

§ 345.63

based on the findings and recommendations of the monitoring panel, and after providing to the public notice and opportunity for comment, shall make a final determination regarding whether to order the Governor to redesignate the lead agency. The Governor shall make any redesignation in accordance with the requirements that apply to designations under § 345.6.

(Authority: 29 U.S.C. 2215(c); section 105(c) of the Act)

§ 345.63 How does a State change the entity responsible for providing protection and advocacy services?

(a) The Governor of a State, based on input from individuals with disabilities and their family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives, may determine that the entity providing protection and advocacy services has not met the protection and advocacy service needs of the individuals with disabilities and their family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives, for securing funding for and access to assistive technology devices and assistive technology services, and that there is good cause to provide the protection and advocacy services for the State through a contract with a second entity.

(b) On making the determination in paragraph (a) of this section, the Governor may not enter into a contract with a second entity to provide the protection and advocacy services unless good cause exists and unless—

(1) The Governor has given the first entity 30 days notice of the intention to enter into the contract, including specification of good cause, and an opportunity to respond to the assertion that good cause has been shown;

(2) Individuals with disabilities and their family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives, have timely notice of the determination and opportunity for public comment; and

(3) The first entity has the opportunity to appeal the determination to the Secretary within 30 days of the determination on the basis that there is not good cause to enter into the contract.

(c)(1) When the Governor of a State determines that there is good cause to

34 CFR Ch. III (7–1–02 Edition)

enter into a contract with a second entity to provide the protection and advocacy services, the Governor shall hold an open competition within the State and issue a request for proposals by entities desiring to provide the services.

(2) The Governor shall not issue a request for proposals by entities desiring to provide protection and advocacy services until the first entity has been given notice and an opportunity to respond. If the first entity appeals the determination to the Secretary, the Governor shall issue such request only if the Secretary decides not to overturn the determination of the Governor. The Governor shall issue such request within 30 days after the end of the period during which the first entity has the opportunity to respond, or after the decision of the Secretary, as appropriate.

(3) The competition shall be open to entities with the same expertise and ability to provide legal services as a system in § 345.30(b)(12). The competition shall ensure public involvement, including a public hearing and adequate opportunity for public comment.

(Authority: 29 U.S.C. 2215(d); section 105(d) of the Act)

PART 350—DISABILITY AND REHABILITATION RESEARCH PROJECTS AND CENTERS PROGRAM

Subpart A—General

Sec.

350.1 What is the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects and Centers Program?

350.2 What is the purpose of the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Project and Centers Program?

350.3 Who is eligible for an award?

350.4 What regulations apply?

350.5 What definitions apply?

Subpart B—What Projects Does the Secretary Assist?

350.10 What are the general requirements for Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects?

350.11 What are the general requirements for a Field-Initiated Project?

350.12 What are the general requirements for an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project?

Off. of Spec. Educ. and Rehab. Services, Education

§ 350.1

- 350.13 What must a grantee do in carrying out a research activity?
- 350.14 What must a grantee do in carrying out a training activity?
- 350.15 What must a grantee do in carrying out a demonstration activity?
- 350.16 What must a grantee do in carrying out a development activity?
- 350.17 What must a grantee do in carrying out a utilization activity?
- 350.18 What must a grantee do in carrying out a dissemination activity?
- 350.19 What must a grantee do in carrying out a technical assistance activity?

Subpart C—What Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers Does the Secretary Assist?

- 350.20 What general requirements must a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center meet?
- 350.21 What collaboration must a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center engage in?
- 350.22 What activities must a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center conduct?
- 350.23 What restriction exists on Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers regarding indirect costs?

Subpart D—What Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers Does the Secretary Assist?

- 350.30 What requirements must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center meet?
- 350.31 What collaboration must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center engage in?
- 350.32 What activities must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center conduct?
- 350.33 What cooperation requirements must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center meet?
- 350.34 Which Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers must have an advisory committee?
- 350.35 What are the requirements for the composition of an advisory committee?

Subpart E—How Does One Apply for an Award?

- 350.40 What is required of each applicant regarding the needs of individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds?
- 350.41 What State agency review must an applicant under the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects and Centers Program obtain?

Subpart F—How Does the Secretary Make an Award?

- 350.50 What is the peer review process for this Program?
- 350.51 What is the purpose of peer review?
- 350.52 What is the composition of a peer review panel?
- 350.53 How does the Secretary evaluate an application?
- 350.54 What selection criteria does the Secretary use in evaluating an application?
- 350.55 What are the additional considerations for selecting Field-Initiated Project applications for funding?

Subpart G—What Conditions Must Be Met After an Award?

- 350.60 How must a grantee conduct activities?
- 350.61 What evaluation requirements must a grantee meet?
- 350.62 What are the matching requirements?
- 350.63 What are the requirements of a grantee relative to the Client Assistance Program?
- 350.64 What is the required duration of the training in an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project?
- 350.65 What level of participation is required of trainees in an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project?
- 350.66 What must a grantee include in a patent application?

AUTHORITY: Sec. 204; 29 U.S.C. 761–762, unless otherwise noted.

SOURCE: 62 FR 5713, Feb. 6, 1997, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—General

§ 350.1 What is the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects and Centers Program?

The Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects and Centers Program provides grants to establish and support—

(a) The following Disability and Rehabilitation Research and Related Projects:

(1) Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects.

(2) Field-Initiated Projects.

(3) Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Projects; and

(b) The following Disability and Rehabilitation Research Centers:

(1) Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers.

§ 350.2

(2) Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers.

(Authority: Sec. 204; 29 U.S.C. 762)

§ 350.2 What is the purpose of the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Project and Centers Program?

The purpose of the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Project and Centers Program is to plan and conduct research, demonstration projects, training, and related activities, including international activities, to—

(a) Develop methods, procedures, and rehabilitation technology, that maximize the full inclusion and integration into society, employment, independent living, family support, and economic and social self-sufficiency of individuals with disabilities, especially individuals with the most severe disabilities; and

(b) Improve the effectiveness of services authorized under the Act.

(Authority: Secs. 204(a) and (b)(6); 29 U.S.C. 762(a) and (b)(6))

§ 350.3 Who is eligible for an award?

The following entities are eligible for an award under this program:

- (a) States.
- (b) Public or private agencies, including for-profit agencies.
- (c) Public or private organizations, including for-profit organizations.
- (d) Institutions of higher education.
- (e) Indian tribes and tribal organizations.

(Authority: Sec. 204(a); 29 U.S.C. 762(a))

§ 350.4 What regulations apply?

The following regulations apply to the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects and Centers Program:

(a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) as follows:

(1) 34 CFR part 74 (Administration of Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-profit Organizations).

(2) 34 CFR part 75 (Direct Grant Programs).

(3) 34 CFR part 77 (Definitions that Apply to Department Regulations).

(4) 34 CFR part 80 (Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and

34 CFR Ch. III (7–1–02 Edition)

Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments).

(5) 34 CFR part 81 (General Education Provisions Act—Enforcement).

(6) 34 CFR part 82 (New Restrictions on Lobbying).

(7) 34 CFR part 85 (Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) and Governmentwide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants)).

(8) 34 CFR part 86 (Drug-Free Schools and Campuses).

(b) The regulations in this part 350.

(c)(1) Subject to the additional requirement in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, 34 CFR part 97 (Protection of Human Subjects).

(2) If an institutional review board (IRB) reviews research that purposefully requires inclusion of children with disabilities or individuals with mental disabilities as research subjects, the IRB must have at least one member who is primarily concerned with the welfare of these research subjects.

(Authority: 29 U.S.C. 761a, 762, 42 U.S.C. 300v–1(b))

§ 350.5 What definitions apply?

(a) The following definitions in 34 CFR part 77 apply to this part—

Applicant
Application
Award
Budget
Department
EDGAR
Equipment
Facilities
Grant
Grantee
Nonprofit
Private
Project
Project period
Public
Recipient
Secretary
Supplies
State

(Authority: Sec. 202(i)(1); 29 U.S.C. 761a(i)(1))

(b) The following definitions also apply to this part.

Act means the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 701, et seq.), as amended.

(Authority: Sec. 202(i)(1); (29 U.S.C. 761a(i)(1))

Assistive technology device means any item, piece of equipment, or product system, whether acquired commercially or off the shelf, modified, or customized, that is used to increase, maintain, or improve functional capabilities of individuals with disabilities.

(Authority: Sec. 7(23); 29 U.S.C. 706(23))

Assistive technology service means any service that directly assists an individual with a disability in the selection, acquisition, or use of an assistive technology device, including—

(1) The evaluation of the needs of an individual with a disability, including a functional evaluation of the individual in the individual's customary environment;

(2) Purchasing, leasing, or otherwise providing for the acquisition of assistive technology devices by individuals with disabilities;

(3) Selecting, designing, fitting, customizing, adapting, applying, maintaining, repairing, or replacing assistive technology devices;

(4) Coordinating and using other therapies, interventions, or services with assistive technology devices, such as those associated with existing education and rehabilitation plans and programs;

(5) Training or technical assistance for individuals with disabilities, or, if appropriate, their family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives; and

(6) Training or technical assistance for professionals (including individuals providing education and rehabilitation services), employers, or other individuals who provide services to employ, or are otherwise substantially involved in the major life functions of, individuals with disabilities.

(Authority: Sec. 7(24); 29 U.S.C. 706(24))

Disability means a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities.

(Authority: Sec. 202(i)(1); 29 U.S.C. 761a(i)(1))

Individual with a disability means any individual who:

(1) Has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the individual's major life activities;

(2) Has a record of this impairment; or

(3) Is regarded as having this impairment.

(Authority: Sec. 7(8)(B); 29 U.S.C. 706(8)(B))

Individual with a severe disability means—

(1)(i) An individual with a disability who has a severe physical or mental impairment that seriously limits one or more functional capacities (such as mobility, communication, self-care, self-direction, interpersonal skills, work tolerance, or work skills) in terms of an employment outcome;

(ii) Whose vocational rehabilitation can be expected to require multiple vocational rehabilitation services over an extended period of time; and

(iii) Who has one or more physical or mental disabilities resulting from amputation, arthritis, autism, blindness, burn injury, cancer, cerebral palsy, cystic fibrosis, deafness, head injury, heart disease, hemiplegia, hemophilia, respiratory or pulmonary dysfunction, mental retardation, mental illness, multiple sclerosis, muscular dystrophy, musculoskeletal disorders, neurological disorders (including stroke and epilepsy), paraplegia, quadriplegia, other spinal cord impairments, sickle cell anemia, specific learning disability, end-stage renal disease, or another disability or combination of disabilities determined on the basis of an assessment of rehabilitation needs to cause comparable substantial functional limitation; or

(2) An individual with a severe mental or physical impairment whose ability to function independently in the family or community or whose ability to obtain, maintain, or advance in employment is substantially limited and for whom the delivery of independent living services will improve the ability to function, continue functioning, or move towards functioning independently in the family or community or to continue in employment, respectively.

(Authority: Sec. 7(15)(C); 29 U.S.C. 706(15)(C))

§ 350.10

Personal assistance services means a range of services, provided by one or more persons, designed to assist an individual with a disability to perform daily living activities, on and off the job, that the individual would typically perform if the individual did not have a disability. These services must be designed to increase the individual's control in life and ability to perform everyday activities on and off the job.

(Authority: Sec. 12(c); 29 U.S.C. 711(c))

Rehabilitation technology means the systematic application of technologies, engineering methodologies, or scientific principles to meet the needs of and address the barriers confronted by individuals with disabilities in such areas as education, rehabilitation, employment, transportation, independent living, and recreation, and includes rehabilitation engineering, assistive technology devices, and assistive technology services.

(Authority: Sec. 7(13); 29 U.S.C. 706(13))

Research is classified on a continuum from basic to applied:

(1) *Basic research* is research in which the investigator is concerned primarily with gaining new knowledge or understanding of a subject without reference to any immediate application or utility.

(2) *Applied research* is research in which the investigator is primarily interested in developing new knowledge, information or understanding which can be applied to a predetermined rehabilitation problem or need. Applied research builds on selected findings from basic research.

(Authority: Sec. 202(i)(1); 29 U.S.C. 761a(i)(1))

State rehabilitation agency means the sole State agency designated to administer (or supervise local administration of) the State plan for vocational rehabilitation services. The term includes the State agency for the blind, if designated as the State agency with respect to that part of the plan relating to the vocational rehabilitation of blind individuals.

(Authority: Sec. 101(a)(1)(A); 29 U.S.C. 721(a)(1)(A))

Target population means the group of individuals, organizations, or other en-

34 CFR Ch. III (7–1–02 Edition)

tities expected to be affected by the project. More than one group may be involved since a project may affect those who receive services, provide services, or administer services.

(Authority: Sec. 202(i)(1); 29 U.S.C. 761a(i)(1))

Subpart B—What Projects Does the Secretary Assist?

§ 350.10 What are the general requirements for Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects?

Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects must meet the following requirements:

(a) Carry out one or more of the following types of activities, as specified in §§ 350.13–350.19:

- (1) Research.
- (2) Development.
- (3) Demonstration.
- (4) Training.
- (5) Dissemination.
- (6) Utilization.
- (7) Technical assistance.

(b) Further one or more of the purposes listed in § 350.2.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.11 What are the general requirements for a Field-Initiated Project?

A Field-Initiated Project must—

(a) Further one or more of the purposes in § 350.2; and

(b) Carry out one of the following types of activities:

- (1) Research.
- (2) Development.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.12 What are the general requirements for an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project?

An Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project must—

(a) Provide research training and experience at an advanced level to individuals with doctorates or similar advanced degrees who have clinical or other relevant experience;

(b) Further one or more of the purposes in § 350.2; and

(c) Carry out all of the following activities:

(1) Recruitment and selection of candidates for advanced research training.

(2) Provision of a training program that includes didactic and classroom instruction, is multidisciplinary, and emphasizes scientific methodology, and may involve collaboration among institutions.

(3) Provision of research experience, laboratory experience or its equivalent in a community-based research setting, and a practicum that involve each individual in clinical research and in practical activities with organizations representing individuals with disabilities.

(4) Provision of academic mentorship or guidance, and opportunities for scientific collaboration with qualified researchers at the host university and other appropriate institutions.

(5) Provision of opportunities for participation in the development of professional presentations and publications, and for attendance at professional conferences and meetings as appropriate for the individual's field of study and level of experience.

(Authority: Sec. 202(k); 29 U.S.C. 761a(k))

§ 350.13 What must a grantee do in carrying out a research activity?

In carrying out a research activity under this program, a grantee shall—

(a) Identify one or more hypotheses; and

(b) Based on the hypotheses identified, perform an intensive systematic study directed toward—

(1) New or full scientific knowledge; or

(2) Understanding of the subject or problem studied.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.14 What must a grantee do in carrying out a training activity?

In carrying out a training activity under this program, a grantee shall conduct a planned and systematic sequence of supervised instruction that is designed to impart predetermined skills and knowledge.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.15 What must a grantee do in carrying out a demonstration activity?

In carrying out a demonstration activity under this program, a grantee shall apply results derived from previous research, testing, or practice to determine the effectiveness of a new strategy or approach.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.16 What must a grantee do in carrying out a development activity?

In carrying out a development activity under this program, a grantee must use knowledge and understanding gained from research to create materials, devices, systems, or methods beneficial to the target population, including design and development of prototypes and processes.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.17 What must a grantee do in carrying out a utilization activity?

In carrying out a utilization activity under this program, a grantee must relate research findings to practical applications in planning, policy making, program administration, and delivery of services to individuals with disabilities.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.18 What must a grantee do in carrying out a dissemination activity?

In carrying out a dissemination activity under this program, a grantee must systematically distribute information or knowledge through a variety of ways to potential users or beneficiaries.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

§ 350.19 What must a grantee do in carrying out a technical assistance activity?

In carrying out a technical assistance activity under this program, a

§ 350.20

34 CFR Ch. III (7–1–02 Edition)

grantee must provide expertise or information for use in problem-solving.

(Authority: Sec. 202; 29 U.S.C. 761a)

Subpart C—What Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers Does the Secretary Assist?

§ 350.20 What general requirements must a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center meet?

A Rehabilitation Research and Training Center shall—

(a) Plan and conduct activities that further one or more of the purposes listed in § 350.2;

(b) Serve as a center of national excellence and as a national or regional resource for providers and individuals with disabilities and the parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives of the individuals;

(c) Be of sufficient size, scope, and quality to effectively carry out the activities in an efficient manner consistent with appropriate State and Federal law; and

(d) Be able to carry out training activities either directly or through another entity that can provide such training.

(Authority: Secs. 204(b) and (b)(2)(K); 29 U.S.C. 762(b) and (b)(2)(K))

§ 350.21 What collaboration must a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center engage in?

A Rehabilitation Research and Training Center must be operated by or in collaboration with—

(a) One or more institutions of higher education; or

(b) One or more providers of rehabilitation or other appropriate services.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(2); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(2))

§ 350.22 What activities must a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center conduct?

A Rehabilitation Research and Training Center shall—

(a) Carry out research activities by conducting coordinated and advanced programs of research in rehabilitation targeted toward the production of new knowledge that will—

(1) Improve rehabilitation methodology and service delivery systems;

(2) Alleviate or stabilize disabling conditions; and

(3) Promote maximum social and economic independence of individuals with disabilities;

(b) Conduct training activities by providing training (including graduate, pre-service, and in-service training) to assist—

(1) Rehabilitation personnel and other individuals to more effectively provide rehabilitation services; and

(2) Rehabilitation research personnel and other rehabilitation personnel to improve their capacity to conduct research; and

(c) Conduct technical assistance activities by serving as an informational and technical assistance resource for providers, individuals with disabilities, and the parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives of the individuals with disabilities, through conferences, workshops, public education programs, in-service training programs, and similar activities.

§ 350.23 What restriction exists on Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers regarding indirect costs?

A host institution with which a Rehabilitation Research and Training Center is affiliated may not collect more than fifteen percent of the total grant award as indirect cost charges, notwithstanding the provisions in 34 CFR 75.562.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(2)(O); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(2)(O))

Subpart D—What Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers Does the Secretary Assist?

§ 350.30 What requirements must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center meet?

A Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center shall plan and conduct activities that—

(a) Further one or more of the purposes listed in § 350.2; and

(b)(1) Lead to the development of methods, procedures, and devices that

will benefit individuals with disabilities, especially those with the most severe disabilities; or

(2) Involve rehabilitation technology and enhance opportunities for meeting the needs of, and addressing the barriers confronted by, individuals with disabilities in all aspects of their lives.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(3); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(3))

§ 350.31 What collaboration must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center engage in?

A Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center must be operated by or in collaboration with—

- (a) One or more institutions of higher education; or
- (b) One or more nonprofit organizations.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(3); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(3))

§ 350.32 What activities must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center conduct?

A Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center shall—

(a) Conduct research or demonstration activities by using one or more of the following strategies:

(1) Developing and disseminating innovative methods of applying advanced technology, scientific achievement, and psychological and social knowledge to solve rehabilitation problems and remove environmental barriers through—

(i) Planning and conducting research, including cooperative research with public or private agencies and organizations, designed to produce new scientific knowledge and new or improved methods, equipment, or devices; and

(ii) Studying and evaluating new or emerging technologies, products, or environments and their effectiveness and benefits.

(2) Demonstrating and disseminating—

(i) Innovative models for the delivery to rural and urban areas of cost-effective rehabilitation technology services that will promote the use of assistive technology services; and

(ii) Other scientific research to assist in meeting the employment and independent living needs of individuals with severe disabilities.

(3) Conducting research and demonstration activities that facilitate service delivery systems change by demonstrating, evaluating, documenting, and disseminating—

(i) Consumer-responsive and individual and family-centered innovative models for the delivery, to both rural and urban areas, of innovative, cost-effective rehabilitation technology services that promote use of rehabilitation technology; and

(ii) Other scientific research to assist in meeting the employment and independent living needs of, and addressing the barriers confronted by individuals with disabilities, including individuals with severe disabilities;

(b) To the extent consistent with the nature and type of research or demonstration activities described in paragraph (a) of this section, carry out research, training, and information dissemination activities by—

(1) Providing training opportunities to individuals, including individuals with disabilities, to enable them to become rehabilitation technology researchers and practitioners of rehabilitation technology in conjunction with institutions of higher education and nonprofit organizations; and

(2) Responding, through research or demonstration activities, to the needs of individuals with all types of disabilities who may benefit from the application of technology within the subject area of focus of the Center.

(c) Conduct orientation seminars for rehabilitation service personnel to improve the application of rehabilitation technology;

(d) Conduct activities that specifically demonstrate means for utilizing rehabilitation technology; and

(e) Provide technical assistance and consultation that are responsive to concerns of service providers and consumers.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(3); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(3))

§ 350.33 What cooperation requirements must a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center meet?

A Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center—

(a) Shall cooperate with State agencies and other local, State, regional,

§ 350.34

and national programs and organizations developing or delivering rehabilitation technology, including State programs funded under the Technology-Related Assistance for Individuals With Disabilities Act of 1988 (29 U.S.C. 2201 *et seq.*); and

(b) To the extent consistent with the nature and type of research or demonstration activities described in § 350.32(a), shall cooperate with the entities described in paragraph (a) of this section to provide information to individuals with disabilities and their parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives, to—

(1) Increase awareness and understanding of how rehabilitation technology can address their needs; and

(2) Increase awareness and understanding of the range of options, programs, services, and resources available, including financing options for the technology and services covered by the subject area of focus of the Center.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(3) and (c); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(3) and (c))

§ 350.34 Which Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers must have an advisory committee?

A Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center conducting research or demonstration activities that facilitate service delivery systems change must have an advisory committee.

(Authority: Sec. 204 (b)(3)(D); 29 U.S.C. 762 (b)(3)(D))

§ 350.35 What are the requirements for the composition of an advisory committee?

The majority of a Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center advisory committee's members must be comprised of individuals with disabilities who are users of rehabilitation technology, or their parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives.

(Authority: Sec. 204(b)(3)(D); 29 U.S.C. 762(b)(3)(D))

34 CFR Ch. III (7–1–02 Edition)

Subpart E—How Does One Apply for an Award?

§ 350.40 What is required of each applicant regarding the needs of individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds?

(a) Unless the Secretary indicates otherwise in a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, an applicant for assistance under this program must demonstrate in its application how it will address, in whole or in part, the needs of individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds.

(b) The approaches an applicant may take to meet this requirement may include one or more of the following:

(1) Proposing project objectives addressing the needs of individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds.

(2) Demonstrating that the project will address a problem that is of particular significance to individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds.

(3) Demonstrating that individuals from minority backgrounds will be included in study samples in sufficient numbers to generate information pertinent to individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds.

(4) Drawing study samples and program participant rosters from populations or areas that include individuals from minority backgrounds.

(5) Providing outreach to individuals with disabilities from minority backgrounds to ensure that they are aware of rehabilitation services, clinical care, or training offered by the project.

(6) Disseminating materials to or otherwise increasing the access to disability information among minority populations.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1820–0027)

(Authority: Sec. 21(b)(6); 29 U.S.C. 718b(b)(6))

§ 350.41 What State agency review must an applicant under the Disability and Rehabilitation Research Projects and Centers Program obtain?

(a) An applicant that proposes to conduct research, demonstrations, or

related activities that will either involve clients of the State vocational rehabilitation agency as research subjects or study vocational rehabilitation services or techniques under this program, shall follow the requirements in 34 CFR 75.155 through 75.159.

(b) For the purposes of this Program, *State* as used in 34 CFR 75.155 through 75.159 means the State rehabilitation agency or agencies in the primary State or States to be affected by the proposed activities.

(Authority: Secs. 204(c) and 306(i); 29 U.S.C. 762(c) and 766(a))

Subpart F—How Does the Secretary Make an Award?

§ 350.50 What is the peer review process for this Program?

(a) The Secretary refers each application for a grant governed by those regulations in this part to a peer review panel established by the Secretary.

(b) Peer review panels review applications on the basis of the applicable selection criteria in § 350.54.

(Authority: Sec. 202(e); 29 U.S.C. 761a(e))

§ 350.51 What is the purpose of peer review?

The purpose of peer review is to insure that—

(a) Those activities supported by the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) are of the highest scientific, administrative, and technical quality; and

(b) Activity results may be widely applied to appropriate target populations and rehabilitation problems.

(Authority: Sec. 202(e); 29 U.S.C. 761a(e))

§ 350.52 What is the composition of a peer review panel?

(a) The Secretary selects as members of a peer review panel scientists and other experts in rehabilitation or related fields who are qualified, on the basis of training, knowledge, or experience, to give expert advice on the merit of the applications under review.

(b) Applications for awards of \$60,000 or more, except those for the purposes of evaluation, dissemination of information, or conferences, must be re-

viewed by a peer review panel that consists of a majority of non-Federal members.

(c) In selecting members to serve on a peer review panel, the Secretary takes into account all of the following factors:

(1) The level of formal scientific or technical education completed by potential panel members.

(2)(i) The extent to which potential panel members have engaged in scientific, technical, or administrative activities appropriate to the category of applications that the panel will consider;

(ii) The roles of potential panel members in those activities; and

(iii) The quality of those activities.

(3) The recognition received by potential panel members as reflected by awards and other honors from scientific and professional agencies and organizations outside the Department.

(4) Whether the panel includes knowledgeable individuals with disabilities, or parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives of individuals with disabilities.

(5) Whether the panel includes individuals from diverse populations.

(Authority: Secs. 18 and 202(e); 29 U.S.C. 717 and 761a(e))

§ 350.53 How does the Secretary evaluate an application?

(a)(1)(i) The Secretary selects one or more of the selection criteria in § 350.54 to evaluate an application;

(ii) The Secretary establishes selection criteria based on statutory provisions that apply to the Program which may include, but are not limited to—

(A) Specific statutory selection criteria;

(B) Allowable activities;

(C) Application content requirements; or

(D) Other pre-award and post-award conditions; or

(iii) The Secretary uses a combination of selection criteria established under paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section and selection criteria in § 350.54.

(2) For Field-Initiated Projects, the Secretary does not consider § 350.54(b) (Responsiveness to the Absolute or Competitive Priority) in evaluating an application.

(b)(1) In considering selection criteria in §350.54, the Secretary selects one or more of the factors listed in the criteria except as provided for in paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(2) Under §350.54, the Secretary always considers the factor in paragraph (n)(2) of that section.

(c) The maximum possible score for an application is 100 points.

(d)(1) In the application package or a notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Secretary informs applicants of—

(i)(A) The selection criteria chosen; and

(B) The maximum possible score for each of the selection criteria; and

(ii)(A) The factors selected for considering the selection criteria; and

(B) If points are assigned to each factor, the maximum possible score for each factor under each criterion.

(2) If no points are assigned to each factor, the Secretary evaluates each factor equally.

(e) For Field-Initiated Projects, in addition to the selection criteria, the Secretary uses the additional considerations in selecting applications for funding as described in §350.55.

(Authority: Sec. 202(e); 29 U.S.C. 761a(e))

§350.54 What selection criteria does the Secretary use in evaluating an application?

In addition to criteria established under §350.53(a)(1)(ii), the Secretary may select one or more of the following criteria in evaluating an application:

(a) *Importance of the problem.* (1) The Secretary considers the importance of the problem.

(2) In determining the importance of the problem, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the applicant clearly describes the need and target population.

(ii) The extent to which the proposed activities further the purposes of the Act.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed activities address a significant need of one or more disabled populations.

(iv) The extent to which the proposed activities address a significant need of rehabilitation service providers.

(v) The extent to which the proposed activities address a significant need of those who provide services to individuals with disabilities.

(vi) The extent to which the applicant proposes to provide training in a rehabilitation discipline or area of study in which there is a shortage of qualified researchers, or to a trainee population in which there is a need for more qualified researchers.

(vii) The extent to which the proposed project will have beneficial impact on the target population.

(b) *Responsiveness to an absolute or competitive priority.* (1) The Secretary considers the responsiveness of the application to an absolute or competitive priority published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(2) In determining the application's responsiveness to the absolute or competitive priority, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the applicant addresses all requirements of the absolute or competitive priority.

(ii) The extent to which the applicant's proposed activities are likely to achieve the purposes of the absolute or competitive priority.

(c) *Design of research activities.* (1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of research activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the research activities constitute a coherent, sustained approach to research in the field, including a substantial addition to the state-of-the-art.

(ii) The extent to which the methodology of each proposed research activity is meritorious, including consideration of the extent to which—

(A) The proposed design includes a comprehensive and informed review of the current literature, demonstrating knowledge of the state-of-the-art;

(B) Each research hypothesis is theoretically sound and based on current knowledge;

(C) Each sample population is appropriate and of sufficient size;

(D) The data collection and measurement techniques are appropriate and likely to be effective; and

(E) The data analysis methods are appropriate.

(iii) The extent to which anticipated research results are likely to satisfy the original hypotheses and could be used for planning additional research, including generation of new hypotheses where applicable.

(d) *Design of development activities.* (1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of development activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2)(i) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(ii) The extent to which the plan for development, clinical testing, and evaluation of new devices and technology is likely to yield significant products or techniques, including consideration of the extent to which—

(A) The proposed project will use the most effective and appropriate technology available in developing the new device or technique;

(B) The proposed development is based on a sound conceptual model that demonstrates an awareness of the state-of-the-art in technology;

(C) The new device or technique will be developed and tested in an appropriate environment;

(D) The new device or technique is likely to be cost-effective and useful;

(E) The new device or technique has the potential for commercial or private manufacture, marketing, and distribution of the product; and

(F) The proposed development efforts include adequate quality controls and, as appropriate, repeated testing of products.

(e) *Design of demonstration activities.*

(1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of demonstration activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective

in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the proposed demonstration activities build on previous research, testing, or practices.

(ii) The extent to which the proposed demonstration activities include the use of proper methodological tools and theoretically sound procedures to determine the effectiveness of the strategy or approach.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed demonstration activities include innovative and effective strategies or approaches.

(iv) The extent to which the proposed demonstration activities are likely to contribute to current knowledge and practice and be a substantial addition to the state-of-the-art.

(v) The extent to which the proposed demonstration activities can be applied and replicated in other settings.

(f) *Design of training activities.* (1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of training activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the proposed training materials are likely to be effective, including consideration of their quality, clarity, and variety.

(ii) The extent to which the proposed training methods are of sufficient quality, intensity, and duration.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed training content—

(A) Covers all of the relevant aspects of the subject matter; and

(B) If relevant, is based on new knowledge derived from research activities of the proposed project.

(iv) The extent to which the proposed training materials, methods, and content are appropriate to the trainees, including consideration of the skill level of the trainees and the subject matter of the materials.

(v) The extent to which the proposed training materials and methods are accessible to individuals with disabilities.

(vi) The extent to which the applicant's proposed recruitment program is likely to be effective in recruiting highly qualified trainees, including those who are individuals with disabilities.

(vii) The extent to which the applicant is able to carry out the training activities, either directly or through another entity.

(viii) The extent to which the proposed didactic and classroom training programs emphasize scientific methodology and are likely to develop highly qualified researchers.

(ix) The extent to which the quality and extent of the academic mentorship, guidance, and supervision to be provided to each individual trainee are of a high level and are likely to develop highly qualified researchers.

(x) The extent to which the type, extent, and quality of the proposed clinical and laboratory research experience, including the opportunity to participate in advanced-level research, are likely to develop highly qualified researchers.

(xi) The extent to which the opportunities for collegial and collaborative activities, exposure to outstanding scientists in the field, and opportunities to participate in the preparation of scholarly or scientific publications and presentations are extensive and appropriate.

(g) *Design of dissemination activities.* (1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of dissemination activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the content of the information to be disseminated—

(A) Covers all of the relevant aspects of the subject matter; and

(B) If appropriate, is based on new knowledge derived from research activities of the project.

(ii) The extent to which the materials to be disseminated are likely to be effective and usable, including consideration of their quality, clarity, variety, and format.

(iii) The extent to which the methods for dissemination are of sufficient quality, intensity, and duration.

(iv) The extent to which the materials and information to be disseminated and the methods for dissemination are appropriate to the target population, including consideration of the familiarity of the target population with the subject matter, format of the information, and subject matter.

(v) The extent to which the information to be disseminated will be accessible to individuals with disabilities.

(h) *Design of utilization activities.* (1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of utilization activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the potential new users of the information or technology have a practical use for the information and are likely to adopt the practices or use the information or technology, including new devices.

(ii) The extent to which the utilization strategies are likely to be effective.

(iii) The extent to which the information or technology is likely to be of use in other settings.

(i) *Design of technical assistance activities.* (1) The Secretary considers the extent to which the design of technical assistance activities is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project.

(2) In determining the extent to which the design is likely to be effective in accomplishing the objectives of the project, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the methods for providing technical assistance are of sufficient quality, intensity, and duration.

(ii) The extent to which the information to be provided through technical assistance covers all of the relevant aspects of the subject matter.

(iii) The extent to which the technical assistance is appropriate to the

target population, including consideration of the knowledge level of the target population, needs of the target population, and format for providing information.

(iv) The extent to which the technical assistance is accessible to individuals with disabilities.

(j) *Plan of operation.* (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the plan of operation.

(2) In determining the quality of the plan of operation, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The adequacy of the plan of operation to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, and timelines for accomplishing project tasks.

(ii) The adequacy of the plan of operation to provide for using resources, equipment, and personnel to achieve each objective.

(k) *Collaboration.* (1) The Secretary considers the quality of collaboration.

(2) In determining the quality of collaboration, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the applicant's proposed collaboration with one or more agencies, organizations, or institutions is likely to be effective in achieving the relevant proposed activities of the project.

(ii) The extent to which agencies, organizations, or institutions demonstrate a commitment to collaborate with the applicant.

(iii) The extent to which agencies, organizations, or institutions that commit to collaborate with the applicant have the capacity to carry out collaborative activities.

(l) *Adequacy and reasonableness of the budget.* (1) The Secretary considers the adequacy and the reasonableness of the proposed budget.

(2) In determining the adequacy and the reasonableness of the proposed budget, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the proposed project activities.

(ii) The extent to which the budget for the project, including any sub-

contracts, is adequately justified to support the proposed project activities.

(iii) The extent to which the applicant is of sufficient size, scope, and quality to effectively carry out the activities in an efficient manner.

(m) *Plan of evaluation.* (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the plan of evaluation.

(2) In determining the quality of the plan of evaluation, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the plan of evaluation provides for periodic assessment of progress toward—

(A) Implementing the plan of operation; and

(B) Achieving the project's intended outcomes and expected impacts.

(ii) The extent to which the plan of evaluation will be used to improve the performance of the project through the feedback generated by its periodic assessments.

(iii) The extent to which the plan of evaluation provides for periodic assessment of a project's progress that is based on identified performance measures that—

(A) Are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and expected impacts on the target population; and

(B) Are objective, and quantifiable or qualitative, as appropriate.

(n) *Project staff.* (1) The Secretary considers the quality of the project staff.

(2) In determining the quality of the project staff, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

(3) In addition, the Secretary considers one or more of the following:

(i) The extent to which the key personnel and other key staff have appropriate training and experience in disciplines required to conduct all proposed activities.

(ii) The extent to which the commitment of staff time is adequate to accomplish all the proposed activities of the project.

(iii) The extent to which the key personnel are knowledgeable about the methodology and literature of pertinent subject areas.

(iv) The extent to which the project staff includes outstanding scientists in the field.

(v) The extent to which key personnel have up-to-date knowledge from research or effective practice in the subject area covered in the priority.

(o) *Adequacy and accessibility of resources.* (1) The Secretary considers the adequacy and accessibility of the applicant's resources to implement the proposed project.

(2) In determining the adequacy and accessibility of resources, the Secretary considers one or more of the following factors:

(i) The extent to which the applicant is committed to provide adequate facilities, equipment, other resources, including administrative support, and laboratories, if appropriate.

(ii) The quality of an applicant's past performance in carrying out a grant.

(iii) The extent to which the applicant has appropriate access to clinical populations and organizations representing individuals with disabilities to support advanced clinical rehabilitation research.

(iv) The extent to which the facilities, equipment, and other resources are appropriately accessible to individuals with disabilities who may use the facilities, equipment, and other resources of the project.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1820–0027)

(Authority: Secs. 202 and 204; 29 U.S.C. 761a and 762)

§ 350.55 What are the additional considerations for selecting Field-Initiated Project applications for funding?

(a) The Secretary reserves funds to support some or all of the Field-Initiated Project applications that have been awarded points totaling 80% or more of the maximum possible points under the procedures described in § 350.53.

(b) In making a final selection of applications to support as Field-Initiated Projects, the Secretary considers the extent to which applications that have

been awarded a rating of 80% or more of the maximum possible points and meet one or more of the following conditions:

(1) The proposed project represents a unique opportunity to advance rehabilitation knowledge to improve the lives of individuals with disabilities.

(2) The proposed project complements research already planned or funded by the NIDRR through annual priorities published in the FEDERAL REGISTER or addresses the research in a new and promising way.

(Authority: Secs. 202 (g) and (i)(1); 29 U.S.C. 761a(g) and 761a(i)(1))

Subpart G—What Conditions Must Be Met After an Award?

§ 350.60 How must a grantee conduct activities?

A grantee must—

(a) Conduct all activities in a manner that is accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities; and

(b) If a grantee carries out more than one activity, carry out integrated activities.

(Authority: Secs. 202 and 204(b)(2); 29 U.S.C. 761a and 762(b))

§ 350.61 What evaluation requirements must a grantee meet?

(a) A grantee must establish performance measures for use in its evaluation that—

(1) Are clearly related to the—

(i) Intended outcomes of the project; and

(ii) Expected impacts on the target population; and

(2) To the extent possible are quantifiable, or are objective and qualitative.

(b) A grantee must make periodic assessments of progress that will provide the grantee with performance feedback related to—

(1) Progress in implementing the plan of operation; and

(2) Progress in achieving the intended outcomes and expected impacts as assessed by the established performance measures.

(Authority: Secs. 202 and 204; 29 U.S.C. 761a and 762)

§ 350.62 What are the matching requirements?

(a)(1) The Secretary may make grants to pay for part of the costs of research and demonstration projects that bear directly on the development of procedures, methods, and devices to assist the provision of vocational and other rehabilitation services, and research training and career development projects.

(2) Each grantee must participate in the costs of those projects.

(3) The specific amount of cost sharing to be borne by each grantee—

(i) Is negotiated at the time of the award; and

(ii) Is not considered in the selection process.

(b)(1) The Secretary may make grants to pay for part or all of the costs of—

(i) Establishment and support of Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers and Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers; and

(ii) Specialized research or demonstration activities described in section 204(b)(2)–(16) of the Act.

(2) The Secretary determines at the time of the award whether the grantee must pay a portion of the project or center costs.

(Authority: Sec. 204; 29 U.S.C. 762)

§ 350.63 What are the requirements of a grantee relative to the Client Assistance Program?

All Projects and Centers that provide services to individuals with disabilities with funds awarded under this Program must—

(a) Advise those individuals who are applicants for or recipients of services under the Act, or their parents, family members, guardians, advocates, or authorized representatives, of the availability and purposes of the Client Assistance Program (CAP) funded under the Act; and

(b) Provide information on the means of seeking assistance under the CAP.

(Authority: Sec. 20; 29 U.S.C. 718a)

§ 350.64 What is the required duration of the training in an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project?

A grantee for an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project shall provide training to individuals that is at least one academic year, unless a longer training period is necessary to ensure that each trainee is qualified to conduct independent research upon completion of the course of training.

(Authority: Secs. 202–204; 29 U.S.C. 760–762)

§ 350.65 What level of participation is required of trainees in an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project?

Individuals who are receiving training under an Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project shall devote at least eighty percent of their time to the activities of the training program during the training period.

(Authority: Secs. 202–204; 29 U.S.C. 760–762)

§ 350.66 What must a grantee include in a patent application?

Any patent application filed by a grantee for an invention made under a grant must include the following statement in the first paragraph:

The invention described in this application was made under a grant from the Department of Education.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e–3)

PART 356—DISABILITY AND REHABILITATION RESEARCH: RESEARCH FELLOWSHIPS

Subpart A—General

Sec.

356.1 What is the Research Fellowships Program?

356.2 Who is eligible for assistance under this program?

356.3 What regulations apply to this program?

356.4 What definitions apply to this program?

Subpart B—What Kinds of Activities Does the Department Support Under This Program?

356.10 What types of activities are authorized?