downgrade probabilities, computed using values of the risk measures as of June 30, 2006. The minimum downgrade probability cutoff value is 0.0182.
- The maximum downgrade probability cutoff value will be the minimum downgrade probability among the fifteen percent of all

---

1 As used in this context, a “new institution” means an institution that has been chartered as a bank or thrift for less than five years.
2 For purposes of calculating the minimum and maximum downgrade probability cutoff values, institutions that have less than $100,000 in domestic deposits are assumed to have no brokered deposits.
small insured institutions in Risk Category I (excluding new institutions) with the highest estimated downgrade probabilities, computed using values of the risk measures as of June 30, 2008. The maximum downgrade probability cutoff value is 0.1506.

IV. DERIVATION OF UNIFORM AMOUNT AND PRICING MULTIPLIERS

The uniform amount and pricing multipliers used to compute the annual base assessment rate in basis points, \( P_{iT} \), for any such institution \( i \) at a given time \( T \) will be determined from the Statistical Model, the minimum and maximum downgrade probability cutoff values, and minimum and maximum initial base assessment rates in Risk Category I as follows:

\[
P_{iT} = a_0 + \alpha_i \cdot \delta_T \text{ subject to } \min \leq P_{iT} \leq \min + 4
\]

where \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \alpha_i \) are a constant term and a scale factor used to convert \( \delta_T \) (the estimated downgrade probability for institution \( i \) at a given time \( T \) from the Statistical Model) to an assessment rate, respectively, and \( \min \) is the minimum initial base assessment rate expressed in basis points. \( P_{iT} \) is expressed as an annual rate, but the actual rate applied in any quarter will be \( P_{iT}/4 \). The maximum initial base assessment rate is 4 basis points above the minimum (\( \min + 4 \)).

Solving equation 4 for minimum and maximum initial base assessment rates simultaneously, \( \min = a_0 + \alpha_i \cdot 0.0182 \) and \( \min + 4 = a_0 + \alpha_i \cdot 0.1506 \) where 0.0182 is the minimum downgrade probability cutoff value and 0.1506 is the maximum downgrade probability cutoff value, results in values for the constant amount, \( a_0 \) and the scale factor, \( \alpha_i \):

\[
\alpha_i = \frac{4}{(0.1506 - 0.0182)} = 30.211
\]

and Equation 6

\[
a_i = \frac{4}{(0.1506 - 0.0182)} = 30.211
\]

Substituting equations 3, 5 and 6 into equation 4 produces an annual initial base assessment rate for institution \( i \) at time \( T \), \( P_{iT} \), in terms of the uniform amount, the pricing multipliers and the ratios and weighted average CAMELS component rating referred to in 12 CFR 327.9(d)(2)(i):

\[
\text{Equation 7}
\]

\[
P_{iT} = \left[ (\min - 0.550) + 30.211 \cdot b_0 \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_1 \cdot \text{(Tier 1 Leverage Ratio\text{)}_T} \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_2 \cdot \text{(Loans past due 30 to 89 days ratio\text{)}_T} \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_3 \cdot \text{(Nonperforming asset ratio\text{)}_T} \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_4 \cdot \text{(Net loan charge-off ratio\text{)}_T} \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_5 \cdot \text{(Net income before taxes ratio\text{)}_T} \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_6 \cdot \text{(Adjusted brokered deposit ratio\text{)}_T} \right] + 30.211 \cdot \left[ b_7 \cdot \text{(Weighted average CAMELS component rating\text{)}_T} \right]
\]

again subject to \( \min \leq P_{iT} \leq \min + 4 \) where \( \left( \min - 0.550 \right) + 30.211 \cdot b_0 \) equals the uniform amount, 30.211 \( b_0 \) is a pricing multiplier for the associated risk measure \( j \), and \( T \) is the date of the report of condition corresponding to the end of the quarter for which the assessment rate is computed.

V. UPDATING THE STATISTICAL MODEL, UNIFORM AMOUNT, AND PRICING MULTIPLIERS

The initial Statistical Model is estimated using year-end financial ratios and the weighted average of the "C," "A," "M," "E," and "L" component ratings over the 1986 to 2006 period and downgrade data from the 1989 to 2007 period. The FDIC may, from time to time, but no more frequently than annually, re-estimate the Statistical Model with updated data and publish a new formula for determining initial base assessment rates—equation 7—based on updated uniform amounts and pricing multipliers. However, the minimum and maximum downgrade probability cutoff values will not change without additional notice-and-comment rulemaking. The period covered by the analysis will be lengthened by one year each year; however, from time to time, the FDIC may drop some earlier years from its analysis.
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NUMERICAL CONVERSION OF LONG-TERM DEBT ISSUER RATINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard &amp; Poor’s:</th>
<th>Converted value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>