

119TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. RES. 818

Impeaching Deborah Boardman, Judge of the United States District Court
for the District of Maryland, for high crimes and misdemeanors.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

OCTOBER 17, 2025

Mr. ROY (for himself, Mr. BRECHEEN, Mrs. LUNA, Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana,
Mr. BABIN, and Mrs. MILLER of Illinois) submitted the following resolution;
which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

RESOLUTION

Impeaching Deborah Boardman, Judge of the United States
District Court for the District of Maryland, for high
crimes and misdemeanors.

1 *Resolved*, That Deborah Boardman, Judge of the
2 United States District Court for the District of Maryland,
3 is impeached for high crimes and misdemeanors and for
4 violating the constitutional standard for continuance in ju-
5 dicial office of “good behavior”, and that the following ar-
6 ticle of removal be exhibited to the United States Senate.

7 Article of impeachment exhibited by the House of
8 Representatives of the United States of America in the
9 name of itself and of the people of the United States of

1 America, against Deborah Boardman, Judge of the United
2 States District Court for the District of Maryland, in
3 maintenance and support of its impeachment against her
4 for high crimes and misdemeanors and for violating the
5 constitutional standard for continuance in judicial office
6 of “good behavior”.

7 ARTICLE I: WILLFUL AND SYSTEMIC REFUSAL TO COMPLY
8 WITH THE LAW

9
10 Judge Boardman, in violation of her oath of office,
11 did knowingly and willfully use her judicial position to
12 knowingly interfere with the President’s constitutional
13 prerogatives and enforcement of the rule of law.

14 The Constitution grants Congress the authority to
15 create, eliminate, and regulate all Federal courts inferior
16 to the Supreme Court. Section 1 of Article III of the Con-
17 stitution provides that “[t]he Judges, both of the supreme
18 and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good
19 Behavior”, thereby granting Congress the authority to re-
20 move a judge who fails to remain in good behavior while
21 in office.

22 Through her conduct, in which she violated her oath
23 to the Constitution and duty of impartiality to the people
24 of the United States, Judge Boardman has abused the
25 powers of her judicial authority, having engaged in actions

1 that deviate from the law and toward political ideology as
2 follows:

3 (1) On October 3, 2025, Judge Boardman sen-
4 tenced the defendant, Nicholas John Roske, found
5 guilty of attempting to assassinate U.S. Supreme
6 Court Justice Brett Michael Kavanaugh on June 7,
7 2022, to eight years and a lifetime of supervised re-
8 lease instead of the 30-year sentence recommended
9 by the Department of Justice.

10 (2) Roske traveled by aircraft from his home in
11 Simi Valley, California, to Chevy Chase, Maryland,
12 where Justice Kavanaugh resides with his family, re-
13 sulting in his arrest outside of Justice Kavanaugh’s
14 home with a firearm with a laser sight attachment,
15 admitting to wanting to inflict harm. Roske was also
16 equipped with zip ties, a tactical knife, pepper spray,
17 a hammer, a screwdriver, a nail punch, a crowbar,
18 duct tape, a pistol light, and was wearing padded
19 boots to minimize noise.

20 (3) Roske spoke to a Montgomery County emer-
21 gency communication center operator to detail his
22 thoughts while standing outside Justice Kavanaugh’s
23 home. He said that he traveled from California to
24 Maryland “to kill a specific United States Supreme
25 Court justice”.

1 (4) In May and June of 2022, before the at-
2 tempted assassination, Roske’s cell phone history
3 showed repeated internet searches for “quietest semi
4 auto rifle,” “how to quietly knock someone out,”
5 “most effective place to stab someone,” “most effec-
6 tive way to silently kill someone,” “how much force
7 do you need to stab someone’s neck,” “best way to
8 break into a house,” “how to make handcuffs with
9 zip ties,” and “does the secret service protect su-
10 preme court justices”.

11 (5) The Department of Justice’s sentencing
12 memorandum of Roske’s detailed how he “re-
13 searched, planned, and attempted to assassinate at
14 least one—but had a stated target of three—sitting
15 judges of the United States Supreme Court”.

16 (6) The Department of Justice also noted
17 Roske intended to “. . . murder up to three justices
18 in total, and try to evade prosecution by leaving no
19 evidence, claiming an insanity defense, or fleeing to
20 a non-extraditing country”.

21 (7) The Department of Justice outlined Roske’s
22 criminal conduct of attempting to assassination of a
23 Supreme Court Justice required extensive
24 premeditation, as he extensively researched the home
25 addresses of Supreme Court justices and marked

1 their homes on Google Maps, obtained weapons and
2 other tools to eventually use them for killing and
3 traveled across the country with those items, and re-
4 searched how to infiltrate private residences without
5 detection.

6 (8) Roske attempted to delete any online evi-
7 dence of motive and intent.

8 (9) Judge Boardman accepted the delusional
9 fiction that Roske—a biological male—has become a
10 woman and then based her sentencing decision on
11 that claim, saying, “I take into consideration the
12 conditions of pre-trial confinement and the fact that
13 she is a transgender woman,” apparently because
14 the pre-trial detention facility in which Roske was
15 confined does not, of course, indulge this fiction.

16 (10) Attorney General Bondi said of Judge
17 Boardman’s decision, “. . .the judge Boardman also
18 would not refer to the defendant by his biological
19 name”.

20 (11) Judge Boardman’s indefensibly light sen-
21 tence undermines the gravity of the offense com-
22 mitted by Roske and the assault it represented on
23 our Constitution and the rule of law by targeting a
24 Supreme Court Justice.

1 (12) Judge Boardman’s sentencing decision is
2 an egregious instance of a judge allowing her ide-
3 ology to subvert the TRUTH—which is irreconcil-
4 able with the bedrock function of the judicial process
5 and violates the constitutional standard for continu-
6 ance in judicial office of “good behavior.”

7 This conduct undermines the orderly functioning of
8 the judiciary by providing an exceptionally low sentence
9 for a defendant who methodically planned to execute mul-
10 tiple assassinations of sitting Supreme Court justices by
11 extensively researching what weapons and tools to procure,
12 researching the home addresses of Supreme Court justices
13 and saving them onto his smart phone, and inquiring how
14 to infiltrate private residences without detection and how
15 to incapacitate and kill individuals.

16 Allowing her personal feelings of Roske identifying as
17 a transgender woman to influence her sentencing decision
18 in her high office, Judge Boardman interfered with the
19 will of the people and Congress that have codified statute
20 to impose appropriate sentences for those who intend to
21 kill Federal judges, ignoring the 30-year sentence rec-
22 ommendation by the Department of Justice.

23 In so doing, Judge Boardman used the powers of her
24 position to engage in actions that undermine judicial au-
25 thority. By making a political decision outside the scope

1 of her legal duties, she compromised the impartiality of
2 our judicial system.

3 Wherefore, Judge Deborah Boardman is guilty of
4 high crimes and misdemeanors and of conduct that vio-
5 lates the constitute standard of good behavior, and she
6 should be removed from office.

