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THE WHITE HOUSE,  

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,  
Speaker of the House of Representatives,  
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to sections 402 and 409 of the  
Trade Act of 1974, I am submitting an updated report to the Con-  
gress prepared by my Administration on the emigration laws and  
policies of Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Moldova, the Russian  
Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan.  

On September 21, 1994, President Clinton determined and re-  
ported to the Congress that the Russian Federation was not in vio-  
lation of paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of subsection 402(a) of the Trade  
Act of 1974, or paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of subsection 409(a) of  
that Act. On June 3, 1997, he also determined and reported to the  
Congress that Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Moldova, and  
Ukraine were not in violation of the same provisions, and made an  
identical determination on December 5, 1997, with respect to  
Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and  
Uzbekistan. These actions allowed for the continuation of normal  
trade relations for these countries and certain other activities with-  
out the requirement of an annual waiver.  

On June 29, 2000, pursuant to section 302(b) of Public Law 106–  
200, President Clinton determined that title IV of the 1974 Trade  
Act should no longer apply to Kyrgyzstan, and on December 29,  
2000, he made a similar determination with respect to Georgia pur-  
suant to section 3002 of Public Law 106–476.  

The attached report indicates continued compliance by Armenia,  
Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Moldova, the Russian Federation,  
Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan with interna-  
tional standards concerning freedom of emigration.  

Sincerely,  

GEORGE W. BUSH.

(1)
REPORT TO THE CONGRESS CONCERNING EMIGRATION LAWS AND
POLICIES OF ARMENIA, AZERBAIJAN, KAZKHSTAN, MOLDOVA, THE
RUSSIAN FEDERATION, TAJIKISTAN, TURKMENISTAN, UKRAINE, AND
UZBEKISTAN

This report is submitted pursuant to sections 402 and 409 of the
Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“the Act”), following Presidential
Determination Number 94–51 of September 21, 1994, and the ac-
companying report to the Congress, that the Russian Federation is
not in violation of paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of section 402(a) and
paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of section 409(a) of the Act; Presidential
Determination Number 97–27 of June 3, 1997, and the accom-
panying report to the Congress, that Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia,
Moldova, and Ukraine are not in violation of paragraphs (1), (2),
or (3) of section 402(a) and paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of section
409(a) of the Act; and Presidential Determination Number 98–7 of
December 5, 1997, and the accompanying report to the Congress,
that Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and
Uzbekistan are not in violation of paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of sec-
tion 402(a) and paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) of section 409(a) of the
Act.

Pursuant to Section 302(b) of Public Law 106–200, the President
determined on June 29, 2000 that Title IV of the 1974 Trade Act
should no longer apply to Kyrgyzstan, and pursuant to section 3002
of Public Law 106–476, the President determined on December 29,
2000 that Title IV of the 1974 Trade Act should no longer apply
to Georgia. Therefore, this reporting requirement is no longer ap-
licable to Kyrgyzstan and Georgia.

All current information indicates that the emigration laws and
practices of Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Moldova, the Rus-
sian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan
continue to satisfy the criteria set forth in sections 402(a) and
409(a) of the Act in respect of all matters covered in those sections.

ARMENIA

The Armenian constitution guarantees the right of its citizens to
freedom of foreign travel and emigration, and that right is re-
spected in practice. Persons subject to military service can legally
be denied permission to travel abroad, but this seldom occurs.
Members of religious organizations other than the Armenian Apos-
tolic Church are required by law to obtain prior permission from
the State Council on Religious Affairs to travel abroad, but this law
has not been enforced since 1997. Since independence in 1991, up-
wards of one million Armenian citizens, approximately one-third
of the population at independence, have emigrated or reside semi-per-
manently outside the Republic of Armenia.
AZERBAIJAN

Azerbaijan’s 1995 constitution guarantees the right of all its citizens to travel abroad. Azerbaijan’s law officially recognizes and protects the right to emigrate. The Government respects these rights in practice, and there is active Jewish emigration to Israel. The Israeli Embassy in Baku has commended the Government for nurturing a free atmosphere for such travel. They report no instances of Government harassment or restrictions toward Jewish Azerbaijanis wishing to emigrate.

KAZAKHSTAN

The right to emigrate is protected by Kazakhstan’s constitution and is respected in practice. On July 26, 2001, Kazakhstan became the first country in Central Asia to abolish the requirement for an exit visa for Kazakhstan citizens temporarily traveling abroad. Outright refusal to grant exit visas for permanent departure is rare and has generally been connected with government opponents subject to pending legal cases. A law on national security prohibits persons who have had access to state secrets from taking up residence abroad for 5 years after the access. The Government has applied the Law on State Secrets to block the foreign travel of one former official since the law’s passage in 1999. That official has since been allowed to travel.

MOLDOVA

The right of citizens to emigrate is guaranteed in Moldova’s constitution and is respected in practice. Individuals wishing to emigrate must satisfy any outstanding financial and/or judicial obligations before emigrating. No reports of denial of emigration rights have been recorded in the first 6 months of 2002. Moldova’s record on free emigration is one of the best in the Newly Independent States. The Government eliminated emigration restrictions in 1991 and few difficulties with emigration have been reported in the 10 years since independence.

THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Legal guarantees of the right to emigrate are enshrined in Russia’s constitution and in law, and that right is respected in practice. Russian law details the procedures for obtaining travel documents and provides clarification of some controversial policies. However, it gives the Government the right to deny permission to travel abroad for given periods up to 10 years to Russian nationals who had access to classified material.

The law provides a measure of transparency by requiring that any denial of exit permission on secrecy grounds must (1) specify reasons for and duration of the restriction, and (2) indicate the full name and legal address of the organization that requested the restriction. The law also formalized the status of an interagency commission that hears appeals of Russian nationals refused permission to travel based on secrecy grounds. As of the writing of this report, the commission had held four sessions in 2002. During these four sessions, the Commission reviewed 64 cases, lifting restrictions in 50 cases (77 percent), leaving restrictions in place in 8 cases (12
percent), and deferring decisions in 6 cases (9 percent). Nongovernmental Organizations (NGOs) that have worked with the commission from its initiation complain that the degree of transparency in the commission’s work has decreased, as human rights activists are no longer granted free access to the names of the appellants.

From 1995 through April 2002, out of an estimated 2,641 cases reviewed, the interagency commission lifted restrictions in an estimated 2,178 cases (82 percent). Since the start of the Commission in 1995, the annual percentage of positive decisions had appeared to decrease from 90 percent to 71 percent in 2000. So far, after four meetings in 2002, the percentage of positive decisions is 77 percent for the year. Human rights organizations point out, however, that this number includes only persons who appealed the decision to restrict travel to the commission. The total number of persons who were refused passports for foreign travel on secrecy grounds is thought to be much larger. Russia’s Ministry of Internal Affairs, however, does not publish these statistics or otherwise release them.

Russian law also grants the state the right to refuse travel abroad to individuals who are the subject of legal proceedings or convicts who have not served their sentences or if they have evaded financial obligations imposed by a court.

TAJIKISTAN

Tajikistan’s constitution provides for the right to emigrate, and this right is respected in practice. Persons who wish to emigrate may do so with the permission of various ministries. Persons who wish to emigrate beyond the borders of the former Soviet Union must receive the approval of the relevant country’s embassy in order to obtain their passport. Persons who settle abroad are required to inform the Tajikistan embassy or Tajikistan interests section of the nearest Russian embassy or consulate.

The Ministry of Security requires citizens who wish to travel abroad to obtain an exit visa, but exit visas are rarely denied. This process sometimes includes lengthy interviews. The Ministry of Security sometimes withholds or delays exit visas when it believes that other ministries or NGOs are infringing upon its jurisdiction and have not adhered to its formalities for foreign travel.

TURKMENISTAN

Turkmenistan’s constitution guarantees the right to emigrate, but in practical terms citizens must first obtain permission from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. In order to emigrate, citizens must submit an application, an invitation from the country of destination, evidence of freedom from debts and other financial obligations, and written consent from family members. Divorced applicants with children must present an affidavit of consent from their former spouse, whether or not the children are emigrating. Those with military obligations must de-register with the Ministry of Defense. By law the Ministry of Foreign Affairs must process the application and emigration documents within 3 months. Although the Ministry rarely denies such applications, some opposition figures have been prevented from emigrating.
In the past, citizens were not permitted to travel outside the country without official permission. The Government has used its authority to issue passports and exit visas as a means of restricting international travel. In December 2001, the Government announced the abolishment of exit visas. According to the announcement, as of January 1, 2002, a foreign entry visa or an invitation to travel outside of the country is sufficient to travel abroad. Most citizens are permitted to emigrate without undue restriction.

Ukraine

Ukrainian law and the 1996 constitution guarantee the right to emigrate, and that right is respected in practice. All citizens are eligible for passports that permit free travel abroad. There remains a requirement to obtain an exit visa from the local Office of Visas and Registration for Ukrainians who intend to take up permanent residence in another country. Ukraine does not impose taxes or fees on those who emigrate. Reports of local bureaucrats assessing bribes for routine passport and exit visa issuances are common. However, human rights groups report that persons need only appeal to national-level authorities to resolve their status and establish their right to emigrate. Some draft-age men and been refused the right to emigrate pending clarification of their status with the military. Cases involving applicants who have had or have access to secret information usually take longer, but secrecy has not been used routinely as grounds for denying permission to emigrate. A large percentage of Ukraine’s Jewish population has emigrated to Israel and the United States since Ukraine achieved independence in 1991.

Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan’s constitution provides for free movement within the country and across its borders, and the government has generally respected this right. The Government requires citizens to obtain exist visas for foreign travel or emigration, but grants these permits routinely. The Government has in rare instances confiscated travel documents of specific individuals. In most of these cases, we have been able to convince the Government to return the documents.

Exit visas are valid for a period of 2 years and no longer require an invitation from abroad. Several human rights activists were able to leave and reenter the country without encountering problems from the Government. It has on rare occasion refused to issue these visas.