

Union Calendar No. 695

119TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 9497

[Report No. 119-795]

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States,
to provide for the conservation and development of water and related
resources, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 29, 2026

Mr. GRAVES (for himself, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. COLLINS, and Ms.
WILSON of Florida) introduced the following bill; which was referred to
the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

SEPTEMBER 3, 2026

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole
House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in *italie*]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on June 29, 2026]

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

2 (a) *SHORT TITLE.*—*This Act may be cited as the*
 3 *“Water Resources Development Act of 2026”.*

4 (b) *TABLE OF CONTENTS.*—*The table of contents for*
 5 *this Act is as follows:*

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 101. Program Offices within the Directorate of Civil Works.

Sec. 102. Contracting efficiency.

Sec. 103. Removal or remediation of contaminated sediment.

Sec. 104. Levee owners board.

Sec. 105. Categorical permissions.

Sec. 106. Contributions by non-Federal interests.

Sec. 107. Electronic submission and tracking of permit applications.

Sec. 108. Project study schedule and cost estimate.

Sec. 109. Continuing authority programs.

Sec. 110. Dredged material management plans.

Sec. 111. Dredging coordination.

Sec. 112. Federal standard for dredged material disposal or placement.

Sec. 113. Allocations from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

Sec. 114. Soo Locks operator wage rates.

Sec. 115. Beneficial use of dredged material from harbors in the State of Ohio.

Sec. 116. Minimum real estate interest.

Sec. 117. Real estate appraisal validity.

Sec. 118. Watershed and river basin assessments.

Sec. 119. Prohibition on diversion of water from Missouri River.

Sec. 120. Law enforcement at water resources development projects.

Sec. 121. Disaster debris removal.

Sec. 122. Wildfire contingency strategies.

Sec. 123. Reservoir sediment pilot program.

Sec. 124. Environmental dredging.

Sec. 125. Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.

Sec. 126. Feasibility studies; review of shoreline and streambank protection.

Sec. 127. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.

Sec. 128. Shoreline and riverine protection and restoration.

Sec. 129. Fish and oyster habitat restoration.

Sec. 130. Benefits and costs attributable to certain measures.

Sec. 131. Policy on utilization of all Corps authorities and missions.

Sec. 132. Comprehensive feasibility studies and approaches for flood risk manage-
ment and coastal storm risk management.

Sec. 133. Realignment of certain Corps of Engineers Districts.

Sec. 134. Update of Corps policy.

Sec. 135. Availability of project information.

Sec. 136. Fish and wildlife mitigation.

Sec. 137. Sense of Congress regarding evaluation of flood risk management
projects.

Sec. 138. Recreational access.

- Sec. 139. Sense of Congress on munitions disposal.*
- Sec. 140. Corps of Engineers workforce.*
- Sec. 141. Reporting and oversight.*
- Sec. 142. Ability to pay.*
- Sec. 143. Pilot program prioritization.*
- Sec. 144. Vessel removal by Corps of Engineers.*
- Sec. 145. Sense of Congress related to Everglades Agricultural Area project.*

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.*
- Sec. 202. Expedited completion.*
- Sec. 203. Expedited modification of existing feasibility studies.*
- Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.*
- Sec. 205. Corps of Engineers reports.*
- Sec. 206. Report on the inland waterways system.*
- Sec. 207. Coastal Storm Risk Management Trust Fund study.*
- Sec. 208. Assessment of emerging harbor maintenance backlog.*
- Sec. 209. GAO studies.*
- Sec. 210. Inspector General reports.*
- Sec. 211. Acceleration of emergency inland navigation projects.*
- Sec. 212. Assessment of commercial fish landings data.*
- Sec. 213. Assessments of dryland stream technologies and shoreline stabilization technologies.*
- Sec. 214. Assessment of nonstructural approaches to flood risk management and hurricane and storm risk reduction.*
- Sec. 215. Post-disaster watershed assessment for impacted areas.*
- Sec. 216. Updated plan on Federal hopper dredge recapitalization.*
- Sec. 217. Choctawhatchee and Pea River basins, Alabama and Florida.*
- Sec. 218. Mobile Harbor land use assessment.*
- Sec. 219. Expedited After-Action Review of Lake Okeechobee Recovery Operations.*
- Sec. 220. Honolulu Harbor, Hawaii.*
- Sec. 221. Chicago Area Waterway System.*
- Sec. 222. Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois.*
- Sec. 223. Columbia Lock and Dam, Louisiana.*
- Sec. 224. Lower Mississippi River Comprehensive Management Study.*
- Sec. 225. Disposition study for Cape Cod Canal, Massachusetts.*
- Sec. 226. New England regional confined aquatic disposal facilities.*
- Sec. 227. Assateague Island, Maryland and Virginia.*
- Sec. 228. Big Sandy Lake, Minnesota.*
- Sec. 229. Upper Missouri River Basin sedimentation.*
- Sec. 230. Table Rock Lake disposition study, Missouri and Arkansas.*
- Sec. 231. Table Rock Lake, Missouri and Arkansas.*
- Sec. 232. Evaluation of atomic contamination at Cochiti Lake, Sandoval County, New Mexico.*
- Sec. 233. National Academy of Sciences study on Upper Rio Grande Basin.*
- Sec. 234. Arbuckle-Timbered Hills, Oklahoma.*
- Sec. 235. Disposition and cost allocation study of Willamette Valley, Oregon.*
- Sec. 236. Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam, Pennsylvania.*
- Sec. 237. Humphreys County, Tennessee.*
- Sec. 238. Sam Rayburn Reservoir, Texas.*
- Sec. 239. Columbia River, Washington.*
- Sec. 240. Tri-Cities Area, Washington.*

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.*
- Sec. 302. General reauthorizations.*
- Sec. 303. Conveyances.*
- Sec. 304. Land exchange, Walton and Bay Counties, Florida.*
- Sec. 305. Port Canaveral, Florida.*
- Sec. 306. Specific deauthorizations.*
- Sec. 307. Environmental infrastructure.*
- Sec. 308. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.*
- Sec. 309. Floodplain management services.*
- Sec. 310. Planning assistance to States.*
- Sec. 311. Maintenance of navigation channels.*
- Sec. 312. Mobile Harbor, Alabama.*
- Sec. 313. Mendenhall Glacier outburst flooding, Alaska.*
- Sec. 314. Hansen Dam, Los Angeles–San Gabriel River Basin, California.*
- Sec. 315. Morro Bay, California.*
- Sec. 316. Oceanside, California.*
- Sec. 317. Pajaro River, California.*
- Sec. 318. Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas.*
- Sec. 319. Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Florida.*
- Sec. 320. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, Louisiana.*
- Sec. 321. Pilottown anchorage area, Louisiana.*
- Sec. 322. Jack Hubbard Memorial Breakwater, Burt Township, Michigan.*
- Sec. 323. Sardis Lake, Panola County, Mississippi.*
- Sec. 324. Hydraulic evaluation of Upper Mississippi River.*
- Sec. 325. Lower Missouri River comprehensive flood protection.*
- Sec. 326. Missouri River Recovery Implementation Committee.*
- Sec. 327. Great Lakes Commission.*
- Sec. 328. Great Lakes Fishery and ecosystem restoration.*
- Sec. 329. Truckee River and tributaries, Nevada.*
- Sec. 330. Cooperative agreements, New Mexico.*
- Sec. 331. Kinzua Dam safety modification study, Allegheny River, New York and Pennsylvania.*
- Sec. 332. Surf City and North Topsail Beach, North Carolina.*
- Sec. 333. Massillon Local Protection Project, Tuscarawas River, Ohio.*
- Sec. 334. Toussaint River Federal navigation project, Carroll Township, Ohio.*
- Sec. 335. Rio Puerto Nuevo flood risk management project, San Juan, Puerto Rico.*
- Sec. 336. Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency Study, Texas.*
- Sec. 337. Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties, Texas, expedited real estate transfers.*
- Sec. 338. Coastal Virginia.*
- Sec. 339. Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia.*
- Sec. 340. Puget Sound and adjacent waters restoration, Washington.*
- Sec. 341. Lower Columbia River.*
- Sec. 342. Lower Columbia River Basin ecosystem restoration assessment.*
- Sec. 343. Puget Sound nearshore ecosystem restoration, Washington.*
- Sec. 344. Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.*
- Sec. 345. Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Columbia.*

TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.*

Sec. 402. Expedited completion of projects and activities.

TITLE V—DAM SAFETY

Sec. 501. National Dam Safety Program amendments.

Sec. 502. Study on high hazard potential dam prioritizations.

1 SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.

2 *In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary*
 3 *of the Army.*

4 TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

5 SEC. 101. PROGRAM OFFICES WITHIN THE DIRECTORATE OF
 6 **CIVIL WORKS.**

7 *(a) OFFICE OF INLAND NAVIGATION CONSTRUCTION*
 8 *MANAGEMENT.—*

9 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after*
 10 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 11 *establish within the Directorate of Civil Works an of-*
 12 *fice to be known as the “Office of Inland Navigation*
 13 *Construction Management”.*

14 *(2) ADMINISTRATION.—In establishing the office*
 15 *under this subsection, the Secretary shall—*

16 *(A) designate an Inland Program Manager,*
 17 *who shall head the office and report directly to*
 18 *the Deputy Commanding General for Civil*
 19 *Works and Emergency Operations; and*

20 *(B) provide the office with sufficient admin-*
 21 *istrative, technical, and budgetary support to di-*
 22 *rect, perform, and coordinate the following tasks*

1 *related to the inland waterways navigation mis-*
2 *sion of the Corps of Engineers:*

3 *(i) Develop and maintain an inland*
4 *waterway program management plan.*

5 *(ii) Oversee inland waterways con-*
6 *struction projects and major rehabilitation*
7 *projects along the inland and intracoastal*
8 *waterways of the United States, as de-*
9 *scribed in section 206 of the Inland Water-*
10 *ways Revenue Act of 1978 (33 U.S.C.*
11 *1804).*

12 *(iii) Coordinate, validate, and oversee*
13 *funding for the projects described in clause*
14 *(ii), including the transactions of the In-*
15 *land Waterway Trust Fund.*

16 *(iv) Conduct in-progress reviews for*
17 *individual project designs and construction.*

18 *(v) Provide updates at every meeting of*
19 *the Inland Waterways Users Board estab-*
20 *lished under section 302 of the Water Re-*
21 *sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
22 *2251).*

23 *(vi) Develop and annually update the*
24 *projects described in clause (ii) in accord-*
25 *ance with the capital investment strategy*

1 *authorized by section 302(d) of the Water*
2 *Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
3 *U.S.C. 2251).*

4 *(3) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the*
5 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-*
6 *mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-*
7 *structure of the House of Representatives and the*
8 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
9 *Senate, and make publicly available (including on a*
10 *publicly available website), a report detailing the re-*
11 *sults of the status of the implementation of this sub-*
12 *section, including—*

13 *(A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-*
14 *lishing the Office of Inland Navigation Construc-*
15 *tion Management;*

16 *(B) the status of every inland waterway*
17 *construction project and major rehabilitation*
18 *project in the most recent capital investment*
19 *strategy, including any issues related to delays*
20 *or cost overruns; and*

21 *(C) any recommendations related to the*
22 *operational improvement of the Office of Inland*
23 *Navigation Construction Management.*

24 *(b) OFFICE OF WATER SUPPLY, WATER CONSERVA-*
25 *TION, AND DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—*

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
3 establish within the Directorate of Civil Works an of-
4 fice to be known as the “Office of Water Supply,
5 Water Conservation, and Drought Resiliency”.

6 (2) *ADMINISTRATION.*—In establishing the office
7 under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

8 (A) designate a Water Supply, Water Con-
9 servation, and Drought Resiliency Program
10 Manager, who shall head the office and report di-
11 rectly to the Deputy Commanding General for
12 Civil Works and Emergency Operations;

13 (B) staff the office with personnel who may
14 reside in any district or division of the Corps of
15 Engineers; and

16 (C) provide the office with sufficient admin-
17 istrative, technical, and budgetary support to di-
18 rect, perform, and coordinate the following tasks
19 related to the water supply mission of the Corps
20 of Engineers:

21 (i) Identify and evaluate opportunities
22 using authorities of the Corps of Engineers
23 to promote water supply, water conserva-
24 tion, and drought resiliency at water re-
25 source development projects.

1 (ii) *Provide to the Corps of Engineers,*
2 *States, and non-Federal interests informa-*
3 *tion on existing policies and guidance docu-*
4 *ments of the Corps of Engineers related to,*
5 *and make recommendations on new policies*
6 *and guidance documents to improve, water*
7 *supply, water conservation, and drought re-*
8 *siliency, including—*

9 (I) *implementation of subtitle B*
10 *of title I of the Water Resources Devel-*
11 *opment Act of 2024;*

12 (II) *the method for the accounting*
13 *of storage use under water supply stor-*
14 *age agreements at Corps of Engineers*
15 *reservoirs;*

16 (III) *the reallocation of storage*
17 *space at Corps of Engineers reservoirs*
18 *to water supply;*

19 (IV) *the interpretation and imple-*
20 *mentation of the Water Supply Act of*
21 *1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b); and*

22 (V) *the interpretation and imple-*
23 *mentation of section 6 of the Act of De-*
24 *cember 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708).*

1 (iii) Assist and provide guidance to
2 States and non-Federal interests on access-
3 ing programs, services, and other technical
4 and financial assistance made available by
5 the Corps of Engineers related to water sup-
6 ply, water conservation, and drought resil-
7 iency efforts related to water resources de-
8 velopment projects.

9 (iv) Coordinate the review and comple-
10 tion of water supply reallocation studies
11 and reports to clear backlogs and ensure
12 new studies and reports are completed in a
13 timely manner.

14 (v) Act as a liaison between the Corps
15 of Engineers and non-Federal interests for
16 studies, projects, and agreements for water
17 supply, water conservation, and drought re-
18 siliency efforts related to water resources de-
19 velopment projects, including efforts to—

20 (I) reallocate storage space in
21 projects to store water for municipal
22 and industrial water supply purposes
23 pursuant to the Water Supply Act of
24 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

1 (II) enter into surplus water con-
2 tracts pursuant to section 6 of the Act
3 of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708);
4 and

5 (III) produce water supply bene-
6 fits incidental to and consistent with
7 authorized purposes, including by—

8 (aa) adjusting the timing of
9 releases for other authorized pur-
10 poses to create opportunities for
11 water supply conservation, use,
12 and storage;

13 (bb) capturing stormwater;

14 (cc) releasing water from
15 storage to replenish aquifer stor-
16 age and recovery;

17 (dd) releasing water from
18 storage to augment storage at an-
19 other Federal or non-Federal stor-
20 age facility; and

21 (ee) other conservation meas-
22 ures that enhance the use of a
23 Corps of Engineers project for
24 water supply.

1 (vi) Conduct outreach and workshops
2 for potential non-Federal interests to pro-
3 vide information on the authorities de-
4 scribed in clause (i).

5 (vii) Evaluate budget requests to en-
6 sure sufficient resources are requested and
7 allocated by the Directorate to fulfill the
8 tasks described in this subparagraph.

9 (viii) Cooperate with State, regional,
10 and local government and planning au-
11 thorities to identify strategies to augment
12 water supplies, enhance drought resiliency,
13 promote contingency planning, and assist
14 in the planning and development of alter-
15 native water sources.

16 (ix) Consult and coordinate with the
17 applicable Federal Power Marketing Ad-
18 ministration on the potential effect to hy-
19 dropower generation.

20 (x) Other tasks in furtherance of the
21 water supply mission as determined by the
22 Secretary.

23 (3) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 2 years
24 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
25 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and

1 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
2 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
3 *Senate a report that describes—*

4 *(A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-*
5 *lishing the Office of Water Supply, Water Con-*
6 *servation, and Drought Resiliency, including a*
7 *description of the status of implementing para-*
8 *graph (2)(C);*

9 *(B) the number of staff assigned to such Of-*
10 *fice; and*

11 *(C) for each water supply study or project*
12 *being conducted or implemented by the Corps of*
13 *Engineers as of the date on which such report is*
14 *submitted—*

15 *(i) the status of each such study or*
16 *project;*

17 *(ii) the date upon which each such*
18 *study or project commenced;*

19 *(iii) the estimated date of completion*
20 *of each such study or project; and*

21 *(iv) any significant impediments or*
22 *obstacles that may reasonably affect the*
23 *timeline to complete each such study or*
24 *project.*

1 (c) *OFFICE ON TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND COMMU-*
2 *NITY OUTREACH.*—

3 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after
4 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
5 establish within the Directorate of Civil Works an of-
6 fice to be known as the “Office on Technical Assist-
7 ance and Community Outreach”.

8 (2) *ADMINISTRATION.*—In establishing the office
9 under this subsection, the Secretary shall—

10 (A) designate a Technical Assistance and
11 Community Outreach Manager who shall head
12 the office and report directly to the Deputy Com-
13 manding General for Civil Works and Emer-
14 gency Operations;

15 (B) staff the office with personnel who may
16 reside in any district or division of the Corps of
17 Engineers; and

18 (C) provide the office with sufficient admin-
19 istrative, technical, and budgetary support to di-
20 rect, perform, and coordinate the following tasks:

21 (i) Assist and provide guidance to non-
22 Federal interests on accessing programs,
23 services, and other technical and financial
24 assistance made available by the Corps of

1 *Engineers relating to water resources devel-*
2 *opment projects, including under—*

3 *(I) a continuing authority pro-*
4 *gram (as such term is defined in sec-*
5 *tion 7001(c)(1)(D) of the Water Re-*
6 *sources Reform and Development Act*
7 *of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d));*

8 *(II) section 206 of the Flood Con-*
9 *trol Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a), in-*
10 *cluding the Silver Jackets program es-*
11 *tablished pursuant to such section;*

12 *(III) section 22 of the Water Re-*
13 *sources Development Act of 1974 (42*
14 *U.S.C. 1962d–16);*

15 *(IV) section 203 of the Water Re-*
16 *sources Development Act of 2000 (33*
17 *U.S.C. 2269);*

18 *(V) section 5014 of the Water Re-*
19 *sources Reform and Development Act*
20 *of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);*

21 *(VI) section 118 of the Water Re-*
22 *sources Development Act of 2020 (33*
23 *U.S.C. 2201 note);*

1 (VII) section 165 of the Water Re-
2 sources Development Act of 2020 (33
3 U.S.C. 2201 note);

4 (VIII) the Water Infrastructure
5 Finance and Innovation Act (33
6 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.);

7 (IX) sections 1148 and 1305 of
8 the Water Resources Development Act
9 of 2024 (138 Stat. 3039; 33 U.S.C.
10 2201 note);

11 (X) section 103(m) of the Water
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
13 U.S.C. 2213m); and

14 (XI) section 1148 of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2024.

16 (ii) Coordinate the implementation of
17 public awareness, education, outreach, and
18 engagement requirements under section
19 8117 of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b).

21 (iii) Identify programs, services, and
22 other assistance made available by other
23 Federal and State agencies relating to
24 water resources development projects for
25 purposes of advising potential non-Federal

1 *interests on the best available applicable as-*
2 *sistance.*

3 (3) *PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out para-*
4 *graph (2)(C), to the maximum extent practicable, the*
5 *Technical Assistance and Community Outreach Man-*
6 *ager shall prioritize providing technical assistance*
7 *and guidance to a non-Federal interest seeking to*
8 *carry out a water resources development project that*
9 *will benefit a rural community, a Tribal community,*
10 *or a community described in the guidance issued by*
11 *the Secretary pursuant to section 160 of the Water*
12 *Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201*
13 *note).*

14 (4) *ELECTRONIC PORTAL.—*

15 (A) *DEVELOPMENT.—In carrying out this*
16 *subsection, the Secretary shall develop an online,*
17 *interactive portal that—*

18 (i) *contains information relating to the*
19 *programs described in paragraph (2)(C)(i);*
20 *and*

21 (ii) *can be used by a potential non-*
22 *Federal interest as a succinct guide to ac-*
23 *cessing such programs for an applicable po-*
24 *tential water resources development project.*

1 (B) *AVAILABILITY.*—*The Secretary shall en-*
 2 *sure that the portal developed under subpara-*
 3 *graph (A) is made available in a prominent lo-*
 4 *cation on the public-facing website of the head-*
 5 *quarters of the Corps of Engineers and of each*
 6 *district and division of the Corps of Engineers.*

7 (d) *OFFICE ON ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METHODS.*—

8 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 180 days after*
 9 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 10 *establish within the Directorate of Civil Works an of-*
 11 *fice to be known as the “Office on Alternative Deliv-*
 12 *ery Methods”.*

13 (2) *ADMINISTRATION.*—*In establishing the office*
 14 *under this subsection, the Secretary shall—*

15 (A) *designate an Alternative Delivery Man-*
 16 *ager who shall head the office and report directly*
 17 *to the Deputy Commanding General for Civil*
 18 *Works and Emergency Operations;*

19 (B) *staff the office with personnel who may*
 20 *reside in any district or division of the Corps of*
 21 *Engineers; and*

22 (C) *provide the office with sufficient admin-*
 23 *istrative, technical, and budgetary support to di-*
 24 *rect, perform, and coordinate the following tasks:*

1 (i) *Assist and provide guidance to non-*
2 *Federal interests on accessing alternative*
3 *delivery programs, services, and other tech-*
4 *nical and financial assistance made avail-*
5 *able by the Corps of Engineers relating to*
6 *water resources development projects, in-*
7 *cluding under—*

8 (I) *sections 203 and 204 of the*
9 *Water Resources Development Act of*
10 *1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231; 2232);*

11 (II) *section 1043 of the Water Re-*
12 *sources Reform and Development Act*
13 *of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note);*

14 (III) *section 1113 of the Water*
15 *Resources Development Act of 2016 (33*
16 *U.S.C. 2326e);*

17 (IV) *section 159 of the Water Re-*
18 *sources Development Act of 2020; and*

19 (V) *section 1107(a) of the Water*
20 *Resources Development Act of 2024 (33*
21 *U.S.C. 2201 note).*

22 (ii) *Coordinate with non-Federal inter-*
23 *ests to establish clear review standards, pro-*
24 *cedures, and timelines for the review and*
25 *approval of studies, plans, specifications,*

1 *and other materials submitted pursuant to*
2 *the programs described in clause (i).*

3 *(iii) Identify opportunities to accel-*
4 *erate project delivery and reduce adminis-*
5 *trative burdens associated with the use of*
6 *alternative delivery methods and contrib-*
7 *uted funds authorities.*

8 *(iv) Coordinate across headquarters,*
9 *divisions, and districts of the Corps of En-*
10 *gineers to ensure the consistent implementa-*
11 *tion of alternative delivery authorities.*

12 *(v) Develop and maintain guidance*
13 *and best practices for the acceptance and*
14 *use of contributed funds provided by non-*
15 *Federal interests to carry out activities re-*
16 *lated to water resources development*
17 *projects.*

18 *(vi) Provide technical assistance to*
19 *non-Federal interests regarding the eligi-*
20 *bility of expenditures for credit or reim-*
21 *bursement, including expenditures incurred*
22 *pursuant to written agreements entered into*
23 *under section 221 of the Flood Control Act*
24 *of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b).*

1 (3) *REPORT.*—Not later than 2 years after the
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-
3 mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
4 structure of the House of Representatives and the
5 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
6 Senate a report that describes—

7 (A) the progress of the Secretary in estab-
8 lishing the Office on Alternative Delivery Meth-
9 ods;

10 (B) the number of staff assigned to such Of-
11 fice;

12 (C) the activities carried out by the Office;
13 and

14 (D) recommendations for legislative or ad-
15 ministrative actions to improve the efficiency
16 and effectiveness of alternative delivery methods.

17 (e) *COORDINATION; FUNDING.*—

18 (1) *COORDINATION.*—Each office established
19 under subsections (a) through (d) shall coordinate
20 with other offices established under such subsections
21 and with any other office of the Corps of Engineers
22 to ensure consistency across the Corps of Engineers.

23 (2) *FUNDING.*—The offices established under sub-
24 sections (a) through (d) shall be funded using

1 *amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated for*
2 *the general expenses of the Directorate of Civil Works.*

3 **SEC. 102. CONTRACTING EFFICIENCY.**

4 *(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress*
5 *that—*

6 *(1) the Corps of Engineers should maximize the*
7 *efficiency of contract actions through the use of*
8 *multiyear or continuing contracts; and*

9 *(2) multiyear or continuing contracts—*

10 *(A) provide cost savings by combining work*
11 *across multiple projects across different accounts*
12 *of the Corps of Engineers; and*

13 *(B) increase reliability of projects con-*
14 *structed or maintained by the Corps of Engi-*
15 *neers and ensure realization of the benefits de-*
16 *rived from such projects.*

17 *(b) REVIEW.—*

18 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
19 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
20 *General of the United States shall carry out a review*
21 *of any considerations relating to and benefits of*
22 *awarding multiyear or continuing contracts for the*
23 *construction of authorized water resources develop-*
24 *ment projects carried out by the Secretary.*

1 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*In developing the review*
2 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall—*

3 (A) *describe existing statutory authorities*
4 *and limitations under which the Corps of Engi-*
5 *neers may carry out water resources development*
6 *projects utilizing a multiyear or continuing con-*
7 *tract, including such authorities and limitations*
8 *under section 10 of the Act of September 22,*
9 *1922 (33 U.S.C. 621) and section 206 of the*
10 *Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33*
11 *U.S.C. 2331);*

12 (B) *review—*

13 (i) *prior instances in which the Sec-*
14 *retary has carried out an authorized water*
15 *resources development project utilizing a*
16 *multiyear or continuing contract for the*
17 *construction;*

18 (ii) *prior instances in which the heads*
19 *of other Federal agencies, including the Sec-*
20 *retary of Defense, have carried out large-*
21 *scale infrastructure or asset construction*
22 *projects utilizing a multiyear or continuing*
23 *contract for the construction; and*

24 (iii) *the response of the Corps of Engi-*
25 *neers to prior recommendations of the*

1 *Comptroller General related to management*
2 *of water resources development projects that*
3 *utilize multiyear or continuing contracts;*
4 *and*
5 *(C) evaluate—*

6 *(i) potential cost savings, consider-*
7 *ations, and benefits (including accelerated*
8 *completion of construction) from awarding*
9 *multiyear or continuing contracts for the*
10 *construction of authorized water resources*
11 *development projects; and*

12 *(ii) safeguards to minimize the ineffi-*
13 *cient reprogramming of appropriated funds*
14 *related to multiyear or continuing con-*
15 *tracts.*

16 *(3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review*
17 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall*
18 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
19 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
20 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
21 *Senate a report on the findings of such review, in-*
22 *cluding any legislative recommendations that result*
23 *from such review.*

1 **SEC. 103. REMOVAL OR REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED**
2 **SEDIMENT.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall not be liable*
4 *under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Com-*
5 *pensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et*
6 *seq.) for the release of a hazardous substance or pollutant*
7 *or contaminant resulting from any covered activity carried*
8 *out in accordance with a joint plan developed under this*
9 *section by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-Fed-*
10 *eral interest for the covered activity, and approved by the*
11 *Administrator.*

12 (b) *JOINT PLAN.*—*A joint plan developed under sub-*
13 *section (a) shall—*

14 (1) *ensure that the covered activity protects*
15 *human health and the environment; and*

16 (2) *include—*

17 (A) *relevant and appropriate requirements*
18 *of the National Contingency Plan;*

19 (B) *a description of the work to be under-*
20 *taken;*

21 (C) *identification of—*

22 (i) *the method to be used for dredged*
23 *material disposal;*

24 (ii) *the roles and responsibilities of the*
25 *Secretary and non-Federal interest; and*

26 (iii) *sources of funding; and*

1 (D) such other terms and conditions as the
2 Administrator determines necessary.

3 (c) *CONSULTATION; PUBLIC COMMENT.*—In developing
4 a joint plan under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

5 (1) consult with interested Federal, State, and
6 local government officials; and

7 (2) provide an opportunity for public comment.

8 (d) *OBLIGATIONS OF THE SECRETARY.*—Prior to car-
9 rying out any covered activity pursuant to a joint plan
10 developed under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

11 (1) document any hazardous substance or pollut-
12 ant or contaminant present in the contaminated sedi-
13 ment to be removed or remediated; and

14 (2) seek to identify any person potentially re-
15 sponsible for the release of such hazardous substance
16 or pollutant or contaminant.

17 (e) *COST RECOVERY.*—Nothing in this section affects
18 the responsibility of the Federal Government to seek recov-
19 ery under the Comprehensive Environmental Response,
20 Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601
21 et seq.) from responsible parties of response costs incurred
22 by the Secretary in carrying out a covered activity.

23 (f) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

1 (1) *ADMINISTRATOR*.—The term “Adminis-
2 trator” means the Administrator of the Environ-
3 mental Protection Agency.

4 (2) *CONTAMINATED SEDIMENT*.—The term “con-
5 taminated sediment” means sediment in which a haz-
6 ardous substance or pollutant or contaminant is
7 present.

8 (3) *COVERED ACTIVITY*.—The term “covered ac-
9 tivity” means the removal or remediation of contami-
10 nated sediment pursuant to—

11 (A) a water resources development project
12 specifically authorized by Congress for such pur-
13 pose; or

14 (B) section 312(f) of the Water Resources
15 Development Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 1272(f)).

16 (4) *HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE*.—The term “haz-
17 ardous substance” has the meaning given that term in
18 section 101 of the Comprehensive Environmental Re-
19 sponse, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42
20 U.S.C. 9601).

21 (5) *NATIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN*.—The term
22 “National Contingency Plan” means the national
23 contingency plan published under section 105 of the
24 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensa-
25 tion, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9605).

1 (6) *POLLUTANT OR CONTAMINANT.*—*The term*
2 *“pollutant or contaminant” has the meaning given*
3 *that term in section 101 of the Comprehensive Envi-*
4 *ronmental Response, Compensation, and Liability*
5 *Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601).*

6 **SEC. 104. LEVEE OWNERS BOARD.**

7 (a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—*There is established a Levee*
8 *Owners Board.*

9 (b) *MEMBERSHIP.*—

10 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Owners Board shall be*
11 *composed of eleven members, each of which is a Fed-*
12 *eral levee system owner-operator, appointed by the*
13 *Secretary so as to represent various regions of the*
14 *country, including at least one member from each of*
15 *the eight divisions of the Corps of Engineers.*

16 (2) *TERMS.*—

17 (A) *IN GENERAL.*—*A member of the Owners*
18 *Board shall be appointed for a period of 3 years.*

19 (B) *REAPPOINTMENT.*—*A member of the*
20 *Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-*
21 *ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be ap-*
22 *propriate.*

23 (C) *VACANCIES.*—*A vacancy on the Owners*
24 *Board shall be filled in the same manner as the*
25 *original appointment was made.*

1 (3) *CHAIRPERSON.*—*The members of the Owners*
 2 *Board shall appoint a chairperson from among the*
 3 *members of the Owners Board.*

4 (4) *OBSERVERS.*—*The Secretary shall designate,*
 5 *and the Administrator may designate, a representa-*
 6 *tive to act as an observer of the Owners Board.*

7 (c) *DUTIES.*—

8 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Owners Board shall meet*
 9 *not less frequently than semiannually to develop and*
 10 *make recommendations to the Secretary and Congress*
 11 *regarding levee system reliability throughout the*
 12 *United States.*

13 (2) *ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS.*—*The Own-*
 14 *ers Board shall provide—*

15 (A) *prior to the development of the budget*
 16 *proposal of the President for a given fiscal year,*
 17 *advice and recommendations to the Secretary re-*
 18 *garding overall levee system reliability;*

19 (B) *advice and recommendations to Con-*
 20 *gress regarding any feasibility report for a flood*
 21 *risk management project that has been submitted*
 22 *to Congress;*

23 (C) *not later than 60 days after the date of*
 24 *the submission of the budget proposal of the*
 25 *President to Congress for a given fiscal year, ad-*

1 *vice and recommendations to Congress regarding*
2 *flood risk management project construction and*
3 *rehabilitation priorities and corresponding*
4 *spending levels;*

5 *(D) advice and recommendations to the Sec-*
6 *retary and Congress regarding the effectiveness of*
7 *the levee safety program of the Corps of Engi-*
8 *neers, including comments and recommendations*
9 *on relevant information contained in the reports*
10 *of Corps of Engineers activities and expenditures*
11 *provided by the Secretary under subsection*
12 *(d)(2); and*

13 *(E) advice and recommendations to the Sec-*
14 *retary, Congress, and the Administrator regard-*
15 *ing the effectiveness of the levee safety initiative,*
16 *including comments and recommendations on*
17 *relevant information contained in the reports of*
18 *Corps of Engineers activities and expenditures*
19 *provided by the Secretary under subsection*
20 *(d)(2).*

21 *(3) INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—The Owners*
22 *Board shall ensure that any advice or recommenda-*
23 *tions made under paragraph (2) reflect the inde-*
24 *pendent judgment of the Owners Board.*

1 (d) *DUTIES OF THE SECRETARY.*—*The Secretary*
 2 *shall—*

3 (1) *designate an Executive Secretary who shall*
 4 *assist the chairperson in administering the Owners*
 5 *Board and ensuring that the Owners Board operates*
 6 *in accordance with chapter 10 of title 5, United*
 7 *States Code;*

8 (2) *provide to the Owners Board detailed reports*
 9 *of Corps of Engineers activities and expenditures re-*
 10 *lated to flood risk management and levees, including*
 11 *for the levee safety program of the Corps of Engineers*
 12 *and the levee safety initiative, not less frequently than*
 13 *semiannually; and*

14 (3) *submit to the Owners Board a copy of any*
 15 *completed feasibility report for a flood risk manage-*
 16 *ment project submitted to Congress.*

17 (e) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

18 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Owners Board shall be*
 19 *subject to chapter 10 of title 5, other than section*
 20 *1013, and with the consent of the appropriate agency*
 21 *head, the Owners Board may use the facilities and*
 22 *services of any Federal agency.*

23 (2) *MEMBERS NOT CONSIDERED SPECIAL GOV-*
 24 *ERNMENT EMPLOYEES.*—*For the purposes of com-*
 25 *plying with chapter 10 of title 5, United States Code,*

1 *the members of the Owners Board shall not be consid-*
 2 *ered special Government employees (as defined in sec-*
 3 *tion 202 of title 18, United States Code).*

4 (3) *TRAVEL EXPENSES.*—*Non-Federal members*
 5 *of the Owners Board, while engaged in the perform-*
 6 *ance of their duties away from their homes or regular*
 7 *places of business, may be allowed travel expenses, in-*
 8 *cluding per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized*
 9 *by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code.*

10 (f) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

11 (1) *LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE.*—*The term “levee*
 12 *safety initiative” means the levee safety initiative es-*
 13 *tablished under section 9005 of the Water Resources*
 14 *Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3303a).*

15 (2) *NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY ACT OF 2007*
 16 *TERMS.*—*The terms “Administrator”, “levee”, “levee*
 17 *system”, and “rehabilitation” have the meanings*
 18 *given those terms in section 9002 of the Water Re-*
 19 *sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3301).*

20 (3) *OWNERS BOARD.*—*The term “Owners Board”*
 21 *means the Levee Owners Board established by this*
 22 *section.*

23 **SEC. 105. CATEGORICAL PERMISSIONS.**

24 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Section 14(a) of the Act of March*
 25 *3, 1899 (33 U.S.C. 408(a)) is amended—*

1 (1) *by striking “It shall not be lawful” and in-*
2 *serting the following:*

3 “(1) *IN GENERAL.—It shall not be lawful*”; and
4 (2) *by adding at the end the following:*

5 “(2) *CATEGORICAL PERMISSIONS.—*

6 “(A) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, by*
7 *rule, establish categorical permissions for any*
8 *category of activities for which an application*
9 *for permission under paragraph (1) may be sub-*
10 *mitted.*

11 “(B) *SCOPE.—In establishing categorical*
12 *permissions under subparagraph (A), the Sec-*
13 *retary shall consider the following:*

14 “(i) *The type and similarity of activi-*
15 *ties to be included in any specific category.*

16 “(ii) *The geographic area to which the*
17 *categorical permission will apply.*

18 “(iii) *The type and similarity of the*
19 *public works with respect to which the cat-*
20 *egorical permission will apply.*

21 “(iv) *The effects of the activities to be*
22 *included in a category on the applicable*
23 *public works and on environmental and*
24 *cultural resources.*

1 “(v) *Any additional Federal regulatory*
2 *requirements that apply to the activities to*
3 *be included in a category.*

4 “(vi) *An appropriate period of validity*
5 *for the categorical permission.*

6 “(C) *REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may*
7 *only establish a categorical permission under*
8 *this subsection that the Secretary determines will*
9 *apply to a category of activities that—*

10 “(i) *are similar in nature to activities*
11 *for which applications for permission are*
12 *regularly submitted under this section;*

13 “(ii) *will cause only minimal adverse*
14 *environmental effects when carried out sep-*
15 *arately; and*

16 “(iii) *will cause only minimal cumu-*
17 *lative adverse environmental effects.*

18 “(D) *PUBLIC INPUT.—*

19 “(i) *SCOPING PROCESS.—In estab-*
20 *lishing categorical permissions under this*
21 *paragraph, the Secretary shall solicit input*
22 *from non-Federal interests, including land-*
23 *owners, hydropower operators, and resource*
24 *agencies.*

1 “(ii) *COMMENT PERIOD.*—*The Sec-*
2 *retary shall make all draft categorical per-*
3 *missions, including any associated analyses*
4 *and other documentation, available for pub-*
5 *lic review and comment for not less than 30*
6 *days.*

7 “(E) *TRANSPARENCY.*—*The Secretary shall*
8 *publish all categorical permissions established*
9 *under this paragraph on an appropriate website*
10 *of the Corps of Engineers, including, for each*
11 *categorical permission, identification of—*

12 “(i) *the types of activities to which the*
13 *categorical permission applies;*

14 “(ii) *any specific circumstances that, if*
15 *present, would cause the categorical permis-*
16 *sion to not apply to an activity of a type*
17 *identified under clause (i);*

18 “(iii) *the geographic area to which the*
19 *categorical permission applies;*

20 “(iv) *the types of public works with re-*
21 *spect to which the categorical permission*
22 *applies; and*

23 “(v) *the period for which the categor-*
24 *ical permission is valid.*

1 “(F) *INCORPORATION INTO APPLICATION*
2 *PROCESS.*—

3 “(i) *IDENTIFICATION IN REQUEST.*—A
4 *non-Federal entity may identify in an ap-*
5 *plication for permission under this sub-*
6 *section any categorical permission that may*
7 *apply to the activity for which the permis-*
8 *sion is requested.*

9 “(ii) *INCLUSION IN COMPLETENESS*
10 *DETERMINATION.*—*In informing a non-Fed-*
11 *eral entity whether an application for per-*
12 *mission under this subsection is complete*
13 *under subsection (d)(1), the Secretary shall*
14 *include an assessment of whether a categor-*
15 *ical permission will apply to the activity*
16 *for which permission is requested, including*
17 *an evaluation of the applicability of—*

18 “(I) *any categorical permission*
19 *identified by the non-Federal entity in*
20 *the application; and*

21 “(II) *any other categorical per-*
22 *mission the Secretary determines ap-*
23 *propriate.*

24 “(G) *LIMITATIONS.*—*Nothing in this para-*
25 *graph affects—*

1 “(i) the authority of the Secretary to
 2 grant or deny permission under this section;
 3 or

4 “(ii) any obligation to comply with the
 5 provisions of any Federal or State law, in-
 6 cluding—

7 “(I) the National Environmental
 8 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et
 9 seq.);

10 “(II) the Federal Water Pollution
 11 Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.);
 12 and

13 “(III) the Endangered Species Act
 14 of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

15 “(H) DEADLINE FOR PROPOSED RULE.—
 16 Not later than 180 days after the date of enact-
 17 ment of the Water Resources Development Act of
 18 2026, the Secretary shall publish a proposed rule
 19 establishing categorical permissions under this
 20 paragraph.”.

21 **SEC. 106. CONTRIBUTIONS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

22 Section 902(b) of the Water Resources Development Act
 23 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280(b)) is amended to read as follows:

24 “(b) CONTRIBUTIONS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.—
 25 Notwithstanding subsection (a), in accordance with section

1 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701h), if the Chief
 2 of Engineers makes a determination under section
 3 8155(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
 4 (33 U.S.C. 2280 note) that an authorized water resources
 5 development project has exceeded, or is expected to exceed,
 6 its maximum cost under subsection (a), the Secretary
 7 may—

8 “(1) accept funds from the non-Federal interest
 9 for such project pursuant to a written agreement
 10 under section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970
 11 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and

12 “(2) use such funds to carry out such project, if
 13 the use of such funds does not increase the Federal
 14 share of the cost of such project.”.

15 **SEC. 107. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION AND TRACKING OF**
 16 **PERMIT APPLICATIONS.**

17 (a) *ELECTRONIC SYSTEM*.—Section 2040(a) of the
 18 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
 19 2345(a)) is amended—

20 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-
 21 VELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC” and inserting “ELEC-
 22 TRONIC”;

23 (2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-
 24 lows:

1 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall imple-*
 2 *ment an electronic system to allow the electronic—*

3 “(A) *preparation and submission of appli-*
 4 *cations for permits and requests for jurisdic-*
 5 *tional determinations under the jurisdiction of*
 6 *the Secretary; and*

7 “(B) *tracking of documents related to Fed-*
 8 *eral environmental reviews for projects under the*
 9 *jurisdiction of the Secretary or for which the*
 10 *Corps of Engineers is designated as the lead Fed-*
 11 *eral agency.”;*

12 (3) *in paragraph (2)—*

13 (A) *in subparagraph (E), by striking “;*
 14 *and” and inserting a semicolon;*

15 (B) *in subparagraph (F), by striking the*
 16 *period at the end and inserting “; and”;* and

17 (C) *by adding at the end the following:*

18 “(G) *documents related to Federal environ-*
 19 *mental reviews for projects under the jurisdiction*
 20 *of the Secretary or for which the Corps of Engi-*
 21 *neers is designated as the lead Federal agency.”;*
 22 *and*

23 (4) *by adding at the end the following:*

24 “(5) *COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—*
 25 *To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary*

1 *shall use the electronic system required under para-*
 2 *graph (1) to enhance interagency coordination in the*
 3 *preparation of documents related to Federal environ-*
 4 *mental reviews.”.*

5 **(b) SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.**—*Section 2040(b) of the*
 6 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
 7 *2345(b)) is amended—*

8 *(1) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and*
 9 *inserting a semicolon;*

10 *(2) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking the period*
 11 *at the end and inserting “; and”; and*

12 *(3) by adding at the end the following:*

13 *“(6) enable a non-Federal interest for a project*
 14 *to—*

15 *“(A) submit information related to the*
 16 *preparation of any Federal environmental re-*
 17 *view document associated with the project; and*

18 *“(B) track the status of a Federal environ-*
 19 *mental review associated with the project.”.*

20 **(c) RECORD RETENTION.**—*Section 2040(d) of the*
 21 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
 22 *2345(d)) is amended—*

23 *(1) in the subsection heading, by striking*
 24 *“RECORD OF DETERMINATIONS” and inserting*
 25 *“RECORD RETENTION”;*

1 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all
 2 *Federal environmental review documents included in*
 3 *the electronic system” before the period at the end;*
 4 *and*

5 (3) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and all Fed-
 6 *eral environmental review documents included in the*
 7 *electronic system” before “after the 5-year”.*

8 (d) *AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS.*—Section 2040(e) of
 9 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
 10 *2345(e)) is amended—*

11 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-
 12 *TERMINATIONS” and inserting “RECORDS”; and*

13 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all
 14 *final Federal environmental review documents in-*
 15 *cluded in the electronic system,” before “available to*
 16 *the public”.*

17 (e) *DEADLINE FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEM IMPLEMEN-*
 18 *TATION.*—Section 2040(f)(1) of the *Water Resources Devel-*
 19 *opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(f)(1)) is amended by*
 20 *striking “2 years after the date of enactment of the Water*
 21 *Resources Development Act of 2022” and inserting “1 year*
 22 *after the date of enactment of the Water Resources Develop-*
 23 *ment Act of 2026”.*

24 (f) *APPLICABILITY.*—Section 2040(g) of the *Water Re-*
 25 *sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(g)) is*

1 amended by inserting “, and the requirements described in
 2 subsections (d) and (e) relating to Federal environmental
 3 documents shall apply with respect to Federal environ-
 4 mental review documents that are prepared after the date
 5 of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of
 6 2026” before the period at the end.

7 (g) *E-NEPA*.—Section 2040 of the Water Resources
 8 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345) is amended by
 9 adding at the end the following:

10 “(i) *CONSISTENCY WITH E-NEPA*.—In carrying out
 11 this section, the Secretary shall take into consideration the
 12 results of the permitting portal study conducted pursuant
 13 to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the Fiscal Re-
 14 sponsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).”.

15 (h) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT*.—Section 2040 of the
 16 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345)
 17 is amended in the section heading by striking “**PERMIT**
 18 **APPLICATIONS**” and inserting “**PERMIT APPLICA-**
 19 **TIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**”.

20 **SEC. 108. PROJECT STUDY SCHEDULE AND COST ESTIMATE.**

21 (a) *VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF*
 22 *STUDIES*.—Section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform
 23 and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c) is amend-
 24 ed—

1 (1) *by amending subsection (a) to read as fol-*
2 *lows:*

3 “(a) *IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a feasibility study*
4 *carried out by the Secretary pursuant to section 905(a) of*
5 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
6 *2282), the Secretary shall—*

7 “(1) *complete the feasibility study as efficiently*
8 *and expeditiously as practicable;*

9 “(2) *align the scope of the feasibility study with*
10 *the goals of the non-Federal interest, to the extent*
11 *practicable in accordance with the authorities of the*
12 *Secretary and statutory requirements relating to*
13 *water resources development projects;*

14 “(3) *carry out the feasibility study in a manner*
15 *that ensures that personnel from the district, division,*
16 *and headquarters levels of the Corps of Engineers con-*
17 *currently conduct the review required under such sec-*
18 *tion 905; and*

19 “(4) *include in the report submitted with respect*
20 *to the study under section 2033(f)(2) of the Water Re-*
21 *sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.*
22 *2282a(f)(2)) sufficient information for Congress to*
23 *fully review the study, which may be in the form of*
24 *details relating to any remaining project cost uncer-*

1 *tainties as appropriate for the maturity of the project*
 2 *design.”;*

3 (2) *in subsection (d)(1), by striking “the envi-*
 4 *ronmental review process under section 1005” and in-*
 5 *serting “any required environmental review”;*

6 (3) *by striking subsections (b), (c), (e), and (f);*
 7 *and*

8 (4) *by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection*
 9 *(b).*

10 (b) *DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.—Section 905(g)*
 11 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.*
 12 *2282(g)) is amended—*

13 (1) *in paragraph (2), by striking “, to the max-*
 14 *imum extent practicable,”;*

15 (2) *by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the*
 16 *following:*

17 “(3) *REQUIREMENTS.—*

18 “(A) *IN GENERAL.—In carrying out para-*
 19 *graph (2), for each feasibility study, the relevant*
 20 *District Engineer shall establish deadlines for*
 21 *milestones, and a total cost estimate for the*
 22 *study, taking into consideration, to the max-*
 23 *imum extent practicable—*

24 “(i) *the goals of the non-Federal inter-*
 25 *est;*

1 “(ii) the statutory requirements and
2 authorities of the Corps of Engineers;

3 “(iii) the complexity of the study; and

4 “(iv) any other factors identified by
5 the District Engineer and the non-Federal
6 interest.

7 “(B) COLLABORATION WITH NON-FEDERAL
8 INTEREST.—The District Engineer shall collabo-
9 rate with the relevant non-Federal interest in es-
10 tablishing deadlines for milestones under sub-
11 paragraph (A).

12 “(C) COMPLEXITY.—In determining the
13 complexity of a feasibility study for purposes of
14 subparagraph (A), the District Engineer shall
15 consider, with respect to the project that is the
16 subject of the feasibility study—

17 “(i) the type, size, location, scope, and
18 overall projected cost of the project;

19 “(ii) whether the project will use any
20 innovative design or construction tech-
21 niques;

22 “(iii) whether the project will require
23 significant action by other Federal, State,
24 or local agencies;

1 “(iv) whether there is significant pub-
 2 lic dispute as to the nature or effects of the
 3 project; and

4 “(v) whether there is significant public
 5 dispute as to the economic or environmental
 6 costs or benefits of the project.

7 “(D) *EXTENSIONS*.—At the request of the
 8 relevant non-Federal interest, the District Engi-
 9 neer shall extend any deadline established under
 10 subparagraph (A).”; and

11 (3) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
 12 following:

13 “(4) *CONGRESSIONAL AND PUBLIC NOTIFICA-*
 14 *TION*.—For each fiscal year, the Secretary shall—

15 “(A) submit to the Committee on Transpor-
 16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
 17 resentatives and the Committee on Environment
 18 and Public Works of the Senate an annual re-
 19 port that includes—

20 “(i) a list of all detailed project sched-
 21 ules established under paragraph (2) in the
 22 fiscal year;

23 “(ii) a description of any such project
 24 schedule that is expected to result in the
 25 completion of a final feasibility report more

1 *than 4 years after the date on which the*
 2 *Secretary determines the Federal interest*
 3 *for purposes of the report pursuant to sec-*
 4 *tion 2033(f) of the Water Resources Devel-*
 5 *opment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a(f));*

6 *“(iii) a description of any feasibility*
 7 *study for which the maximum Federal cost*
 8 *is expected to exceed \$5,000,000, based on*
 9 *the total cost estimate established under*
 10 *paragraph (2), including an explanation of*
 11 *such exceedance; and*

12 *“(iv) an explanation of any missed*
 13 *deadlines or extensions; and*

14 *“(B) make publicly available (including on*
 15 *a publicly available website) a copy of the an-*
 16 *nual report described in subparagraph (A) not*
 17 *later than 14 days after the date on which a re-*
 18 *port is submitted to Congress.”.*

19 *(c) APPLICABILITY.—*

20 *(1) NEW STUDIES.—The amendments made by*
 21 *this section shall apply to feasibility studies initiated*
 22 *on or after the date of enactment of this section.*

23 *(2) ONGOING STUDIES.—For any feasibility*
 24 *study initiated before the date of enactment of this*
 25 *section, but for which a final report of the Chief of*

1 *Engineers has not been submitted to Congress pursu-*
 2 *ant to section 2033 of the Water Resources Develop-*
 3 *ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a) on or before such*
 4 *date of enactment, the Secretary shall, upon request*
 5 *from the relevant non-Federal interest, apply the re-*
 6 *quirements of section 1001 of the Water Resources Re-*
 7 *form and Development Act of 2014 (as amended by*
 8 *this section) and section 905(g) of the Water Re-*
 9 *sources Development Act of 1986 (as amended by this*
 10 *section) to the feasibility study.*

11 *(d) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS ON TERMINATED*
 12 *STUDIES.—The Secretary shall provide written notice to*
 13 *the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
 14 *House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-*
 15 *ment and Public Works of the Senate on any feasibility*
 16 *study terminated or proposed to be terminated, including*
 17 *a summary of the reasons for such termination, and any*
 18 *proposed alternatives the non-Federal interest may pursue*
 19 *in lieu of a feasibility study.*

20 **SEC. 109. CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAMS.**

21 *(a) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO*
 22 *FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—*

23 *(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish*
 24 *a program to carry out studies and projects for the*
 25 *improvement of covered projects.*

1 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—

2 (A) *STUDIES.*—

3 (i) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may*
4 *carry out a study of a covered project under*
5 *this subsection to determine whether there is*
6 *a need to modify the structure or operations*
7 *of the covered project in order to—*

8 (I) *improve flood risk manage-*
9 *ment; or*

10 (II) *meet applicable Federal*
11 *standards, including levee accredita-*
12 *tion standards.*

13 (ii) *FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT.*—*The*
14 *Secretary shall include in a study carried*
15 *out under this subsection—*

16 (I) *as part of the calculation of*
17 *benefits and costs, each calculation*
18 *identified in section 2033(d) of the*
19 *Water Resources Development Act of*
20 *2007 (33 U.S.C. 2282a(d)); and*

21 (II) *an assessment of geographical*
22 *transfers of, or changes in, flood risk*
23 *within and beyond the boundaries of*
24 *the covered project.*

1 (B) *PROJECTS.*—*The Secretary may carry*
2 *out a project under this subsection to make*
3 *modifications to the structure or operations of a*
4 *covered project if the Secretary determines, based*
5 *on the study of the covered project carried out*
6 *under subparagraph (A), that—*

7 (i) *the modifications—*

8 (I) *are feasible and consistent*
9 *with the authorized purposes of the*
10 *covered project;*

11 (II) *will provide for flood risk*
12 *management in the public interest; and*

13 (III) *are cost effective; and*

14 (ii) *any increases in flood risk that*
15 *may result from the modifications will be*
16 *offset within the boundaries of the covered*
17 *project.*

18 (C) *COORDINATION.*—*In carrying out this*
19 *subsection, the Secretary shall coordinate with*
20 *appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies.*

21 (D) *ITEMS PROVIDED BY THE NON-FEDERAL*
22 *INTEREST.—*

23 (i) *IN GENERAL.*—*The non-Federal in-*
24 *terest for a project carried out under this*
25 *subsection shall provide all land, easements,*

rights-of-way, and relocations necessary for the project.

(ii) *CREDIT.*—The value of land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations provided under clause (i) shall be credited toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project carried out under this subsection.

(E) *AGREEMENTS.*—Construction of a project under this subsection shall be initiated only after a non-Federal interest has entered into a binding agreement with the Secretary to pay—

(i) the non-Federal share of the costs of construction required under this subsection; and

(ii) 100 percent of any operation, maintenance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs associated with the project, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

(3) *COST SHARE.*—

(A) *STUDY.*—Subject to subparagraph (C), the Federal share of the cost of a study carried out under this subsection shall be 50 percent, except that the first \$100,000 of the cost of the study shall be at Federal expense.

1 (B) CONSTRUCTION.—

2 (i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
3 graph (C), the non-Federal share of the cost
4 of construction of a project carried out
5 under this subsection shall be 75 percent.

6 (ii) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.—The
7 non-Federal share of the cost of construction
8 of a project carried out under this sub-
9 section may be provided in the form of in-
10 kind contributions, including a contribution
11 of a facility or supply or of a service that
12 is necessary to carry out the project.

13 (iii) OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS.—Sub-
14 ject to subparagraph (C), the non-Federal
15 share of the cost of construction of a project
16 carried out under this subsection may be
17 provided in the form of funds provided to
18 the non-Federal interest by another Federal
19 agency, if such Federal agency provides
20 written consent to the non-Federal interest
21 for the use of such funds for the project.

22 (C) LIMITATION.—The total Federal
23 amount expended for a study or project under
24 this subsection shall be not more than
25 \$15,000,000.

1 (4) *LIMITATIONS.*—

2 (A) *BETTERMENTS.*—*The Secretary may*
3 *include in a project under this subsection a*
4 *modification to the structure or operations of a*
5 *covered project that is necessary solely for pur-*
6 *poses of meeting State or local building, zoning,*
7 *or other standards only as an element of a lo-*
8 *cally preferred plan.*

9 (B) *DEFERRED MAINTENANCE.*—

10 (i) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may*
11 *not include in a project under this sub-*
12 *section any modification to the structure or*
13 *operations of a covered project to address*
14 *any operations and maintenance respon-*
15 *sibilities of the non-Federal interest for the*
16 *covered project, including to address any*
17 *deferred or incomplete maintenance of the*
18 *covered project by the non-Federal interest.*

19 (ii) *BASELINE CONDITION.*—*The Sec-*
20 *retary shall use the as-built condition of a*
21 *covered project, including the as-built condi-*
22 *tion of any modifications authorized by the*
23 *Secretary, as the basis for determining any*
24 *modifications to the structure or operations*

1 of the covered project to be included in a
2 project carried out under this subsection.

3 (5) *COVERED PROJECT DEFINED.*—In this sub-
4 section, the term “covered project” means—

5 (A) a water resources development project
6 constructed by the Secretary for the purpose of
7 flood risk management; and

8 (B) a project with respect to which the Sec-
9 retary is authorized to provide assistance under
10 section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33
11 U.S.C. 701n).

12 (6) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—
13 There is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-
14 retary to carry out this subsection \$50,000,000 for
15 each fiscal year.

16 (b) *APPLICABILITY OF EXPENDITURE LIMITS.*—

17 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—With respect to each covered
18 water resources development project, the Secretary
19 shall apply the maximum per-project Federal expend-
20 iture limit for the continuing authority program
21 under which the project is authorized, as the provi-
22 sion of law establishing such limit is in effect on the
23 day after the date of enactment of this Act.

24 (2) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

1 (A) *CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM.*—
 2 *The term “continuing authority program” has*
 3 *the meaning given that term in section*
 4 *7001(c)(1)(D)(iii) of the Water Resources Reform*
 5 *and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.*
 6 *2282d(c)(1)(D)(iii)) (as amended by this Act).*

7 (B) *COVERED WATER RESOURCES DEVELOP-*
 8 *MENT PROJECT DEFINED.*—*The term “covered*
 9 *water resources development project” means a*
 10 *water resources development project authorized*
 11 *pursuant to a continuing authority program, in-*
 12 *cluding a water resources development project for*
 13 *which—*

14 (i) *an initial construction contract was*
 15 *awarded before January 4, 2025; and*

16 (ii) *construction is not complete as of*
 17 *the date of enactment of this Act.*

18 (c) *STUDIES AND PROJECTS FOR DROUGHT RESIL-*
 19 *IENCY.*—*Section 155 of the Water Resources Development*
 20 *Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2347c) is amended to read as fol-*
 21 *lows:*

1 **“SEC. 155. STUDIES AND PROJECTS FOR DROUGHT RESIL-**
2 **IENCY.**

3 “(a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall establish a*
4 *program to carry out, in partnership with non-Federal in-*
5 *terests, studies and projects for drought resiliency.*

6 “(b) *REQUIREMENTS.*—

7 “(1) *STUDIES.*—*The Secretary may carry out a*
8 *study under this section for a project to provide for*
9 *drought resiliency, including through—*

10 “(A) *the implementation of water conserva-*
11 *tion measures to mitigate and address drought*
12 *conditions;*

13 “(B) *the management of sediment for in-*
14 *creased water in the applicable watershed;*

15 “(C) *mitigation and monitoring associated*
16 *with aquatic or riparian nonnative species that*
17 *exacerbate drought conditions, such as salt cedar;*

18 “(D) *the planting of native plant species*
19 *that will reduce the risk of drought and the inci-*
20 *dence of nonnative plant species;*

21 “(E) *the construction of small water storage*
22 *projects;*

23 “(F) *groundwater aquifer recharge; or*

24 “(G) *other actions that increase drought re-*
25 *siliency and water conservation.*

1 “(2) *PROJECTS.*—*The Secretary may carry out a*
 2 *project under this subsection if the Secretary deter-*
 3 *mines, based on the study for the project carried out*
 4 *under paragraph (1), that the project—*

5 “(A) *will provide for drought resiliency, in-*
 6 *cluding through actions described in such para-*
 7 *graph;*

8 “(B) *is in the public interest; and*

9 “(C) *is cost effective.*

10 “(3) *USE OF NATURAL AND NATURE-BASED FEA-*
 11 *TURES.*—*In carrying out a study or project under*
 12 *this section, the Secretary shall, to the maximum ex-*
 13 *tent practicable, seek to incorporate natural features*
 14 *and nature-based features (as those terms are defined*
 15 *in section 1184(a) of the Water Resources Develop-*
 16 *ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)).*

17 “(4) *ITEMS PROVIDED BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-*
 18 *EST.*—

19 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The non-Federal inter-*
 20 *est for a project carried out under this section*
 21 *shall provide all land, easements, rights-of-way,*
 22 *and relocations necessary for the project.*

23 “(B) *CREDIT.*—*The value of the land, ease-*
 24 *ments, rights-of-way, and relocations provided*

1 under subparagraph (A) shall be credited toward
2 the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

3 “(5) *AGREEMENTS.*—Construction of a project
4 under this section shall be initiated only after a non-
5 Federal interest has entered into a binding agreement
6 with the Secretary to pay—

7 “(A) the non-Federal share of the costs of
8 construction required under this section; and

9 “(B) 100 percent of any operation, mainte-
10 nance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs as-
11 sociated with the project, in accordance with reg-
12 ulations prescribed by the Secretary.

13 “(c) *COST-SHARE.*—

14 “(1) *STUDIES.*—Subject to paragraph (3), the
15 Federal share of the cost of a study carried out under
16 this section shall be 50 percent, except that the first
17 \$100,000 of the cost of the study shall be at Federal
18 expense.

19 “(2) *CONSTRUCTION.*—

20 “(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to paragraph
21 (3), and except as provided in subparagraph
22 (B), the non-Federal share of the cost of construc-
23 tion of a project carried out under this section
24 shall be 35 percent.

1 “(B) *SMALL WATER STORAGE PROJECTS.*—
2 *A small water storage project carried out under*
3 *this section shall be subject to the cost-sharing re-*
4 *quirements applicable to construction of projects*
5 *under section 103 of the Water Resources Devel-*
6 *opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213), includ-*
7 *ing—*

8 “(i) *for municipal and industrial*
9 *water supply, the non-Federal share of the*
10 *cost shall be 100 percent;*

11 “(ii) *for agricultural water supply, the*
12 *non-Federal share of the cost shall be 35*
13 *percent; and*

14 “(iii) *for recreation, including rec-*
15 *reational navigation, the non-Federal share*
16 *of the cost shall be 50 percent of separable*
17 *costs and, in the case of any harbor or in-*
18 *land harbor or channel project, 50 percent*
19 *of joint and separable costs allocated to rec-*
20 *reational navigation.*

21 “(3) *LIMITATION.*—*The total Federal amount ex-*
22 *pende**d for a study or project under this section shall*
23 *be not more than \$10,000,000.*

24 “(d) *TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.*—*In carrying out this*
25 *section, the Secretary may provide technical assistance to*

1 *a non-Federal interest necessary to support comprehensive,*
 2 *systemwide approaches, and operations, maintenance, re-*
 3 *placement, and rehabilitation activities, that take into ac-*
 4 *count changing conditions from extreme and prolonged*
 5 *weather events.*

6 “(e) *FUNDING.*—*There is authorized to be appro-*
 7 *priated to carry out this section \$35,000,000 for each fiscal*
 8 *year.*

9 “(f) *SMALL WATER STORAGE PROJECT DEFINED.*—*In*
 10 *this section, the term ‘small water storage project’ means*
 11 *a project for water storage that—*

12 “(1) *in the case of a new project, has a water*
 13 *storage capacity of not less than 2,000 acre-feet and*
 14 *not more than 30,000 acre-feet; or*

15 “(2) *in the case of an enlargement of an existing*
 16 *project, is for an enlargement of not less than 1,000*
 17 *acre-feet and not more than 30,000 acre-feet.”.*

18 (d) *EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PRO-*
 19 *TECTION.*—*Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33*
 20 *U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and in-*
 21 *serting “\$62,500,000”.*

22 (e) *SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.*—*Section 205*
 23 *of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is amend-*
 24 *ed, in the first sentence, by striking “\$90,000,000” and in-*
 25 *serting “\$100,000,000”.*

1 (f) *REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-*
 2 *NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (33 U.S.C.*
 3 *701g) is amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting*
 4 *“\$19,000,000”.*

5 (g) *PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF*
 6 *ENVIRONMENT OR DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—Section*
 7 *1135(h) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
 8 *(33 U.S.C. 2309a(h)) is amended by striking “\$62,000,000”*
 9 *and inserting “\$75,000,000”.*

10 (h) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section*
 11 *7001(c)(1)(D)(iii) of the Water Resources Reform and De-*
 12 *velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(c)(1)(D)(iii)) is*
 13 *amended—*

14 (1) *in subclause (IX), by striking “; and” and*
 15 *inserting a semicolon;*

16 (2) *in subclause (X), by striking the period at*
 17 *the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

18 (3) *by adding at the end the following:*

19 *“(XI) section 109(a) of the Water*
 20 *Resources Development Act of 2026;*
 21 *and*

22 *“(XII) section 155 of the Water*
 23 *Resources Development Act of 2020.”.*

1 **SEC. 110. DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.**

2 (a) *PLANNING PERIOD.*—In developing a dredged ma-
 3 terial management plan for an authorized water resources
 4 development project, the Secretary shall provide for a min-
 5 imum of 10 years of dredged material placement capacity.

6 (b) *EXCEPTION.*—The requirement established under
 7 subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to an authorized
 8 water resources development project if the Secretary finds
 9 that providing for a minimum of 10 years of dredged mate-
 10 rial placement capacity in the dredged material manage-
 11 ment plan for the project is not feasible due to complexity,
 12 controversy, or other compelling factors.

13 **SEC. 111. DREDGING COORDINATION.**

14 (a) *CONSULTATION.*—

15 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—In determining the scope and
 16 performance timeline to be included in a contract en-
 17 tered into on or after the date of enactment of this Act
 18 with an entity to carry out maintenance dredging at
 19 an authorized water resources development project, the
 20 Secretary shall consult with stakeholders, including—

21 (A) the non-Federal interest for the project;
 22 (B) relevant Federal and State entities; and
 23 (C) to the extent practicable, other non-Fed-
 24 eral entities that utilize or rely on the project.

25 (2) *EXCEPTION.*—Notwithstanding paragraph
 26 (1), if the Secretary determines that an emergency ex-

1 *ists or there is an important national interest at*
2 *stake, the Secretary may determine the scope and per-*
3 *formance timeline to be included in a contract de-*
4 *scribed in such paragraph without consulting with*
5 *stakeholders that are not a party to the contract.*

6 *(b) COMMUNICATION.—If the Secretary receives, from*
7 *an entity carrying out maintenance dredging at an author-*
8 *ized water resources development project under a contract*
9 *entered into with the Secretary, information about changes*
10 *with respect to the performance of such maintenance dredg-*
11 *ing, the Secretary shall, not later than 3 business days after*
12 *receiving such information—*

13 *(1) determine whether such changes are reason-*
14 *ably likely to affect the period of performance of*
15 *dredging under the contract; and*

16 *(2) if the Secretary determines that such changes*
17 *are reasonably likely to affect such period, notify the*
18 *applicable non-Federal interest of such changes.*

19 *(c) CAPABILITY NUMBERS.—With respect to any*
20 *maintenance dredging activity, the Secretary shall, upon*
21 *request, make available to the applicable non-Federal inter-*
22 *est the estimate made by the Secretary of the amount of*
23 *funding that the Secretary could reasonably expend in a*
24 *fiscal year with respect to such activity.*

1 **SEC. 112. FEDERAL STANDARD FOR DREDGED MATERIAL**
2 **DISPOSAL OR PLACEMENT.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall update the reg-*
4 *ulations under chapter II of title 33, Code of Federal Regu-*
5 *lations that are applicable to establishing the Federal*
6 *standard for dredged material disposal or placement for a*
7 *water resources development project—*

8 (1) *to align the requirements and policy of such*
9 *regulations with—*

10 (A) *section 1130 of the Water Resources De-*
11 *velopment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3018);*

12 (B) *section 125(a) of the Water Resources*
13 *Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g), in-*
14 *cluding—*

15 (i) *the directive to include the eco-*
16 *nomical benefits and efficiencies from the ben-*
17 *eficial use of dredged material in any deter-*
18 *mination relating to the Federal standard;*
19 *and*

20 (ii) *the national goal established in*
21 *subsection (a)(1)(B) of such section of bene-*
22 *ficially using not less than 70 percent by*
23 *volume of suitable dredged material ob-*
24 *tained from the construction or operation*
25 *and maintenance of water resources devel-*
26 *opment projects; and*

1 (C) *section 1122 of the Water Resources De-*
2 *velopment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326 note);*
3 *and*

4 (2) *taking into consideration—*

5 (A) *the capacity of facilities using the con-*
6 *fin ed aquatic disposal methodology to store*
7 *dredged material, including the available capac-*
8 *ity to store contaminated dredged material;*

9 (B) *benefits, including monetary value cre-*
10 *ation, attributable to the beneficial use of*
11 *dredged material;*

12 (C) *any monetary benefits of environmental*
13 *and coastal resiliency attributable to the bene-*
14 *ficial use of dredged material;*

15 (D) *the demand on the Corps of Engineers*
16 *for environmental and ecological restoration*
17 *projects in coastal areas; and*

18 (E) *any incremental cost borne by non-Fed-*
19 *eral interests in implementing beneficial dredged*
20 *material placement separately from a project.*

21 (b) *INITIATION TIMELINE.—Not later than 6 months*
22 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
23 *initiate a rulemaking to carry out subsection (a).*

1 **SEC. 113. ALLOCATIONS FROM THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE**

2 **TRUST FUND.**

3 (a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—*It is the sense of Congress*
4 *that the Secretary should annually allocate all funds (in-*
5 *cluding funds appropriated from the Harbor Maintenance*
6 *Trust Fund) made available to the Secretary to pay for op-*
7 *erations and maintenance costs of harbors and inland har-*
8 *bors within the United States, including costs of expanded*
9 *uses carried out at an eligible harbor or inland harbor*
10 *under section 210(d)(2) of the Water Resources Development*
11 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(d)(2)), in accordance with the*
12 *requirements of 102(a)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-*
13 *ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note).*

14 (b) *AMENDMENTS.*—*Section 102(a) of the Water Re-*
15 *sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note) is*
16 *amended—*

17 (1) *in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding*
18 *subparagraph (A)—*

19 (A) *by striking “to the extent practicable,”;*

20 *and*

21 (B) *by striking “, to the extent there are*
22 *identifiable operations and maintenance needs,”;*

23 *and*

24 (2) *by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the*
25 *following:*

1 “(3) *ANNUAL REPORTING.*—*For each fiscal year,*
 2 *the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
 3 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of*
 4 *Representatives and the Committee on Environment*
 5 *and Public Works of the Senate an annual report that*
 6 *includes the following:*

7 “(A) *The total amount of expenditures*
 8 *made in the fiscal year to pay for projects de-*
 9 *scribed in each of subparagraphs (A) through*
 10 *(D) of paragraph (1).*

11 “(B) *A list of projects for which such ex-*
 12 *penditures were made, including the amounts ex-*
 13 *pended for each project.*

14 “(C) *An explanation for any failure to*
 15 *make such expenditures in compliance with the*
 16 *requirements of paragraph (1) in the fiscal year,*
 17 *and a corrective plan for the subsequent fiscal*
 18 *year.”.*

19 (c) *APPLICABILITY.*—*The amendments made by sub-*
 20 *section (b)(1) shall apply with respect to funds made avail-*
 21 *able after the date of enactment of this Act.*

22 **SEC. 114. SOO LOCKS OPERATOR WAGE RATES.**

23 *Notwithstanding any other law, rule, or regulation,*
 24 *nonsupervisory, leader, and supervisory wage employees of*
 25 *the Corps of Engineers at the navigation locks at Sault*

1 *Sainte Marie, Michigan, who are engaged in operating lock*
 2 *and dam equipment or who repair and maintain naviga-*
 3 *tion lock and dam operating machinery and equipment*
 4 *shall be paid from special wage schedules having rates iden-*
 5 *tical to the regular wage schedule authorized for the Detroit*
 6 *District Office.*

7 **SEC. 115. BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL FROM**
 8 **HARBORS IN THE STATE OF OHIO.**

9 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall expedite the re-*
 10 *view of a request of a non-Federal interest to enter into*
 11 *a partnership agreement under section 217(c) of the Water*
 12 *Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(c))*
 13 *for the design, construction, or operation of a facility used*
 14 *to demonstrate potential beneficial uses of dredged material*
 15 *from a federally authorized harbor in the State of Ohio.*

16 (b) *USER FEES.*—*An agreement described in sub-*
 17 *section (a) and entered into after an expedited review under*
 18 *subsection (a) may provide for the Secretary to reimburse*
 19 *the non-Federal interest for funds provided by such non-*
 20 *Federal interest for activities carried out pursuant to the*
 21 *agreement through the payment of subsequent user fees to*
 22 *the non-Federal interest in a manner consistent with sec-*
 23 *tion 217(d)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 24 *1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(d)(2)), as though the non-Federal*
 25 *interest is a private entity under such section.*

1 (c) *DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—In re-
 2 viewing a request pursuant to subsection (a), if the Sec-
 3 retary determines that timely completion of a dredged mate-
 4 rial management plan with respect to a federally authorized
 5 harbor described in subsection (a) is not feasible due to com-
 6 plexity, controversy, or other compelling factors, and in
 7 cases in which capacity is inadequate to accommodate the
 8 maintenance dredging needs of the harbor, the Secretary
 9 may enter into the agreement prior to completion of such
 10 a dredged material management plan.

11 (d) *PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES.*—Prior to entering
 12 into an agreement reviewed pursuant to subsection (a), the
 13 Secretary may apply the practices and procedures described
 14 in part 337 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, to the
 15 facility that is proposed to be subject to the requested agree-
 16 ment.

17 **SEC. 116. MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

18 (a) *POLICY AND GUIDANCE UPDATES.*—

19 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after
 20 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
 21 revise or update the policy and guidance documents
 22 of the Corps of Engineers related to identifying and
 23 approving the appropriate minimum interest in real
 24 property necessary to support a water resources devel-
 25 opment project, including Chapter 12 of Engineering

1 *Regulation 405–1–12, entitled Real Estate Handbook,*
 2 *consistent with section 1104 of the Water Resources*
 3 *Development Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 598b).*

4 (2) *PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall make*
 5 *publicly available (including on a publicly available*
 6 *website) the changes to any policy or guidance docu-*
 7 *ments made pursuant to this section.*

8 (b) *DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.—Section*
 9 *1104 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (33*
 10 *U.S.C. 598b) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

11 “(f) *DELEGATION OF APPROVAL AUTHORITY.—The*
 12 *Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, delegate*
 13 *approval of the minimum interest in real property identi-*
 14 *fied under subsection (b) necessary to support a specific*
 15 *water resources development project to the District Com-*
 16 *mander of the district of the Corps of Engineers in which*
 17 *the project is located.”.*

18 **SEC. 117. REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL VALIDITY.**

19 (a) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 210 days after the*
 20 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—*

21 (1) *complete a review of policies and guidance of*
 22 *the Corps of Engineers that are applicable to the real*
 23 *estate appraisal process for civil works projects for*
 24 *purposes of identifying efficiencies that may be incor-*

1 porated into such process, including guidance related
2 to review and approval of such appraisals; and

3 (2) based on such review, issue revisions to such
4 policies and guidance to ensure efficient project deliv-
5 ery and avoid delays during feasibility study review
6 and approval, to the extent practicable.

7 (b) *REQUIREMENTS.*—In carrying out subsection (a),
8 the Secretary shall develop—

9 (1) guidelines for determining the validity of an
10 appraisal at any time during the period beginning on
11 the date on which the Secretary receives the appraisal
12 and ending on the date on which the certification of
13 a total project cost estimate for the applicable project
14 expires; and

15 (2) standard—

16 (A) timeframes for review of appraisals;
17 and

18 (B) delegations of authority to approve ap-
19 praisals, for use at the discretion of the Sec-
20 retary.

21 (c) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 30 days
22 after completion of the review under subsection (a), the Sec-
23 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
24 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the

1 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
 2 *a report that—*

- 3 (1) *describes the findings of the review;*
- 4 (2) *identifies any revisions to policy and guid-*
 5 *ance made as a result of the review; and*
- 6 (3) *identifies any additional recommendations*
 7 *for legislative action to further improve the real estate*
 8 *appraisal process.*

9 **SEC. 118. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

10 *Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 11 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) is amended—*

- 12 (1) *in subsection (a)(5), by inserting “(including*
 13 *projected water supply needs for municipal, domestic,*
 14 *industrial, and agricultural purposes)” after “sup-*
 15 *ply”; and*

- 16 (2) *in subsection (d)—*

- 17 (A) *in paragraph (17), by striking “; and”*
 18 *and inserting a semicolon;*

- 19 (B) *in paragraph (18), by striking the pe-*
 20 *riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

- 21 (C) *by adding at the end the following:*

22 *“(19) Eightmile River Watershed, Connecticut;*

23 *“(20) Central Florida watersheds;*

24 *“(21) Pensacola Bay System Watershed, Florida.*

25 *“(22) Muskegon River Watershed, Michigan;*

1 “(23) *Great Egg Harbor River Watershed, New*
 2 *Jersey;*

3 “(24) *Middle Rio Grande Watershed, New Mex-*
 4 *ico;*

5 “(25) *the Upper Ohio River Basin;*

6 “(26) *the Rio Grande Basin, Texas; and*

7 “(27) *the Great Salt Lake Basin.*”.

8 **SEC. 119. PROHIBITION ON DIVERSION OF WATER FROM**
 9 **MISSOURI RIVER.**

10 (a) *APPROVAL BY GOVERNORS FOR DIVERSION OF*
 11 *WATER.*—*No water may be diverted or exported from any*
 12 *portion of the Missouri River, or from any tributary of the*
 13 *Missouri River, for use outside of a Missouri River State*
 14 *unless such diversion or export is approved by the Governor*
 15 *of each of the Missouri River States.*

16 (b) *APPROVAL OF GOVERNORS FOR DIVERSION STUD-*
 17 *IES.*—*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Sec-*
 18 *retary may not undertake any study, or expend any Fed-*
 19 *eral funds to contract for any study, of the feasibility of*
 20 *diverting or exporting water from any portion of the Mis-*
 21 *souri River, or from any tributary of the Missouri River,*
 22 *for use outside the Missouri River States, unless such study*
 23 *or expenditure is approved by the Governor of each of the*
 24 *Missouri River States.*

1 (c) *PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED DIVERSIONS.*—*The pro-*
 2 *hibition on diverting or exporting water under subsection*
 3 *(a) shall not apply to any diversion or export of water from*
 4 *the Missouri River that is authorized with respect to express*
 5 *or implied Federal reserved water rights on or before Janu-*
 6 *ary 1, 2025.*

7 (d) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

8 (1) *MISSOURI RIVER.*—*The term “Missouri*
 9 *River” means the portions of the Missouri River with-*
 10 *in the United States.*

11 (2) *MISSOURI RIVER STATE.*—*The term “Mis-*
 12 *souri River State” means the States of Colorado,*
 13 *Minnesota, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota,*
 14 *Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Wyoming.*

15 **SEC. 120. LAW ENFORCEMENT AT WATER RESOURCES DE-**
 16 **VELOPMENT PROJECTS.**

17 *Section 120(b) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 18 *of 1976 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5d(b)) is amended by striking*
 19 *“\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.*

20 **SEC. 121. DISASTER DEBRIS REMOVAL.**

21 (a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—*It is the sense of Congress*
 22 *that the standard terms and provisions used by the Corps*
 23 *of Engineers in emergency debris removal contracts should*
 24 *facilitate effective and expedient removal of disaster-gen-*
 25 *erated debris that poses a risk to public safety while maxi-*

1 mizing the value to the taxpayer and minimizing the risk
 2 of unnecessary debris removal that harms property, natural
 3 systems, or wildlife.

4 (b) *EVALUATION.*—In determining the standard terms
 5 and provisions that the Corps of Engineers should use in
 6 emergency debris removal contracts, the Secretary shall
 7 evaluate—

8 (1) the various contract and fee structures uti-
 9 lized by the Corps of Engineers and the impact of
 10 each such structure on total cost and work quality,
 11 including an assessment of such structures that is tied
 12 to the volume of debris removed;

13 (2) the addition of terms requiring coordination
 14 between the Corps of Engineers, the contractor, Fed-
 15 eral, State, and local agencies and officials and other
 16 relevant experts prior to removing debris to ensure
 17 protection of healthy vegetation, important habitats,
 18 and vulnerable wildlife; and

19 (3) other terms and measures to protect private
 20 property, habitat, and wildlife during emergency de-
 21bris removal activities.

22 **SEC. 122. WILDFIRE CONTINGENCY STRATEGIES.**

23 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—At the request of the non-Federal
 24 interest for a water resources development project, the Sec-
 25retary shall develop a wildfire contingency strategy for

1 *identifying both wildfire risks and possible wildfire mitiga-*
 2 *tion with respect to such project.*

3 (b) *CONTENTS.—In developing a strategy under sub-*
 4 *section (a), the Secretary shall include a—*

5 (1) *wildfire risk assessment; and*

6 (2) *wildfire mitigation plan.*

7 (c) *WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT.—In the wildfire*
 8 *risk assessment described in subsection (b)(1) for a project,*
 9 *the Secretary shall identify—*

10 (1) *risks to such project from wildfires—*

11 (A) *that may affect the operation of and*
 12 *ability to carry out the authorized purposes of*
 13 *the project, including navigation, flood control,*
 14 *irrigation, hydropower, water supply, recreation,*
 15 *and fish and wildlife conservation; and*

16 (B) *that may affect life and private prop-*
 17 *erty in the vicinity of the project; and*

18 (2) *risks of sediment, debris, and associated run-*
 19 *off into the project that may occur after a wildfire.*

20 (d) *WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN.—In the wildfire*
 21 *mitigation plan described in subsection (b)(2) for a project,*
 22 *the Secretary shall develop—*

23 (1) *a strategy that identifies actions the Sec-*
 24 *retary or non-Federal interest may take to reduce or*

1 *eliminate adverse impacts to the project from a wild-*
2 *fire, including—*

3 *(A) hazardous fuels management;*

4 *(B) fuel or fire breaks;*

5 *(C) treatments for invasive species and*
6 *vegetation;*

7 *(D) aquatic ecosystem restoration activities*
8 *to increase wildfire resistance; and*

9 *(E) operational changes, including updates*
10 *to water control manuals or associated water*
11 *control plans;*

12 *(2) a summary of resources and capabilities to*
13 *implement such plan;*

14 *(3) a timeline to implement such plan; and*

15 *(4) any other information determined necessary*
16 *by the Secretary.*

17 *(e) CONSULTATION.—In developing strategies under*
18 *subsection (a), the Secretary shall, as applicable, consult*
19 *with other Federal agencies, States, Tribal governments,*
20 *local governments, and relevant stakeholders.*

21 *(f) PRIORITIZATION.—In responding to requests for*
22 *wildfire contingency strategies from non-Federal interests*
23 *pursuant to this section, the Secretary shall prioritize devel-*
24 *oping strategies for projects located in areas where there is*

1 *considerable risk of wildfire or that has been subject to re-*
 2 *cent wildfires.*

3 *(g) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18 months*
 4 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 5 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 6 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
 7 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
 8 *the status of implementation of this section.*

9 **SEC. 123. RESERVOIR SEDIMENT PILOT PROGRAM.**

10 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the*
 11 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish,*
 12 *using available funds, a pilot program under which the Sec-*
 13 *retary may accept services provided by a State for the re-*
 14 *moval of sediment captured behind a project for the purpose*
 15 *of restoring the authorized storage capacity of the project.*

16 *(b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out this section, the*
 17 *Secretary shall—*

18 *(1) review the services of the State to ensure that*
 19 *the services are consistent with the authorized pur-*
 20 *poses of the project;*

21 *(2) ensure that the State will indemnify the*
 22 *United States for, or has entered into an agreement*
 23 *approved by the Secretary to address, any adverse*
 24 *impact to the project as a result of such services; and*

1 (3) *require the State, prior to initiating the serv-*
2 *ices and upon completion of the services, to conduct*
3 *sediment surveys to determine the sediment profile*
4 *and quality before and after the completion of such*
5 *services.*

6 (c) *LIMITATION.—*

7 (1) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may not accept*
8 *services under subsection (a) if the Secretary, after*
9 *consultation with the Chief of Engineers, determines*
10 *that accepting the services is not advantageous to the*
11 *United States.*

12 (2) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If the Secretary*
13 *makes a determination under paragraph (1) that ac-*
14 *cepting services is not advantageous to the United*
15 *States, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee*
16 *on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of*
17 *Representatives and the Committee on Environment*
18 *and Public Works of the Senate written notice de-*
19 *scribing the reasoning for the determination.*

20 (d) *AGREEMENT.—Prior to accepting services under*
21 *subsection (a), the Secretary shall enter into a written*
22 *agreement with the State and the non-Federal interest for*
23 *the project that authorizes the Secretary to provide credit*
24 *to the non-Federal interest for operation and maintenance*
25 *of the project for the value of the services provided under*

1 subsection (a) that the Secretary determines are integral to
2 the project.

3 (e) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Prior to accept-
4 ing services provided by a State under subsection (a), the
5 Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Transportation
6 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the
7 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
8 written notice that the Secretary intends to accept the serv-
9 ices.

10 (f) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out the pilot pro-
11 gram under subsection (a), the Secretary shall prioritize ac-
12 cepting services for projects in the following locations:

13 (1) John Redmond Reservoir, Kansas, authorized
14 pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Control Act of
15 1950 (64 Stat. 173).

16 (2) Kanopolis Lake, Kansas, and the sur-
17 rounding lands managed by the Corps of Engineers.

18 (3) Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas, authorized pursu-
19 ant to section 2 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter
20 795, 52 Stat. 1218).

21 (4) Sardis Lake reservoir, Oklahoma, authorized
22 by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76
23 Stat. 1187; 95 Stat. 1137).

1 (g) *PROJECT DEFINED.*—*In this section, the term*
 2 *“project” means a dam owned or operated by the United*
 3 *States and under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.*

4 (h) *TERMINATION.*—*This pilot program shall termi-*
 5 *nate on the date that is 10 years after the date of enactment*
 6 *of this Act.*

7 **SEC. 124. ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING.**

8 *Section 8127(a) of the Water Resources Development*
 9 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3716) is amended by adding at the*
 10 *end the following:*

11 “(6) *The project for navigation, Ipswich River,*
 12 *Massachusetts, authorized by the River and Harbor*
 13 *Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 731).”.*

14 **SEC. 125. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**
 15 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

16 *Section 104(a) of the River and Harbor Act of 1958*
 17 *(33 U.S.C. 610(a)) is amended by adding at the end the*
 18 *following:*

19 “(4) *INDIRECT COSTS.*—*Funds made available to*
 20 *carry out this section may be used to pay reasonable*
 21 *and necessary indirect costs associated with activities*
 22 *carried out under this section, including administra-*
 23 *tive expenses, overhead, and other costs not directly*
 24 *attributable to a specific project.”.*

1 **SEC. 126. FEASIBILITY STUDIES; REVIEW OF SHORELINE**
 2 **AND STREAMBANK PROTECTION.**

3 *Section 116(b) of Water Resources Development Act of*
 4 *2020 (33 U.S.C. 2282 note) is amended by inserting “,*
 5 *shoreline protection, or emergency streambank protection”*
 6 *after “reduction”.*

7 **SEC. 127. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
 8 **GRAM.**

9 *Section 128 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 10 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—*

11 *(1) in subsection (c)—*

12 *(A) in paragraph (15), by striking “and”*
 13 *at the end;*

14 *(B) in paragraph (16), by striking the pe-*
 15 *riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

16 *(C) by adding at the end the following:*

17 *“(17) Putnam Lake, New York;*

18 *“(18) Ross Island Lagoon, Oregon;*

19 *“(19) Lakes and reservoirs in the Commonwealth*
 20 *of Pennsylvania, including Blue Marsh Lake; and*

21 *“(20) Rio Grande River Basin, Texas.”; and*

22 *(2) by adding at the end the following:*

23 *“(h) ANNUAL UPDATE TO COMMITTEES.—Not later*
 24 *than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection,*
 25 *and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall provide to the*
 26 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*

1 *House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-*
 2 *ment and Public Works of the Senate an update on the sta-*
 3 *tus of the demonstration program carried out under sub-*
 4 *section (a).”.*

5 **SEC. 128. SHORELINE AND RIVERINE PROTECTION AND**
 6 **RESTORATION.**

7 *Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Development*
 8 *Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by adding*
 9 *at the end the following:*

10 *“(N) Shoreline of the Commonwealth of*
 11 *Puerto Rico.*

12 *“(O) Blackstone River, Rhode Island.*

13 *“(P) Rio Grande bordering counties, Texas.*

14 *“(Q) Passumpsic River watershed,*
 15 *Vermont.”.*

16 **SEC. 129. FISH AND OYSTER HABITAT RESTORATION.**

17 *Section 704(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development*
 18 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)(2)) is amended—*

19 *(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at*
 20 *the end;*

21 *(2) in subparagraph (D)(v), by striking the pe-*
 22 *riod and inserting a semicolon; and*

23 *(3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the fol-*
 24 *lowing:*

1 “(E) the restoration and rehabilitation of
 2 habitat for fish, including native oysters, in the
 3 East River, Flushing Bay and River, and Bronx
 4 River, New York, including—

5 “(i) the construction of oyster bars and
 6 reefs;

7 “(ii) the rehabilitation of existing mar-
 8 ginal habitat and oyster reef sites;

9 “(iii) the use of appropriate alter-
 10 native substrate material in oyster bar and
 11 reef construction;

12 “(iv) the construction and upgrading
 13 of oyster hatcheries; and

14 “(v) activities relating to increasing
 15 the output of native oyster broodstock for
 16 seeding and monitoring of restored sites to
 17 ensure ecological success; and

18 “(F) the restoration and rehabilitation of
 19 habitat for fish in the Choctawhatchee Bay and
 20 off the coast in the vicinity of Okaloosa County,
 21 Florida.”.

22 **SEC. 130. BENEFITS AND COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO CER-**
 23 **TAIN MEASURES.**

24 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—In the evaluation by the Secretary
 25 of benefits and costs of an authorized water resources devel-

1 opment project, the Secretary shall treat benefits attrib-
 2 utable to measures that utilize a natural feature or nature-
 3 based feature (as such terms are defined in section 1184(a)
 4 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.
 5 2289a(a)) to be at least equal to the costs of such measures.

6 (b) CONSIDERATIONS.—

7 (1) APPLICABILITY.—The Secretary shall utilize
 8 the authority under subsection (a) on not more than
 9 10 projects that utilize a natural feature or nature-
 10 based feature, including the projects specified under
 11 paragraph (2).

12 (2) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall
 13 utilize the authority under subsection (a) on—

14 (A) the project for flood and storm damage
 15 reduction, New York and New Jersey Harbor
 16 and Tributaries, New York and New Jersey, as
 17 authorized by the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter
 18 140, 69 Stat. 132; 134 Stat. 2676; 138 Stat.
 19 3152); and

20 (B) the development of a recommendation
 21 for mitigation of hot spot erosion on an author-
 22 ized coastal storm risk management project in
 23 the State of New Jersey developed under section
 24 1217 of the Water Resources Development Act of
 25 2024 (138 Stat. 3081).

1 (c) *REPORT*.—Not later than 4 years after the date of
 2 enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the
 3 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
 4 House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-
 5 ment and Public Works of the Senate, and make publicly
 6 available (including on a publicly available website), a re-
 7 port detailing the results of each evaluation of benefits and
 8 costs carried out using the authority under this section.

9 (d) *SUNSET*.—The authority of the Secretary to ini-
 10 tiate an evaluation under subsection (a) shall terminate on
 11 the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of this
 12 Act.

13 **SEC. 131. POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF ALL CORPS AUTHORI-**
 14 **TIES AND MISSIONS.**

15 (a) *POLICY*.—

16 (1) *IN GENERAL*.—It is the policy of the United
 17 States for the Corps of Engineers, consistent with ap-
 18 plicable statutory authorities, to—

19 (A) fully utilize the programmatic, tech-
 20 nical and planning assistance, and project-spe-
 21 cific authorities provided by Congress in address-
 22 ing the mission areas described in paragraph
 23 (2);

24 (B) maximize using the full array of au-
 25 thorities and mission areas described in para-

graph (2) to comprehensively address local water resources challenges, consistent with the goals of the applicable non-Federal interest;

(C) fully identify and analyze national economic development benefits, regional economic development benefits, environmental quality benefits, and other societal effects of proposed water resource development activities and projects;

(D) ensure that the Corps of Engineers receives and allocates sufficient funding to address the varied local water resources challenges of diverse geographic regions of the United States; and

(E) maximize the development, evaluation, and recommendation of project alternatives for water resources development projects that produce comprehensive project benefits.

(2) *MISSION AREAS DESCRIBED.*—The mission areas described in this paragraph are the following:

(A) Navigation, including coastal and inland waterways navigation.

(B) Flood risk management and coastal storm risk management (including non-structural, natural, or nature-based features, and efforts to control, retain, and reuse stormwater).

1 (C) *Environmental and ecosystem restora-*
 2 *tion and protection.*

3 (D) *Municipal, industrial, and agricultural*
 4 *water supply, water conservation, and drought*
 5 *resiliency.*

6 (E) *Recreation, including recreational navi-*
 7 *gation.*

8 (F) *Hydropower.*

9 (G) *Aquatic plant and species control.*

10 (H) *Emergency response.*

11 (I) *Research and development.*

12 (b) *FULL CONSIDERATION.—In carrying out sub-*
 13 *section (a), the Secretary shall give full consideration to re-*
 14 *quests and proposals from non-Federal interests that are in*
 15 *alignment with the authorized programmatic, technical and*
 16 *planning assistance, and project-specific authorities and*
 17 *mission areas described in subsection (a).*

18 **SEC. 132. COMPREHENSIVE FEASIBILITY STUDIES AND AP-**
 19 **PROACHES FOR FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**
 20 **AND COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT.**

21 (a) *CLARIFICATION OF FEDERAL POLICY RELATED TO*
 22 *COMPREHENSIVE FLOODING AND NONSTRUCTURAL AP-*
 23 *PROACHES.—*

24 (1) *DECLARATION OF POLICY.—Section 1 of the*
 25 *Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701a) is amended—*

1 (A) by striking the section designation and
 2 heading and all that follows through “recog-
 3 nized” and inserting the following:

4 **“SECTION 1. DECLARATION OF POLICY.**

5 “(a) *IN GENERAL.*—It is recognized”;

6 (B) by inserting “, other water bodies, and
 7 shorelines” after “the rivers”;

8 (C) by inserting “that such destructive
 9 floods can originate or be augmented by the iso-
 10 lated or combined impacts of water from a vari-
 11 ety of drivers, such as riverine discharges, ex-
 12 treme weather events, coastal and tidal flooding,
 13 sea level rise, subsidence, and stormwater;” after
 14 “national welfare;”;

15 (D) by inserting “(including the reduction
 16 of comprehensive flood risk from the isolated and
 17 compound effects of any of the drivers identified
 18 in section 8106(a) of the Water Resources Devel-
 19 opment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2282g(a)))” be-
 20 fore “is a proper activity”;

21 (E) by inserting “(including the study and
 22 implementation of water resources development
 23 projects that provide comprehensive flood risk re-
 24 duction)” before “are in the interest of the gen-
 25 eral welfare”; and

1 (F) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(b) *NONSTRUCTURAL APPROACHES.*—It is the sense
3 of Congress that—

4 “(1) *structural features and nonstructural fea-*
5 *tures should be given equal consideration in every fea-*
6 *sibility study conducted by the Chief of Engineers for*
7 *flood risk management or hurricane and storm dam-*
8 *age risk reduction; and*

9 “(2) *the implementation of nonstructural fea-*
10 *tures determined by the Chief of Engineers to align*
11 *with the policy established by section 2031(a) of the*
12 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C.*
13 *1962–3) is part of the primary mission of the Corps*
14 *of Engineers for flood risk management and hurri-*
15 *cane and storm damage risk reduction.”.*

16 (2) *DEFINITION OF FLOOD CONTROL.*—Section 2
17 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 701a–1)
18 is amended by inserting “and the reduction of com-
19 prehensive flood risk from the isolated and compound
20 effects of any of the drivers identified in section
21 8106(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
22 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2282g(a));” after “damages,”.

23 (b) *CLARIFICATION ON SCOPE OF FEASIBILITY STUD-*
24 *IES FOR COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD RISK.*—Section 8106(a)

1 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.*
 2 *2282g(a)) is amended—*

3 *(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by*
 4 *striking “shall formulate” and inserting “shall in-*
 5 *clude in the study any effects within the geographic*
 6 *scope of the study of, and formulate”;*

7 *(2) in paragraph (4), by striking “frequency”*
 8 *and inserting “frequency, including flooding associ-*
 9 *ated with stormwater that flows at a rate of less than*
 10 *800 cubic feet per second for the 10-percent flood”;*

11 *(3) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (10)*
 12 *as clauses (A) through (J), respectively, and adjusting*
 13 *the margins accordingly;*

14 *(4) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), as*
 15 *so redesignated, by striking “In carrying out” and*
 16 *inserting the following:*

17 *“(1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out”; and*

18 *(5) by adding at the end the following:*

19 *“(2) RECOMMENDATION OF COMPREHENSIVE*
 20 *FLOOD RISK REDUCTION ALTERNATIVE.—If the Sec-*
 21 *retary determines that a project alternative identified*
 22 *by the non-Federal interest pursuant to paragraph*
 23 *(1) is feasible, the Secretary shall incorporate such al-*
 24 *ternative in the recommended plan for the project as*

1 *a project feature the costs of which are shared as con-*
 2 *struction.”.*

3 **SEC. 133. REALIGNMENT OF CERTAIN CORPS OF ENGI-**
 4 **NEERS DISTRICTS.**

5 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the*
 6 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall realign*
 7 *the following districts of the Corps of Engineers as follows:*

8 *(1) Atchison County, Missouri, and Holt County,*
 9 *Missouri, shall be transferred to the Kansas City Dis-*
 10 *trict.*

11 *(2) Northeast Missouri shall be transferred from*
 12 *the Rock Island District to the St. Louis District.*

13 *(3) The counties of Arkansas, Clay, Greene, Inde-*
 14 *pendence, Jackson, Lawrence, Lonoke, Randolph, and*
 15 *Woodruff, Arkansas, shall be transferred to the Mem-*
 16 *phis District.*

17 *(4) Desha County, Arkansas, and Lincoln Coun-*
 18 *ty, Arkansas, shall be transferred to the Vicksburg*
 19 *District.*

20 *(b) REQUIREMENT WITH RESPECT TO REALIGNMENT*
 21 *ACTION.—*

22 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in sub-*
 23 *section (a), the Secretary may not carry out any ac-*
 24 *tion to modify a geographic boundary of the Corps of*
 25 *Engineers unless the Secretary submits to the Com-*

1 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
 2 *House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
 3 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate a notifica-*
 4 *tion not later than 30 days in advance of such modi-*
 5 *fication.*

6 (2) *CONSULTATION AND PUBLIC COMMENT.—In*
 7 *developing the notification required under paragraph*
 8 *(1), the Secretary shall, to the extent practicable, con-*
 9 *sult with and accept comments from affected stake-*
 10 *holders in the geographic boundary affected by the*
 11 *proposed modification to the geographic boundary of*
 12 *the Corps of Engineers, including—*

13 (A) *State, Tribal, or local governments;*

14 (B) *non-Federal interests;*

15 (C) *the workforce of the Corps of Engineers*
 16 *(including any organizations representing such*
 17 *workforce); and*

18 (D) *other entities, as determined appro-*
 19 *priate by the Secretary.*

20 (3) *CONTENTS.—In the notification required*
 21 *under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include—*

22 (A) *a justification for a modification to the*
 23 *geographic boundary of the Corps of Engineers;*

24 (B) *a description of the effects of such modi-*
 25 *fication on—*

- 1 (i) the ability of the Corps of Engi-
2 neers to execute its mission;
3 (ii) the finances of the Corps of Engi-
4 neers;
5 (iii) the workforce of the Corps of En-
6 gineers; and
7 (iv) stakeholders (including State agen-
8 cies, conservation districts, and the public);
9 and
10 (C) a summary of any comments received
11 under paragraph (2) and the Secretary's re-
12 sponse to such comments.

13 **SEC. 134. UPDATE OF CORPS POLICY.**

14 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as otherwise specifically
15 provided by this Act, not later than 120 days after the date
16 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall—

17 (1) issue any new policy or guidance document
18 necessary to implement this Act; and

19 (2) revise or update any policy or guidance doc-
20 ument of the Corps of Engineers in effect on the date
21 of enactment of this Act to be in compliance with this
22 Act, including the amendments made by this Act.

23 (b) *REVOCATION OF PRIOR POLICY AND GUIDANCE.*—
24 Effective on the date that is 120 days after the date of enact-
25 ment of this Act, any policy or guidance document of the

1 *Corps of Engineers that is not in compliance with this Act,*
2 *including the amendments made by this Act, is revoked.*

3 **SEC. 135. AVAILABILITY OF PROJECT INFORMATION.**

4 (a) *COMMUNICATION.*—*The Corps of Engineers, in-*
5 *cluding each district of the Corps of Engineers, shall have*
6 *open communications with each office of a Member of Con-*
7 *gress.*

8 (b) *IN GENERAL.*—*At the request of any Member of*
9 *Congress, the Secretary and the Chief of Engineers shall*
10 *provide, as expeditiously as possible, information or tech-*
11 *nical assistance relating to water resources development*
12 *projects, including information relating to feasibility stud-*
13 *ies, Chief's Reports, project justification and scope, author-*
14 *ization and implementation status, estimated project costs*
15 *and schedules, operational capabilities, and other informa-*
16 *tion relating to such projects.*

17 (c) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—*Nothing in this section*
18 *shall be construed to grant any authority to the Secretary*
19 *or the Chief to deny a request for information related to*
20 *a water resources development project.*

21 (d) *MEMBER OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—*In this sec-*
22 *tion, the term “Member of Congress” includes a Delegate*
23 *or Resident Commissioner to Congress.*

1 **SEC. 136. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

2 *Section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act*
3 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283) is amended—*

4 *(1) in subsection (d)—*

5 *(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “shall not*
6 *submit” and all that follows through “unless*
7 *such report contains” and inserting “may not*
8 *approve any proposal related to a water re-*
9 *sources project unless the Secretary has prepared*
10 *a report relating to the project that contains”;*

11 *(B) in paragraph (2)—*

12 *(i) by striking “The Secretary” and*
13 *inserting the following:*

14 *“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and*

15 *(ii) by adding at the end the following:*

16 *“(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall*
17 *consult with the non-Federal interest for a water*
18 *resources project, and other stakeholders, to the*
19 *maximum extent practicable—*

20 *“(i) to identify mitigation implemen-*
21 *tation practices or accepted assessment*
22 *methodologies used in the region of the*
23 *water resources project and incorporate such*
24 *practices and methodologies into the mitiga-*
25 *tion plan for such project; and*

1 “(ii) to identify projects that have not
 2 been constructed, or concepts described in
 3 mitigation plans for other water resources
 4 projects, that may be used to meet the res-
 5 toration or mitigation needs of the water re-
 6 sources project.”; and

7 (C) in paragraph (3)(B)(iv)(I), by inserting
 8 “or a description of the requirements for a third-
 9 party mitigation instrument that would be devel-
 10 oped in the case that a contract for future deliv-
 11 ery of credits will be used” after “to be used”;
 12 (2) in subsection (i)(1)(A)—

13 (A) in clause (i), by inserting “, for imme-
 14 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified
 15 in the mitigation instrument” after “banks”;
 16 and

17 (B) in clause (ii), by inserting “, for imme-
 18 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified
 19 in the mitigation instrument” after “programs”;
 20 and

21 (3) by adding at the end the following:

22 “(l) *SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.*—Mitigation of fish and
 23 wildlife losses required under this section that is provided
 24 in the form of credit shall be considered a separable element
 25 of a project without requiring further evaluation.

1 “(m) *TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall ensure*
2 *that—*

3 “(1) *the mitigation requirements for each water*
4 *resources project—*

5 “(A) *are made publicly available (including*
6 *on a publicly available website of the head-*
7 *quarters of the Corps of Engineers); and*

8 “(B) *include the location of the project, the*
9 *anticipated schedule for mitigation, the type of*
10 *mitigation required, the amount of mitigation*
11 *required, and the remaining mitigation needs;*

12 “(2) *the mitigation plan for such project is made*
13 *publicly available, as applicable;*

14 “(3) *the information described in paragraph (1)*
15 *is updated regularly; and*

16 “(4) *carrying out the requirements of this sub-*
17 *section with respect to each water resources project is*
18 *considered a project expense.*

19 “(n) *COORDINATION.—To the maximum extent prac-*
20 *ticable, the Secretary shall ensure that the project delivery*
21 *team and regulatory team of the Corps of Engineers work*
22 *in coordination to successfully carry out mitigation ef-*
23 *forts.”.*

1 **SEC. 137. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING EVALUATION**
 2 **OF FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PROJECTS.**

3 *It is the sense of Congress that, for each flood risk man-*
 4 *agement project completed by the Corps of Engineers prior*
 5 *to the date of enactment of this Act for which an interest*
 6 *in real property is required, the Secretary should—*

7 *(1) review and, if necessary, update the min-*
 8 *imum real estate interest necessary for the project,*
 9 *based on the As-built Drawings approved by the Sec-*
 10 *retary with respect to the project—*

11 *(A) to maintain project benefits pursuant to*
 12 *applicable guidance of the Corps of Engineers;*

13 *(B) to comply with the requirements of the*
 14 *manual prepared under section 5(c)(1) of the*
 15 *Flood Control Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C.*
 16 *701n(c)(1)); and*

17 *(C) to be able to receive any permissions*
 18 *under section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33*
 19 *U.S.C. 408), required for any anticipated con-*
 20 *struction, operation and maintenance, repair, re-*
 21 *habilitation, or replacement of the project;*

22 *(2) provide a summary of the review under*
 23 *paragraph (1) to the non-Federal interest for, or levee*
 24 *operator of, the project, including with the review, if*
 25 *applicable, identification of any additional interest*
 26 *in real property that the non-Federal interest must*

1 *acquire to meet the minimum real estate interest de-*
 2 *termined necessary in the review; and*

3 *(3) develop a streamlined process through which*
 4 *the Secretary, upon request of a non-Federal interest,*
 5 *may update project boundaries to reflect any update*
 6 *to the minimum real estate interest necessary for the*
 7 *project, as determined by a review under paragraph*
 8 *(1).*

9 **SEC. 138. RECREATIONAL ACCESS.**

10 *(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress*
 11 *that the Secretary should, when a public recreational amen-*
 12 *ity, including a park, trail, green space, recreational water-*
 13 *way, or other public open space available for recreational*
 14 *opportunities, is affected by the construction of a water re-*
 15 *sources development project—*

16 *(1) maintain a level of recreational access to*
 17 *such public recreational amenity that is equivalent to*
 18 *the level provided when the public recreational amen-*
 19 *ity is not affected by such construction, including by*
 20 *providing alternative access where necessary to ensure*
 21 *continued recreational opportunities during such con-*
 22 *struction; and*

23 *(2) minimize temporary disruptions to such ac-*
 24 *cess through project planning and coordination with*
 25 *affected communities.*

1 (b) *RECREATIONAL ACCESS PRESERVATION PLAN.*—

2 *To the maximum extent practicable, as part of a feasibility*
 3 *study for a water resources development project, the Sec-*
 4 *retary shall—*

5 (1) *review the potential impact of construction of*
 6 *the project on existing public recreational amenities,*
 7 *including facilities for hiking, biking, walking, and*
 8 *waterborne recreation; and*

9 (2) *include in any final recommendation for*
 10 *such project a plan to minimize disruptions and*
 11 *maintain recreational access, as described in sub-*
 12 *section (a), to such amenities during and after con-*
 13 *struction of the project.*

14 **SEC. 139. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON MUNITIONS DISPOSAL.**

15 *It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should*
 16 *collaborate with the Secretary of Defense to identify the*
 17 *agency responsible for remediation of explosive ordnance*
 18 *and unexploded ordnance disposal in accordance with the*
 19 *authority provided in section 1027 of the Water Resources*
 20 *Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 426e–2).*

21 **SEC. 140. CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORKFORCE.**

22 (a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CORPS OF ENGINEERS*
 23 *WORKFORCE NEEDS.*—*It is the sense of Congress that—*

24 (1) *the Corps of Engineers should maintain a*
 25 *professional workforce capable of addressing the var-*

1 *ied statutory responsibilities entrusted to the Corps of*
2 *Engineers in a timely manner, including—*

3 *(A) the technical expertise necessary to de-*
4 *sign and deliver complex projects for navigation,*
5 *flood and storm risk reduction, ecosystem res-*
6 *toration, water supply and water conservation,*
7 *hydropower, and recreation;*

8 *(B) the ability to partner with State and*
9 *local governments and Indian Tribes in pro-*
10 *viding technical and planning assistance to com-*
11 *munities in addressing local water resources*
12 *challenges; the personnel required to meet its*
13 *emergency response authorities; and*

14 *(C) the regulatory expertise to administer*
15 *statutory reviews and approvals; and*

16 *(2) the Corps of Engineers should—*

17 *(A) improve its recruiting efforts;*

18 *(B) offer clear paths to Federal internships*
19 *for students from high school through post-*
20 *graduate school;*

21 *(C) offer clear paths to Federal careers for*
22 *recent graduates;*

23 *(D) promote the appropriate utilization of*
24 *volunteers; and*

1 (E) provide meaningful training, men-
2 toring, and career development opportunities, in-
3 cluding apprenticeships, to ensure that future
4 Corps of Engineers workforce needs are met.

5 (b) *EVALUATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS WORK-*
6 *FORCE NEEDS.*—

7 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall seek to
8 contract with the National Academy of Public Ad-
9 ministration to carry out a comprehensive review of
10 workforce demands and needs of the Corps of Engi-
11 neers, which shall include—

12 (A) an evaluation of the professional work-
13 force needs of the Corps of Engineers necessary
14 to meet the statutory responsibilities of the Corps
15 of Engineers, including—

16 (i) ensuring the full staffing of posi-
17 tions that support missions, projects, or op-
18 erations, including such positions that
19 are—

20 (I) inherently governmental or re-
21 lated to public safety, navigational ca-
22 pacity, or national security; or

23 (II) necessary for the review and
24 resolution of statutory reviews and ap-
25 provals in a timely manner; and

1 (ii) challenges facing the Corps of En-
2 gineers related to recruitment, retention, re-
3 ductions-in-force, retirements, credentialing,
4 professional development, on-the-job train-
5 ing, and other readiness-related gaps;

6 (B) an evaluation of how increased use of
7 public-private partnerships and other efforts by
8 non-Federal interests to carry out responsibilities
9 related to the study, planning, design, and con-
10 struction of authorized water resources develop-
11 ment projects affect future Corps of Engineers
12 workforce needs;

13 (C) an assessment of the existing technology
14 used by the Corps of Engineers, the effects of any
15 inefficiencies in the use of technology by the
16 Corps of Engineers, and recommendations for
17 improved technology or tools to accomplish the
18 missions and responsibilities of the Corps of En-
19 gineers; and

20 (D) recommendations based on the review
21 under this paragraph to improve the capacity
22 and preparedness of the Corps of Engineers
23 workforce to ensure that such responsibilities are
24 fully and competently addressed in a timely

1 *manner and increase the efficiency of the Corps*
 2 *of Engineers project delivery.*

3 (2) *SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than*
 4 *180 days after the date on which the Secretary re-*
 5 *ceives the findings of the review under paragraph (1),*
 6 *the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
 7 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of*
 8 *Representatives and the Committee on Environment*
 9 *and Public Works of the Senate a report that in-*
 10 *cludes—*

11 *(A) a copy of such findings; and*

12 *(B) a detailed response to such findings, in-*
 13 *cluding any recommendations the Secretary*
 14 *plans to implement to ensure that the statutory*
 15 *responsibilities of the Corps of Engineers are*
 16 *fully and competently addressed and ensure the*
 17 *efficiency of project delivery.*

18 **SEC. 141. REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.**

19 (a) *REPORT.*—

20 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 90 days after*
 21 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 22 *submit to the Committees on Transportation and In-*
 23 *frastructure and Appropriations of the House of Rep-*
 24 *resentatives and the Committees on Environment and*
 25 *Public Works and Appropriations of the Senate a re-*

1 *port that includes for each report described in para-*
2 *graph (2) the following:*

3 *(A) A summary of the status of the report,*
4 *including if the report has been initiated.*

5 *(B) The amount of funds that—*

6 *(i) have been made available to carry*
7 *out each such report; and*

8 *(ii) the Secretary requires to complete*
9 *each such report.*

10 *(C) A detailed summary of the resources*
11 *and procedures the Secretary intends to use to*
12 *complete the report, including the expected*
13 *timeline for completion of the report.*

14 *(D) Any other information regarding the re-*
15 *port that the Secretary determines may be rel-*
16 *evant to such committees in understanding the*
17 *status, timing, and progress towards completion*
18 *of the report.*

19 *(2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports described*
20 *in this paragraph are the following:*

21 *(A) The initial report required under sec-*
22 *tion 1150(a)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-*
23 *ment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3040).*

24 *(B) The report on turbidity in the Willam-*
25 *ette Valley, Oregon, required under section*

1 *1205(b) of the Water Resources Development Act*
2 *of 2024 (138 Stat. 3071).*

3 *(C) The report on ice jam prevention and*
4 *mitigation required under section 1205(k) of the*
5 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138*
6 *Stat. 3075).*

7 *(D) The report on excess lands for Whittier*
8 *Narrows Dam, California, required under sec-*
9 *tion 8213 of the Water Resources Development*
10 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3758).*

11 *(E) The report on recreational boating in*
12 *the Great Lakes basin required under section*
13 *8218 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
14 *2022 (136 Stat. 3761).*

15 *(F) The report on the disposition study on*
16 *hydropower in the Willamette Valley, Oregon, re-*
17 *quired under section 8220(b) of the Water Re-*
18 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
19 *3762).*

20 *(G) The report on the status of the imple-*
21 *mentation of real estate administrative fees re-*
22 *quired under section 1120 of the Water Resources*
23 *Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3014).*

24 *(H) The report summarizing comparisons*
25 *of the benefit-cost ratios of projects in covered*

1 *communities required under section 1148(d) of*
2 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2024*
3 *(138 Stat. 3039).*

4 *(I) The report on dredge capacity required*
5 *under section 8205 of the Water Resources Devel-*
6 *opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).*

7 *(J) The report identifying opportunities for*
8 *potential exchange of land or flowage easements*
9 *associated with the Lake O' the Pines, Texas,*
10 *project, as required under section 1222 of the*
11 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138*
12 *Stat. 3082).*

13 *(b) PRIOR GUIDANCE.—Not later than 45 days after*
14 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue*
15 *guidance regarding each of the following:*

16 *(1) Section 103(m) of the Water Resources Devel-*
17 *opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).*

18 *(2) Section 444 of the Water Resources Develop-*
19 *ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286; 138*
20 *Stat. 3149).*

21 *(3) Section 8132 of the Water Resources Develop-*
22 *ment Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e).*

23 *(4) Section 1129 of the Water Resources Develop-*
24 *ment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3018).*

1 (5) *Section 1148 of the Water Resources Develop-*
 2 *ment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3039).*

3 **SEC. 142. ABILITY TO PAY.**

4 *Section 1139(c) of the Water Resources Development*
 5 *Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3026) is amended—*

6 (1) *in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by*
 7 *inserting “and studies” after “projects”; and*

8 (2) *by adding at the end the following:*

9 “(17) *The project for flood risk management, Big*
 10 *Island Local Flood Protection Project, Illinois, au-*
 11 *thorized pursuant to section 203 of the Flood Control*
 12 *Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).*

13 “(18) *The feasibility study for the project for*
 14 *flood risk management, including riverbank stabiliza-*
 15 *tion and bluff stabilization, Columbus and Hickman,*
 16 *Kentucky, authorized by section 8201(a)(28) of the*
 17 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
 18 *3746) (as modified by this Act).*

19 “(19) *The project for shoreline erosion manage-*
 20 *ment, Hickman Bluff Stabilization, Kentucky, au-*
 21 *thorized by section 3071 of the Water Resources Devel-*
 22 *opment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1124).*

23 “(20) *The study for the project for ecosystem res-*
 24 *toration, Lower Osage River Basin, Missouri, author-*

1 *ized by section 201 of the Water Resources Develop-*
 2 *ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670).*

3 *“(21) The project for ecosystem restoration,*
 4 *Lower Blackstone River, Rhode Island, described in*
 5 *section 8361 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 6 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3804).*

7 *“(22) The study for the reallocation of water*
 8 *supply storage, Aquilla Lake, Texas, carried out in*
 9 *accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply Act*
 10 *of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), authorized by section*
 11 *202(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 12 *2020 (134 Stat. 2675).*

13 *“(23) The study to modify the project for hurri-*
 14 *cane and storm damage risk reduction, Norfolk Coast-*
 15 *al Storm Risk Management, Virginia, authorized by*
 16 *section 401(3) of the Water Resources Development*
 17 *Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.*

18 **SEC. 143. PILOT PROGRAM PRIORITIZATION.**

19 *Section 118(h) of the Water Resources Development*
 20 *Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended by adding*
 21 *at the end the following:*

22 *“(10) The project for flood risk management, Big*
 23 *Island Local Flood Protection Project, Illinois, au-*
 24 *thorized pursuant to section 203 of the Flood Control*
 25 *Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 742).*

1 “(11) *The feasibility study for the project for*
 2 *flood risk management, including riverbank stabiliza-*
 3 *tion and bluff stabilization, Columbus and Hickman,*
 4 *Kentucky, authorized by section 8201(a)(28) of the*
 5 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
 6 *3746) (as modified by this Act).*

7 “(12) *The project for shoreline and riverine pro-*
 8 *tection and restoration, Passumpsic River watershed,*
 9 *Vermont, authorized by section 212 of the Water Re-*
 10 *sources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332).”.*

11 **SEC. 144. VESSEL REMOVAL BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**

12 *Section 19(b)(4) of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C.*
 13 *414(b)) is amended—*

14 *(1) in subparagraph (A)(i), by inserting “under*
 15 *section 4711 of title 46, United States Code” after*
 16 *“Commandant of the Coast Guard”; and*

17 *(2) in subparagraph (B)(iii)(I), by inserting*
 18 *“under section 4711 of title 46, United States Code”*
 19 *after “Commandant of the Coast Guard”.*

20 **SEC. 145. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATED TO EVERGLADES**
 21 **AGRICULTURAL AREA PROJECT.**

22 *(a) IN GENERAL.—It is the sense of Congress that the*
 23 *Secretary should, to the maximum extent practicable—*

24 *(1) meet the expedited construction outlined in*
 25 *the July 18, 2025 Memorandum of Agreement between*

1 *the State of Florida and Department of the Army for*
2 *Expediting and Advancing the Restoration of Amer-*
3 *ica's Everglades, for the Everglades Agricultural Area*
4 *Reservoir, authorized by section 1308 of the Water*
5 *Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3819;*
6 *134 Stat. 2709);*

7 *(2) incorporate other components of the Central*
8 *Everglades Planning Project to fully utilize invest-*
9 *ment and restore the flow of water through the central*
10 *Everglades and Everglades National Park to Florida*
11 *Bay; and*

12 *(3) prepare for and take all necessary steps to re-*
13 *view and implement opportunities for expedited con-*
14 *struction timelines and operations for remaining ev-*
15 *erglades restoration projects to achieve objectives,*
16 *goals, and regional benefits including but not limited*
17 *to eliminating harmful transfers to the St. Lucie Es-*
18 *tuary, and reducing harmful transfers to the*
19 *Caloosahatchee River Estuary and the Lake Worth*
20 *Lagoon without causing harm to Lake Okeechobee,*
21 *Everglades or southern regions, while also protecting*
22 *and meeting water supply goals south of the lake con-*
23 *sistent with congressionally authorized project pur-*
24 *poses.*

1 (b) *SAVINGS PROVISION.*—*Nothing in this Sense of*
 2 *Congress is intended to, nor shall it be construed or inter-*
 3 *preted as altering, amending, prioritizing or supplementing*
 4 *any authorized project purpose. Furthermore, nothing stat-*
 5 *ed here shall be construed as expanding Federal authority*
 6 *or oversight over State waters or environmental programs.*

7 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND** 8 **REPORTS**

9 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY** 10 **STUDIES.**

11 (a) *NEW PROJECTS.*—*The Secretary is authorized to*
 12 *conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for*
 13 *water resources development and conservation and other*
 14 *purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to Con-*
 15 *gress on Future Water Resources Development” submitted*
 16 *to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water Resources*
 17 *Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d)*
 18 *or otherwise reviewed by Congress:*

19 (1) *AFRICATOWN, ALABAMA.*—*Project for eco-*
 20 *system restoration in the vicinity of the community*
 21 *of Africatown in Mobile County, Alabama.*

22 (2) *BIG CREEK LAKE, ALABAMA.*—*Project for eco-*
 23 *system restoration, Big Creek Lake, Mobile County,*
 24 *Alabama.*

1 (3) *MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA.—Project for eco-*
2 *system restoration in Mobile County, Alabama, in the*
3 *vicinity of Chickasaw Creek, Hog Bayou, Three Mile*
4 *Creek, and Mobile River.*

5 (4) *GALENA, ALASKA.—Project for flood risk*
6 *management, City of Galena, Alaska.*

7 (5) *RUSSIAN CREEK, ALASKA.—Project for flood*
8 *risk management, including riverbank stabilization,*
9 *Russian Creek, Kodiak Island Borough, Alaska, in the*
10 *vicinity of the community of Bell Flats.*

11 (6) *SCOW BAY, ALASKA.—Project for navigation,*
12 *Scow Bay, Borough of Petersburg, Alaska.*

13 (7) *SITKA, ALASKA.—Project for coastal storm*
14 *risk management, City and Borough of Sitka, Alaska.*

15 (8) *DUDLEYVILLE, ARIZONA.—Project for flood*
16 *risk management, Dudleyville, Arizona.*

17 (9) *CARMEL RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for*
18 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,*
19 *Carmel River, Monterey County, California.*

20 (10) *EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood*
21 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, City of*
22 *El Monte, California.*

23 (11) *HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA.—Project for coastal*
24 *storm risk management and ecosystem restoration,*

1 *City of Hayward, California, in the vicinity of the*
2 *Water Resource Recovery Facility.*

3 (12) *PASADENA, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood*
4 *risk management, ecosystem restoration, and recre-*
5 *ation, Lower Arroyo Seco, City of Pasadena, Cali-*
6 *fornia.*

7 (13) *REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for*
8 *flood risk management, Redwood City, California.*

9 (14) *SAN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CALIFORNIA.—*
10 *Project for coastal storm risk management, including*
11 *shoreline erosion protection, City of San Clemente,*
12 *California.*

13 (15) *SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—*
14 *Project for flood risk management, including sediment*
15 *management, in the vicinity of the Sweetwater Res-*
16 *ervoir, including its spillways and dikes, San Diego*
17 *County, California.*

18 (16) *CAPITOL REGION, CONNECTICUT.—Project*
19 *for flood risk management in proximity to the Con-*
20 *necticut River, Capitol Region, Connecticut.*

21 (17) *COGINCHAUG RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—*
22 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
23 *toration, Coginchaug River, towns of Durham and*
24 *Middlefield, Connecticut.*

1 (18) *DELAWARE RIVER, DELAWARE.—Project for*
2 *flood risk management, including shoreline damage*
3 *prevention and mitigation, recreation, and ecosystem*
4 *restoration, Delaware River, Delaware.*

5 (19) *NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Project for flood*
6 *risk management, City of New Castle, Delaware.*

7 (20) *BOGGY CREEK WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—*
8 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
9 *toration, including sediment and debris management,*
10 *Boggy Creek Watershed, Florida.*

11 (21) *BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for*
12 *flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-*
13 *ment, and ecosystem restoration, in the back bay sys-*
14 *tem of Broward County, Florida.*

15 (22) *EATONVILLE, FLORIDA.—Project for flood*
16 *risk management and ecosystem restoration, town of*
17 *Eatonville, Florida, in the vicinity of Lake King.*

18 (23) *FLAGLER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for*
19 *coastal storm risk management, including effects on*
20 *back bays and open-coast shorelines, Flagler County,*
21 *Florida.*

22 (24) *HORSESHOE BEACH, FLORIDA.—Project for*
23 *coastal storm risk management, including shoreline*
24 *damage prevention and mitigation, town of Horseshoe*
25 *Beach, Florida.*

1 (25) *LAKE HART WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—*
2 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
3 *toration, including sediment and debris management,*
4 *Lake Hart Watershed, Florida.*

5 (26) *LITTLE WEKIVA WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—*
6 *Project for flood risk management, ecosystem restora-*
7 *tion, and recreation, including sediment management,*
8 *and shoreline erosion, Little Wekiva Watershed, Or-*
9 *ange County, Florida, including Lake Lawne and*
10 *Lake Orlando.*

11 (27) *LOWER WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER WATER-*
12 *SHED, FLORIDA.—Project for ecosystem restoration,*
13 *Lower Withlacoochee River watershed, Florida.*

14 (28) *PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Project for eco-*
15 *system restoration and water supply, Pasco County,*
16 *Florida.*

17 (29) *SHINGLE CREEK WATERSHED, FLORIDA.—*
18 *Project for flood risk reduction and ecosystem restora-*
19 *tion within the Shingle Creek Watershed, including*
20 *Lake Fran and Lake Richmond, Florida.*

21 (30) *ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA.—Project for*
22 *flood risk management, coastal storm risk manage-*
23 *ment, and ecosystem restoration, city of St. Peters-*
24 *burg, Florida, including canals in the city of St. Pe-*
25 *tersburg.*

1 (31) *ELKHORN LAKE, LETCHER COUNTY, KEN-*
2 *TUCKY.—Project for flood risk management and water*
3 *supply at Elkhorn Lake, Letcher County, Kentucky.*

4 (32) *GWINNETT COUNTY, GEORGIA.—Project for*
5 *flood risk management, Gwinnett County, Georgia.*

6 (33) *SOUTH RIVER WATERSHED, GEORGIA.—*
7 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
8 *toration, South River watershed, Georgia.*

9 (34) *HONOLULU, HAWAII.—Project for flood risk*
10 *management and coastal storm risk management, in-*
11 *cluding shoreline erosion protection, in the vicinity of*
12 *the Waikīkī Natatorium War Memorial, Kaimana*
13 *and Waikīkī beaches, City and County of Honolulu,*
14 *Hawaii.*

15 (35) *PORT ALLEN, KAUA‘I COUNTY, HAWAII.—*
16 *Project for flood risk management, navigation, and*
17 *coastal storm risk management, Port Allen, Kaua‘i*
18 *County, Hawaii.*

19 (36) *LITTLE SOAP CREEK WATERSHED, IOWA.—*
20 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
21 *toration, Little Soap Creek Watershed, Appanoose*
22 *and Davis Counties, Iowa.*

23 (37) *LOWER DES MOINES RIVER WATERSHED,*
24 *IOWA.—Project for flood risk management and eco-*
25 *system restoration, Lower Des Moines River Water-*

1 *shed, Appanoose, Davis, Marion, Monroe, and*
2 *Wapello Counties, Iowa.*

3 (38) *COMITE RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Project for*
4 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, in-*
5 *cluding sediment and debris management, in the vi-*
6 *cinity of East Baton Rouge Parish and East*
7 *Feliciana Parish, Comite River, Louisiana.*

8 (39) *SAINT CHARLES PARISH, LOUISIANA.—*
9 *Project for flood risk management and ecosystem res-*
10 *toration, including water and sediment management,*
11 *Saint Charles Parish, Louisiana.*

12 (40) *SCOTT’S BLUFF, LOUISIANA.—Project for*
13 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, in-*
14 *cluding shoreline erosion prevention along the Mis-*
15 *sissippi River, Scott’s Bluff, City of Baton Rouge,*
16 *Louisiana, which the Secretary shall, to the max-*
17 *imum extent practicable, carry out utilizing and in-*
18 *corporating existing work, including analysis pro-*
19 *vided pursuant to section 22 of the Water Resources*
20 *Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16).*

21 (41) *ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND.—Project for flood*
22 *risk management, City of Rockville, Maryland, in the*
23 *vicinity of the Rockville Water Treatment Plant.*

24 (42) *ISLAND END RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
25 *Project for flood risk management and coastal storm*

1 *risk management in the vicinity of Island End River,*
2 *cities of Chelsea and Everett, Massachusetts.*

3 (43) *PROVINCETOWN, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project*
4 *for coastal storm risk management, including shore-*
5 *line damage prevention and mitigation, Town of*
6 *Provincetown, Massachusetts.*

7 (44) *WINTHROP, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for*
8 *navigation in the vicinity of Winthrop Town Pier,*
9 *Town of Winthrop, Massachusetts.*

10 (45) *BAY CITY, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood risk*
11 *management, Saginaw River, Bay City, Michigan.*

12 (46) *GLADWIN AND MIDLAND COUNTIES, MICHIGAN.—Project for ecosystem restoration and*
13 *streambank erosion prevention, Gladwin and Midland*
14 *Counties, Michigan.*

15 (47) *OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Project for*
16 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration*
17 *along the Caddell Drain, Oakland County, Michigan.*

18 (48) *WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Project for*
19 *flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-*
20 *agement, Wayne County, Michigan, in the vicinity of*
21 *the seawall along Lakeshore Drive.*

22 (49) *MISSISSIPPI SOUND BEACHES, MIS-*
23 *SISSIPPI.—Project for ecosystem restoration and*
24 *coastal storm risk management, including shoreline*
25

1 *damage prevention and mitigation, Mississippi*
2 *Sound beaches in Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson*
3 *Counties, Mississippi.*

4 (50) *ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Project for flood risk*
5 *management, navigation, recreation, and ecosystem*
6 *restoration in the vicinity of Laclede’s Landing, city*
7 *of St. Louis, Missouri.*

8 (51) *BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
9 *flood risk management in Bergen County, New Jer-*
10 *sey.*

11 (52) *BOGOTA, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood*
12 *risk management, borough of Bogota, New Jersey.*

13 (53) *CAMDEN AND GLOUCESTER COUNTIES, NEW*
14 *JERSEY.—Project for flood risk management and eco-*
15 *system restoration in riverine areas stemming from*
16 *the Delaware River, Camden and Gloucester Counties,*
17 *New Jersey.*

18 (54) *CRESSKILL, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood*
19 *risk management, Borough of Cresskill, New Jersey.*

20 (55) *GARWOOD, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood*
21 *risk management, Borough of Garwood, New Jersey.*

22 (56) *GLOUCESTER CITY, NEW JERSEY.—Project*
23 *for flood risk management and coastal storm risk*
24 *management in the vicinity of Proprietors Park,*
25 *Gloucester City, New Jersey.*

1 (57) *OVERPECK CREEK WATERSHED, NEW JER-*
2 *SEY.—Project for flood risk management, including*
3 *debris management, Overpeck Creek watershed, Bor-*
4 *ough of Tenaflly, New Jersey.*

5 (58) *PASCACK BROOK, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
6 *flood risk management, including debris management,*
7 *in the vicinity of Pascack Brook, boroughs of*
8 *Montvale and Park Ridge, New Jersey.*

9 (59) *PASCACK VALLEY, NEW JERSEY.—Project*
10 *for flood risk management along the Hackensack*
11 *River, Pascack Brook, and Musquapsink Brook in the*
12 *Pascack Valley, Bergen County, New Jersey.*

13 (60) *WEST ORANGE, NEW JERSEY.—Project for*
14 *flood risk management, township of West Orange,*
15 *New Jersey.*

16 (61) *RIO GRANDE BASIN, NEW MEXICO.—Project*
17 *for water supply and ecosystem restoration, Rio*
18 *Grande Basin, New Mexico.*

19 (62) *AMITY HARBOR, NEW YORK.—Project for*
20 *flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-*
21 *agement, Amity Harbor, New York.*

22 (63) *ATLANTIC BEACH, NEW YORK.—Project for*
23 *flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-*
24 *agement, Village of Atlantic Beach, New York.*

1 (64) *BAYPORT, NEW YORK.—Project for coastal*
2 *storm risk management, including shoreline erosion*
3 *protection, Bayport, New York.*

4 (65) *DAVIS PARK, FIRE ISLAND, NEW YORK.—*
5 *Project for flood risk and coastal storm risk manage-*
6 *ment, including shoreline erosion protection, Davis*
7 *Park, Fire Island, New York.*

8 (66) *LAWRENCE, NEW YORK.—Project for flood*
9 *risk management, coastal storm risk management,*
10 *and navigation, in the vicinity of Bannister Bay and*
11 *Village of Lawrence, New York.*

12 (67) *MATTITUCK INLET, SOUTHOLD, NEW*
13 *YORK.—Project for navigation, coastal storm risk*
14 *management, and ecosystem restoration, in Mattituck*
15 *Inlet, Town of Southold, New York.*

16 (68) *MOHAWK RIVER AND ERIE CANAL, NEW*
17 *YORK.—Project for ecosystem restoration, including*
18 *aquatic invasive species management, Mohawk River*
19 *and Erie Canal in the vicinity of the City of Rome,*
20 *New York.*

21 (69) *NISSEQUOGUE RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project*
22 *for flood risk management, coastal storm risk man-*
23 *agement, navigation, ecosystem restoration, and recre-*
24 *ation, in the vicinity of Stony Brook Harbor,*
25 *Nissequogue River, New York.*

1 (70) *PECONIC BAY, NEW YORK.—Project for navi-*
2 *gation and coastal storm risk management, including*
3 *shoreline damage prevention and mitigation, Peconic*
4 *Bay and connected harbors and inlets, New York.*

5 (71) *POINT O' WOODS, FIRE ISLAND, NEW*
6 *YORK.—Project for flood risk management, coastal*
7 *storm risk management, including shoreline damage*
8 *protection and mitigation, and ecosystem restoration,*
9 *Point O' Woods, Fire Island, New York.*

10 (72) *PORT WASHINGTON, NEW YORK.—Project for*
11 *navigation, in the vicinity of Tom's Point, Port*
12 *Washington, New York.*

13 (73) *SOUTH SHORE, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK.—*
14 *Project for ecosystem restoration, flood risk manage-*
15 *ment, coastal storm risk management, and naviga-*
16 *tion, Nassau and Suffolk Counties, New York.*

17 (74) *SPRING VALLEY, NEW YORK.—Project for*
18 *flood risk management in the vicinity of Memorial*
19 *Park, Village of Spring Valley, New York.*

20 (75) *CAPE FEAR RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
21 *Project for water supply and ecosystem restoration,*
22 *Cape Fear River in the vicinity of Cumberland Coun-*
23 *ty, North Carolina.*

1 (76) *FAYETTEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project*
2 *for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,*
3 *Cross Creek, Fayetteville, North Carolina.*

4 (77) *TOPSAIL BEACH, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
5 *Project for flood risk management, Town of Topsail*
6 *Beach, North Carolina.*

7 (78) *WHITEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project*
8 *for flood risk management, City of Whiteville, North*
9 *Carolina.*

10 (79) *WHITEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project*
11 *for flood risk management, Waccamaw River basin,*
12 *City of Whiteville, North Carolina.*

13 (80) *MAUMEE RIVER, OHIO.—Project for flood*
14 *risk management, including riverbank stabilization,*
15 *ecosystem restoration, and recreation, Maumee River,*
16 *in the vicinity of Glass City Riverwalk, Ohio.*

17 (81) *HOOD RIVER COUNTY, OREGON.—Project for*
18 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration, in-*
19 *cluding sediment management, Hood River County,*
20 *Oregon.*

21 (82) *SAUCON CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project*
22 *for flood risk management, Saucon Creek, in the vi-*
23 *cinity of the Borough of Hellertown, Pennsylvania.*

24 (83) *CEIBA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coastal*
25 *storm risk management, including shoreline damage*

1 *prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restoration,*
2 *Ceiba, Puerto Rico.*

3 (84) *FAJARDO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coast-*
4 *al storm risk management, including shoreline dam-*
5 *age prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-*
6 *tion, Fajardo, Puerto Rico.*

7 (85) *LOÍZA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coastal*
8 *storm risk management, including shoreline damage*
9 *prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restoration,*
10 *Loíza, Puerto Rico.*

11 (86) *NAGUABO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coast-*
12 *al storm risk management, including shoreline dam-*
13 *age prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-*
14 *tion, Naguabo, Puerto Rico.*

15 (87) *RÍO ANTÓN RUÍZ, PUERTO RICO.—Project*
16 *for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration*
17 *along the Río Antón Ruíz and adjacent levees in*
18 *Humacao, Puerto Rico.*

19 (88) *VEGA BAJA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for*
20 *flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-*
21 *agement, including sediment management, Puerto*
22 *Nuevo Lagoon (also known as “Quintín Valle La-*
23 *goon”), Vega Baja, Puerto Rico.*

24 (89) *YABUCOA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for coast-*
25 *al storm risk management, including shoreline dam-*

1 *age prevention and mitigation, and ecosystem restora-*
2 *tion, Yabucoa, Puerto Rico.*

3 (90) *EASTON POND, RHODE ISLAND.—Project for*
4 *coastal storm risk management, ecosystem restoration,*
5 *and water supply, Easton Pond, City of Newport,*
6 *Rhode Island.*

7 (91) *WEST RIVER WATERSHED, RHODE IS-*
8 *LAND.—Project for flood risk management, West*
9 *River watershed, Rhode Island.*

10 (92) *CUMBERLAND COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—*
11 *Project for water supply, Cumberland County, Ten-*
12 *nessee.*

13 (93) *DUCK RIVER, TENNESSEE.—Project for flood*
14 *risk reduction, ecosystem restoration, water supply,*
15 *and recreation, Duck River, Tennessee.*

16 (94) *ENKA DAM, TENNESSEE.—Project for eco-*
17 *system restoration, Enka Dam, in the vicinity of*
18 *Newport, Tennessee.*

19 (95) *ARROYO COLORADO RIVER, TEXAS.—Project*
20 *for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,*
21 *including shoreline erosion protection, along the Ar-*
22 *royo Colorado River in the City of Rio Hondo, Texas.*

23 (96) *BELL AND MCLENNAN COUNTIES, TEXAS.—*
24 *Project for water supply, Bell and McLennan Coun-*
25 *ties, Texas.*

1 (97) CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS.—*Project for*
2 *flood risk management, water supply, and ecosystem*
3 *restoration, in the vicinity of Sweeney Lake and*
4 *Resaca de los Fresnos, Cameron County, Texas.*

5 (98) ESCOBARES, TEXAS.—*Project for flood risk*
6 *management, City of Escobares, Texas.*

7 (99) NUECES COUNTY SHORELINE, TEXAS.—
8 *Project for coastal storm risk management, including*
9 *shoreline erosion protection, Nueces County, Texas.*

10 (100) ODESSA, TEXAS.—*Project for water supply*
11 *in the City of Odessa, Texas.*

12 (101) PARKER COUNTY, TEXAS.—*Project for*
13 *water supply, Parker County, Texas.*

14 (102) ROMA, TEXAS.—*Project for flood risk man-*
15 *agement, City of Roma, Texas.*

16 (103) UPPER SAN JACINTO RIVER BASIN,
17 TEXAS.—*Project for flood risk management and water*
18 *supply, Upper San Jacinto River basin, in the vicin-*
19 *ity of Liberty, Montgomery, and San Jacinto Coun-*
20 *ties, Texas.*

21 (104) WINN PARK, TEXAS.—*Project for flood risk*
22 *management, Winn Park, City of Farmers Branch,*
23 *Texas.*

1 (105) *LOWER SPANISH FORK RIVER, UTAH.—*
2 *Project for ecosystem restoration, lower Spanish Fork*
3 *River, Utah.*

4 (106) *CLINCH RIVER, VIRGINIA.—Project for*
5 *flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,*
6 *along the Clinch River, Town of Richlands, Virginia.*

7 (107) *NOOKSACK RIVER, WASHINGTON.—Project*
8 *for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,*
9 *including shoreline erosion, Nooksack River, Whatcom*
10 *County, Washington.*

11 (b) *PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-*
12 *thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following*
13 *project modifications:*

14 (1) *LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALAS-*
15 *KA.—Modifications to the project for flood diversion*
16 *in Lowell Canyon, Seward, Alaska, authorized by sec-*
17 *tion 5032 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
18 *2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719; 138 Stat.*
19 *3136), for environmental mitigation.*

20 (2) *MORRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Modifications to*
21 *the project for harbor development, Morro Bay, Cali-*
22 *fornia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March 2,*
23 *1945 (59 Stat. 21), for navigation improvements and*
24 *ecosystem restoration.*

1 (3) NAUGATUCK RIVER, TORRINGTON, CON-
2 NECTICUT.—*Modifications to the project for structural*
3 *flood damage reduction, Naugatuck River, Con-*
4 *necticut, authorized pursuant to the first section of*
5 *the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C. 701–1), to*
6 *improve flood risk management and reduce erosion*
7 *within the Naugatuck River Flood Damage Reduction*
8 *System.*

9 (4) ASSAWOMAN CANAL, DELAWARE.—*Modifica-*
10 *tions to the project for navigation, Assawoman Canal,*
11 *Delaware, authorized pursuant to section 9 of the Act*
12 *of July 5, 1884 (chapter 229, 23 Stat. 149), for flood*
13 *risk management.*

14 (5) INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, FLORIDA.—*Modi-*
15 *fication to the project for navigation, Intracoastal*
16 *Waterway from Jacksonville, Florida, to Miami, Flor-*
17 *ida, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March 2,*
18 *1945 (chapter 19, 59 Stat. 16), to include the naviga-*
19 *tion channel at Jupiter Inlet, Florida.*

20 (6) PENSACOLA HARBOR, FLORIDA.—*Modifica-*
21 *tions to the project for navigation, Pensacola Harbor,*
22 *Florida, authorized by section 101 of the River and*
23 *Harbor Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174), for recreation*
24 *and for additional deepening to 40 feet and widening.*

1 (7) *TAMPA HARBOR, FLORIDA.—Modifications to*
2 *the project for navigation, Tampa Harbor, Pinellas*
3 *and Hillsborough Counties, Florida, authorized by*
4 *section 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development*
5 *Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3167), for additional deep-*
6 *ening to 47 feet.*

7 (8) *LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GWINNETT COUNTY,*
8 *GEORGIA.—Modifications to the project for flood pro-*
9 *tection, power production, water supply, navigation,*
10 *recreation, and fish and wildlife management at Lake*
11 *Sidney Lanier, Gwinnett County, Georgia, authorized*
12 *pursuant to the first section of the Act of July 24,*
13 *1946 (chapter 595, 60 Stat. 635), to improve flood*
14 *risk management, navigation safety, hydropower reli-*
15 *ability, and water supply.*

16 (9) *CHICAGO HARBOR LOCK, ILLINOIS.—Modi-*
17 *fications to the project for navigation, Chicago Har-*
18 *bor Lock, Illinois, authorized pursuant to section 1 of*
19 *the Act of July 11, 1870 (chapter 240, 16 Stat. 226;*
20 *21 Stat. 182; 37 Stat. 217; 40 Stat. 1283; 76 Stat.*
21 *1176), to add recreation as an authorized purpose.*

22 (10) *SAYLORVILLE RESERVOIR, IOWA.—Modifica-*
23 *tions to the project for flood control, Saylorville Res-*
24 *ervoir, Iowa, authorized by section 203 of the Flood*

1 *Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 310), to include water*
2 *supply and sediment management.*

3 (11) *LAWRENCE, ON KANSAS RIVER, KANSAS.—*
4 *Modifications to the project for flood protection, Law-*
5 *rence, on Kansas River, Kansas, authorized pursuant*
6 *to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688,*
7 *49 Stat. 1588), to study raising levees on the Kansas*
8 *River in Lawrence, Kansas, north of Bowersock Dam.*

9 (12) *BUCKHORN LAKE, LESLIE AND PERRY*
10 *COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications to the project*
11 *for flood control and other purposes authorized pursu-*
12 *ant to section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter*
13 *795, 52 Stat. 1217), to include sediment and debris*
14 *management at Buckhorn Lake in Leslie and Perry*
15 *Counties, Kentucky.*

16 (13) *LOUISVILLE METROPOLITAN FLOOD PROTEC-*
17 *TION SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION, JEFFERSON AND*
18 *BULLITT COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications to the*
19 *project for flood risk management, Louisville Metro-*
20 *politan Flood Protection System Reconstruction, Jef-*
21 *ferson and Bullitt Counties, Kentucky, authorized by*
22 *section 401(2) of the Water Resources Development*
23 *Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735), to expand project scope*
24 *and incorporate features identified in the document*
25 *prepared for the non-Federal interest for the project,*

1 *issued in June 2017, and titled “20–Year Comprehensive*
 2 *Facility Plan, Critical Repair and Reinvestment*
 3 *Plan, Volume 4: Ohio River Flood Protection”.*

4 (14) *PORT OF IBERIA, LOUISIANA.—Modifica-*
 5 *tions to the project for navigation, Port of Iberia,*
 6 *Louisiana, authorized by section 1001(25) of the*
 7 *Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.*
 8 *1053; 128 Stat. 1351), to improve navigation in the*
 9 *Federal navigation channel of the Freshwater Bayou*
 10 *by deepening the channel south of the Freshwater City*
 11 *Lock up to 32 feet, including the Freshwater Bayou*
 12 *lock bypass channel as part of the Federal project,*
 13 *and deepening and widening the bypass channel.*

14 (15) *CURTIS CREEK CHANNEL, MARYLAND.—*
 15 *Modifications to the project for navigation, Baltimore*
 16 *Harbor and Channels, Maryland, authorized by sec-*
 17 *tion 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72*
 18 *Stat. 297), to expand the Federal channel to include*
 19 *the Curtis Creek Channel to a depth of 29 feet.*

20 (16) *BOSTON HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—Modi-*
 21 *fications to the project for navigation, Boston Harbor,*
 22 *Massachusetts, authorized by the first section of the*
 23 *Act of March 2, 1867 (chapter 144, 14 Stat. 420; 104*
 24 *Stat. 4607; 128 Stat. 1365), for additional deepening*
 25 *and widening.*

1 (17) *GRENADA LAKE, YAZOO RIVER AND TRIBU-*
2 *TARIES, MISSISSIPPI.—Modifications to the project for*
3 *flood control of the Yazoo River and Tributaries, Mis-*
4 *issippi, authorized by section 10 of the Act of May*
5 *15, 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 538), to add recre-*
6 *ation as a project purpose on the Yalobusha River,*
7 *including at Grenada Lake.*

8 (18) *NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY,*
9 *NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to the project for navi-*
10 *gation for the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway,*
11 *New Jersey, authorized pursuant to section 2 of the*
12 *Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 13; 100 Stat. 4181)*
13 *to reroute the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway near*
14 *Ventnor City, New Jersey.*

15 (19) *RAHWAY RIVER BASIN, NEW JERSEY.—*
16 *Modifications to the project for hurricane and storm*
17 *damage risk reduction, Rahway River Basin, New*
18 *Jersey, authorized by section 401(3) of the Water Re-*
19 *sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2737; 136*
20 *Stat. 3736; 138 Stat. 3066), to improve flood risk*
21 *management for municipalities within the basin that*
22 *are subject to repetitive flooding.*

23 (20) *PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, NEW*
24 *YORK AND NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to the project*
25 *for navigation, Port of New York and New Jersey,*

1 *New York and New Jersey, authorized by section 101*
 2 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114*
 3 *Stat. 2576), to improve navigation south of the Eliza-*
 4 *beth Port Authority Marine Terminal.*

5 (21) *ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—Modi-*
 6 *fications to the project for navigation and ecosystem*
 7 *restoration, Allegheny River, Pennsylvania, author-*
 8 *ized pursuant to the first section of the Act of July*
 9 *25, 1912 (chapter 253, 37 Stat. 216; 46 Stat. 928; 49*
 10 *Stat. 1035), to add recreation as a project purpose.*

11 (22) *DELAWARE AND SCHUYLKILL RIVERS, PENN-*
 12 *SYLVANIA.—Modifications to the project for naviga-*
 13 *tion, Delaware River, Delaware, New Jersey, and*
 14 *Pennsylvania (including Philadelphia to the Sea),*
 15 *authorized by section 1 of the Act of June 25, 1910*
 16 *(chapter 382, 36 Stat. 637; 46 Stat. 921; 52 Stat.*
 17 *803; 59 Stat. 14; 68 Stat. 1249; 72 Stat. 297) and*
 18 *the project for navigation, Schuylkill River, Philadel-*
 19 *phia, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 1 of the Act*
 20 *of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 252; 46 Stat. 921; 60*
 21 *Stat. 635), to improve navigation for large commer-*
 22 *cial vessels in the vicinity of the confluence of the*
 23 *Delaware and Schuylkill Rivers.*

24 (23) *CHARLESTON PENINSULA, SOUTH CARO-*
 25 *LINA.—Modifications to the project for coastal storm*

1 *risk management, Charleston Peninsula, South Caro-*
2 *lina, authorized by section 8401(3) of the Water Re-*
3 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3842), to*
4 *include features for tidal- and inland-related flood*
5 *risk management measures.*

6 (24) LAKE AQUILLA, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN,
7 TEXAS.—*Modifications to the project for water sup-*
8 *ply, flood risk management, and recreation, Lake*
9 *Aquilla, Brazos River Basin, Texas, authorized by*
10 *section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat.*
11 *741), for reallocation of water supply storage.*

12 (25) JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.—*Modifications to*
13 *the project for navigation, James River, Virginia, au-*
14 *thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act*
15 *of 1962 (76 Stat. 1174; 102 Stat. 4045), to include*
16 *additional portions at the Appomattox River and add*
17 *flood risk management as a purpose.*

18 (26) NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VIR-
19 GINIA.—*Modifications to the project for navigation,*
20 *Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Virginia, authorized*
21 *by section 201 of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090; 132 Stat. 3840; 136*
23 *Stat. 3763), to improve navigation in the Eastern*
24 *Branch of the Elizabeth River.*

1 **SEC. 202. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

2 (a) *FEASIBILITY STUDIES.*—*The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a feasibility study or general reevaluation report (as applicable) for each of the following*
3 *projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project*
4 *is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to*
5 *preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the*
6 *project:*

7 (1) *Project for storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, and ice and glacial damage*
8 *in the State of Alaska, authorized by section 8315 of*
9 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136*
10 *Stat. 3783).*

11 (2) *Project for reallocation of water supply storage, Greers Ferry Lake, Arkansas, authorized pursuant to section 301 of the Water Supply Act of 1958*
12 *(43 U.S.C. 390b).*

13 (3) *Project for flood control and allied purposes, Homer Navigation Improvements, Alaska, authorized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Control Act of*
14 *1948 (62 Stat. 1181).*

15 (4) *Project for navigation, Port of Nome Modifications, Alaska, authorized by section 401(1) of the*
16 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.*
17 *2733).*

1 (5) *Project for flood risk management, Cave*
2 *Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona, authorized by section*
3 *1201(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
4 *2018 (132 Stat. 3802).*

5 (6) *Project for flood risk management, McMicken*
6 *Dam and Trilby Wash, Maricopa County, Arizona,*
7 *authorized by section 8201 of the Water Resources De-*
8 *velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3744; 138 Stat.*
9 *3065).*

10 (7) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Salado*
11 *Oeste, Phoenix, Arizona, authorized pursuant to sec-*
12 *tion 6 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter 795, 52*
13 *Stat. 1225).*

14 (8) *Project for flood risk management, Yavapai*
15 *County, in the vicinity of the City of Cottonwood, Ar-*
16 *izona, authorized by section 1201(a)(1) of the Water*
17 *Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).*

18 (9) *Project for flood control and other purposes,*
19 *Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and tributaries,*
20 *California, authorized by section 10 of the Act of De-*
21 *cember 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 902; 138 Stat.*
22 *3058).*

23 (10) *Project for flood risk management, water*
24 *supply, and ecosystem restoration, Chowchilla River,*
25 *Ash Slough, and Berenda Slough, Madera County,*

1 *California, authorized by section 6 of the Act of June*
2 *22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1595; 52 Stat. 1225;*
3 *138 Stat. 3059).*

4 *(11) Project for flood control, water conservation,*
5 *environmental restoration, water supply, and related*
6 *purposes, Coyote Valley Dam, California, authorized*
7 *by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64*
8 *Stat. 177; 130 Stat. 1682; 132 Stat. 3803; 134 Stat.*
9 *2672; 136 Stat. 3835; 138 Stat. 3065).*

10 *(12) Project for ecosystem restoration and water*
11 *supply conservation and recharge, Eastman Lake,*
12 *California, authorized by section 1201(a)(4) of the*
13 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.*
14 *3050).*

15 *(13) Project for flood control, environmental res-*
16 *toration, and recreation, Murrieta Creek, California,*
17 *authorized by section 103 of title I of appendix B of*
18 *Public Law 106–377 (114 Stat. 1441A–65; 136 Stat.*
19 *3786).*

20 *(14) Project for ecosystem restoration, City of*
21 *Petaluma, California, authorized by section*
22 *8201(a)(6) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
23 *2022 (136 Stat. 3745).*

24 *(15) Project for ecosystem restoration, water sup-*
25 *ply, and recreation, Pine Flat Dam, Fresno County,*

1 *California, authorized by section 1201(a)(7) of the*
2 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.*
3 *3050).*

4 *(16) Project for flood control, Redbank and*
5 *Fancher Creeks, California, authorized by section*
6 *401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
7 *1986 (100 Stat. 4112).*

8 *(17) Project for flood risk management and eco-*
9 *system restoration, Salinas River, California, author-*
10 *ized by section 1201(a)(9) of the Water Resources De-*
11 *velopment Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).*

12 *(18) Project for flood risk management, includ-*
13 *ing sea level rise, San Diego Bay, California, author-*
14 *ized by section 1201(a)(11) of the Water Resources*
15 *Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3050).*

16 *(19) Project for flood risk management, includ-*
17 *ing stormwater runoff reduction, City of San Mateo,*
18 *California, authorized by section 1201(a)(14) of the*
19 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.*
20 *3051).*

21 *(20) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central*
22 *and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-*
23 *toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-*
24 *toration, Florida, authorized pursuant to section*
25 *601(d)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Development Act*

1 *of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat. 1179; 134 Stat.*
2 *2673; 136 Stat. 3761; 138 Stat. 3067).*

3 *(21) Project for flood risk management, water*
4 *supply, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and related*
5 *purposes, Lake Istokpoga, Florida, authorized pursu-*
6 *ant to section 8214 of the Water Resources Develop-*
7 *ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3759).*

8 *(22) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lake Run-*
9 *nymede, Florida, authorized by section 8201(a)(16) of*
10 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136*
11 *Stat. 3745).*

12 *(23) Project for ecosystem restoration and flood*
13 *risk management, Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida, au-*
14 *thorized by section 8201(a)(19) of the Water Re-*
15 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745).*

16 *(24) Project for hurricane and storm damage*
17 *risk reduction and ecosystem restoration in the vicin-*
18 *ity of MacDill Air Force Base, City of Tampa, Flor-*
19 *ida, authorized by section 1201(a)(37) of the Water*
20 *Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3052).*

21 *(25) Project for hurricane and storm damage*
22 *risk reduction, Port Tampa Bay, Florida, including*
23 *McKay Bay, authorized by section 8201(a)(18) of the*
24 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
25 *3745).*

1 (26) *Project for flood risk management, eco-*
2 *system restoration, and water storage, Shingle Creek*
3 *and Kissimmee River, Osceola County, Florida, au-*
4 *thorized by section 201(a)(5) of the Water Resources*
5 *Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2670; 136 Stat.*
6 *3749).*

7 (27) *Project for hurricane and storm damage*
8 *risk reduction and coastal storm risk management,*
9 *Volusia County, Florida, authorized by the resolution*
10 *of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
11 *ture of the House of Representatives, dated February*
12 *16, 2000, and required to be expedited by section*
13 *1203(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act*
14 *of 2024 (138 Stat. 3065).*

15 (28) *Project for local flood protection, Hanapēpē*
16 *River, island of Kauaʻi, Hawaii, authorized by sec-*
17 *tion 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665,*
18 *58 Stat. 903; 138 Stat. 3060).*

19 (29) *Project for flood risk management, Kaiaka-*
20 *Waialua watershed, Oʻahu, Hawaii, authorized pur-*
21 *suant to section 1201(a)(45) of the Water Resources*
22 *Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3052).*

23 (30) *Project for flood risk management and*
24 *coastal storm risk management, County of Kauaʻi,*
25 *Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 1201(a)(46)*

1 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138*
2 *Stat. 3052).*

3 *(31) Project for flood risk management and eco-*
4 *system restoration, County of Maui, Hawaii, author-*
5 *ized by section 1201(a)(47) of the Water Resources*
6 *Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3052).*

7 *(32) Project for flood control and allied purposes,*
8 *Wailupe Stream, ‘Āina Haina, Honolulu, Hawaii,*
9 *authorized pursuant to section 209 of the Flood Con-*
10 *trol Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1197).*

11 *(33) Project for flood risk management, Waimea*
12 *River, County of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized pursu-*
13 *ant to section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970*
14 *(84 Stat. 1830).*

15 *(34) Project for flood risk management, Hoosic*
16 *River, Massachusetts, authorized pursuant to the reso-*
17 *lution of the Committee on Transportation and Infra-*
18 *structure of the House of Representatives adopted on*
19 *December 2, 2010 (docket number 2828) for environ-*
20 *mental restoration, streambank stabilization, flood*
21 *risk management, watershed management, floodplain*
22 *management, and other allied purposes.*

23 *(35) Project for navigation, Saginaw River,*
24 *Michigan, authorized pursuant to section 456 of the*

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.*
2 *332).*

3 *(36) Project for flood risk management and eco-*
4 *system restoration, Tittabawassee River, Chippewa*
5 *River, Pine River, and Tobacco River, Michigan, au-*
6 *thorized by section 8201(a)(46) of the Water Re-*
7 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747).*

8 *(37) Project for flood control of the Mississippi*
9 *River in its alluvial valley and for its improvement*
10 *from the Head of the Passes to Cape Girardeau, Mis-*
11 *souri, authorized by the first section of the Act of May*
12 *15, 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 534; 138 Stat. 3060).*

13 *(38) Project for flood risk management, Berry's*
14 *Creek, New Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(91)*
15 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138*
16 *Stat. 3055).*

17 *(39) Project for storm damage reduction and*
18 *shoreline protection, including the engineering docu-*
19 *mentation report, Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Har-*
20 *bor Inlet, Absecon Island, New Jersey, authorized by*
21 *section 101(b)(13) of the Water Resources Develop-*
22 *ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3668).*

23 *(40) Project for flood risk management, Fleischer*
24 *Brook, New Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(92)*

1 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138*
2 *Stat. 3055).*

3 *(41) Project for flood risk management and hy-*
4 *dropower, Great Falls Raceway, Paterson, New Jer-*
5 *sey, authorized by section 1201(a)(93) of the Water*
6 *Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).*

7 *(42) General reevaluation report for the project*
8 *for flood risk management, Green Brook Sub-basin,*
9 *Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, authorized by sec-*
10 *tion 401 of the Water Resources Development Act of*
11 *1986 (100 Stat. 4119; 134 Stat. 2671; 138 Stat.*
12 *3066).*

13 *(43) General reevaluation report for the project*
14 *for hurricane and storm damage, Hereford Inlet to*
15 *Cape May Inlet, Cape May County, New Jersey, au-*
16 *thorized by section 1401(3) of the Water Resources*
17 *Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1712).*

18 *(44) Project for flood risk management, Ho-Ho-*
19 *Kus Brook and Saddle River, Village of Ridgewood,*
20 *New Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(98) of the*
21 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat.*
22 *3055).*

23 *(45) Project for flood risk management and eco-*
24 *system restoration, Passaic River Basin, Bergen,*
25 *Essex, Hudson, Morris, and Passaic Counties, New*

1 *Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(95) of the Water*
2 *Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).*

3 (46) *Project for navigation and flood risk man-*
4 *agement, Passaic River, Paterson, New Jersey, au-*
5 *thorized by section 1201(a)(96) of the Water Re-*
6 *sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).*

7 (47) *Project for navigation, Borough of*
8 *Paulsboro, New Jersey, authorized by section*
9 *1201(a)(97) of the Water Resources Development Act*
10 *of 2024 (138 Stat. 3055).*

11 (48) *Project for flood risk management along the*
12 *Peckman River Basin in the townships of Verona*
13 *(and surrounding area), Cedar Grove, and West*
14 *Caldwell, New Jersey, authorized by section*
15 *8201(a)(58) of the Water Resources Development Act*
16 *of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747).*

17 (49) *Project for flood risk management,*
18 *Whippany River Watershed, Morris County, New Jer-*
19 *sey, authorized by section 8201(a)(59) of the Water*
20 *Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747).*

21 (50) *Project for flood risk management, Wolf*
22 *Creek, New Jersey, authorized by section 1201(a)(99)*
23 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138*
24 *Stat. 3055).*

1 (51) *Project for storm damage reduction, to in-*
2 *clude additional replacement of beach groins, Long*
3 *Beach, New York, authorized by section 101(a)(21) of*
4 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110*
5 *Stat. 3665; 138 Stat. 3061).*

6 (52) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Great Salt*
7 *Lake, Utah, authorized by section 8201(a)(88) of the*
8 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.*
9 *3749).*

10 (53) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
11 *Virginia Coastal Storm Risk Management, authorized*
12 *pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140,*
13 *69 Stat. 132).*

14 (b) *STUDY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite*
15 *the completion of a final report of the Chief of Engineers*
16 *or other decision document, as applicable, for each of the*
17 *following projects for the project to be considered for author-*
18 *ization:*

19 (1) *Project for flood risk management, Selma*
20 *Flood Risk Management and Bank Stabilization, Ala-*
21 *bama, authorized by section 8401(2) of the Water Re-*
22 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3839).*

23 (2) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Salt River*
24 *(Va Shly’Ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona, as*

1 *authorized by section 6 of the Act of June 28, 1938*
2 *(chapter 795, 52 Stat. 1225).*

3 *(3) Study for repairs to recontour and stabilize*
4 *the slope at Dardanelle Lock and Dam, Arkansas, au-*
5 *thorized pursuant to section 7 of the Act of July 24,*
6 *1946 (chapter 595, 60 Stat. 640).*

7 *(4) Project for ecosystem restoration and recre-*
8 *ation, Los Angeles River, California, as authorized by*
9 *section 1407(7) of the Water Resources Development*
10 *Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1714).*

11 *(5) Project for flood risk reduction, San Fran-*
12 *cisco Bay, California, authorized by section 142 of the*
13 *Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (90 Stat.*
14 *2930; 100 Stat. 4158; 134 Stat. 2672; 138 Stat.*
15 *3136).*

16 *(6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central and*
17 *South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Restoration*
18 *Program, Central Everglades Planning Project, Flor-*
19 *ida, authorized pursuant to section 601(d)(2)(b) of the*
20 *Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.*
21 *2684; 110 Stat. 3767; 114 Stat. 2680; 121 Stat. 1052;*
22 *128 Stat. 1369; 130 Stat. 1713; 132 Stat. 3819; 136*
23 *Stat. 3791; 138 Stat. 3067).*

24 *(7) Project for navigation, Port Everglades, Flor-*
25 *ida, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water Re-*

1 *sources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1709; 136*
2 *Stat. 3792).*

3 (8) *Project for flood risk management and resil-*
4 *iency, Lower Missouri Jefferson City L-142 Flood*
5 *Risk Management Study, Lower and Upper Missouri*
6 *River Comprehensive Flood Protection, Missouri, au-*
7 *thorized by section 2 of the Act of August 18, 1941*
8 *(chapter 377, 55 Stat. 646; 58 Stat. 897; 134 Stat.*
9 *2687).*

10 (9) *Project for flood and storm damage reduc-*
11 *tion, New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tribu-*
12 *taries, New York and New Jersey, as authorized by*
13 *the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132;*
14 *134 Stat. 2676; 138 Stat. 3152).*

15 (10) *Project for coastal storm risk management,*
16 *Nassau County Back Bays, New York, authorized*
17 *pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140,*
18 *69 Stat. 132).*

19 (11) *Project for navigation, Matagorda Ship*
20 *Channel Improvement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas,*
21 *authorized by section 401(1) of the Water Resources*
22 *Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2734; 138 Stat.*
23 *3083).*

24 (12) *Project for hurricane and storm damage re-*
25 *duction, navigation, and ecosystem restoration, Chin-*

1 *coteague Island, Virginia, authorized by section*
 2 *1201(27) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 3 *2016 (130 Stat. 1683).*

4 *(c) WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.—*
 5 *The Secretary shall expedite the completion of an assess-*
 6 *ment under section 729 of the Water Resources Development*
 7 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) for Lower Rouge River Wa-*
 8 *tershed, Michigan.*

9 *(d) DREDGED MATERIALS MANAGEMENT PLANS.—The*
 10 *Secretary shall expedite the completion of a dredged mate-*
 11 *rial management plan for each of the following projects:*

12 *(1) Homer Small Boat Harbor, Homer, Alaska,*
 13 *authorized pursuant to section 204 of the Flood Con-*
 14 *trol Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1181).*

15 *(2) Ashtabula Harbor, Ohio, authorized by the*
 16 *first section of the Act of June 3, 1896 (chapter 314,*
 17 *29 Stat. 209).*

18 *(3) Cleveland Harbor, Ohio, authorized by the*
 19 *first section of the Act of August 14, 1876 (chapter*
 20 *267, 19 Stat. 133; 100 Stat. 4095; 101 Stat. 1329–*
 21 *107).*

22 *(e) WATER CONTROL MANUALS.—The Secretary shall,*
 23 *to the maximum extent practicable, expedite completion of*
 24 *updates to the water control manuals at the Terminus Dam*
 25 *and Lake Kaweah Project, Kaweah River, California, au-*

1 *thorized by section 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944*
2 *(chapter 665, 58 Stat. 901).*

3 *(f) ISSUES EVALUATION STUDIES.—The Secretary*
4 *shall expedite the completion of the Issues Evaluation Study*
5 *for Black Butte Lake, Stoney Creek, California, authorized*
6 *pursuant to the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58*
7 *Stat. 900).*

8 *(g) NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR AND TRIBU-*
9 *TARIES.—*

10 *(1) SCHEDULE.—Not later than 90 days after*
11 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in*
12 *consultation with the non-Federal interests for the*
13 *project described in subsection (b)(9), shall provide to*
14 *such non-Federal interests and congressional delega-*
15 *tions a schedule for completion of the final report of*
16 *the Chief of Engineers and other project decision doc-*
17 *uments including—*

18 *(A) the remaining study, review, decision*
19 *milestones, and budget;*

20 *(B) any separable elements, actionable ele-*
21 *ments, phases, reaches, or features expected to be*
22 *advanced and the anticipated timeline for any*
23 *such advancements for the duration of the project*
24 *until completion;*

1 (C) *the status of responses to public com-*
 2 *ments received on the 2022 Draft Integrated Fea-*
 3 *sibility Report and Tier 1 Environmental Im-*
 4 *pact Statement and Tentatively Selected Plan;*
 5 *and*

6 (D) *any impediments to completion of the*
 7 *final report of the Chief of Engineers and any*
 8 *other decision document.*

9 (2) *BRIEFINGS.*—*Until completion of the final*
 10 *report of the Chief of Engineers and other decision*
 11 *documents described in paragraph (1), the Secretary*
 12 *shall brief the non-Federal interests and congressional*
 13 *delegations not less frequently than once per quarter*
 14 *on the status of the project, including progress toward*
 15 *the milestones identified under paragraph (1).*

16 (3) *CONGRESSIONAL REPORTS.*—*Not later than 6*
 17 *months after the date of enactment of this Act, and*
 18 *annually thereafter for a period of 5 years, the Sec-*
 19 *retary shall submit to Congress a report detailing the*
 20 *progress on the schedule for completion required*
 21 *under this subsection.*

22 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FEASI-**
 23 **BILITY STUDIES.**

24 (a) *UNALASKA (DUTCH HARBOR), ALASKA MODIFICA-*
 25 *TION.*—*The feasibility study for navigation, Unalaska*

1 *(Dutch Harbor) Channels, Alaska, authorized by section*
 2 *401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020*
 3 *(134 Stat. 2734) is modified to authorize the Secretary to*
 4 *include in the study coastal storm risk management and*
 5 *shoreline erosion protection.*

6 **(b) COLUMBUS AND HICKMAN, KENTUCKY.**—*The feasi-*
 7 *bility study for the project for flood risk management, in-*
 8 *cluding riverbank stabilization, Columbus, Kentucky, au-*
 9 *thorized by section 8201(a)(28) of the Water Resources De-*
 10 *velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746), is modified to au-*
 11 *thorize the Secretary to include in the study bluff stabiliza-*
 12 *tion as an authorized purpose and to include in the study*
 13 *area the City of Hickman, Kentucky.*

14 **(c) ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-**
 15 **ISIANA.**—*The feasibility study for flood control, navigation,*
 16 *wetland conservation and restoration, wildlife habitat, com-*
 17 *mercial and recreational fishing, saltwater intrusion, fresh-*
 18 *water and sediment diversion, and other purposes, in the*
 19 *area drained by the intercepted drainage system of the West*
 20 *Atchafalaya Basin Protection Levee, from Alexandria, Lou-*
 21 *isiana to the Gulf of Mexico, being carried out under Com-*
 22 *mittee Resolution 2535 of the Committee on Transportation*
 23 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, adopted*
 24 *July 23, 1997, as authorized by section 1202(4) of the*

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3064),*
 2 *is modified to include Vermilion Bay.*

3 (d) *MAURICE RIVER, NEW JERSEY.—The feasibility*
 4 *study for the project for navigation and for beneficial use*
 5 *of dredged materials for hurricane and storm damage risk*
 6 *reduction and ecosystem restoration, Maurice River, New*
 7 *Jersey, authorized by section 8201(a)(53) of the Water Re-*
 8 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3747), is modi-*
 9 *fied to authorize the Secretary to include streambank ero-*
 10 *sion and shoreline protection and to include in the study*
 11 *area the East Point Lighthouse.*

12 (e) *EXPEDITED COMPLETION.—The Secretary shall ex-*
 13 *pedite the completion of the feasibility studies described in*
 14 *subsections (a) through (d), as modified by such subsections,*
 15 *and if the Secretary determines that a project that is the*
 16 *subject of the feasibility study described in subsection (a),*
 17 *(b), (c), or (d) is justified in the completed report, may pro-*
 18 *ceed directly to preconstruction planning, engineering, and*
 19 *design of the project.*

20 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**
 21 **STUDIES.**

22 (a) *CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT DIS-*
 23 *TRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,*
 24 *TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-*
 25 *ordination of the feasibility study for the project for naviga-*

1 *tion, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District*
 2 *Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under section*
 3 *203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
 4 *U.S.C. 2231(b)).*

5 (b) *RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN PROJECT, TEXAS.—The*
 6 *Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the*
 7 *feasibility study for the project for flood control,*
 8 *Raymondville Drain Project, Lower Rio Grande Basin,*
 9 *Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources Develop-*
 10 *ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).*

11 (c) *SABINE-NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IM-*
 12 *PROVEMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expe-*
 13 *dite the review and coordination of the feasibility study for*
 14 *the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas,*
 15 *under section 203(b) of the Water Resources Development*
 16 *Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).*

17 (d) *SOUTHERN FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DIS-*
 18 *TRICT, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA FLOOD RESIL-*
 19 *IENCY STUDY (BROWARD BASINS), FLORIDA.—The Sec-*
 20 *retary shall expedite the review and coordination of the*
 21 *Central and Southern Florida Resiliency Study—Broward*
 22 *Basins for the project for flood risk management, Southern*
 23 *Florida Water Management District, Florida, under section*
 24 *203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33*
 25 *U.S.C. 2231(b)).*

1 **SEC. 205. CORPS OF ENGINEERS REPORTS.**

2 (a) *REPORT ON THE FORMULATION OF FUTURE*
3 *WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—*

4 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
5 *the date of enactment of this Act, and biennially*
6 *thereafter for a period of 10 years, the Secretary shall*
7 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
8 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
9 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
10 *Senate a report describing the use of the procedures*
11 *under part 234 of title 33, Code of Federal Regula-*
12 *tions, issued pursuant to section 110 of the Water Re-*
13 *sources Development Act of 2020 (42 U.S.C. 1962–4),*
14 *with respect to ongoing feasibility studies for water*
15 *resources development projects.*

16 (2) *INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in*
17 *the report submitted under paragraph (1)—*

18 (A) *a project-by-project identification of*
19 *any feasibility study carried out in accordance*
20 *with the procedures described in paragraph (1)*
21 *and an identification of, and rationale for, any*
22 *study carried out during the period applicable to*
23 *the report that was not developed using such pro-*
24 *cedures;*

25 (B) *an evaluation of the outcomes of such*
26 *procedures on the formulation, evaluation, and*

1 *recommendations for a proposed water resources*
 2 *development project; and*

3 (C) *a summary of stakeholder engagement*
 4 *and public input received related to the formula-*
 5 *tion, evaluation, and recommendation of the*
 6 *project.*

7 (b) *REPORT ON IMPACTS OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUS-*
 8 *TRIAL WATER SUPPLY USERS ON WATER RESOURCES DE-*
 9 *VELOPMENT PROJECTS.*—*Not later than 1 year after the*
 10 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit*
 11 *to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
 12 *the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
 13 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate a report describing*
 14 *the effects of new commercial and industrial water users*
 15 *on water resources development projects authorized for the*
 16 *purpose of water supply or water conservation.*

17 (c) *REPORT ON FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OP-*
 18 *ERATIONS.*—

19 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 1 year after*
 20 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 21 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
 22 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
 23 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
 24 *Senate a report detailing the implementation of fore-*
 25 *cast-informed reservoir operations at any reservoir*

1 *constructed, owned, or operated by the Secretary, in-*
2 *cluding a reservoir for which the Secretary is author-*
3 *ized to prescribe regulations for the use of storage al-*
4 *located for flood control or navigation pursuant to*
5 *section 7 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33 U.S.C.*
6 *709).*

7 (2) *CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in*
8 *the report submitted under paragraph (1)—*

9 (A) *a list of projects at which forecast-in-*
10 *formed reservoir operations have been imple-*
11 *mented or evaluated and, with respect to any*
12 *project for which such operations were evaluated*
13 *but not implemented, a rationale for why the op-*
14 *erations were not implemented at each such*
15 *project;*

16 (B) *a list of projects at which the viability*
17 *of using airborne snow observatory flights has*
18 *been implemented or evaluated for use in fore-*
19 *cast-informed reservoir operations and, with re-*
20 *spect to any project for which such operations*
21 *were evaluated but not implemented, a rationale*
22 *for why the operations were not implemented at*
23 *each such project;*

24 (C) *an assessment of the effectiveness of such*
25 *operations in improving water supply and flood*

1 *risk management, and other authorized project*
2 *purposes;*

3 *(D) any barriers to implementation of fore-*
4 *cast-informed reservoir operations, including*
5 *technical, operational, or legal constraints; and*

6 *(E) recommendations for any modifications*
7 *to existing authorities to expand or improve such*
8 *operations.*

9 *(d) RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR FLOATING CABINS.—*

10 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
11 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
12 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
13 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
14 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
15 *Senate a report on floating cabins, including—*

16 *(A) a summary of any authorities and pro-*
17 *cedures the Secretary uses to manage activities*
18 *or permissions relating to floating cabins;*

19 *(B) identification of any laws, regulations,*
20 *administrative barriers, or other limitations that*
21 *prohibit or inhibit greater use of, or installation*
22 *of new, floating cabins;*

23 *(C) recommendations of the Secretary with*
24 *respect to changes the Secretary may implement*

1 to facilitate greater use of, or installation of new,
2 floating cabins; and

3 (D) with respect to each recommendation
4 identified under subparagraph (C)—

5 (i) the estimated cost to the Corps of
6 Engineers to implement, and operate under,
7 such change; and

8 (ii) a detailed description of any ef-
9 fects, including benefits, that such change is
10 expected to have on—

11 (I) any operations at water re-
12 sources development projects operated
13 and maintained by the Corps; and

14 (II) the mission of the Corps as it
15 relates to recreation.

16 (2) *FLOATING CABIN DEFINED.*—In this sub-
17 section, the term “floating cabin” means a floating
18 cabin, as such term is defined in section 1035 of the
19 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
20 2014 (128 Stat. 1234), that is located on waters sub-
21 ject to the jurisdiction of the Secretary, including
22 Federal water resources reservoirs or lake projects ad-
23 ministered by the Secretary.

24 (e) *REPORT ON EASEMENTS FOR HURRICANE AND*
25 *STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.*—Not later than 1

1 *year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary*
2 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
3 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-*
4 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a*
5 *report describing the implementation of authorities granted*
6 *in section 1145(d) of the Water Resources Development Act*
7 *of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 598c(d)), including—*

8 *(1) an assessment of any administrative actions*
9 *taken (including any guidance issued, policy changes*
10 *made, or process improvements implemented) by the*
11 *Secretary to implement such section;*

12 *(2) a summary of the use of each authority used*
13 *by the Secretary in carrying out a project pursuant*
14 *to such section, including—*

15 *(A) the number and types of projects for*
16 *which each such authority was used;*

17 *(B) the status of any easement acquisition,*
18 *easement relocation, or easement modification*
19 *activity carried out using each such authority;*
20 *and*

21 *(C) any reduction in project delays or costs,*
22 *or any reduction in administrative burdens, at-*
23 *tributable to the use of each such authority; and*

1 (3) *identification of any additional legislative*
 2 *authorities the Secretary determines are necessary to*
 3 *improve project delivery under such section.*

4 (f) *BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL; MAN-*
 5 *AGEMENT PLANS REPORT.—*

6 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after*
 7 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 8 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
 9 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
 10 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
 11 *Senate the strategic plan required by section 8130(a)*
 12 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136*
 13 *Stat. 3717), developed in accordance with the na-*
 14 *tional goal described in section 125(a)(1)(B) of the*
 15 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.*
 16 *2326g(a)(1)(B)).*

17 (2) *FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If the Sec-*
 18 *retary fails to submit the strategic plan described in*
 19 *paragraph (1) by the deadline established by such*
 20 *paragraph, the Secretary shall, not later than 225*
 21 *days after the date of enactment of this Act, submit*
 22 *to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 23 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-*
 24 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-*
 25 *ate—*

1 (A) a detailed explanation of why the Sec-
 2 retary failed to submit the strategic plan by the
 3 deadline;

4 (B) a summary of the status of the develop-
 5 ment of the strategic plan, including whether it
 6 has been initiated; and

7 (C) a projected date for submission of the
 8 strategic plan.

9 (g) *REPORT ON THE APPLICABILITY OF ARCHITEC-*
 10 *TURAL AND ENGINEERING FEE CAPS.—*

11 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
 12 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
 13 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
 14 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
 15 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
 16 Senate a report on any statutory, regulatory, or pol-
 17 icy limitation on architectural and engineering serv-
 18 ice fees applicable to water resources development
 19 projects carried out under the civil works program of
 20 the Corps of Engineers.

21 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—The Secretary shall in-
 22 clude in the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

23 (A) a description of any limitation applied
 24 by the Secretary to architectural and engineering
 25 service fees for such projects, including, where

1 *applicable, the identification of the authority for*
 2 *the limitation; and*

3 *(B) an assessment of the effects of any limi-*
 4 *tations applied by the Secretary to such fees on*
 5 *the ability of the Secretary to carry out such*
 6 *projects, including a list of projects the cost or*
 7 *schedule of which was affected by such limita-*
 8 *tions.*

9 *(h) REPORT ON REALIGNMENT OF CORPS DIVI-*
 10 *SIONS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment*
 11 *of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
 12 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
 13 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
 14 *Works of the Senate a report detailing the implications and*
 15 *feasibility of realigning the divisions of the Corps to trans-*
 16 *fer the responsibilities of the Little Rock District to the Mis-*
 17 *issippi Valley Division.*

18 **SEC. 206. REPORT ON THE INLAND WATERWAYS SYSTEM.**

19 *(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a com-*
 20 *prehensive study to evaluate the condition and reliability*
 21 *of water resources development projects within inland and*
 22 *intracoastal waterways of the United States.*

23 *(b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the study required*
 24 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

1 (1) *examine inland and intracoastal waterways*
2 *of the United States and the ability of such water-*
3 *ways to meet the needs of the United States, including*
4 *emergency and defense needs;*

5 (2) *investigate the reliability and effectiveness of*
6 *water resources development projects within such wa-*
7 *terways with respect to commerce, navigation, envi-*
8 *ronment, and recreation;*

9 (3) *assess the condition of flood control structures*
10 *(including locks, levees, and dams) along such water-*
11 *ways to determine the structural importance and*
12 *identify any necessary repairs to maintain future re-*
13 *liability of such waterways;*

14 (4) *assess the effectiveness of ecosystem restora-*
15 *tion and mitigation efforts within such waterways;*

16 (5) *evaluate water resources development projects*
17 *(including separable elements) within such waterways*
18 *that will reduce recurring dredging requirements to*
19 *maintain authorized navigation dimensions of such*
20 *waterways and prevent channel migration;*

21 (6) *assess the funding needed to improve the reli-*
22 *ability and effectiveness of water resources develop-*
23 *ment projects within such waterways; and*

24 (7) *identify components of water resources devel-*
25 *opment projects that, in case of failure, would likely*

1 *have significant impacts on the function of such wa-*
 2 *terways.*

3 (c) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 18 months*
 4 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 5 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 6 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
 7 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
 8 *the study required under subsection (a).*

9 (d) *INLAND AND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAYS OF THE*
 10 *UNITED STATES DEFINED.*—*In this section, the term “in-*
 11 *land and intracoastal waterways of the United States”*
 12 *means the inland and intracoastal waterways described in*
 13 *section 206 of the Inland Waterways Revenue Act of 1978*
 14 *(33 U.S.C. 1804).*

15 **SEC. 207. COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT TRUST**
 16 **FUND STUDY.**

17 *The Secretary shall conduct a study on the creation*
 18 *of a Coastal Storm Risk Management Trust Fund, includ-*
 19 *ing identification of potential sources of funding for such*
 20 *a trust fund.*

21 **SEC. 208. ASSESSMENT OF EMERGING HARBOR MAINTENANCE**
 22 **BACKLOG.**

23 (a) *ASSESSMENT.*—*Not later than 1 year after the date*
 24 *of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall conduct an as-*
 25 *essment of federally authorized emerging harbors that have*

1 *experienced deferred maintenance, inadequate operations*
2 *and maintenance funding, or recurring navigation defi-*
3 *ciencies.*

4 (b) *CONTENTS.—In conducting the assessment under*
5 *subsection (a), the Secretary shall identify, for each emerg-*
6 *ing harbor—*

7 (1) *the authorized dimensions and current condi-*
8 *tion of the harbor and associated Federal navigation*
9 *features;*

10 (2) *the extent of deferred maintenance and*
11 *dredging needs;*

12 (3) *the economic, public safety, emergency re-*
13 *sponse, commercial fishing, Tribal, and recreational*
14 *impacts associated with deferred maintenance;*

15 (4) *whether the harbor has been unable to receive*
16 *regular maintenance because of current benefit-cost*
17 *methodologies or other Federal policies;*

18 (5) *whether the harbor has not received Federal*
19 *operations and maintenance funding for a period of*
20 *not less than 10 years and the impacts of such lack*
21 *of maintenance on navigation, safety, and local eco-*
22 *nomie activity;*

23 (6) *recommendations for addressing identified*
24 *maintenance backlogs; and*

25 (7) *a determination of—*

1 (A) *the interest of State, local, and Tribal*
2 *governments, and port authorities, in assuming*
3 *responsibility for the operation and maintenance*
4 *of the emerging harbor; and*

5 (B) *any legal, financial, or operational bar-*
6 *riers to such assumption of responsibility.*

7 (c) *CONSULTATION.—In conducting the assessment*
8 *under this section, the Secretary shall consult with State,*
9 *local, Tribal, and port authorities regarding—*

10 (1) *the potential deauthorization of federally au-*
11 *thorized emerging harbors;*

12 (2) *the interest of non-Federal public entities in*
13 *assuming responsibility for the operation and mainte-*
14 *nance of such harbors; and*

15 (3) *the authorities, funding mechanisms, and*
16 *technical assistance that may be necessary to facili-*
17 *tate such transfers.*

18 (d) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.—*

19 (1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after*
20 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
21 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
22 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
23 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
24 *Senate a report containing the results of the assess-*
25 *ment conducted under this section and recommenda-*

1 *tions for administrative or legislative actions to ad-*
 2 *dress any identified backlogs, including any rec-*
 3 *ommendations regarding the transfer of operation*
 4 *and maintenance responsibilities to interested non-*
 5 *Federal entities.*

6 (2) *PRIORITY LIST.*—*The Secretary shall include*
 7 *in the report under paragraph (1) a prioritized list*
 8 *of emerging harbor maintenance projects based on*
 9 *economic importance, public safety, commercial fish-*
 10 *ing activity, Tribal reliance, emergency access needs,*
 11 *and the extent of deferred maintenance.*

12 (e) *EMERGING HARBOR DEFINED.*—*In this section, the*
 13 *term “emerging harbor” has the meaning given the term*
 14 *in section 210(f)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 15 *of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(f)(2)).*

16 **SEC. 209. GAO STUDIES.**

17 (a) *STUDY ON COST-SHARING EFFORTS FOR RECRE-*
 18 *ATION FACILITIES.*—

19 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 1 year after*
 20 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
 21 *General of the United States shall initiate a review*
 22 *of the efforts of the Secretary to share with non-Fed-*
 23 *eral public entities and private nonprofit entities the*
 24 *cost of managing recreation facilities and natural re-*

1 *sources at water resource development projects under*
2 *the jurisdiction of the Secretary.*

3 (2) *REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the review*
4 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall—*

5 (A) *describe the actions the Secretary is tak-*
6 *ing, or plans to take, to implement section 225*
7 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992*
8 *(33 U.S.C. 2328);*

9 (B) *evaluate the use of joint management*
10 *agreements, including cooperative agreements*
11 *under subsection (b)(1) of such section, and other*
12 *partnership authorities, that allow the Secretary*
13 *to share responsibilities with, or transfer or dele-*
14 *gate responsibilities to, non-Federal public enti-*
15 *ties and private nonprofit entities, for the oper-*
16 *ation and management of recreation facilities*
17 *and natural resources;*

18 (C) *assess the effectiveness of such agree-*
19 *ments in reducing Federal costs, improving facil-*
20 *ity conditions, and maintaining or enhancing*
21 *public access;*

22 (D) *identify any legal, regulatory, or ad-*
23 *ministrative barriers to the expanded use of such*
24 *agreements; and*

1 (E) develop recommendations for legislative
2 or administrative actions to improve the effi-
3 ciency and effectiveness of such partnership au-
4 thorities.

5 (3) *REPORT.*—Upon completion of the review re-
6 quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General
7 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and
8 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the
9 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
10 Senate a report that contains the results of such re-
11 view, including any recommendations developed
12 under paragraph (2)(E).

13 (b) *STUDY ON BEACH RENOURISHMENT EFFORTS.*—

14 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
15 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
16 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
17 ysis that includes—

18 (A) the statutory authorities and programs
19 used by, and available to, the Secretary to sup-
20 port beach renourishment cycles, including ini-
21 tial construction, periodic renourishment, and
22 emergency renourishment activities;

23 (B) an evaluation of financial, regulatory,
24 and technical barriers that contribute to delays
25 in carrying out beach renourishment activities;

1 (C) a description of the Corps of Engineers
 2 process for evaluating the beneficial use of
 3 dredged material for beach renourishment activi-
 4 ties, including procuring materials from other
 5 projects carried out by the Corps of Engineers;
 6 and

7 (D) recommendations for legislative, regu-
 8 latory, or administrative actions to address bar-
 9 riers identified under subparagraph (B) and to
 10 improve the efficiency, predictability, and effec-
 11 tiveness of the beach renourishment efforts of the
 12 Corps of Engineers.

13 (2) *REPORT.*—Upon completion of the analysis
 14 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
 15 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
 16 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
 17 and the Committee on Environment and Public
 18 Works of the Senate a report on the findings of such
 19 analysis.

20 (c) *STUDY ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING*
 21 *DESIGN SERVICES.*—

22 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after
 23 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
 24 General of the United States shall initiate a review
 25 of the methods by which the Corps of Engineers per-

1 *forms architectural and engineering design services,*
2 *including—*

3 *(A) an analysis of the procurement of such*
4 *services through contracts with private sector*
5 *firms;*

6 *(B) an assessment of the extent to which*
7 *employees of the Corps of Engineers carry out*
8 *such services; and*

9 *(C) a comparative assessment of the benefits*
10 *and costs of the methods described in subpara-*
11 *graphs (A) and (B).*

12 *(2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review re-*
13 *quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General*
14 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and*
15 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
16 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
17 *Senate a report on the findings of such review.*

18 *(d) REVIEW OF COMPLIANCE WITH STATE FISH AND*
19 *WILDLIFE RECOMMENDATIONS.—*

20 *(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after*
21 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller*
22 *General of the United States shall initiate a review*
23 *of the compliance of the Secretary with any require-*
24 *ments under, and incorporation of any recommenda-*

1 *tions submitted to the Secretary pursuant to, the fol-*
2 *lowing:*

3 *(A) Section 2 of the Fish and Wildlife Co-*
4 *ordination Act (16 U.S.C. 662), including tech-*
5 *nical recommendations related to evaluating im-*
6 *pacts to fish and wildlife resources.*

7 *(B) State management programs approved*
8 *under section 306 of the Coastal Zone Manage-*
9 *ment Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1455).*

10 *(C) State comprehensive plans approved*
11 *under section 4 of the Pittman-Robertson Wild-*
12 *life Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669c).*

13 *(2) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the review*
14 *under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall—*

15 *(A) assess the extent to which the Corps of*
16 *Engineers incorporates any recommendation*
17 *submitted by a Federal or State agency pursuant*
18 *to the statutes identified in paragraph (1) in de-*
19 *veloping a feasibility study for the construction*
20 *or modification of a water resources development*
21 *project or an operations or mitigation plan for*
22 *a water resources development project; and*

23 *(B) to the extent that any recommendation*
24 *is not incorporated, provide a detailed expla-*

1 *nation and legal justification as to why such rec-*
 2 *ommendation was not incorporated.*

3 (3) *REPORT.*—*Upon completion of the review re-*
 4 *quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General*
 5 *shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and*
 6 *Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
 7 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
 8 *Senate a report on the findings of such review.*

9 **SEC. 210. INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORTS.**

10 (a) *REPORT ON INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL FUNC-*
 11 *TIONS.*—

12 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 2 years after*
 13 *the date of enactment of this Act, the Engineer In-*
 14 *pector General of the Corps of Engineers shall submit*
 15 *to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 16 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-*
 17 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-*
 18 *ate a report on the policies of the Corps of Engineers*
 19 *related to identifying the inherently governmental*
 20 *function status of Corps of Engineers park rangers.*

21 (2) *CONTENTS.*—*In the report submitted under*
 22 *paragraph (1), the Engineer Inspector General shall*
 23 *include—*

24 (A) *an assessment of—*

1 (i) the policies, guidance, and practices
2 of the Corps of Engineers for identifying
3 and classifying functions and duties related
4 to environmental management, public safe-
5 ty, and enforcement duties for projects; and

6 (ii) the extent to which such policies,
7 guidance, and practices comply with the re-
8 quirements of the Federal Activities Inven-
9 tory Reform Act of 1998 (31 U.S.C. 501
10 note) and other applicable laws, regulations,
11 and guidance governing inherently govern-
12 mental functions;

13 (B) an assessment of any risks to environ-
14 mental management, public safety, enforcement
15 duties, or governmental decisionmaking resulting
16 from the potential misclassification of park
17 ranger functions;

18 (C) an assessment of Corps of Engineers
19 policies and procedures for distinguishing func-
20 tions that must be performed by Federal employ-
21 ees from functions that may appropriately be
22 performed by contractors;

23 (D) an assessment of any potential defi-
24 ciencies in Corps of Engineers policies, proce-
25 dures, internal controls, staffing practices, or or-

ganizational structures that may impair compliance with applicable requirements governing inherently governmental functions and the effective performance of environmental management, public safety, and enforcement duties; and

(E) any recommendations for administrative or legislative actions necessary to improve compliance with requirements governing inherently governmental functions and the performance of park ranger responsibilities.

(b) *REPORT ON TRIBAL POLICIES AND PROGRAMS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Engineer Inspector General of the Corps of Engineers shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on the implementation of policies and programs of the Corps of Engineers relating to Tribal communities, including the uniformity of application of such policies and programs across all districts of the Corps of Engineers.

(2) *CONTENTS.*—In the report submitted under paragraph (1), the Engineer Inspector General shall include—

1 (A) an assessment of the Tribal Liaison po-
2 sition (as established by section 8112 of the
3 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33
4 U.S.C. 2281a)), including a description of how
5 each applicable district office has implemented
6 the position and the responsibilities of such posi-
7 tion;

8 (B) the implementation status of—

9 (i) section 203 of the Water Resources
10 Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269);

11 (ii) section 1141 of the Water Re-
12 sources Development Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C.
13 2269 note); and

14 (iii) section 8115 of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.
16 2281b note);

17 (C) an assessment of the Secretary's align-
18 ment with requirements of subsections (c) and
19 (d) of section 112 of the Water Resources Devel-
20 opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2356) with re-
21 spect to Tribal communities;

22 (D) an assessment of the alignment of the
23 Secretary's guidance, guidelines, and policies
24 with clearly stated congressional intent with re-
25 spect to Tribal communities; and

1 (E) an evaluation of barriers within the
 2 Corps of Engineers that hinder or prohibit suc-
 3 cessful Tribal engagement, consultation, or part-
 4 nership.

5 (c) *REPORT ON LOWER PEARL RIVER RESTORATION*
 6 *PROJECT.*—

7 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Engineer Inspector Gen-*
 8 *eral of the Corps of Engineers shall submit to the*
 9 *Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of*
 10 *the House of Representatives a report on the failure*
 11 *and degradation of the weir constructed at Wilson's*
 12 *Slough, near Walkiah Bluff, Mississippi, as part of*
 13 *the project for flood damage reduction, Pearl River*
 14 *Basin, including Shoccoe, Mississippi, authorized by*
 15 *section 401(e)(3) of the Water Resources Development*
 16 *Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4132; 121 Stat. 1134).*

17 (2) *CONTENTS.*—*The report submitted under*
 18 *paragraph (1) shall include—*

19 (A) *an assessment of the design, construc-*
 20 *tion, operation, and maintenance of the weir;*

21 (B) *a determination, to the extent prac-*
 22 *ticable, of whether the failure or degradation of*
 23 *the weir was primarily attributable to structural*
 24 *deficiencies, inadequate operations or mainte-*

1 nance, natural events, or a combination thereof;
2 and

3 (C) any recommendations for administra-
4 tive or legislative actions necessary to restore
5 functionality of the Lower Pearl River Restora-
6 tion project or prevent similar failures in future
7 environmental restoration projects.

8 **SEC. 211. ACCELERATION OF EMERGENCY INLAND NAVIGA-**
9 **TION PROJECTS.**

10 *In carrying out any authorized inland navigation*
11 *project, the Secretary shall expedite completion of any*
12 *modification, repair, or rehabilitation that is subject to an*
13 *emergency declaration issued by the Secretary, including*
14 *a non-breach emergency, to ensure continued navigation*
15 *functionality and structural integrity, including by expe-*
16 *diting the completion of investigations, engineering and de-*
17 *sign, and construction activities necessary to resolve emer-*
18 *gency conditions.*

19 **SEC. 212. ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL FISH LANDINGS**
20 **DATA.**

21 *Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of*
22 *this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee on*
23 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
24 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
25 *Works of the Senate the results of the corrective action plan*

1 *developed by the Secretary in response to the report of the*
 2 *Comptroller General of the United States, published on*
 3 *April 28, 2025, and titled “Army Corps of Engineers: Com-*
 4 *mmercial Fishing Data Could Help Inform Budget Process”*
 5 *(GAO–25–107447).*

6 **SEC. 213. ASSESSMENTS OF DRYLAND STREAM TECH-**
 7 **NOLOGIES AND SHORELINE STABILIZATION**
 8 **TECHNOLOGIES.**

9 *(a) ASSESSMENT OF NATURAL INFRASTRUCTURE IN*
 10 *DRYLAND STREAMS TECHNOLOGIES AND APPROACHES.—*

11 *(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting through*
 12 *the Director of the Engineer Research and Develop-*
 13 *ment Center, shall carry out research and develop-*
 14 *ment activities on, and test the effectiveness of, nat-*
 15 *ural infrastructure in dryland streams technologies*
 16 *and approaches to using such technologies in arid,*
 17 *semi-arid, and drought-prone areas.*

18 *(2) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out para-*
 19 *graph (1), the Secretary shall, to the extent prac-*
 20 *ticable—*

21 *(A) ensure that the locations where research*
 22 *and development activities and tests are carried*
 23 *out are geographically diverse;*

24 *(B) undertake research and development ac-*
 25 *tivities and tests that include human-made in-*

1 *frastructure that mimics natural infrastructure,*
2 *such as rock check dams, beaver dam analogs,*
3 *gabions, and weirs; and*

4 *(C) based on information collected from the*
5 *carrying out and completion of research and de-*
6 *velopment activities and tests described in sub-*
7 *paragraph (B), assess the potential uses and ef-*
8 *fectiveness of such human-made infrastructure*
9 *with respect to restoring dryland ecosystems, en-*
10 *hancing flood risk reduction efforts, and enhanc-*
11 *ing water supply, water conservation, and*
12 *drought resiliency efforts.*

13 *(3) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out a research*
14 *and development activity or test under paragraph (1),*
15 *the Secretary may consult with—*

16 *(A) the heads of other Federal agencies;*

17 *(B) Indian Tribes; and*

18 *(C) appropriate State government agencies*
19 *in States with arid or semi-arid areas.*

20 *(4) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 1 year after the*
21 *completion of the activities and testing described in*
22 *paragraph (1), the Secretary shall publish guidance*
23 *for the utilization of natural infrastructure in*
24 *dryland streams technologies in civil works projects.*

1 (5) *SUMMARY TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 2
2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
3 retary shall provide to the Committee on Transpor-
4 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
5 tives and the Committee on Environment and Public
6 Works of the Senate, and make publicly available (in-
7 cluding on a publicly available website), a written
8 summary of the activities and tests carried out, and
9 the guidance published, under this subsection.

10 (b) *ASSESSMENT OF SHORELINE STABILIZATION AND*
11 *EROSION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES.*—

12 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary, acting through
13 the Director of the Engineer Research and Develop-
14 ment Center and, as appropriate, in consultation
15 with other Federal agencies and coastal States, shall
16 carry out research and development activities on, and
17 test the effectiveness of, shoreline stabilization, erosion
18 control, and wave energy reduction technologies appli-
19 cable to coastal areas exposed to flooding and erosion,
20 including flooding and erosional impacts associated
21 with tidally influenced portions of rivers, bays, and
22 estuaries that are hydrologically connected to the
23 coastal water body.

24 (2) *CONSIDERATIONS.*—In carrying out para-
25 graph (1), the Secretary shall—

1 (A) to the maximum extent practicable, en-
2 sure that research and development activities
3 and testing are carried out in diverse geographic
4 locations; and

5 (B) carry out research and development ac-
6 tivities and testing of natural features and na-
7 ture-based features (as those terms are defined in
8 section 1184(a) of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a))), includ-
10 ing the use of engineered technologies that mimic
11 natural processes or structures, or approaches
12 that combine natural and engineered elements.

13 (3) SUMMARY TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 2
14 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
15 retary shall provide to the Committee on Transpor-
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
17 tives and the Committee on Environment and Public
18 Works of the Senate, and make publicly available (in-
19 cluding on a publicly available website) a written
20 summary of the activities carried out under this sub-
21 section.

1 **SEC. 214. ASSESSMENT OF NONSTRUCTURAL APPROACHES**
2 **TO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND HURRI-**
3 **CANE AND STORM RISK REDUCTION.**

4 *Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment*
5 *of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
6 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
7 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
8 *Works of the Senate a report on the status of the following*
9 *projects:*

10 *(1) The project for flood risk management and*
11 *ecosystem restoration, Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Morris,*
12 *and Passaic Counties, New Jersey, including as it re-*
13 *lates to buyouts in the Township of Wayne, Passaic*
14 *County, New Jersey, authorized pursuant to section*
15 *101(a)(18) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
16 *1990 (104 Stat. 4607).*

17 *(2) The project for flood risk management,*
18 *Township of Denville, New Jersey, being carried out*
19 *pursuant to section 205 of the Flood Control Act of*
20 *1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).*

21 *(3) The project for flood control, Green Brook*
22 *Sub-basin, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey, author-*
23 *ized by section 401 of the Water Resources Develop-*
24 *ment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4119; 134 Stat. 2671).*

25 *(4) The project for hurricane and storm damage*
26 *reduction, New Jersey Back Bays, Cape May, Ocean,*

1 *Atlantic, Monmouth, and Burlington Counties, au-*
 2 *thorized by resolutions of the Committee on Public*
 3 *Works and Transportation of the House of Represent-*
 4 *atives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
 5 *Works of the Senate, approved in December 1987.*

6 (5) *The project for hurricane and storm damage*
 7 *risk reduction, Fire Island Inlet to Montauk Point,*
 8 *New York, authorized by section 401(3) of the Water*
 9 *Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).*

10 (6) *The project for coastal storm risk manage-*
 11 *ment, Nassau County Back Bays, New York, author-*
 12 *ized pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter*
 13 *140, 69 Stat. 132).*

14 **SEC. 215. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR**
 15 **IMPACTED AREAS.**

16 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall carry out a*
 17 *post-disaster watershed assessment under section 3025 of the*
 18 *Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33*
 19 *U.S.C. 2267b) for the following areas:*

20 (1) *Areas of Catron and Grant Counties, New*
 21 *Mexico, impacted by the June 2025 wildfires.*

22 (2) *Areas of Valencia County, New Mexico, im-*
 23 *acted by the June 2025 wildfires.*

24 (3) *Areas near Ruidoso, New Mexico, impacted*
 25 *by the June 2024 wildfires.*

1 (b) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 18 months
2 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
3 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
4 ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on
5 Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on
6 the status of the post-disaster watershed assessments carried
7 out under subsection (a).

8 **SEC. 216. UPDATED PLAN ON FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGE**
9 **RECAPITALIZATION.**

10 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of
11 this Act, the Secretary shall—

12 (1) with respect to Federal hopper dredges iden-
13 tified in the analysis of the Corps of Engineers titled
14 “Hopper Dredge Recapitalization Analysis” (pub-
15 lished June 20, 2017) and owned and operated by the
16 Corps of Engineers as of the date of enactment of this
17 Act, update the plan and timeline for recapitalization
18 of such Federal hopper dredges that is included in
19 such analysis; and

20 (2) submit to the Committee on Transportation
21 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
22 and the Committee on Environment and Public
23 Works of the Senate such updated plan and timeline.

1 **SEC. 217. CHOCTAWHATCHEE AND PEA RIVER BASINS, ALA-**
2 **BAMA AND FLORIDA.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—For purposes of carrying out the
4 study of improvements for flood control, water quality,
5 water supply, drought management, and fish and wildlife
6 enhancement authorized by the Congressional Committee
7 Resolution adopted April 24, 1990, by the Committee on
8 Environment and Public Works of the Senate (relating to
9 the Choctawhatchee and Pea River Basins, Alabama and
10 Florida, as described in House Document 242, 72d Con-
11 gress, 1st session), and any related review conducted pursu-
12 ant to the Congressional Committee Resolution adopted Au-
13 gust 1, 1990, by the Committee on Public Works and Trans-
14 portation of the House of Representatives (relating to the
15 Pea River watershed, Alabama), the Secretary shall—

16 (1) develop a scope of work, including a commu-
17 nications plan, in collaboration with the non-Federal
18 interest, that is in accordance with the intent of such
19 authorizing documents and, to the extent practicable,
20 meets the objectives of the non-Federal interest;

21 (2) include in the study area the entire
22 Choctawhatchee River basin and Pea River basin,
23 and all hydrologically connected downstream receiv-
24 ing waters, in the States of Alabama and Florida;
25 and

1 (3) *coordinate, as practicable, with relevant*
 2 *State, local, and Tribal entities in Alabama and*
 3 *Florida and with appropriate Federal agencies.*

4 (b) *APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply to any*
 5 *feasibility study carried out pursuant to the authorizations*
 6 *described in subsection (a).*

7 **SEC. 218. MOBILE HARBOR LAND USE ASSESSMENT.**

8 (a) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out an*
 9 *assessment of the long-term viability of existing dredged*
 10 *material placement areas for Mobile Harbor, including*
 11 *State-owned upland dredged materials disposal facilities.*

12 (b) *BENEFICIAL USE.—In carrying out the assessment*
 13 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall include rec-*
 14 *ommendations for the beneficial use of dredged materials*
 15 *from Mobile Harbor, including the viability of using such*
 16 *dredged materials at locations on Pinto Island and*
 17 *Blakeley Island in Mobile, Alabama.*

18 **SEC. 219. EXPEDITED AFTER-ACTION REVIEW OF LAKE**
 19 **OKEECHOBEE RECOVERY OPERATIONS.**

20 (a) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the*
 21 *completion of an After-Action Review for the Lake Okee-*
 22 *chobee Recovery Operations that occurred between December*
 23 *2024 and June 2025.*

24 (b) *REPORT.—Not later than December 31, 2026, the*
 25 *Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation*

1 *and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the*
2 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate*
3 *a report on the After-Action Review described in subsection*
4 *(a), including—*

5 (1) *a description of the rationale of the Sec-*
6 *retary, including identification of any factors and*
7 *any processes used by the Secretary, in deciding to*
8 *implement the Lake Okeechobee Recovery Operations*
9 *that occurred between December 2024 and June 2025;*

10 (2) *an assessment by the Secretary of such Re-*
11 *covery Operations, including identification and as-*
12 *essment of the effects, if any, of such Recovery Oper-*
13 *ations on—*

14 (A) *the subaquatic vegetation and fauna in*
15 *and around Lake Okeechobee;*

16 (B) *the ecology of estuaries and lagoons that*
17 *received water transfers from Lake Okeechobee,*
18 *including effects on subaquatic vegetation, adult*
19 *oyster populations, and oyster reproduction rates*
20 *in such estuaries and lagoons;*

21 (C) *congressionally authorized project pur-*
22 *poses of flood protection, water supply, protec-*
23 *tion of fish and wildlife resources, and naviga-*
24 *tion; and*

1 (D) the total quantity of water, measured in
2 cubic-feet, transferred through congressionally
3 authorized or funded projects;

4 (3) the identification of any periods during the
5 18-month period beginning on June 30, 2025, of
6 water excess or water shortage in Lake Okeechobee, a
7 canal used for navigation in the vicinity of Lake
8 Okeehcobee, or sources of water for drinking or other
9 consumption located in the area south of Lake Okee-
10 chobee;

11 (4) an assessment of the ability of the Corps of
12 Engineers to undertake Lake Okeechobee Recovery Op-
13 erations again during the 5-year period beginning on
14 the date of enactment of this Act; and

15 (5) an assessment of whether or not there may
16 have been any adverse effects to water supply or other
17 Congressionally authorized purposes, included but not
18 limited to flood protection, protection of fish and
19 wildlife resources, and navigation as a result of Lake
20 Okeechobee Recovery Operations.

21 (c) *LIMITATION*.—In the report under subsection (b),
22 the Secretary may not recommend—

23 (1) expanding Federal authority or oversight
24 over waters or environmental programs of a State; or

1 (2) *anything contrary to the original goals, pri-*
 2 *orities, or authorizations of the project for ecosystem*
 3 *restoration, Central and South Florida, Comprehen-*
 4 *sive Everglades Restoration Program, Lake Okee-*
 5 *chobee Watershed Restoration, Florida, authorized*
 6 *pursuant to section 601(d)(2)(B) of the Water Re-*
 7 *sources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121*
 8 *Stat. 1179; 134 Stat. 2673; 136 Stat. 3761; 138 Stat.*
 9 *3067).*

10 **SEC. 220. HONOLULU HARBOR, HAWAII.**

11 *Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of*
 12 *this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
 13 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
 14 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
 15 *Works of the Senate an update describing the status of the*
 16 *study to modify the project for navigation, Honolulu Har-*
 17 *bor, Hawaii, authorized by the first section of the Act of*
 18 *March 3, 1905 (chapter 1482, 33 Stat. 1146; 136 Stat.*
 19 *3750; 138 Stat. 3064), including the status of the assess-*
 20 *ment of the benefits of the project modification on disaster*
 21 *resiliency and enhanced national security from utilization*
 22 *of the harbor by the Department of Defense authorized by*
 23 *section 1202(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 24 *2024 (138 Stat. 3064).*

1 **SEC. 221. CHICAGO AREA WATERWAY SYSTEM.**

2 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 90 days after the
3 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide
4 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
5 the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-
6 ronment and Public Works of the Senate an assessment of
7 the Calumet Harbor Dredged Material Disposal Facility,
8 also known as the Chicago Area Confined Disposal Facility,
9 authorized pursuant to section 123 of the River and Harbor
10 Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1823).

11 (b) *INCLUSION.*—The Secretary shall include in the as-
12 sessment under subsection (a)—

13 (1) a description of work related to the ongoing
14 and future dredging of the Calumet River and Har-
15 bor;

16 (2) a plan for carrying out activities related to
17 the closure of the Chicago Area Confined Disposal Fa-
18 cility, not later than 1 year after the date of enact-
19 ment of this Act, including remediation, capping,
20 seeding, and other measures necessary to stabilize the
21 facility and ensure that the site of the facility is pre-
22 pared for the authorized use of such site after such
23 closure;

24 (3) a plan of actions to be taken to ensure con-
25 veyance of the Chicago Area Confined Disposal Facil-
26 ity to the Chicago Park District in a timely fashion

1 *after the completion of closure activities carried out*
 2 *pursuant to the plan under paragraph (2); and*

3 *(4) a plan of actions to be taken to ensure the*
 4 *Secretary coordinates with State and local govern-*
 5 *ments in carrying out this section.*

6 **SEC. 222. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**
 7 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD, WILL**
 8 **COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

9 *Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of*
 10 *this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
 11 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
 12 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
 13 *Works of the Senate a report that includes—*

14 *(1) the results of an assessment to identify any*
 15 *contaminated sediments associated with the project*
 16 *for ecosystem restoration, Great Lakes and Mis-*
 17 *issippi River Interbasin Study – Brandon Road,*
 18 *Will County, Illinois, authorized by section 401(5) of*
 19 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134*
 20 *Stat. 2740); and*

21 *(2) a plan, developed in coordination with the*
 22 *non-Federal interest for such project, to remediate*
 23 *such sediments simultaneously with construction of*
 24 *the project.*

1 **SEC. 223. COLUMBIA LOCK AND DAM, LOUISIANA.**

2 *Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment*
3 *of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on*
4 *Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-*
5 *resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Public*
6 *Works of the Senate a report regarding the Columbia Lock*
7 *and Dam, Louisiana, authorized by the first section of the*
8 *Act of June 13, 1902 (chapter 1079, 32 Stat. 357), that*
9 *includes—*

10 *(1) a description of the nature and extent of the*
11 *structural concerns that resulted in the declaration by*
12 *the Secretary in August, 2024, of a non-breach emer-*
13 *gency at the lock and dam;*

14 *(2) a summary of actions taken by the Corps of*
15 *Engineers to address such concerns, including interim*
16 *operational or emergency measures;*

17 *(3) recommended permanent remedial actions*
18 *necessary to ensure continued navigation*
19 *functionality and structural integrity;*

20 *(4) an evaluation of whether such recommended*
21 *permanent remedial actions may be implemented as*
22 *discrete, segmented projects or phases, including an*
23 *assessment of—*

24 *(A) the technical feasibility of such seg-*
25 *mentation;*

1 (B) potential cost efficiencies or risk reduc-
 2 tion associated with phased implementation; and
 3 (C) the extent to which segmentation could
 4 accelerate completion of critical repairs; and
 5 (5) an estimated schedule and cost range for im-
 6 plementation of such recommended permanent reme-
 7 dial actions, including any segmented or phased ap-
 8 proach identified based on the evaluation under para-
 9 graph (4).

10 **SEC. 224. LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER COMPREHENSIVE**
 11 **MANAGEMENT STUDY.**

12 The Secretary shall expedite completion of the Lower
 13 Mississippi River Comprehensive Management Study, au-
 14 thorized by section 213 of the Water Resources Development
 15 Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2684; 136 Stat. 3795).

16 **SEC. 225. DISPOSITION STUDY FOR CAPE COD CANAL, MAS-**
 17 **SACHUSETTS.**

18 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall carry out a dis-
 19 position study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act
 20 of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization of a por-
 21 tion of the project for navigation, Cape Cod Canal, Massa-
 22 chusetts, authorized by the first section of the Act of August
 23 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49 Stat. 1029), that the Secretary
 24 determines—

1 (1) *is not needed to carry out the authorized*
 2 *purposes of the project; and*

3 (2) *could be conveyed to the Town of Sandwich*
 4 *or the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts, for the con-*
 5 *struction of a new wastewater treatment plant.*

6 (b) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 18 months*
 7 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 8 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 9 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
 10 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
 11 *the status of the disposition study required under subsection*
 12 *(a).*

13 **SEC. 226. NEW ENGLAND REGIONAL CONFINED AQUATIC**
 14 **DISPOSAL FACILITIES.**

15 (a) *EXPEDITE ASSESSMENT.*—*The Secretary shall ex-*
 16 *pedite the completion of the assessment of the availability*
 17 *of confined aquatic disposal facilities in the New England*
 18 *District region required under section 8128(c) of the Water*
 19 *Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3716).*

20 (b) *SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.*—*Upon completion of*
 21 *the assessment under subsection (a), the Secretary shall sub-*
 22 *mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure*
 23 *of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Envi-*
 24 *ronment and Public Works of the Senate the results of such*
 25 *assessment.*

1 (c) *STUDY AUTHORIZATION.*—*The Secretary is author-*
 2 *ized to conduct—*

3 (1) *a study for the construction of confined*
 4 *aquatic disposal facilities in the Massachusetts Bay*
 5 *and Ipswich Bay region for the disposal of contami-*
 6 *nated dredged material in such region; and*

7 (2) *any other study recommended by the Sec-*
 8 *retary in the assessment under subsection (a).*

9 **SEC. 227. ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA.**

10 *Section 534(c) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 11 *of 1996 (110 Stat. 3775) is amended by striking*
 12 *“\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”.*

13 **SEC. 228. BIG SANDY LAKE, MINNESOTA.**

14 (a) *SHORELINE MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—*Not later than*
 15 *18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-*
 16 *retary shall establish a shoreline management plan for the*
 17 *Big Sandy Lake project.*

18 (b) *SHORELINE USE PERMITS.*—*Upon the establish-*
 19 *ment of a shoreline management plan under subsection (a),*
 20 *the Secretary is authorized to issue to an owner of land*
 21 *adjacent to the Big Sandy Lake project, upon request by*
 22 *the owner and consistent with the shoreline management*
 23 *plan, a shoreline use permit for activities relating to an*
 24 *existing structure or a new structure on land that is held*

1 *by the Corps of Engineers for the project and adjacent to*
2 *the land of the owner.*

3 *(c) DISPOSITION STUDY.—*

4 *(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out*
5 *a disposition study under section 216 of the Flood*
6 *Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release,*
7 *transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess easements,*
8 *or the conveyance of excess land, held for the Big*
9 *Sandy Lake project.*

10 *(2) SCOPE.—In carrying out the disposition*
11 *study under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—*

12 *(A) for each segment of shoreline property*
13 *owned by the Corps of Engineers at the Big*
14 *Sandy Lake project that corresponds to the width*
15 *of an adjacent plot of private property, iden-*
16 *tify—*

17 *(i) the appraised value of the segment*
18 *of shoreline property; and*

19 *(ii) a legal description and the acreage*
20 *of the segment of shoreline property; and*

21 *(B) develop recommendations for any re-*
22 *strictions or conditions on any shoreline prop-*
23 *erty at the Big Sandy Lake project that is owned*
24 *by, or subject to an easement held by, the Corps*
25 *of Engineers that would be necessary to sustain*

1 *the authorized purposes of the Big Sandy Lake*
2 *project if the shoreline property were to be con-*
3 *veyed to, or the easement were to be released,*
4 *transferred or conveyed to, or exchanged with, a*
5 *non-Federal entity.*

6 (3) *REPORT.*—*Not later than 18 months after the*
7 *date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall sub-*
8 *mit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-*
9 *structure of the House of Representatives and the*
10 *Committee on Environment and Public Works of the*
11 *Senate a report on the status of the disposition study*
12 *required under paragraph (1).*

13 (d) *BIG SANDY LAKE PROJECT DEFINED.*—*In this sec-*
14 *tion, the term “Big Sandy Lake project” means the Sandy*
15 *Lake dam and reservoir, located in Aitkin County, Min-*
16 *nesota, originally authorized as one of the six Mississippi*
17 *River Headwater reservoirs authorized by the first section*
18 *of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21 Stat. 193;*
19 *22 Stat. 203).*

20 **SEC. 229. UPPER MISSOURI RIVER BASIN SEDIMENTATION.**

21 (a) *COMPREHENSIVE STUDY.*—

22 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall conduct a*
23 *comprehensive study to identify the core sedimenta-*
24 *tion issues in the Upper Missouri River Basin.*

1 (2) *RECOMMENDATIONS.—In conducting the*
2 *study under this subsection, the Secretary may de-*
3 *velop recommendations for—*

4 *(A) the construction of a water resources de-*
5 *velopment project;*

6 *(B) the structural or operational modifica-*
7 *tion of existing water resources development*
8 *projects, except as provided in subsection (f);*

9 *(C) monitoring or adaptive management*
10 *measures for water resources development*
11 *projects to respond to changing conditions in the*
12 *Upper Missouri River Basin;*

13 *(D) additional studies focusing on specific*
14 *geographic areas within the Upper Missouri*
15 *River Basin;*

16 *(E) management plans and actions, to be*
17 *carried out by responsible Federal agencies, to*
18 *address or reduce sedimentation in the Upper*
19 *Missouri River Basin; and*

20 *(F) further research on issues with respect*
21 *to which data or current technology does not*
22 *allow for immediate solutions.*

23 (b) *CONTINUATION OF STUDY.—The following studies*
24 *shall be considered a continuation of the study conducted*
25 *under subsection (a):*

1 (1) *Any study recommended to be carried out in*
2 *a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares for the*
3 *study conducted under subsection (a).*

4 (2) *Any additional study recommended under*
5 *subsection (a)(2).*

6 (3) *Any study spun off from the study conducted*
7 *under subsection (a), or from an additional study rec-*
8 *ommended under paragraph (2) of such subsection,*
9 *before the completion of such study.*

10 (c) *USE OF EXISTING DATA.—In conducting the study*
11 *under subsection (a), and in carrying out any study pursu-*
12 *ant to subsection (b), the Secretary shall, to the maximum*
13 *extent practicable and where appropriate, make use of exist-*
14 *ing data provided to the Secretary by any applicable Fed-*
15 *eral, State, and local agency, Indian Tribe, non-Federal in-*
16 *terest, or other stakeholder, or from any relevant multistate*
17 *monitoring program.*

18 (d) *COSTS.—*

19 (1) *LIMITATION.—The total cost of the study con-*
20 *ducted under subsection (a) shall not exceed*
21 *\$25,000,000.*

22 (2) *FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the*
23 *cost of the study conducted under subsection (a) and*
24 *any study carried out pursuant to subsection (b) shall*
25 *be 65 percent.*

1 (e) *DEADLINE*.—Not later than 5 years after the date
 2 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the
 3 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
 4 House of Representatives and the Committee on Environ-
 5 ment and Public Works of the Senate a report that contains
 6 the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), in-
 7 cluding any recommendations developed under paragraph
 8 (2) of such subsection.

9 (f) *PROHIBITION*.—In conducting the study under sub-
 10 section (a), and in carrying out any study pursuant to sub-
 11 section (b), the Secretary may not consider any changes to
 12 the Missouri River Basin Mainstem Reservoir System Mas-
 13 ter Water Control Manual.

14 **SEC. 230. TABLE ROCK LAKE DISPOSITION STUDY, MIS-**
 15 **SOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

16 (a) *IN GENERAL*.—The Secretary shall carry out a dis-
 17 position study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act
 18 of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer, convey-
 19 ance, or exchange of excess easements, or the exchange of
 20 excess land, held for flood risk management and operation
 21 of the Table Rock Lake project of the Corps of Engineers,
 22 located in Missouri and Arkansas, authorized as one of the
 23 multipurpose reservoir projects in the White River Basin
 24 by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chapter 795, 52
 25 Stat. 1218).

1 (b) *ACTIONS.*—*In carrying out the study required*
 2 *under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—*

3 (1) *ensure that the relevant non-Federal interest*
 4 *for the Table Rock Lake project is provided right of*
 5 *first refusal for any potential release, transfer, con-*
 6 *vveyance, or exchange of excess easements; and*

7 (2) *work alongside such non-Federal interest in*
 8 *identifying opportunities for land exchanges, where*
 9 *possible.*

10 (c) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 18 months*
 11 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 12 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 13 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
 14 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report of*
 15 *the status of the disposition study required under subsection*
 16 *(a).*

17 **SEC. 231. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

18 *Section 1323 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 19 *of 2024 (138 Stat. 3143) is amended—*

20 (1) *by striking subsections (a) and (b) and in-*
 21 *serting the following:*

22 “(a) *STRUCTURES.*—*The Secretary shall permit the*
 23 *ongoing presence of an eligible structure at the Table Rock*
 24 *Lake project until the abandonment of the eligible structure.*

1 “(b) *SEWER OR SEPTIC SYSTEMS.*—*The Secretary*
 2 *shall permit the ongoing presence of an eligible sewer or*
 3 *septic system at the Table Rock Lake project until the aban-*
 4 *donment or imminent failure of the eligible sewer or septic*
 5 *system.*”;

6 (2) *in subsection (c)*—

7 (A) *in paragraph (1), by striking “eligible*
 8 *structure, means the allowance of the structure”*
 9 *and inserting “eligible structure or eligible sewer*
 10 *or septic system, means the allowance of the*
 11 *structure or sewer or septic system”;*

12 (B) *by redesignating paragraphs (3) and*
 13 *(4) as paragraphs (4) and (6), respectively;*

14 (C) *by striking paragraph (2) and inserting*
 15 *the following:*

16 “(2) *ELIGIBLE SEWER OR SEPTIC SYSTEM.*—*The*
 17 *term ‘eligible sewer or septic system’ means a pri-*
 18 *vately owned sewer or septic system that—*

19 (A) *as of the date of enactment of the*
 20 *Water Resources Development Act of 2026, is lo-*
 21 *cated on fee land or land subject to a flowage*
 22 *easement held by the United States; and*

23 (B) *does not impact the reservoir level,*
 24 *water quality, or operations of the Table Rock*
 25 *Lake project.*

1 “(3) *ELIGIBLE STRUCTURE*.—The term ‘eligible
2 structure’ means a structure for human habitation,
3 shed, retaining wall, deck, patio, gazebo, driveway,
4 fence, or similar structure, that, as of the date of en-
5 actment of the Water Resources Development Act of
6 2026, is located—

7 “(A) on fee land or land subject to a flowage
8 easement held by the United States; and

9 “(B) higher than the elevation contour of
10 936 feet above mean sea level.”; and

11 (D) by inserting before paragraph (6), as so
12 redesignated, the following:

13 “(5) *IMMINENT FAILURE*.—The term ‘imminent
14 failure’ means, with respect to an eligible sewer or
15 septic system, a final order by the applicable unit of
16 local government to the owner of an eligible sewer or
17 septic system that such system be replaced.”; and

18 (3) by adding at the end the following:

19 “(d) *SAVINGS CLAUSE*.—Nothing in the section shall
20 prevent the Secretary from issuing a shoreline use permit,
21 other applicable permit, easement, or outgrant, consistent
22 with a shoreline management plan or other applicable pol-
23 icy, for a structure, sewer system, or septic system proposed
24 to be built or modified after the date of enactment of the

1 *Water Resources Development Act of 2026 at the Table Rock*
2 *Lake project.”.*

3 **SEC. 232. EVALUATION OF ATOMIC CONTAMINATION AT**
4 **COCHITI LAKE, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW**
5 **MEXICO.**

6 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 90 days after the
7 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination
8 with the Secretary of Energy and the People of Cochiti
9 Pueblo, shall initiate an evaluation of the area including
10 the lands adjacent to and including the Cochiti Lake in
11 relation to the early atomic energy program of the United
12 States, including the Manhattan Engineering District, to
13 determine whether the area is eligible to be added to the
14 Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program of the
15 Corps of Engineers.

16 (b) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—Not later than 1
17 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
18 shall notify the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
19 structure of the House of Representatives and the Committee
20 on Environment and Public Works of the Senate of the re-
21 sults of the evaluation initiated under subsection (a), in-
22 cluding—

23 (1) *whether the area referred to in subsection (a)*
24 *contains contamination that resulted from the early*
25 *atomic energy program;*

1 (2) *whether further remedial action in the area*
 2 *is needed; and*

3 (3) *if eligible, the timeline for adding the area*
 4 *to the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Pro-*
 5 *gram.*

6 **SEC. 233. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY ON**
 7 **UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN.**

8 *Section 1230 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 9 *of 2024 (138 Stat. 3086) is amended by adding at the end*
 10 *the following:*

11 “(d) *IMPLEMENTATION UPDATES.*—*Not later than 30*
 12 *days after the date of enactment of this subsection, and an-*
 13 *nually thereafter until the report prepared under subsection*
 14 *(a) is completed, the Secretary shall submit to the Com-*
 15 *mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House*
 16 *of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and*
 17 *Public Works of the Senate an update describing the status*
 18 *of the implementation of this section.”.*

19 **SEC. 234. ARBUCKLE-TIMBERED HILLS, OKLAHOMA.**

20 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Subject to subsection (b), the Sec-*
 21 *retary, in coordination with relevant Federal and State*
 22 *agencies and non-Federal interests, may conduct a study*
 23 *on water supply, water storage capacity, and drought resil-*
 24 *ience for the regions overlaying the Arbuckle-Timbered*
 25 *Hills Aquifer, Oklahoma.*

1 (b) *COMPONENTS.*—*In carrying out a study under sub-*
2 *section (a), the Secretary shall identify infrastructure needs*
3 *to support increased water storage in and around the Ar-*
4 *buckle-Timbered Hills Aquifer.*

5 **SEC. 235. DISPOSITION AND COST ALLOCATION STUDY OF**
6 **WILLAMETTE VALLEY, OREGON.**

7 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 180 days after the*
8 *date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall issue*
9 *the report required by section 8220 of the Water Resources*
10 *Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3762), as modified by*
11 *this section.*

12 (b) *COST ALLOCATION.*—*The Secretary shall include*
13 *in the report described in subsection (a)—*

14 (1) *a review of the cost allocation for capital in-*
15 *vestments for fish passage, and associated monitoring*
16 *facilities, constructed or proposed after September 30,*
17 *2025, and for operation and expenses related to such*
18 *capital investments, at the dams, reservoirs, and re-*
19 *lated facilities of the Willamette Valley hydropower*
20 *project; and*

21 (2) *a description of the relative public value of*
22 *continued hydropower operations at the Willamette*
23 *Valley hydropower project compared to flood control*
24 *and other authorized purposes.*

1 (c) *DEFINITION.*—*In this section, the term “Willamette*
 2 *Valley hydropower project” has the meaning given that*
 3 *term in section 8220(d) of the Water Resources Development*
 4 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3762).*

5 **SEC. 236. FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS RESERVOIR AND DAM,**
 6 **PENNSYLVANIA.**

7 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall carry out a dis-*
 8 *position study under section 216 of the Flood Control Act*
 9 *of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer, convey-*
 10 *ance, or exchange of excess easements, or the exchange of*
 11 *excess land, held for flood risk management and operation*
 12 *of the Foster Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam project, lo-*
 13 *cated in Pennsylvania and constructed as one of the flood*
 14 *risk management reservoir projects in the plan for flood*
 15 *protection on the West Branch of the Susquehanna River,*
 16 *Pennsylvania and New York, authorized by section 203 of*
 17 *the Flood Control Act of 1954 (68 Stat. 1257).*

18 (b) *SCOPE.*—*The disposition study required by sub-*
 19 *section (a) shall include—*

20 (1) *identification of the minimum real property*
 21 *required for the operation or maintenance of the Fos-*
 22 *ter Joseph Sayers Reservoir and Dam project;*

23 (2) *evaluation of the potential effects of the re-*
 24 *lease, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess prop-*

erty interests on flood control and recreation missions
of the Corps of Engineers; and

(3) identification of the exact legal description
and acreage of the property interests, including ease-
ments, suitable for conveyance.

(c) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 18 months
after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on
Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report of
the status of the disposition study required under subsection
(a).

SEC. 237. HUMPHREYS COUNTY, TENNESSEE.

The Secretary shall coordinate with representatives of
the Natural Resources Conservation Service to expedite
completion of watershed planning assistance to Humphreys
County, Tennessee, pursuant to the agreement entered into
between the Secretary and the Chief of the Natural Re-
sources Conservation Service on November 30, 2022, for
such assistance.

SEC. 238. SAM RAYBURN RESERVOIR, TEXAS.

(a) *JOINT ASSESSMENT.*—Upon request of the Sec-
retary of Agriculture, the Secretary and the Secretary of
Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service,
shall jointly assess the condition of the Harvey Creek boat

1 ramp at Sam Rayburn Reservoir, San Augustine County,
 2 Texas, to identify any repairs to the boat ramp that may
 3 be necessary.

4 (b) *TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO THE FOREST SERV-*
 5 *ICE.*—Upon request of the Secretary of Agriculture, the Sec-
 6 retary shall provide technical and design assistance to the
 7 Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief, for any
 8 repairs to the boat ramp described in subsection (a) that
 9 the Secretary of Agriculture determines necessary based on
 10 an assessment under subsection (a).

11 **SEC. 239. COLUMBIA RIVER, WASHINGTON.**

12 (a) *TRI-CITIES DISPOSITION STUDY.*—The Secretary
 13 shall carry out a disposition study under section 216 of the
 14 Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the convey-
 15 ance of covered lands to a covered entity for a public pur-
 16 pose.

17 (b) *LAND INTO TRUST DISPOSITION STUDY.*—The Sec-
 18 retary shall carry out a disposition study under section 216
 19 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the
 20 transfer of covered lands to the Secretary of the Interior
 21 to be taken into trust for the benefit of a covered Tribal
 22 entity.

23 (c) *CONSULTATION.*—To identify the exact location,
 24 acreage, and boundaries of the covered lands to be included
 25 in a disposition study under this section, the Secretary shall

1 *consult with covered entities, covered Tribal entities, and*
2 *other stakeholders, as applicable.*

3 (d) *CONTENTS.—In carrying out a disposition study*
4 *under this section, the Secretary shall—*

5 (1) *review and describe the effects, if any, on the*
6 *authorized purpose of each Corps of Engineers project*
7 *expected to be affected by a conveyance or transfer, as*
8 *applicable, of covered lands that are the subject of the*
9 *study;*

10 (2) *identify all Federal easements on such cov-*
11 *ered lands;*

12 (3) *identify all federally owned flood risk man-*
13 *agement and flood control infrastructure on such cov-*
14 *ered lands;*

15 (4) *identify and describe each new authority*
16 *needed, if any, to convey or transfer, as applicable,*
17 *such covered lands;*

18 (5) *for each parcel of land within such covered*
19 *lands, identify and describe any rights-of-way, access*
20 *easements, flood easements, and other similar legal in-*
21 *struments, agreements, or encumbrances needed to op-*
22 *erate and maintain all flood control infrastructure*
23 *operated and maintained by the Corps of Engineers;*

24 (6) *identify and describe any current agreements*
25 *entered into by the Corps of Engineers and a non-*

1 *Federal entity for use of, or access to, such covered*
2 *lands; and*

3 (7) *identify any restrictions or conditions on*
4 *lands proposed for conveyance that the Secretary rec-*
5 *ommends and determines to be necessary to sustain*
6 *the authorized purposes of each Corps of Engineers*
7 *project expected to be affected by a conveyance or*
8 *transfer, as applicable, of such covered lands.*

9 (e) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 18 months*
10 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
11 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
12 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
13 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report on*
14 *the status of each disposition study required under this sec-*
15 *tion.*

16 (f) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

17 (1) *COVERED ENTITY.*—*The term “covered enti-*
18 *ty” means—*

19 (A) *the City of Kennewick, Washington;*

20 (B) *the City of Pasco, Washington;*

21 (C) *the City of Richland, Washington;*

22 (D) *Benton County, Washington;*

23 (E) *Franklin County, Washington; and*

24 (F) *the Port Commission of the Port of*
25 *Pasco, located in the City of Pasco, Washington.*

1 (2) *COVERED LANDS.*—*The term “covered lands”*
2 *means the shoreline and adjacent lands located along*
3 *the Columbia River within in the following areas of*
4 *land owned by the Corps of Engineers:*

5 (A) *With respect to the disposition study re-*
6 *quired in subsection (a)—*

7 (i) *the area of approximately 370 acres*
8 *in Benton County, Washington;*

9 (ii) *the area of approximately 465*
10 *acres in the City of Kennewick, Washington;*

11 (iii) *the area of approximately 350*
12 *acres in the City of Pasco, Washington;*

13 (iv) *the area of approximately 640*
14 *acres in the City of Richland, Washington;*

15 (v) *the area of approximately 8 acres*
16 *within the Port of Pasco, Washington; and*

17 (vi) *the area of approximately 520*
18 *acres in Franklin County, Washington.*

19 (B) *With respect to the disposition study re-*
20 *quired in subsection (b)—*

21 (i) *the area of approximately 200 acres*
22 *within the Umatilla Indian Reservation;*

23 (ii) *the area of approximately 160*
24 *acres within the Confederated Tribes and*
25 *Bands of the Yakama Nation; and*

1 (iii) any additional areas, as deter-
2 mined by the Secretary.

3 (3) COVERED TRIBAL ENTITY.—The term “cov-
4 ered Tribal entity” means—

5 (A) the Confederated Tribes of Umatilla In-
6 dian Reservation;

7 (B) the Confederated Tribes and Bands of
8 the Yakama Nation; and

9 (C) other federally recognized Indian
10 Tribes, as determined appropriate by the Sec-
11 retary.

12 **SEC. 240. TRI-CITIES AREA, WASHINGTON.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite the
14 property conveyances authorized under section 501(i) of the
15 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3752).

16 (b) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—If the Secretary
17 fails to convey any property to the appropriate non-Federal
18 entity pursuant to section 501(i) of the Water Resources
19 Development Act of 1996 within 18 months of the date of
20 enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall notify the Com-
21 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
22 of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and
23 Public Works of the Senate—

24 (1) which property has not been conveyed;

1 (2) *why such property has not been conveyed;*

2 *and*

3 (3) *of the timeline to convey such property.*

4 ***TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS***
 5 ***AND MODIFICATIONS***

6 ***SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.***

7 *Section 301 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 8 *of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 579d–2) is amended—*

9 (1) *in subsection (a)—*

10 (A) *in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at*
 11 *the end;*

12 (B) *in paragraph (3), by striking the period*
 13 *at the end and inserting “; and”; and*

14 (C) *by adding at the end the following:*

15 “(4) *to address the backlog of water resources de-*
 16 *velopment projects that the Secretary is authorized to*
 17 *construct.”;*

18 (2) *in subsection (b)(1)(A), by striking “Water*
 19 *Resources Development Act of 2024” and inserting*
 20 *“Water Resources Development Act of 2026” each*
 21 *place it appears; and*

22 (3) *in subsection (c), by adding at the end the*
 23 *following:*

1 “(3) *UPDATES.*—*After submission of the final de-*
 2 *authorization list and appendix under paragraph (1),*
 3 *the Secretary shall—*

4 “(A) *review and update the list and appen-*
 5 *dix as necessary; and*

6 “(B) *not less than every six months, begin-*
 7 *ning after the date of such submission—*

8 “(i) *provide to the committees de-*
 9 *scribed in paragraph (1)(A) the updated list*
 10 *and appendix; and*

11 “(ii) *publish the updated list and ap-*
 12 *pendix in the Federal Register.”.*

13 **SEC. 302. GENERAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

14 (a) *LAKES PROGRAM.*—*Section 602 of the Water Re-*
 15 *sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 138 Stat.*
 16 *3164) is amended—*

17 (1) *in subsection (a)—*

18 (A) *in paragraph (38), by striking “and”*
 19 *at the end;*

20 (B) *in paragraph (39), by striking the pe-*
 21 *riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and*

22 (C) *by adding at the end the following:*

23 “(40) *Gap Creek, Okaloosa County, Florida, for*
 24 *removal of silt and aquatic growth, stump removal,*

1 *and other material to carry out environmental clean-*
 2 *up;*

3 *“(41) Lake Waumpi, Orange County, Florida;*

4 *“(42) Lake Becerra, Glendale Heights, Illinois;*

5 *“(43) Electric Lake (Mill Pond), Park Ridge,*
 6 *New Jersey;*

7 *“(44) Pondsides Park pond, Harrington Park,*
 8 *New Jersey; and*

9 *“(45) Putnam Lake, Putnam County, New*
 10 *York.”; and*

11 *(2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$40,000,000”*
 12 *and inserting “\$60,000,000”.*

13 *(b) CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PRO-*
 14 *GRAM.—Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-*
 15 *ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263) is amended, in the sec-*
 16 *ond sentence, by striking “\$120,000,000” and inserting*
 17 *“\$150,000,000”.*

18 *(c) CIVIL WORKS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—*
 19 *Section 7(c)(8) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 20 *1988 (33 U.S.C. 2313) is amended by striking “2028” and*
 21 *inserting “2030”.*

22 *(d) REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-*
 23 *STRUCTED DAMS.—Section 1177(f) of the Water Resources*
 24 *Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note) is amend-*
 25 *ed by striking “\$60,000,000” and inserting “\$80,000,000”.*

1 (e) *CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER PROGRAM*.—Section
 2 8144(k) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
 3 (136 Stat. 3724) is amended by striking “\$40,000,000” and
 4 inserting “\$50,000,000”.

5 (f) *COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN*.—Section 8309(c)(2) of
 6 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
 7 3780; 138 Stat. 3043) is amended by striking “2026 and
 8 2027” and inserting “2026 through 2030”.

9 (g) *LOWER MISSOURI RIVER STREAMBANK EROSION*
 10 *CONTROL EVALUATION AND DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM*.—
 11 Section 8350(f) of the Water Resources Development Act of
 12 2022 (136 Stat. 3798) is amended by striking “5 years”
 13 and inserting “8 years”.

14 (h) *NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION OF FEASIBILITY*
 15 *STUDIES*.—Section 1043(a) of the Water Resources Reform
 16 and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is
 17 amended—

18 (1) in paragraph (7), by striking “terminates on
 19 the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment
 20 of this Act” and inserting “terminates on September
 21 30, 2030”; and

22 (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “2019” and in-
 23 serting “2030”.

24 (i) *ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM*.—Section 1113(e)
 25 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.

1 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat. 3781; 138 Stat. 3161) is
 2 amended by striking “\$90,000,000” and inserting
 3 “\$120,000,000”.

4 (j) *MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT PROGRAM FOR SA-*
 5 *LINE LAKES IN THE GREAT BASIN.*—Section 8143(f) of the
 6 *Water Resources Development Act of 2022* (136 Stat. 3724)
 7 is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
 8 “\$12,500,000”.

9 (k) *NON-FEDERAL INTEREST DREDGING AUTHOR-*
 10 *ITY.*—Section 1113(g) of the *Water Resources Development*
 11 *Act of 2016* (33 U.S.C. 2326e) is amended by striking “10”
 12 and inserting “14”.

13 **SEC. 303. CONVEYANCES.**

14 (a) *GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.*—

15 (1) *SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—
 16 *The exact acreage and the legal description of any*
 17 *real property to be conveyed under this section shall*
 18 *be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the*
 19 *Secretary.*

20 (2) *APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING*
 21 *PROVISIONS.*—Section 2696 of title 10, *United States*
 22 *Code*, shall not apply to any conveyance under this
 23 *section.*

24 (3) *COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—*An entity to which*
 25 *a conveyance is made under this section shall be re-*

1 *sponsible for all reasonable and necessary costs, in-*
2 *cluding real estate transaction and environmental*
3 *documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.*

4 (4) *LIABILITY.—An entity to which a conveyance*
5 *is made under this section shall hold the United*
6 *States harmless from any liability with respect to ac-*
7 *tivities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-*
8 *ance, on the real property conveyed. The United*
9 *States shall remain responsible for any liability with*
10 *respect to activities carried out, before such date, on*
11 *the real property conveyed.*

12 (5) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The*
13 *Secretary may require that any conveyance under*
14 *this section be subject to such additional terms and*
15 *conditions as the Secretary considers necessary and*
16 *appropriate to protect the interests of the United*
17 *States.*

18 (b) *CITY OF CORONA, CALIFORNIA.—*

19 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary*
20 *may convey, without consideration, to the City of Co-*
21 *rona, California, all right, title, and interest of the*
22 *United States in and to the real property described*
23 *in paragraph (2), for the purpose of public water rec-*
24 *lamation, wastewater treatment, environmental man-*
25 *agement, or related municipal purposes.*

1 (2) *PROPERTY.*—*The property to be conveyed*
2 *under this subsection is the approximately 48 acres of*
3 *land, including improvements located at 2205 Rail-*
4 *road Street, Corona, California.*

5 (3) *RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.*—*In carrying out*
6 *the conveyance under this subsection, the Secretary*
7 *shall, after consultation with the City of Corona, re-*
8 *serve and retain from the conveyance under this sub-*
9 *section such easements, rights-of-way, and other inter-*
10 *ests that the Secretary determines to be necessary and*
11 *appropriate to ensure the continued operation of the*
12 *Prado Dam Basin flood control project, authorized*
13 *pursuant to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936*
14 *(chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 100 Stat. 4113).*

15 (4) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines at*
16 *any time that the property conveyed under this sub-*
17 *section is not in accordance with the purpose specified*
18 *in paragraph (1), all right, title, and interest in and*
19 *to the property shall revert, at the discretion of the*
20 *Secretary, to the United States.*

21 (c) *TOWN OF DUCK, NORTH CAROLINA.*—

22 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—*The Secretary*
23 *shall convey, without consideration, to the Town of*
24 *Duck, North Carolina, all right, title, and interest of*
25 *the United States in and to the real property de-*

1 scribed in paragraph (2), for the purpose of housing
2 a fire station and public safety facility.

3 (2) *PROPERTY.*—*The property to be conveyed*
4 *under this subsection is the approximately 4.02 acres*
5 *of land, with approximately 330 linear feet of road*
6 *frontage and approximately 530 linear feet of depth,*
7 *including improvements on that land, located at 1259*
8 *Duck Road, Duck, North Carolina.*

9 (3) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines at*
10 *any time that the property conveyed under this sub-*
11 *section is not in accordance with the purpose specified*
12 *in paragraph (1), all right, title, and interest in and*
13 *to the property shall revert, at the discretion of the*
14 *Secretary, to the United States.*

15 (d) *CITY OF TOLEDO, OHIO.*—

16 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—*The Secretary*
17 *may convey, without consideration, to the City of To-*
18 *ledo, Ohio, all right, title, and interest of the United*
19 *States in and to the real property described in para-*
20 *graph (2), for the purpose of public benefit, including*
21 *parks and recreation.*

22 (2) *PROPERTY.*—*The property to be conveyed*
23 *under this subsection is the small land mass known*
24 *as Grassy Island, including any improvements on*

1 *that land, located in Maumee Bay at the mouth of*
2 *Maumee River in Ohio.*

3 (3) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines at*
4 *any time that the property conveyed under this sub-*
5 *section is not in accordance with the purpose specified*
6 *in paragraph (1), all right, title, and interest in and*
7 *to the property shall revert, at the discretion of the*
8 *Secretary, to the United States.*

9 (e) *COUNTY OF UMATILLA, OREGON.*—

10 (1) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—*The Secretary*
11 *may convey to the County of Umatilla, Oregon, all*
12 *right, title, and interest of the United States in and*
13 *to the real property described in paragraph (2), for*
14 *the purpose of public benefit.*

15 (2) *PROPERTY.*—*The property to be conveyed*
16 *under this subsection is approximately 25 acres of*
17 *Federal land, including improvements on that land,*
18 *in Umatilla County, Oregon, and described as follows:*

19 (A) *All of Tax Lot 1301.*

20 (B) *Approximately 12 acres of Tax Lot*
21 *1001.*

22 (3) *RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.*—*The Secretary*
23 *shall, after consultation with the County of Umatilla,*
24 *reserve and retain from the conveyance under this*
25 *subsection such easements, rights-of-way, licenses, and*

1 *other interests that the Secretary determines to be nec-*
2 *essary and appropriate to ensure the continued oper-*
3 *ation of the project for flood control and other pur-*
4 *poses, John Day Dam, Columbia River, Washington*
5 *and Oregon, authorized under section 204 of the*
6 *Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 179).*

7 (4) *ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary*
8 *shall—*

9 (A) *identify all agreements and other en-*
10 *cumbrances entered into with a non-Federal en-*
11 *tity on the property to be conveyed under this*
12 *subsection; and*

13 (B) *in a form and manner determined by*
14 *the Secretary, transfer any such agreements and*
15 *encumbrances identified under subparagraph (A)*
16 *to the County of Umatilla, as appropriate.*

17 (5) *DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the prop-*
18 *erty under this subsection by quitclaim deed under*
19 *such terms and conditions as the Secretary deter-*
20 *mines appropriate to protect the interests of the*
21 *United States.*

22 (6) *CONSIDERATION.—The County of Umatilla*
23 *shall pay to the Secretary an amount that is not less*
24 *than the fair market value of the property conveyed*
25 *under this subsection, as determined by the Secretary.*

1 **SEC. 304. LAND EXCHANGE, WALTON AND BAY COUNTIES,**
2 **FLORIDA.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Upon conveyance, by warranty
4 deed acceptable to the Secretary, by The St. Joe Company
5 to the United States of all right, title, and interest in and
6 to the non-Federal land, the Secretary shall release, by quit-
7 claim deed, to The St. Joe Company, all interests held by
8 the United States in any easements on the covered land
9 other than the interests described in the covered easement.

10 (b) *CONSIDERATION.*—As consideration for the convey-
11 ance and release of interests under subsection (a), The St.
12 Joe Company shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal
13 to the difference between the fair market value of the inter-
14 ests the Secretary is releasing under subsection (a) and the
15 fair market value of the non-Federal land, as determined
16 by an appraised value mutually agreed upon by the Sec-
17 retary and The St. Joe Company.

18 (c) *DEADLINE.*—The conveyance and release of inter-
19 ests under subsection (a) shall be completed not later than
20 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

21 (d) *APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING PROVI-*
22 *SIONS.*—Section 2696 of title 10, United States Code, shall
23 not apply to any conveyance under this section.

24 (e) *COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—The St. Joe Company
25 shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
26 including real estate transaction and environmental docu-

1 *mentation costs, associated with any conveyance or release*
2 *of easements under this section.*

3 (f) *LIABILITY.—The St. Joe Company shall hold the*
4 *United States harmless from any liability with respect to*
5 *activities carried out, on or after the date of the conveyance,*
6 *on the real property conveyed under this section. The*
7 *United States shall remain responsible for any liability*
8 *with respect to activities carried out, before such date, on*
9 *the real property conveyed.*

10 (g) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Sec-*
11 *retary may require that any conveyance or release of inter-*
12 *ests under this section be subject to such additional terms*
13 *and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary and ap-*
14 *propriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

15 (h) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

16 (1) *COVERED EASEMENT.—The term “covered*
17 *easement” means any non-exclusive, perpetual ease-*
18 *ment on the covered land, which grants the Secretary*
19 *the right, to maintain the following easements as set*
20 *forth in the nonstandard estate language:*

21 (A) *The channel maintenance and oper-*
22 *ations easement.*

23 (B) *The ingress and egress easement.*

24 (C) *The drainage trench easement.*

1 (2) *COVERED LAND.*—*The term “covered land”*
 2 *means the approximately 79.60 acres of land in Bay*
 3 *County and Walton County, Florida, as generally de-*
 4 *scribed on the map entitled “Boundary Survey Giles*
 5 *Land Swap Intracoastal Waterway Parcel Bay Coun-*
 6 *ty and Walton County, Florida”, prepared by Dew-*
 7 *berry Engineers, Inc., and dated January 26, 2021,*
 8 *under Project Number 50135600.*

9 (3) *NON-FEDERAL LAND.*—*The term “non-Fed-*
 10 *eral land” means the approximately 103.49 acres of*
 11 *land in Bay County, Florida, as generally depicted as*
 12 *Parcel B on the map entitled “Description Sketch*
 13 *Land Exchange (USACE/SJC) East Bay Parcel*
 14 *SEC. 13, T-5-S, R-12-W Bay County, Florida”,*
 15 *prepared by Dewberry Engineers, Inc., and dated*
 16 *September 28, 2021, under Project Number 50145235.*

17 (4) *THE ST. JOE COMPANY.*—*The term “The St.*
 18 *Joe Company” means The St. Joe Company,*
 19 *headquartered in Panama City Beach, Florida, origi-*
 20 *nally incorporated in 1936, and previously known as*
 21 *the St. Joe Paper Company.*

22 **SEC. 305. PORT CANAVERAL, FLORIDA.**

23 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*On receipt of a written request*
 24 *from the Canaveral Port Authority, the Secretary shall—*

1 (1) review the covered land, including any ease-
2 ments on such land, and determine whether any por-
3 tion of such land or easements are no longer required
4 for the purposes of the Canaveral Harbor project;

5 (2) identify any portion of the covered land that
6 the Secretary determines is no longer required under
7 paragraph (1) and that would be suitable for convey-
8 ance or exchange with the Canaveral Port Authority;
9 and

10 (3) as appropriate—

11 (A) convey, at fair market value to, or
12 through exchange for real property of not less
13 than equal value with, the Canaveral Port Au-
14 thority any portion of the covered land that the
15 Secretary determines is no longer required under
16 paragraph (1); and

17 (B) grant to the Canaveral Port Authority
18 any easement on the covered land that the Sec-
19 retary determines under paragraph (1) is no
20 longer required and will not adversely impact
21 the operation of the Canaveral Harbor project.

22 (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Sec-
23 retary shall—

24 (1) determine the exact acreage and the legal de-
25 scription of any real property interest to be conveyed

1 *under this section based on a survey that is satisfac-*
2 *tory to the Secretary and completed by the non-Fed-*
3 *eral interest of the Canaveral Harbor project;*

4 *(2) coordinate with the Canaveral Port Author-*
5 *ity in identifying opportunities for land exchanges,*
6 *where possible; and*

7 *(3) ensure that any conveyance, grant of ease-*
8 *ments, or exchange of land carried out under this sec-*
9 *tion, does not interfere with any Federal navigation*
10 *project that has been constructed or is authorized to*
11 *be constructed as of the date of enactment of this Act.*

12 *(c) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey any property*
13 *described in subsection (a)(3)(A) under this section by quit-*
14 *claim deed under such terms and conditions as the Sec-*
15 *retary determines appropriate to protect the interests of the*
16 *United States.*

17 *(d) APPLICABILITY OF REAL PROPERTY SCREENING*
18 *REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States*
19 *Code, shall not apply to the conveyance of property under*
20 *this section.*

21 *(e) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE OR GRANT OF AN EASE-*
22 *MENT.—The Canaveral Port Authority shall be responsible*
23 *for all reasonable and necessary costs, including real estate*
24 *transaction and environmental documentation costs, associ-*

1 *ated with a conveyance or grant of an easement under this*
 2 *section.*

3 (f) *LIABILITY.—An entity to which a conveyance or*
 4 *grant of an easement is made under this section shall hold*
 5 *the United States harmless from any liability with respect*
 6 *to activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-*
 7 *ance or grant of an easement, on the real property conveyed,*
 8 *or with respect to which an easement is granted. The United*
 9 *States shall remain responsible for any liability with re-*
 10 *spect to activities carried out, before such date, on the real*
 11 *property conveyed or with respect to which an easement is*
 12 *granted.*

13 (g) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Sec-*
 14 *retary may require that any conveyance or grant of an*
 15 *easement under this section be subject to such additional*
 16 *terms and conditions as the Secretary considers necessary*
 17 *and appropriate to protect the interests of the United*
 18 *States.*

19 (h) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

20 (1) *CANAVERAL HARBOR PROJECT.—The term*
 21 *“Canaveral Harbor project” means the project for*
 22 *navigation, Canaveral Harbor, Port Canaveral, Flor-*
 23 *ida, authorized by section 2 of Act of March 2, 1945*
 24 *(chapter 19, 59 Stat. 16).*

1 (2) *COVERED LAND*.—*The term “covered land”*
 2 *means the land owned and known as “USACOE*
 3 *TRACT 100” that is held by the United States for the*
 4 *Canaveral Harbor project.*

5 **SEC. 306. SPECIFIC DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

6 (a) *DEAUTHORIZATION OF DESIGNATED PORTIONS OF*
 7 *LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CALIFORNIA.*—

8 (1) *IN GENERAL*.—*The portion of the project for*
 9 *flood risk management, Los Angeles County Drainage*
 10 *Area, California, authorized by section 5 of the Act*
 11 *of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1589; 50*
 12 *Stat. 167; 52 Stat. 1215; 55 Stat. 647; 64 Stat. 177;*
 13 *104 Stat. 4611; 136 Stat. 3785; 138 Stat. 3019), con-*
 14 *sisting of the flood channels described in paragraph*
 15 *(2), is no longer authorized beginning on the date of*
 16 *enactment of this Act.*

17 (2) *FLOOD CHANNELS DESCRIBED*.—*The flood*
 18 *channels referred to in paragraph (1) are the fol-*
 19 *lowing flood channels operated and maintained by the*
 20 *Los Angeles County Flood Control District, as gen-*
 21 *erally defined in Corps of Engineers operations and*
 22 *maintenance manuals:*

23 (A) *Alhambra Wash Channel (Valley Blvd.*
 24 *to Rio Hondo).*

25 (B) *Arcadia Wash Channel.*

1 (C) Ballona Creek Channel (La Salle Ave.
2 to Vista Del Mar).

3 (D) Ballona Creek Channel (Redondo Blvd.
4 to Washington Blvd.).

5 (E) Benedict Canyon Channel.

6 (F) Burbank Western Channel (Roscoe
7 Blvd. to Victory Blvd.).

8 (G) Caballero Creek Channel.

9 (H) Centinela Creek Channel.

10 (I) Compton Creek Channel (Main St. to
11 122nd St.).

12 (J) Compton Creek Channel (Southern Pa-
13 cific Rail Yard to Los Angeles River).

14 (K) Coyote Creek Channel (Valley View St.
15 to San Gabriel River).

16 (L) Eaton Wash Channel.

17 (M) Grandview Ave Channel.

18 (N) Haines Canyon Channel (Plainview
19 Ave. to Tujunga Wash).

20 (O) Kenter Canyon Channel.

21 (P) Lopez Canyon Diversion Channel.

22 (Q) Los Angeles River (Owensmouth Ave. to
23 Sepulveda Flood Control Basin).

24 (R) Los Angeles River (Sepulveda Flood
25 Control Basin to Lankershim Blvd.).

1 (S) *Los Angeles River (Stewart and Grey*
2 *Rd. to Pacific Ocean).*

3 (T) *North Fork Channel.*

4 (U) *Pacoima Wash Channel.*

5 (V) *Rio Hondo Channel (Lower Azusa Rd.*
6 *to Rosemead Blvd.).*

7 (W) *Rio Hondo Channel (500 ft. south of*
8 *Whittier Narrows Dam to Union Pacific Rail*
9 *Yard).*

10 (X) *Rubio Wash Channel.*

11 (Y) *San Gabriel River Channel (Santa Fe*
12 *Flood Control Basin to Thienes Ave.).*

13 (Z) *San Gabriel River Channel (Whittier*
14 *Narrows Dam to Pacific Ocean).*

15 (AA) *San Jose Creek Channel (Thomas*
16 *Creek to San Jose Creek Diversion).*

17 (BB) *Santa Anita Wash Channel.*

18 (CC) *Sawpit Wash Channel.*

19 (DD) *Sawtelle–Westwood Channel.*

20 (EE) *Sycamore Wash Channel.*

21 (FF) *Tujunga Wash Channel (436 ft. south*
22 *of Hansen Dam to Los Angeles River).*

23 (GG) *Verdugo Wash Channel (Verdugo De-*
24 *bris Basin to San Fernando Rd.).*

25 (3) *REPEAL.—The following are repealed:*

1 (A) Subsections (c) and (d) of section 8320
 2 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
 3 (136 Stat. 3785).

4 (B) Paragraphs (3) and (4) of section
 5 1302(b) of the Water Resources Development Act
 6 of 2024 (138 Stat. 3109).

7 (b) LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
 8 CALIFORNIA.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project for
 10 flood protection on the Lower San Joaquin River and
 11 tributaries, California, authorized by section 10 of the
 12 Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 901),
 13 consisting of the 3.76 mile Dos Rios Levee along the
 14 right bank of the San Joaquin River between River
 15 Mile 88 and River Mile 85, is no longer authorized
 16 beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

17 (2) REPEAL.—Section 1302(p) of the Water Re-
 18 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3114) is
 19 repealed.

20 (c) UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM, MIN-
 21 NEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.—Section 2010 of the Water Re-
 22 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.
 23 1270; 132 Stat. 3812; 136 Stat. 3795) is amended by add-
 24 ing at the end the following:

25 “(h) CLARIFICATION.—

1 “(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Notwithstanding the require-
 2 ments of section 356(f) of the Water Resources Devel-
 3 opment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2724; 138 Stat. 3141),
 4 the Secretary shall retain ownership of, and operation
 5 and maintenance responsibility for, the underwater
 6 cutoff wall constructed by the Secretary on the riv-
 7 erbed in the vicinity of St. Anthony Falls, Minnesota.

8 “(2) *ASSESSMENT.*—Not later than 1 year after
 9 the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary
 10 shall complete and transmit to the State of Minnesota
 11 and to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
 12 structure of the House of Representatives and the
 13 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
 14 Senate an assessment of the condition of the under-
 15 water cutoff wall described in paragraph (1).”.

16 (d) *HARLEM RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNEL,*
 17 *NEW YORK.*—

18 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—Beginning on the date of en-
 19 actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Har-
 20 lem River, New York, authorized by the first section
 21 of the Act of June 18, 1878 (chapter 264, 20 Stat.
 22 158) is modified to deauthorize the portion of the
 23 project consisting of the areas described in paragraph
 24 (2).

1 (2) *AREAS DESCRIBED.*—*The areas referred to in*
2 *paragraph (1) are—*

3 (A) *the area that is a closed polygon formed*
4 *by straight lines connecting, in the order listed,*
5 *the following points, and thence extending land-*
6 *ward to the existing physical shoreline—*

7 (i) *beginning at a point on the shore-*
8 *line north of the Macombs Dam Bridge at*
9 *N244191.72, E1002503.69;*

10 (ii) *running south-southwesterly ap-*
11 *proximately 59.5 feet to a point at*
12 *N244133.98, E1002489.25;*

13 (iii) *running south-southwesterly ap-*
14 *proximately 579.8 feet to a point at*
15 *N243572.61, E1002344.44;*

16 (iv) *running southerly approximately*
17 *173.9 feet to a point at N243399.67,*
18 *E1002326.56;*

19 (v) *running southerly approximately*
20 *189.0 feet to a point at N243212.20,*
21 *E1002302.50;*

22 (vi) *running southerly approximately*
23 *354.4 feet to a point at N242858.69,*
24 *E1002277.63;*

1 (vii) running southerly approximately
2 292.3 feet to a point at N242566.45,
3 E1002281.31;

4 (viii) running southerly approximately
5 791.2 feet to a point at N241778.27,
6 E1002350.13;

7 (ix) running southerly approximately
8 254.5 feet to a point at N241525.84,
9 E1002382.88;

10 (x) running southerly approximately .6
11 feet to a point at N241469.61, E1002389.06;

12 (xi) running southerly approximately
13 190.2 feet to a point at N241280.22,
14 E1002406.69;

15 (xii) running southwesterly approxi-
16 mately 93.6 feet to a point at N241209.59,
17 E1002345.25; and

18 (xiii) running southwesterly approxi-
19 mately 15.4 feet terminating at a point on
20 the shoreline at N241197.95, E1002335.13;
21 and

22 (B) the area that is a closed polygon formed
23 by straight lines connecting, in the order listed,
24 the following points, and thence extending land-
25 ward to the existing physical shoreline—

1 (i) beginning at a point on the shore-
2 line south of the Macombs Dam Bridge at
3 N240595.14, E1002396.69;

4 (ii) running south-southeasterly ap-
5 proximately 30.5 feet to a point at
6 N240569.30, E1002412.94;

7 (iii) running south-southeasterly ap-
8 proximately 97.5 feet to a point at
9 N240486.75, E1002464.88;

10 (iv) running southerly approximately
11 477.6 feet to a point at N240010.61,
12 E1002502.81;

13 (v) running southerly approximately
14 60.4 feet to a point at N239950.33,
15 E1002506.19;

16 (vi) running southerly approximately
17 39.5 feet to a point at N239910.88,
18 E1002506.94;

19 (vii) running southerly approximately
20 .3 feet to a point at N239773.63,
21 E1002509.38;

22 (viii) running southerly approximately
23 97.9 feet to a point at N239676.67,
24 E1002523.13;

1 *(ix) running southerly approximately*
2 *58.1 feet to a point at N239618.58,*
3 *E1002524.19;*

4 *(x) running southerly approximately*
5 *289.1 feet to a point at N239330.02,*
6 *E1002541.31;*

7 *(xi) running southerly approximately*
8 *331.3 feet to a point at N238999.31,*
9 *E1002560.94;*

10 *(xii) running southerly approximately*
11 *583.2 feet to a point at N238416.61,*
12 *E1002585.50;*

13 *(xiii) running southerly approximately*
14 *387.8 feet to a point at N238029.20,*
15 *E1002602.56;*

16 *(xiv) running southerly approximately*
17 *120.0 feet to a point at N237909.23,*
18 *E1002603.81;*

19 *(xv) running west-northwesterly ap-*
20 *proximately 42 feet to a point at*
21 *N237919.38, E1002563.06; and*

22 *(xvi) running west-northwesterly ap-*
23 *proximately 1 foot to a point on the shore-*
24 *line at N237919.63, E1002562.06.*

1 (e) *HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL PARTIAL DEAUTHOR-*
 2 *IZATION, NEW YORK.*—

3 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Beginning on the date of en-*
 4 *actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Hud-*
 5 *son River Channel, New York, authorized by the first*
 6 *section of the Act of March 4, 1913 (chapter 144, 37*
 7 *Stat. 804), is modified to deauthorize the portion of*
 8 *the channel consisting of the area described in para-*
 9 *graph (2).*

10 (2) *AREA DESCRIBED.*—*The area referred to in*
 11 *paragraph (1) is the area bounded by the following*
 12 *coordinates:*

13 (A) *W 740004.90, N 404615.84.*

14 (B) *W 735957.24, N 404612.68.*

15 (C) *W 740015.09, N 404601.62.*

16 (D) *W 740007.71, N 404558.52.*

17 (f) *NEWTOWN CREEK FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHAN-*
 18 *NEL, NEW YORK.*—

19 (1) *DEFINITION OF NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK*
 20 *PROJECT.*—*In this subsection, the term “Newtown*
 21 *Creek, New York project” means the project for navi-*
 22 *gation, Newtown Creek, New York and associated*
 23 *tributaries, authorized by the first section of the Act*
 24 *of March 2, 1919 (chapter 95, 40 Stat. 1276; 46 Stat.*
 25 *920; 50 Stat. 845; 138 Stat. 3113).*

1 (2) *MODIFICATION.—Beginning on the date of*
2 *enactment of this Act, the Newtown Creek, New York*
3 *project is modified to reduce, in part, the authorized*
4 *dimensions of the project, such that the remaining au-*
5 *thorized depths are as follows:*

6 (A) *A 20-foot deep channel with a center*
7 *line beginning at point North 40.735668 and*
8 *West 73.942159, thence to a point North*
9 *40.733648 and West 73.940546.*

10 (B) *An 18-foot deep channel with a center*
11 *line beginning at point North 40.733648 and*
12 *West 73.940546, thence to a point North*
13 *40.722214 and West 73.925873.*

14 (C) *An 18-foot deep turning basin southwest*
15 *of a line formed by points North 40.726201 and*
16 *West 73.927288; and North 40.723507 and West*
17 *73.924712.*

18 (D) *A 16-foot-deep channel with a center*
19 *line beginning at a point North 40.722214 and*
20 *West 73.925873, thence to a point North*
21 *40.718066 and West 73.923931.*

22 (E) *A 16-foot-deep channel with a center*
23 *line beginning at a point North 40.718663 and*
24 *West 73.924175, thence to a point North*
25 *40.717538 and West 73.927437.*

1 (F) A 14-foot-deep channel with a center
 2 line beginning at a point North 40.717538 and
 3 West 73.927437, thence to a point North
 4 40.716610 and West 73.929278.

5 (G) A 12-foot-deep channel with a center
 6 line beginning at a point North 40.716610 and
 7 West 73.929278, thence to a point North
 8 40.713164 and West 73.931352.

9 (3) *DEAUTHORIZATIONS.*—

10 (A) *IN GENERAL.*—The portions of the New-
 11 town Creek navigation project described in sub-
 12 paragraph (B) are deauthorized beginning on the
 13 date of enactment of this Act.

14 (B) *PORTIONS DESCRIBED.*—The portions
 15 referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

16 (i) the portion of the project adjacent
 17 to the turning basin consisting of—

18 (I) the area east of a line formed
 19 by points North 40.726201 and West
 20 73.927288; and North 40.723507 and
 21 West 73.924712; and

22 (II) the area containing Maspeth
 23 Creek;

24 (ii) the upstream portion of English
 25 Kills consisting of the area—

1 (I) beginning at a point North
2 40.713164 and West 73.931352; and

3 (II) extending upstream to the
4 project boundary; and

5 (iii) Dutch Kills, consisting of the
6 area—

7 (I) beginning at a point North
8 40.737623 and West 73.946809; and

9 (II) extending upstream to the
10 project boundary.

11 (g) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—

12 (1) DEAUTHORIZATION.—Upon completion of the
13 sale of the Union Pier marine terminal property and
14 cessation of commercial port operations at the Union
15 Pier marine terminal by the South Carolina Ports
16 Authority, the project for navigation, Charleston Har-
17 bor, South Carolina, authorized by section 1401(1) of
18 the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130
19 Stat. 1708) consisting of the area described in para-
20 graph (2) is no longer authorized.

21 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The area referred to
22 in paragraph (1) is the portion of the Federal channel
23 located within Charleston Harbor bounded by the fol-
24 lowing coordinates: 32° 47' 14.9482" N, 79° 55'
25 16.3495" W; to 32° 47' 14.4485" N, 79° 55' 23.8699"

1 W; to 32° 46' 39.2931" N, 79° 55' 23.1766" W; to 32°
 2 46' 39.4002" N, 79° 55' 15.564" W; to 32° 47'
 3 5.2545" N, 79° 55' 16.0734" W; to 32° 47' 9.6725"
 4 N, 79° 55' 10.8883" W; thence back to 32° 47'
 5 14.9482" N, 79° 55' 16.3495" W.

6 (h) *SHOT POUCH CREEK, SOUTH CAROLINA.*—The
 7 project for snagging and clearing, Shot Pouch Creek, South
 8 Carolina, authorized by section 2 of August 28, 1937 (33
 9 U.S.C. 701g), consisting of 2.15 miles of Shot Pouch Creek
 10 from the Sumter Bypass to Swan Lake, is no longer author-
 11 ized beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

12 **SEC. 307. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

13 (a) *CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.*—Congress finds
 14 that the projects and project modifications described in this
 15 subsection are in accordance with the reports submitted to
 16 Congress by the Secretary under section 7001 of the Water
 17 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
 18 2282d), titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Re-
 19 sources Development”, or have otherwise been reviewed by
 20 Congress.

21 (b) *NEW PROJECTS.*—Section 219(f) of the Water Re-
 22 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
 23 335; 138 Stat. 3115) is amended by adding at the end the
 24 following:

1 “(599) *AUBURN, ALABAMA.—\$3,000,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
3 *Auburn, Alabama.*

4 “(600) *EDWARDSVILLE, ALABAMA.—\$4,000,000*
5 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in the town*
6 *of Edwardsville, Alabama.*

7 “(601) *GADSDEN, ALABAMA.—\$12,000,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
9 *supply and distribution systems, in the city of Gads-*
10 *den, Alabama.*

11 “(602) *LOWER ALABAMA, ALABAMA.—*
12 *\$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
13 *including stormwater management, environmental*
14 *restoration, and surface water protection, in Barbour,*
15 *Bullock, Butler, Conecuh, Crenshaw, Macon, Mobile,*
16 *Monroe, Montgomery, Pike, Russell, and Washington*
17 *Counties, Alabama.*

18 “(603) *MOBILE, ALABAMA.—\$10,000,000 for*
19 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including eco-*
20 *system restoration and resiliency, in the city of Mo-*
21 *bile, Alabama.*

22 “(604) *SOUTHSIDE, ALABAMA.—\$4,200,000 for*
23 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-*
24 *tribution systems, in the city of Southside, Alabama.*

1 “(605) *NELSON LAGOON, ALASKA.*—\$3,300,000
2 *for water infrastructure, including water supply and*
3 *storage, in the Native Village of Nelson Lagoon, Alas-*
4 *ka.*

5 “(606) *SITKA, ALASKA.*—\$7,000,000 *for water*
6 *and wastewater infrastructure, including reclamation*
7 *and storage, in the city and borough of Sitka, Alaska.*

8 “(607) *SOLDOTNA, ALASKA.*—\$1,300,000 *for*
9 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
10 *reclamation and storage, in the city of Soldotna,*
11 *Alaska.*

12 “(608) *LEE COUNTY, ARKANSAS.*—\$50,000,000
13 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in Lee Coun-*
14 *ty, Arkansas.*

15 “(609) *COTTONWOOD, ARIZONA.*—\$10,000,000 *for*
16 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
17 *reclamation, distribution systems, and stormwater*
18 *management, in the city of Cottonwood, Arizona.*

19 “(610) *GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA.*—\$24,000,000 *for*
20 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
21 *reclamation, treatment, and distribution systems, in*
22 *Gila County, Arizona.*

23 “(611) *GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, ARI-*
24 *ZONA.*—\$10,000,000 *for water and wastewater infra-*

1 *structure, including water supply and conservation,*
2 *in the Gila River Indian Community, Arizona.*

3 “(612) *MARICOPA, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000 for*
4 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
5 *reclamation, distribution systems, and stormwater*
6 *management, in the city of Maricopa, Arizona.*

7 “(613) *PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA.—\$7,500,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
9 *reclamation and distribution systems and environ-*
10 *mental restoration, in Pima County, Arizona.*

11 “(614) *SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, ARIZONA.—*
12 *\$30,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
13 *including water reclamation and distribution systems*
14 *and environmental restoration, in Santa Cruz Coun-*
15 *ty, Arizona.*

16 “(615) *ARTESIA, CALIFORNIA.—\$1,200,000 for*
17 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
18 *stormwater management and environmental infra-*
19 *structure, in the city of Artesia, California.*

20 “(616) *ATASCADERO, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000*
21 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
22 *water supply, in the city of Atascadero, California.*

23 “(617) *BELMONT, SAN CARLOS, REDWOOD CITY,*
24 *AND WEST BAY SANITARY DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA.—*
25 *\$9,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*

1 *including environmental restoration, in the cities of*
2 *Belmont, San Carlos, and Redwood City and the*
3 *West Bay Sanitary District, California.*

4 “(618) *BURBANK, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for*
5 *water infrastructure, including water distribution*
6 *systems, in the city of Burbank, California.*

7 “(619) *BUTTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
8 *\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
9 *in Butte County, California.*

10 “(620) *CERRITOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$16,000,000 for*
11 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
12 *supply, in the city of Cerritos, California.*

13 “(621) *COACHELLA, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000*
14 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
15 *water supply, in the city of Coachella, California.*

16 “(622) *CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
17 *\$6,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
18 *including stormwater management, in Contra Costa*
19 *County, California.*

20 “(623) *EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA.—\$18,000,000 for*
21 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
22 *stormwater management, in the city of El Monte,*
23 *California.*

24 “(624) *EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA.—\$1,500,000*
25 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*

1 *stormwater management, in the city of El Segundo,*
2 *California.*

3 “(625) *ELSINORE VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—*
4 *\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
5 *in the city of Lake Elsinore, California.*

6 “(626) *ENCINITAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$44,250,000*
7 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
8 *stormwater management, drainage systems, and envi-*
9 *ronmental restoration, in the city of Encinitas, Cali-*
10 *fornia.*

11 “(627) *IRVINE, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for*
12 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
13 *supply, in the city of Irvine, California.*

14 “(628) *LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE, CALIFORNIA.—*
15 *\$29,024,625 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
16 *including water supply and storage capacity, in the*
17 *city of La Cañada Flintridge, California.*

18 “(629) *LAGUNA BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—*
19 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
20 *including water supply and stormwater management,*
21 *in the city of Laguna Beach, California.*

22 “(630) *LOMPOC, CALIFORNIA.—\$6,300,000 for*
23 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
24 *stormwater management, in the city of Lompoc, Cali-*
25 *fornia.*

1 “(631) *MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA.—*
2 *\$2,000,000 for water and water supply infrastructure*
3 *in the city of Manhattan Beach, California.*

4 “(632) *MISSION VIEJO, RANCHO SANTA MAR-*
5 *GARITA, AND SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA.—*
6 *\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
7 *including water supply, water recycling, and*
8 *stormwater management in the cities of Mission*
9 *Viejo, Rancho Santa Margarita, and San Clemente,*
10 *California.*

11 “(633) *MODESTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$30,000,000 for*
12 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including facil-*
13 *ity relocation, in the city of Modesto, California.*

14 “(634) *MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
15 *\$27,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
16 *including stormwater management, in Monterey*
17 *County, California.*

18 “(635) *MORGAN HILL, CALIFORNIA.—*
19 *\$17,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
20 *in the city of Morgan Hill, California.*

21 “(636) *OJAI, CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000 for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *stormwater management, in the city of Ojai, Cali-*
24 *fornia.*

1 “(637) REDWOOD CITY, CALIFORNIA.—
2 \$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
3 including stormwater management, in the city of
4 Redwood City, California.

5 “(638) SACRAMENTO RIVER BASIN, CALI-
6 FORNIA.—\$155,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
7 frastructure, including environmental restoration and
8 surface water protection in the Sacramento River
9 Basin, California, including the counties of Shasta,
10 Tehama, Butte, Glenn, Colusa, Sutter, Yuba, Sac-
11 ramento, and Yolo, California.

12 “(639) SAN BERNARDINO AND RIVERSIDE COUN-
13 TIES, CALIFORNIA.—\$67,500,000 for water and waste-
14 water infrastructure, including water supply, dis-
15 tribution systems, stormwater management, and envi-
16 ronmental restoration, in San Bernardino and River-
17 side Counties, California.

18 “(640) SAN FERNANDO, CALIFORNIA.—
19 \$28,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water
20 supply and distribution systems, in the city of San
21 Fernando, California.

22 “(641) SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
23 \$30,665,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
24 including water supply and water reuse and related
25 facilities, in San Mateo County, California.

1 “(642) *SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
2 *\$3,200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
3 *in Santa Barbara County, California.*

4 “(643) *SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,500,000*
5 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
6 *water reclamation, distribution systems, and supply,*
7 *in the city of Santa Cruz, California.*

8 “(644) *SEASIDE, CALIFORNIA.—\$14,000,000 for*
9 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
10 *supply, stormwater management, and water reclama-*
11 *tion and distribution systems, in the city of Seaside,*
12 *California.*

13 “(645) *SOLVANG, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,000,000 for*
14 *water and water supply infrastructure, including dis-*
15 *tribution systems, in the city of Solvang, California.*

16 “(646) *SONOMA AND MARIN COUNTIES, CALI-*
17 *FORNIA.—\$60,000,000 for water and wastewater in-*
18 *frastructure, including water supply, stormwater*
19 *management, surface water protection, and environ-*
20 *mental restoration, in Sonoma and Marin Counties,*
21 *California.*

22 “(647) *SUTTER, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,350,000 for*
23 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the county of*
24 *Sutter, California.*

1 “(648) *TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
3 *Turlock, California.*

4 “(649) *VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—*
5 *\$23,459,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
6 *supply and water storage, in Ventura County, Cali-*
7 *fornia.*

8 “(650) *WEST COVINA, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,000,000*
9 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
10 *stormwater management, in the city of West Covina,*
11 *California.*

12 “(651) *AURORA, COLORADO.—\$15,600,000 for*
13 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
14 *stormwater management, in the city of Aurora, Colo-*
15 *rado.*

16 “(652) *CUSTER COUNTY, COLORADO.—*
17 *\$20,000,000 for water and water infrastructure, in-*
18 *cluding water supply, in Custer County, Colorado.*

19 “(653) *NORTHERN COLORADO.—\$22,500,000 for*
20 *water infrastructure, including water supply and en-*
21 *vironmental restoration, in Boulder, Broomfield,*
22 *Larimer, Logan, Morgan, Sedgwick, Washington, and*
23 *Weld Counties, Colorado.*

24 “(654) *CONNECTICUT RIVER VALLEY, CON-*
25 *NECTICUT.—\$12,500,000 for water and wastewater*

1 *infrastructure, including stormwater management*
2 *and environmental restoration, in the towns of Ando-*
3 *ver, Avon, Berlin, Bloomfield, Bolton, Canton, Colum-*
4 *bia, Coventry, East Granby, East Hartford, East*
5 *Windsor, Ellington, Enfield, Farmington, Glaston-*
6 *bury, Granby, Hartford, Hebron, Manchester, Mans-*
7 *field, Marlborough, New Britain, Newington, Plain-*
8 *ville, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, Somers, South Windsor,*
9 *Southington, Stafford, Suffield, Tolland, Vernon, West*
10 *Hartford, Wethersfield, Willington, Windsor, and*
11 *Windsor Locks, and the cities of Hartford and New*
12 *Britain, Connecticut.*

13 “(655) *DANBURY, CONNECTICUT.—\$32,000,000*
14 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
15 *water supply and water storage and distribution sys-*
16 *tems, in the city of Danbury, Connecticut.*

17 “(656) *HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.—\$50,000,000*
18 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
19 *stormwater management, in the city of Hartford,*
20 *Connecticut.*

21 “(657) *LOWER CONNECTICUT RIVER VALLEY,*
22 *CONNECTICUT.—\$12,500,000 for water and waste-*
23 *water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-*
24 *ment and environmental restoration, in the towns of*
25 *Chester, Clinton, Cromwell, Deep River, Durham,*

1 *East Haddam, East Hampton, Essex, Haddam,*
 2 *Killingworth, Lyme, Middlefield, Old Lyme, Old*
 3 *Saybrook, Portland, and Westbrook, and the city of*
 4 *Middletown, Connecticut.*

5 “(658) NEW BRITAIN, CONNECTICUT.—
 6 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
 7 including stormwater management, in the city of New
 8 Britain, Connecticut.

9 “(659) NORTHEASTERN CONNECTICUT.—
 10 \$12,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
 11 including stormwater management and environ-
 12 mental restoration, in the towns of Ashford, Brooklyn,
 13 Canterbury, Chaplin, Eastford, Hampton, Killingly,
 14 Plainfield, Pomfret, Putnam, Scotland, Sterling,
 15 Thompson, Union, Voluntown, and Woodstock, Con-
 16 necticut.

17 “(660) SOUTHEASTERN CONNECTICUT.—
 18 \$12,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
 19 including stormwater management and environ-
 20 mental restoration, in the towns of Bozrah, Colchester,
 21 East Lyme, Franklin, Griswold, Groton, Lebanon,
 22 Ledyard, Lisbon, Montville, North Stonington, Pres-
 23 ton, Salem, Sprague, Stonington, Waterford, and
 24 Windham, the boroughs of Jewett City and

1 *Stonington, and cities of Groton, New London, and*
2 *Norwich, Connecticut.*

3 “(661) *THOMASTON, CONNECTICUT.—\$8,000,000*
4 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
5 *stormwater management, in the town of Thomaston,*
6 *Connecticut.*

7 “(662) *WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT.—\$5,000,000*
8 *for water infrastructure, including water supply and*
9 *distribution systems, in the city of Waterbury, Con-*
10 *necticut.*

11 “(663) *ALTAMONTE SPRINGS, FLORIDA.—*
12 *\$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
13 *including water supply, stormwater management,*
14 *and water reclamation, in the city of Altamonte*
15 *Springs, Florida.*

16 “(664) *BUNNELL, FLORIDA.—\$16,600,000 for*
17 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
18 *Bunnell, Florida.*

19 “(665) *COLUMBIA AND SUWANNEE COUNTIES,*
20 *FLORIDA.—\$39,300,000 for water and wastewater in-*
21 *frastructure in Columbia and Suwannee Counties,*
22 *Florida.*

23 “(666) *ESCAMBIA, WALTON, OKALOOSA, AND*
24 *SANTA ROSA COUNTIES, FLORIDA.—\$50,000,000 for*

1 *water and wastewater infrastructure in Escambia,*
2 *Walton, Okaloosa, and Santa Rosa Counties, Florida.*

3 “(667) *GILCHRIST COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$200,000*
4 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
5 *stormwater management, in Gilchrist County, Flor-*
6 *ida.*

7 “(668) *HERNANDO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—*
8 *\$29,600,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
9 *including stormwater management and environ-*
10 *mental restoration, in Hernando County, Florida.*

11 “(669) *HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA.—\$46,000,000 for*
12 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
13 *Hollywood, Florida.*

14 “(670) *NORTH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—*
15 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
16 *including distribution systems, monitoring infrastruc-*
17 *ture, stormwater management, and environmental*
18 *restoration, in the cities of Aventura, Miami Gardens,*
19 *North Miami Beach, and Sunny Isles Beach, the town*
20 *of Golden Beach, and Miami-Dade County, Florida.*

21 “(671) *PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$400,000 for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-*
23 *tribution systems, in Pasco County, Florida.*

24 “(672) *RIVIERA BEACH, FLORIDA.—\$30,000,000*
25 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*

1 *water supply, distribution systems, and stormwater*
2 *management, in the city of Riviera Beach, Florida.*

3 “(673) SANFORD, FLORIDA.—\$3,800,000 for
4 *water infrastructure, including water supply, in the*
5 *city of Sanford, Florida.*

6 “(674) TAMPA BAY, FLORIDA.—\$100,000,000 for
7 *water infrastructure, including water supply, in*
8 *Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties and the*
9 *cities of New Port Richey, St. Petersburg, and*
10 *Tampa, Florida.*

11 “(675) WINTER PARK, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000
12 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
13 *stormwater management, in the city of Winter Park,*
14 *Florida.*

15 “(676) AVONDALE ESTATES, GEORGIA.—
16 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
17 including stormwater management, in the city of
18 Avondale Estates, Georgia.

19 “(677) FAIRBURN, GEORGIA.—\$5,670,000 for
20 water and wastewater infrastructure, including water
21 supply and distribution systems, in the city of
22 Fairburn, Georgia.

23 “(678) GARDEN CITY, GEORGIA.—\$4,300,000 for
24 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
25 Garden City, Georgia.

1 “(679) *NEWTON COUNTY, GEORGIA.*—\$23,900,000
2 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
3 *water supply and distribution systems, in Newton*
4 *County, Georgia.*

5 “(680) *ADDISON, ILLINOIS.*—\$50,000,000 *for*
6 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
7 *stormwater management, in the village of Addison, Il-*
8 *linois.*

9 “(681) *EAST MOLINE, ILLINOIS.*—\$15,000,000
10 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
11 *water supply, in the city of East Moline, Illinois.*

12 “(682) *PORT BYRON, ILLINOIS.*—\$1,500,000 *for*
13 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the village of*
14 *Port Byron, Illinois.*

15 “(683) *SHANNON, ILLINOIS.*—\$1,000,000 *for*
16 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including dis-*
17 *tribution systems, in the village of Shannon, Illinois.*

18 “(684) *SOUTH PEKIN, ILLINOIS.*—\$8,000,000 *for*
19 *water infrastructure, including water supply, in the*
20 *village of South Pekin, Illinois.*

21 “(685) *BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.*—\$25,000,000 *for*
22 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
23 *stormwater management, in the city of Baltimore,*
24 *Maryland.*

1 “(686) *HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS.—*
2 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
3 *including stormwater management, in the city of*
4 *Holyoke, Massachusetts.*

5 “(687) *BAY REGION, MICHIGAN.—\$50,000,000 for*
6 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
7 *supply, stormwater management, and surface water*
8 *protection, in Bay, Midland, Saginaw, Genesee, and*
9 *Tuscola Counties, Michigan.*

10 “(688) *KENT COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—\$20,000,000*
11 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
12 *stormwater management and surface water resource*
13 *protection, in Kent County, Michigan.*

14 “(689) *LAPEER, MACOMB, OAKLAND, AND ST.*
15 *CLAIR COUNTIES, MICHIGAN.—\$50,000,000 for water*
16 *and wastewater infrastructure in Lapeer, Macomb,*
17 *Oakland, and St. Clair Counties, Michigan.*

18 “(690) *MUSKEGON COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—*
19 *\$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
20 *including stormwater management and surface water*
21 *resource protection, in Muskegon County, Michigan.*

22 “(691) *OTTAWA COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—*
23 *\$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
24 *including stormwater management and surface water*
25 *resource protection, in Ottawa County, Michigan.*

1 “(692) *OWOSSO, MICHIGAN.—\$47,000,000 for*
2 *water infrastructure, including water supply and de-*
3 *salination, in the city of Owosso, Michigan.*

4 “(693) *WESTPHALIA, MICHIGAN.—\$3,000,000 for*
5 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
6 *supply, in the village of Westphalia, Michigan.*

7 “(694) *COKATO, MINNESOTA.—\$4,500,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
9 *supply, in the city of Cokato, Minnesota.*

10 “(695) *NORWOOD YOUNG AMERICA, MIN-*
11 *NESOTA.—\$21,500,000 for water and wastewater in-*
12 *frastructure, including water supply, in the city of*
13 *Norwood Young America, Minnesota.*

14 “(696) *SARTELL, MINNESOTA.—\$24,000,000 for*
15 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
16 *supply, stormwater management, and surface water*
17 *protection, in the city of Sartell, Minnesota.*

18 “(697) *BRANDON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$10,000,000 for*
19 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
20 *Brandon, Mississippi.*

21 “(698) *CHOCTAW COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—*
22 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
23 *including stormwater management, in Choctaw Coun-*
24 *ty, Mississippi.*

1 “(699) *FOREST, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
3 *Forest, Mississippi.*

4 “(700) *GRENADA, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,500,000 for*
5 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
6 *stormwater management, in the city of Grenada, Mis-*
7 *issippi.*

8 “(701) *LUCEDALE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,000,000 for*
9 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
10 *stormwater management, in the city of Lucedale, Mis-*
11 *issippi.*

12 “(702) *SARDIS, MISSISSIPPI.—\$3,000,000 for*
13 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
14 *stormwater management, in the city of Sardis, Mis-*
15 *issippi.*

16 “(703) *WEST POINT, MISSISSIPPI.—\$10,000,000*
17 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of*
18 *West Point, Mississippi.*

19 “(704) *KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.—\$45,000,000*
20 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
21 *stormwater management and water supply, in Kan-*
22 *sas City, Missouri.*

23 “(705) *NEW LONDON, NEW HAMPSHIRE.—*
24 *\$15,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
25 *supply, in the town of New London, New Hampshire.*

1 “(706) *SULLIVAN COUNTY, NEW HAMPSHIRE.—*
2 *\$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
3 *supply, in Sullivan County, New Hampshire.*

4 “(707) *BERGEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—*
5 *\$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
6 *including stormwater management, in Bergen Coun-*
7 *ty, New Jersey.*

8 “(708) *CAMDEN COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—*
9 *\$45,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
10 *including environmental restoration, in Camden*
11 *County, New Jersey.*

12 “(709) *DEMAREST, NEW JERSEY.—\$2,310,000*
13 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
14 *stormwater management, in the borough of Demarest,*
15 *New Jersey.*

16 “(710) *JERSEY CITY, NEW JERSEY.—\$1,300,000*
17 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
18 *stormwater management and water supply, in Jersey*
19 *City, New Jersey.*

20 “(711) *PALMYRA, NEW JERSEY.—\$5,000,000 for*
21 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the borough of*
22 *Palmyra, New Jersey.*

23 “(712) *DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—*
24 *\$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water*

1 *supply and desalination, in Doña Ana County, New*
2 *Mexico.*

3 “(713) *LOS ALAMOS COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—*
4 *\$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
5 *supply, in Los Alamos County, New Mexico.*

6 “(714) *VALENCIA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—*
7 *\$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
8 *including stormwater management, in Valencia*
9 *County, New Mexico.*

10 “(715) *BRONX COUNTY, NEW YORK.—\$90,000,000*
11 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
12 *stormwater management, in Bronx County, New*
13 *York.*

14 “(716) *BROOKHAVEN, NEW YORK.—\$20,000,000*
15 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
16 *stormwater management, in the town of Brookhaven,*
17 *New York.*

18 “(717) *FREEPORT, NEW YORK.—\$3,300,000 for*
19 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
20 *stormwater management, in the village of Freeport,*
21 *New York.*

22 “(718) *GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK.—\$11,000,000*
23 *for water infrastructure, including water supply, in*
24 *the village of Garden City, New York.*

1 “(719) *LAWRENCE, NEW YORK.—\$130,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
3 *stormwater management, in the village of Lawrence,*
4 *New York.*

5 “(720) *LONG BEACH, NEW YORK.—\$25,000,000*
6 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
7 *water supply, in the city of Long Beach, New York.*

8 “(721) *LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK.—\$65,570,000*
9 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in Nassau*
10 *and Suffolk Counties, New York.*

11 “(722) *NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK.—*
12 *\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
13 *including stormwater management, water reclama-*
14 *tion, and environmental restoration, in Nassau Coun-*
15 *ty, New York.*

16 “(723) *NORTH SALEM AND LEWISBORO, NEW*
17 *YORK.—\$600,000 for water and wastewater infra-*
18 *structure, including stormwater management, in the*
19 *towns of North Salem and Lewisboro, New York.*

20 “(724) *OSSINING, NEW YORK.—\$40,000,000 for*
21 *water and wastewater infrastructure in the village of*
22 *Ossining, New York.*

23 “(725) *PORT JEFFERSON, NEW YORK.—*
24 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*

1 *including stormwater management, in the village of*
2 *Port Jefferson, New York.*

3 “(726) *RICHMOND COUNTY, NEW YORK.—*
4 *\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
5 *including stormwater management, in Richmond*
6 *County, New York.*

7 “(727) *WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—*
8 *\$49,400,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
9 *including water supply and storage, in Westchester*
10 *County, New York.*

11 “(728) *ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
12 *\$50,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
13 *supply, in the city of Asheville, North Carolina.*

14 “(729) *DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
15 *\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
16 *including water supply, in the city of Durham, North*
17 *Carolina.*

18 “(730) *GRANVILLE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
19 *\$6,350,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
20 *including water supply, in Granville County, North*
21 *Carolina.*

22 “(731) *HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
23 *\$35,670,500 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
24 *in Harnett County, North Carolina.*

1 “(732) *HILLSBOROUGH, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
2 \$6,820,000 *for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
3 *including water supply and distribution systems, in*
4 *the town of Hillsborough, North Carolina.*

5 “(733) *JOHNSTON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
6 \$7,979,000 *for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
7 *including water supply, in Johnston County, North*
8 *Carolina.*

9 “(734) *PITT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
10 \$15,000,000 *for water and wastewater infrastructure*
11 *in Pitt County, North Carolina.*

12 “(735) *WHITE LAKE, NORTH CAROLINA.—*
13 \$40,000,000 *for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
14 *including stormwater management, in the town of*
15 *White Lake, North Carolina.*

16 “(736) *CLERMONT COUNTY, OHIO.—\$3,000,000*
17 *for water and wastewater infrastructure in Clermont*
18 *County, Ohio.*

19 “(737) *JACKSON COUNTY, OHIO.—\$8,000,000 for*
20 *water and wastewater infrastructure in Jackson*
21 *County, Ohio.*

22 “(738) *LYNCHBURG, OHIO.—\$6,380,000 for*
23 *water and wastewater infrastructure, in the village of*
24 *Lynchburg, Ohio.*

1 “(739) *NEW HOLLAND, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for*
2 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
3 *supply, in the village of New Holland, Ohio.*

4 “(740) *WAVERLY, OHIO.—\$12,995,750 for water*
5 *and wastewater infrastructure in the village of Wa-*
6 *verly, Ohio.*

7 “(741) *CHICKASAW NATION, OKLAHOMA.—*
8 *\$45,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure*
9 *in the territory under the jurisdiction of the Chicka-*
10 *saw Nation, Oklahoma.*

11 “(742) *KLAMATH COUNTY, OREGON.—*
12 *\$37,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
13 *including water supply and water recycling and reuse*
14 *systems, in Klamath County, Oregon.*

15 “(743) *MILTON-FREEWATER, OREGON.—*
16 *\$13,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
17 *supply, in the city of Milton-Freewater, Oregon.*

18 “(744) *SALEM, OREGON.—\$75,000,000 for water*
19 *and wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-*
20 *ply, distribution systems, and environmental restora-*
21 *tion, in the city of Salem, Oregon.*

22 “(745) *BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA.—*
23 *\$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
24 *including stormwater management, in the city of*
25 *Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.*

1 “(746) BLAIR COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
2 \$2,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water
3 supply and distribution systems, in Blair County,
4 Pennsylvania.

5 “(747) CAMBRIA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
6 \$3,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
7 including water supply, in Cambria County, Penn-
8 sylvania.

9 “(748) CARBON AND SCHUYLKILL COUNTIES,
10 PENNSYLVANIA.—\$2,500,000 for water infrastructure,
11 including water supply and water storage, in Carbon
12 and Schuylkill Counties, Pennsylvania.

13 “(749) CHAMBERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—
14 \$12,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
15 in the borough of Chambersburg, Pennsylvania.

16 “(750) JIM THORPE, PENNSYLVANIA.—
17 \$5,175,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
18 including water supply and distribution systems, in
19 the borough of Jim Thorpe, Pennsylvania.

20 “(751) LAUREL MOUNTAIN, PENNSYLVANIA.—
21 \$4,500,000 for water infrastructure, including water
22 supply and distribution systems, in the borough of
23 Laurel Mountain, Pennsylvania.

1 “(752) MCCALMONT TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-
2 VANIA.—\$60,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
3 ture in the McCalmont Township, Pennsylvania.

4 “(753) MERCERSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—
5 \$3,375,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
6 in the borough of Mercersburg, Pennsylvania.

7 “(754) MEYERSDALE, PENNSYLVANIA.—
8 \$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
9 including stormwater management, in the borough of
10 Meyersdale, Pennsylvania.

11 “(755) MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
12 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
13 including stormwater management and environ-
14 mental restoration, in Montgomery County, Pennsyl-
15 vania.

16 “(756) PAINT BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—
17 \$600,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, in-
18 cluding stormwater management, in Paint Borough,
19 Pennsylvania.

20 “(757) PUNXSUTAWNEY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
21 \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
22 including stormwater management, in the borough of
23 Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania.

1 “(758) SHIPPENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA.—
2 \$2,400,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
3 in the borough of Shippensburg, Pennsylvania.

4 “(759) SPRINGHILL TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-
5 VANIA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
6 structure, including water supply, in Springhill
7 Township, Pennsylvania.

8 “(760) WINDBER, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$2,600,000
9 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
10 water supply, in the borough of Windber, Pennsyl-
11 vania.

12 “(761) COROZAL, PUERTO RICO.—\$10,000,000
13 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
14 water supply, in the municipality of Corozal.

15 “(762) BURRILLVILLE, RHODE ISLAND.—
16 \$10,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water
17 supply and distribution systems, in the town of
18 Burrillville, Rhode Island.

19 “(763) COVENTRY, RHODE ISLAND.—\$10,000,000
20 for water and wastewater infrastructure, in the town
21 of Coventry, Rhode Island.

22 “(764) NARRAGANSETT, RHODE ISLAND.—
23 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
24 in the town of Narragansett, Rhode Island.

1 “(765) *NORTH KINGSTOWN, RHODE ISLAND.—*
2 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
3 *including distribution systems, in the town of North*
4 *Kingstown, Rhode Island.*

5 “(766) *PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND.—*
6 *\$16,600,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
7 *including stormwater management, in the city of*
8 *Pawtucket, Rhode Island.*

9 “(767) *WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND.—\$10,000,000*
10 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
11 *distribution systems, in the city of Warwick, Rhode*
12 *Island.*

13 “(768) *BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
14 *\$6,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
15 *including water supply and distribution systems, in*
16 *the City of Beaufort, South Carolina.*

17 “(769) *BERKELEY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
18 *\$14,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
19 *including water supply and distribution systems, in*
20 *Berkeley County, South Carolina.*

21 “(770) *GEORGETOWN, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
22 *\$4,275,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
23 *including stormwater management, in the city of*
24 *Georgetown, South Carolina.*

1 “(771) *HILTON HEAD ISLAND, SOUTH CARO-*
2 *LINA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-*
3 *structure, including stormwater management, in the*
4 *town of Hilton Head Island, South Carolina.*

5 “(772) *ISLE OF PALMS, SOUTH CAROLINA.—*
6 *\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
7 *including stormwater management, in the city of Isle*
8 *of Palms, South Carolina.*

9 “(773) *BRAZORIA, FORT BEND, AND GALVESTON*
10 *COUNTIES, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastruc-*
11 *ture, including water supply, in Brazoria, Fort Bend,*
12 *and Galveston Counties, Texas.*

13 “(774) *BURLESON, TEXAS.—\$12,000,000 for*
14 *water infrastructure, including water supply and dis-*
15 *tribution systems, in the city of Burleson, Texas.*

16 “(775) *GRANBURY, TEXAS.—\$8,000,000 for*
17 *water infrastructure, including water supply, in the*
18 *city of Granbury, Texas.*

19 “(776) *GRANDVIEW, TEXAS.—\$10,000,000 for*
20 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
21 *stormwater management, in the city of Grandview,*
22 *Texas.*

23 “(777) *HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for*
24 *water and wastewater infrastructure in Harris Coun-*
25 *ty, Texas.*

1 “(778) *JIM HOGG COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$7,500,000*
2 *for water infrastructure, including distribution sys-*
3 *tems, in Jim Hogg County, Texas.*

4 “(779) *KEMAH, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for water*
5 *and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater*
6 *management, in the city of Kemah, Texas.*

7 “(780) *KINGSVILLE, TEXAS.—\$19,000,000 for*
8 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
9 *stormwater management, in the city of Kingsville,*
10 *Texas.*

11 “(781) *LAREDO, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for water*
12 *and wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-*
13 *ply, storage, distribution systems, environmental res-*
14 *toration, and stormwater management, in the city of*
15 *Laredo, Texas.*

16 “(782) *MERCEDES, TEXAS.—\$10,000,000 for*
17 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
18 *supply and reuse systems, in the city of Mercedes,*
19 *Texas.*

20 “(783) *MIDLAND COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000*
21 *for water infrastructure, including water supply, in*
22 *Midland County, Texas.*

23 “(784) *ODESSA, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for water*
24 *and wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-*
25 *ply and storage, in the city of Odessa, Texas.*

1 “(785) *PORT OF BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—*
2 *\$18,700,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
3 *supply and water reuse systems, in the Port of*
4 *Brownsville, Texas.*

5 “(786) *STARR COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$7,500,000 for*
6 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
7 *supply and distribution systems, in Starr County,*
8 *Texas.*

9 “(787) *CENTERVILLE, UTAH.—\$20,000,000 for*
10 *water and wastewater infrastructure, including water*
11 *supply and distribution systems, in the city of*
12 *Centerville, Utah.*

13 “(788) *EPHRAIM, UTAH.—\$20,000,000 for water*
14 *infrastructure, including water supply, in the city of*
15 *Ephraim, Utah.*

16 “(789) *FAIRFAX AND PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTIES,*
17 *VIRGINIA.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater in-*
18 *frastructure, including stormwater management and*
19 *water reclamation infrastructure, in Fairfax and*
20 *Prince William Counties, Virginia.*

21 “(790) *HENRICO COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—*
22 *\$25,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water*
23 *supply and distribution systems, in Henrico County,*
24 *Virginia.*

1 “(791) *ORANGE COUNTY, VIRGINIA.*—\$50,000,000
 2 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
 3 *water supply and distribution systems, in Orange*
 4 *County, Virginia.*

5 “(792) *ARLINGTON, WASHINGTON.*—\$50,000,000
 6 *for water and wastewater infrastructure, including*
 7 *water supply, in the city of Arlington, Washington.*

8 “(793) *MOSES LAKE, WASHINGTON.*—
 9 \$50,000,000 *for water and wastewater infrastructure,*
 10 *including water supply and distribution systems, in*
 11 *the city of Moses Lake, Washington.”.*

12 (c) *MODIFICATIONS.*—

13 (1) *FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.*—Section 219(f)(407)
 14 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
 15 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is amend-*
 16 *ed by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting*
 17 *“\$13,888,889”.*

18 (2) *TUCSON, ARIZONA.*—Section 219(f)(412) *of*
 19 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
 20 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is amend-*
 21 *ed by striking “\$30,000,000” and inserting*
 22 *“\$57,013,889”.*

23 (3) *WINSLOW, ARIZONA.*—Section 219(f)(413) *of*
 24 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
 25 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3116) is amend-*

1 *ed by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting*
 2 *“\$9,000,000”.*

3 (4) *ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
 4 *219(f)(278) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 5 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.*
 6 *3808) is amended—*

7 (A) *by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting*
 8 *“\$116,000,000”; and*

9 (B) *by inserting “including water supply,”*
 10 *after “infrastructure,”.*

11 (5) *CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
 12 *219(f)(86) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 13 *1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1259;*
 14 *136 Stat. 3816; 138 Stat. 3127) is amended by strik-*
 15 *ing “\$16,300,000” and inserting “\$27,000,000”.*

16 (6) *CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
 17 *219(f)(48)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 18 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 114 Stat.*
 19 *2763A–220; 121 Stat. 1208) is amended—*

20 (A) *by striking “\$10,300,000” and inserting*
 21 *“\$17,800,000”; and*

22 (B) *by inserting “water and wastewater in-*
 23 *frastructure,” before “desalination”.*

24 (7) *COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(424)*
 25 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*

1 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3117) is amend-*
2 *ed by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting*
3 *“\$40,000,000”.*

4 (8) *EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
5 *219(f)(89) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
6 *1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1259)*
7 *is amended—*

8 (A) *by striking “\$4,000,000” and inserting*
9 *“\$37,000,000”; and*

10 (B) *by striking “a new pump station and*
11 *stormwater management and drainage system”*
12 *and inserting “water and wastewater infrastruc-*
13 *ture, including water storage, water supply, and*
14 *stormwater management”.*

15 (9) *EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—*
16 *Section 219(f)(425) of the Water Resources Develop-*
17 *ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138*
18 *Stat. 3117) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000”*
19 *and inserting “\$72,000,000”.*

20 (10) *FONTANA, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
21 *219(f)(286) of the Water Resources Development Act*
22 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.*
23 *3809) is amended—*

24 (A) *by striking “\$16,000,000” and inserting*
25 *“\$56,000,000”; and*

1 (B) by striking “stormwater management
2 infrastructure” and inserting “wastewater infra-
3 structure, including stormwater management,
4 and water supply and distribution systems”.

5 (11) INLAND EMPIRE, CALIFORNIA.—Section
6 219(f)(288) of the Water Resources Development Act
7 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat.
8 3809) is amended by striking “\$60,000,000” and in-
9 serting “\$65,000,000”.

10 (12) LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section
11 219(f)(432) of the Water Resources Development Act
12 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
13 3117) is amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and in-
14 serting “\$30,000,000”.

15 (13) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Sec-
16 tion 8319(e)(1) of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3784, 138 Stat. 3128) is
18 amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting
19 “\$200,000,000”.

20 (14) LOS OLIVOS, CALIFORNIA.—Section
21 219(f)(440) of the Water Resources Development Act
22 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
23 3117) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000” and in-
24 serting “\$30,000,000”.

1 (15) *ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section*
2 *219(f)(98) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
3 *1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1259)*
4 *is amended—*

5 *(A) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting*
6 *“\$18,000,000”;*

7 *(B) by inserting “and environmental res-*
8 *toration” after “water related infrastructure”;*
9 *and*

10 *(C) by inserting “including water supply,”*
11 *before “Anaheim”.*

12 (16) *PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(100)*
13 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
14 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1260) is amend-*
15 *ed—*

16 *(A) by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting*
17 *“\$28,000,000”; and*

18 *(B) by striking “recycled water trans-*
19 *mission infrastructure” and inserting “water*
20 *and wastewater infrastructure, including*
21 *stormwater management and recycled water”.*

22 (17) *SALINAS, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(450)*
23 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
24 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3118) is amend-*
25 *ed—*

1 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$25,000,000”; and

3 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-
4 ment” after “water supply”.

5 (18) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
6 Section 219(f)(101), Water Resources Development
7 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
8 1260; 138 Stat. 3128) is amended—

9 (A) by striking “\$24,000,000” and inserting
10 “\$84,000,000”; and

11 (B) by inserting “including water supply
12 and stormwater management,” after “infrastruc-
13 ture,”.

14 (19) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Section
15 219(f)(453) of the Water Resources Development Act
16 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
17 3118) is amended by striking “\$200,000,000” and in-
18 serting “\$211,500,000”.

19 (20) SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA.—Section
20 219(f)(103) of the Water Resources Development Act
21 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
22 1260) is amended—

23 (A) by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting
24 “\$15,000,000”; and

1 (B) by striking “improving” and inserting
 2 “water and wastewater infrastructure, including
 3 stormwater management and improvements to”.

4 (21) SOUTH GATE, CALIFORNIA.—Section
 5 219(f)(454) of the Water Resources Development Act
 6 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
 7 3118) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and in-
 8 serting “\$6,000,000”.

9 (22) TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA.—Section
 10 219(f)(307) of the Water Resources Development Act
 11 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.
 12 3810) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and in-
 13 serting “\$133,000,000”.

14 (23) WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—
 15 Section 219(f)(316) of the Water Resources Develop-
 16 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136
 17 Stat. 3810) is amended—

18 (A) by striking “\$1,000,000” and inserting
 19 “\$201,000,000”; and

20 (B) by inserting “distribution systems”
 21 after “stormwater management”.

22 (24) DELTONA, FLORIDA.—Section 219(f)(470) of
 23 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
 24 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3119) is amend-

1 *ed by striking “\$31,200,000” and inserting*
2 *“\$50,000,000”.*

3 (25) *MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section*
4 *219(f)(472) of the Water Resources Development Act*
5 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
6 *3119) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and in-*
7 *serting “\$20,500,000”.*

8 (26) *ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section*
9 *219(f)(321) of the Water Resources Development Act*
10 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.*
11 *3810) is amended—*

12 (A) *by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting*
13 *“\$125,000,000”; and*

14 (B) *by inserting “, stormwater manage-*
15 *ment,” after “reclamation”.*

16 (27) *OVIEDO, FLORIDA.—Section 219(f)(473) of*
17 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
18 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3119) is amend-*
19 *ed by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting*
20 *“\$15,000,000”.*

21 (28) *SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Section*
22 *219(f)(126) of the Water Resources Development Act*
23 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.*
24 *1261) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and in-*
25 *serting “\$50,000,000”.*

1 (29) *GEORGIA*.—Section 219(f)(322) of the Water
 2 *Resources Development Act of 1992* (106 Stat. 4835;
 3 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3811) is amended by strik-
 4 ing “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$125,000,000”.

5 (30) *ATLANTA, GEORGIA*.—Section 219 of the
 6 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992* (106 Stat.
 7 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3817;
 8 138 Stat. 3129) is amended—

9 (A) in subsection (c)(2), by striking “A
 10 combined” and inserting “Water and wastewater
 11 infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
 12 ment and a combined”; and

13 (B) in subsection (e)(5), by striking
 14 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$142,000,000”.

15 (31) *EAST POINT, GEORGIA*.—Section 219(f)(136)
 16 of the *Water Resources Development Act of 1992* (106
 17 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat.
 18 3817; 138 Stat. 3129) is amended—

19 (A) by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting
 20 “\$24,000,000”; and

21 (B) by inserting “, water supply,” after
 22 “stormwater management”.

23 (32) *GUAM*.—Section 219(f)(323) of the Water
 24 *Resources Development Act of 1992* (106 Stat. 4835;
 25 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3811; 138 Stat. 3129) is

1 amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$45,000,000”.

3 (33) COOK COUNTY AND LAKE COUNTY, ILLI-
4 NOIS.—Section 219(f)(54) of the Water Resources De-
5 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
6 114 Stat. 2763A–221; 138 Stat. 3129) is amended by
7 striking “\$149,000,000” and inserting
8 “\$249,000,000”.

9 (34) ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(488)
10 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
11 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3120) is amend-
12 ed by striking “\$4,000,000” and inserting
13 “\$7,000,000”.

14 (35) MARYLAND.—Section 219(f)(341), of the
15 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
16 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by
17 striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting
18 “\$225,000,000”.

19 (36) HAVERHILL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
20 219(f)(337) of the Water Resources Development Act
21 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.
22 3812; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by striking
23 “\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

24 (37) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
25 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Development Act

1 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.*
 2 *3812; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by striking*
 3 *“\$30,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.*

4 (38) *MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of the*
 5 *Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.*
 6 *4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1262; 136 Stat. 3818;*
 7 *138 Stat. 3130) is amended—*

8 (A) *in subparagraph (A), by striking*
 9 *“\$160,000,000” and inserting “\$225,000,000”;*
 10 *and*

11 (B) *in subparagraph (B), by inserting “(in-*
 12 *cluding stormwater management)” after “waste-*
 13 *water treatment and related facilities”.*

14 (39) *SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN.—Section*
 15 *219(f)(500) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 16 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
 17 *3121) is amended by striking “\$58,000,000” and in-*
 18 *serting “\$93,000,000”.*

19 (40) *NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.—Section 569*
 20 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113*
 21 *Stat. 368; 136 Stat. 3820) is amended—*

22 (A) *in subsection (a), by inserting “Lake of*
 23 *the Woods,” after “Lake,”; and*

24 (B) *in subsection (h), by striking*
 25 *“\$80,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.*

1 (41) *BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(163)*
2 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
3 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1263; 138 Stat.*
4 *3130) is amended—*

5 (A) *by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting*
6 *“\$48,000,000”; and*

7 (B) *by inserting “including stormwater*
8 *management and water supply,” after “infra-*
9 *structure,”.*

10 (42) *DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section*
11 *219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
12 *1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 114 Stat.*
13 *2763A–220; 119 Stat. 282; 119 Stat. 2257; 122 Stat.*
14 *1623; 134 Stat. 2718; 138 Stat. 3130) is amended by*
15 *striking “\$170,000,000” and inserting*
16 *“\$175,000,000”.*

17 (43) *DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—Section*
18 *219(f)(505) of the Water Resources Development Act*
19 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
20 *3121) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000” and in-*
21 *serting “\$10,000,000”.*

22 (44) *HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Section*
23 *219(f)(166) of the Water Resources Development Act*
24 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.*
25 *1263) is amended—*

1 (A) by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$8,000,000”; and

3 (B) by inserting “including stormwater
4 management, drainage systems, and surface
5 water protection,” after “infrastructure,”.

6 (45) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
7 219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
9 1263; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended—

10 (A) by striking “\$139,000,000” and insert-
11 ing “\$154,000,000”; and

12 (B) by inserting “water supply, stormwater
13 management, and” after “including”.

14 (46) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
15 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Development Act
16 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.
17 3813) is amended by striking “\$26,000,000” and in-
18 serting “\$50,000,000”.

19 (47) OLIVE BRANCH, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
20 219(f)(510) of the Water Resources Development Act
21 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
22 3122) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and in-
23 serting “\$20,000,000”.

24 (48) OXFORD, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(353)
25 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106

1 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3813) is amend-*
2 *ed by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting*
3 *“\$25,000,000”.*

4 (49) *PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—Section 219(f)(511)*
5 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
6 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3122) is amend-*
7 *ed—*

8 (A) *by striking “\$7,000,000” and inserting*
9 *“\$14,000,000”; and*

10 (B) *by inserting “water supply, water stor-*
11 *age,” after “systems,”.*

12 (50) *PICAYUNE, MISSISSIPPI.—Section*
13 *219(f)(512) of the Water Resources Development Act*
14 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
15 *3122) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and in-*
16 *serting “\$8,000,000”.*

17 (51) *STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI.—Section*
18 *219(f)(513) of the Water Resources Development Act*
19 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
20 *3122) is amended by striking “\$6,000,000” and in-*
21 *serting “\$10,000,000”.*

22 (52) *LAKE TAHOE BASIN RESTORATION, NEVADA*
23 *AND CALIFORNIA.—Section 108(c) of division C of the*
24 *Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (118 Stat.*
25 *2942; 136 Stat. 3820) is amended—*

1 (A) in paragraph (3), by striking “and”;

2 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking the period
3 and inserting “; and”; and

4 (C) by adding at the end the following:

5 “(5) aquatic invasive species identification, con-
6 tainment, mitigation, and eradication.”.

7 (53) *ESSEX AND SUSSEX COUNTIES, NEW JER-*
8 *SEY.*—Section 219(f)(358) of the Water Resources De-
9 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335;
10 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
11 “\$60,000,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

12 (54) *MORRIS COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.*—Section
13 219(f)(363) of the Water Resources Development Act
14 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.
15 3813) is amended by striking “\$30,000,000” and in-
16 serting “\$55,000,000”.

17 (55) *NEW HAMPSHIRE.*—Section 219(f)(518) of
18 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
19 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3122) is amend-
20 ed—

21 (A) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting
22 “\$106,000,000”; and

23 (B) by striking “including water and
24 wastewater infrastructure” and inserting “in-
25 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (in-

1 cluding water supply and stormwater manage-
2 ment), environmental restoration, drought resil-
3 iency, and surface water protection”.

4 (56) *FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO.*—Section
5 219(f)(372) of the Water Resources Development Act
6 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.
7 3814) is amended—

8 (A) by striking “\$15,500,000” and inserting
9 “\$17,500,000”; and

10 (B) by inserting “and stormwater manage-
11 ment” after “supply”.

12 (57) *DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.*—Section
13 219(f)(530) of the Water Resources Development Act
14 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
15 1258; 136 Stat. 3808; 138 Stat. 3123) is amended by
16 striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

17 (58) *KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.*—Section
18 219(f)(184) of the Water Resources Development Act
19 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
20 1264; 138 Stat. 3131) is amended by striking
21 “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

22 (59) *NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.*—Section
23 219(f)(535) of the Water Resources Development Act
24 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.

1 3123) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and in-
 2 serting “\$75,000,000”.

3 (60) ORANGE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section
 4 219(f)(537) of the Water Resources Development Act
 5 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
 6 3123) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and in-
 7 serting “\$15,000,000”.

8 (61) QUEENS, NEW YORK.—Section 219(f)(377)
 9 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106
 10 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat. 3814; 138 Stat.
 11 3131) is amended by striking “\$190,000,000” and in-
 12 serting “\$261,000,000”.

13 (62) ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Section
 14 219(f)(539) of the Water Resources Development Act
 15 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
 16 3123) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000” and in-
 17 serting “\$15,000,000”.

18 (63) MOORESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—Section
 19 219(f)(195) of the Water Resources Development Act
 20 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
 21 1264) is amended by striking “\$4,000,000” and in-
 22 serting “\$20,000,000”.

23 (64) KELLEYS ISLAND, OHIO.—Section
 24 219(f)(558) of the Water Resources Development Act
 25 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.

1 3125) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000” and in-
2 serting “\$16,500,000”.

3 (65) *STARK COUNTY, OHIO.—Section 219(f)(563)*
4 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
5 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3125) is amend-*
6 *ed by striking “\$24,000,000” and inserting*
7 *“\$49,000,000”.*

8 (66) *SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.—Section*
9 *219(f)(562) of the Water Resources Development Act*
10 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
11 *3125) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and in-*
12 *serting “\$50,000,000”.*

13 (67) *TOLEDO AND OREGON, OHIO.—Section*
14 *219(f)(565) of the Water Resources Development Act*
15 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
16 *3125) is amended—*

17 (A) *by striking “\$10,500,000” and inserting*
18 *“\$45,000,000”; and*

19 (B) *by inserting “, including stormwater*
20 *management, water supply, and environmental*
21 *restoration,” after “wastewater infrastructure”.*

22 (68) *MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section*
23 *219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Development Act*
24 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.*
25 *1266; 134 Stat. 2719; 138 Stat. 3132) is amended—*

1 (A) by striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting
2 “\$20,000,000”; and

3 (B) by striking “improvements to water re-
4 lated infrastructure” and inserting “water and
5 wastewater infrastructure, including water sup-
6 ply”.

7 (69) *PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRA-*
8 *STRUCTURE*.—Section 1349(e)(1) of the Water Re-
9 sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3159) is
10 amended by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting
11 “\$75,000,000”.

12 (70) *CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA*.—Section
13 219(f)(574) of the Water Resources Development Act
14 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.
15 3125) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000” and in-
16 serting “\$20,500,000”.

17 (71) *PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA*.—Section
18 219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Development Act
19 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat.
20 1266; 138 Stat. 3132) is amended by striking
21 “\$3,000,000” and inserting “\$53,000,000”.

22 (72) *SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA*.—Section
23 313(g)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
24 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat. 3723;
25 113 Stat. 310; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat. 2719; 136

1 *Stat. 3821) is amended by striking “\$410,000,000”*
 2 *and inserting “\$415,000,000”.*

3 (73) *SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA AND DELA-*
 4 *WARE RIVER BASIN.—Section 566 of the Water Re-*
 5 *sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3786; 113*
 6 *Stat. 352; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended—*

7 (A) *by striking the section heading and in-*
 8 *serting “**SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA***
 9 ***AND DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.**”;*

10 (B) *in subsection (a), by striking “Lower”;*

11 (C) *in subsection (b), by striking “Lower”;*

12 (D) *by amending subsection (g) to read as*
 13 *follows:*

14 “(g) *AREAS DEFINED.—In this section:*

15 “(1) *DELAWARE RIVER BASIN.—The term ‘Dela-*
 16 *ware River Basin’ means the Schuylkill Valley,*
 17 *Upper Estuary, Lower Estuary, and Delaware Bay*
 18 *Watersheds and the East Branch Delaware,*
 19 *Lackawaxen, Lehigh, Middle Delaware—Mongaup—*
 20 *Brodhead, Middle Delaware—Musconetcong, and*
 21 *Upper Delaware subwatersheds of the Delaware River*
 22 *Basin in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the*
 23 *States of New Jersey, New York, and Delaware.*

24 “(2) *SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA.—The term*
 25 *‘southeastern Pennsylvania’ means Philadelphia,*

Bucks, Chester, Delaware, and Montgomery Counties, Pennsylvania.”; and

(E) in subsection (h)—

(i) by striking “Lower”; and

(ii) by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$92,690,000”.

(74) COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—Section 219(f)(246) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 121 Stat. 1267) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$75,000,000”; and

(B) by inserting “, including stormwater management, surface water protection, and environmental restoration,” after “infrastructure”.

(75) FLORENCE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(580) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126) is amended—

(A) by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$75,000,000”; and

(B) by inserting “, including water supply,” after “infrastructure”.

(76) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Resources

1 *Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.*
 2 *335; 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 117 Stat. 1838; 130 Stat.*
 3 *1677; 132 Stat. 3818; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat. 3818;*
 4 *138 Stat. 3132) is amended by striking*
 5 *“\$235,000,000” and inserting “\$295,000,000”.*

6 (77) *TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Section*
 7 *219(f)(582) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 8 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
 9 *3126) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and in-*
 10 *serting “\$85,000,000”.*

11 (78) *TIPTON, HAYWOOD, AND FAYETTE COUN-*
 12 *TIES, TENNESSEE.—Section 219(f)(583) of the Water*
 13 *Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;*
 14 *113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126) is amended by strik-*
 15 *ing “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.*

16 (79) *PORTLAND, TENNESSEE.—Section*
 17 *219(f)(394) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 18 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.*
 19 *3815) is amended—*

20 (A) *by striking “\$1,850,000” and inserting*
 21 *“\$19,850,000”; and*

22 (B) *by inserting “and stormwater manage-*
 23 *ment” after “water supply”.*

24 (80) *AMARILLO, TEXAS.—Section 219(f)(585) of*
 25 *the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*

1 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126)* is amend-
2 *ed by striking “\$38,000,000” and inserting*
3 *“\$56,000,000”.*

4 (81) *BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—Section 219(f)(586)*
5 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106*
6 *Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat. 3126)* is amend-
7 *ed—*

8 (A) *by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting*
9 *“\$42,300,000”; and*

10 (B) *by inserting “including regional water*
11 *and water reuse infrastructure,” after “infra-*
12 *structure,”.*

13 (82) *ZAPATA COUNTY, TEXAS.—Section*
14 *219(f)(591) of the Water Resources Development Act*
15 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 138 Stat.*
16 *3126)* is amended—

17 (A) *by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting*
18 *“\$30,000,000”; and*

19 (B) *by inserting “and distribution systems”*
20 *after “water supply”.*

21 (83) *PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.—Section*
22 *219(f)(402) of the Water Resources Development Act*
23 *of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335; 136 Stat.*
24 *3815)* is amended by striking “\$7,500,000” and in-
25 *serting “\$12,000,000”.*

(84) *WESTERN RURAL WATER*.—Section 595 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117 Stat. 142; 117 Stat. 1836; 118 Stat. 440; 121 Stat. 1219; 123 Stat. 2851; 128 Stat. 1316; 130 Stat. 1681; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat. 3822; 138 Stat. 3133) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b), by inserting “Colorado,” before “rural Idaho”;

(B) in subsection (c), by inserting “Colorado,” before “Idaho”; and

(C) in subsection (i)—

(i) in paragraph (1)—

(I) by striking “\$850,000,000” and inserting “\$890,000,000”; and

(II) by striking “and” at the end; and

(ii) in paragraph (2)—

(I) by striking “\$250,000,000” and inserting “\$351,598,000”;

(II) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(III) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) \$150,000,000 for Colorado.”.

1 (d) *REIMBURSEMENT UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL INFRA-*
 2 *STRUCTURE ASSISTANCE.*—Section 219 of the Water Re-
 3 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
 4 335) is amended by adding at the end the following new
 5 subsection:

6 “(g) *FORM OF FEDERAL SHARE.*—The Federal share
 7 of the cost of any project, or separable element of a project,
 8 for which assistance is provided under this section may be
 9 provided in the form of reimbursement of project costs in-
 10 curred by the non-Federal interest, subject to funds being
 11 made available for such project.”.

12 **SEC. 308. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**

13 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—In carrying out the assessment re-
 14 quired under section 1162(c) of the Water Resources Devel-
 15 opment Act of 2024 (33 U.S.C. 2319 note), the Secretary
 16 shall include an assessment of New Hogan Dam and Lake,
 17 Calaveras River, California, authorized pursuant to the sec-
 18 tion 10 of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58 Stat. 902),
 19 and Shasta Dam and Lake, Sacramento River, California,
 20 authorized pursuant to section 2 of the Act of August 26,
 21 1937 (chapter 832, 50 Stat. 850; 54 Stat. 1199).

22 (b) *ADDITIONAL UTILIZATION.*—Section 1222(c) of the
 23 Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3811;
 24 134 Stat. 2661; 136 Stat. 3777) is amended—

1 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and the Apa-
 2 lachicola Chattahoochee Flint River Basin” and in-
 3 serting “the Apalachicola Chattahoochee Flint River
 4 Basin, and the Pend Oreille River Basin”; and

5 (2) in paragraph (2), by striking “or the Apa-
 6 lachicola Chattahoochee Flint River Basin” and in-
 7 serting “the Apalachicola Chattahoochee Flint River
 8 Basin, or the Pend Oreille River Basin” each place
 9 it appears.

10 **SEC. 309. FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.**

11 (a) *ELIGIBILITY*.—Section 206 of the Flood Control
 12 Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) is amended—

13 (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “and to pro-
 14 vide advice” and inserting “to support State efforts to
 15 establish and implement integrated floodplain man-
 16 agement programs that both reduce flood risk and
 17 protect and restore floodplain functions; and to pro-
 18 vide advice”; and

19 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “The Secretary
 20 of the Army is authorized to expend not to exceed”
 21 and inserting “AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-
 22 TIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated”.

23 (b) *PRIORITIZING FLOOD RISK RESILIENCY TECH-*
 24 *NICAL ASSISTANCE*.—Section 111(b) of the Water Resources
 25 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 709a note) is amended

1 *by striking “or communities” and inserting “, rural com-*
 2 *munities, or communities”.*

3 **SEC. 310. PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES.**

4 *Section 22(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Develop-*
 5 *ment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-16(a)(2)(B)) is amend-*
 6 *ed to read as follows:*

7 *“(B) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Technical as-*
 8 *sistance under this paragraph may include—*

9 *“(i) provision and integration of hy-*
 10 *drologic, economic, and environmental data*
 11 *and analyses;*

12 *“(ii) assessment of the structural integ-*
 13 *riety of a water resources development*
 14 *project;*

15 *“(iii) development of integrated flood-*
 16 *plain management programs that both re-*
 17 *duce flood risk and protect and restore*
 18 *floodplain functions; and*

19 *“(iv) title research for abandoned*
 20 *structures.”.*

21 **SEC. 311. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.**

22 *Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Development*
 23 *Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 113 Stat. 339; 114 Stat. 2679;*
 24 *136 Stat. 3779; 138 Stat. 3164) is amended by adding at*
 25 *the end the following:*

1 “(28) Bayport East Turning Basin and Channel
2 Expansion of Houston Ship Channel, Texas.”.

3 **SEC. 312. MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA.**

4 *The undesignated paragraph under the heading “Mo-*
5 *bile Harbor, Alabama” in section 201(a) of the Water Re-*
6 *sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090; 110 Stat.*
7 *3711) is amended by striking “, after compliance with ap-*
8 *plicable laws and after opportunity for public review and*
9 *comment, may consider alternatives to disposal of such ma-*
10 *terial in the Gulf of Mexico, including environmentally ac-*
11 *ceptable alternatives for beneficial uses of dredged material*
12 *and environmental restoration.” and inserting “shall pro-*
13 *hibit the use of thin layer placement of dredged material*
14 *unless such disposal is necessary for emergency use, to pre-*
15 *vent draft restrictions, or the creation of living shorelines*
16 *or brackish marsh habitats. The Secretary shall establish,*
17 *in coordination with the Alabama Department of Conserva-*
18 *tion and Natural Resources, a multi-year restoration pro-*
19 *gram utilizing suitable dredged material to protect, con-*
20 *serve, and restore the Mobile Bay estuary.”.*

21 **SEC. 313. MENDENHALL GLACIER OUTBURST FLOODING,**
22 **ALASKA.**

23 *The Secretary shall—*

24 (1) *expedite the completion of the Mendenhall*
25 *Glacier outburst flooding technical report, authorized*

1 *pursuant to section 8315 of the Water Resource Devel-*
 2 *opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783; 138 Stat. 3147);*
 3 *and*

4 *(2) develop a recommendation that provides per-*
 5 *manent and resilient flood control mitigation for gla-*
 6 *cial lake outburst floods that emanate from Suicide*
 7 *Basin and Lake Mendenhall and that affect Juneau,*
 8 *Alaska.*

9 **SEC. 314. HANSEN DAM, LOS ANGELES–SAN GABRIEL RIVER**
 10 **BASIN, CALIFORNIA.**

11 *In evaluating and implementing the water control*
 12 *manual for the Hansen Dam project, Los Angeles–San Ga-*
 13 *briel River Basin and Ballona Creek, California, author-*
 14 *ized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688,*
 15 *49 Stat. 1589; 55 Stat. 647), the Secretary shall—*

16 *(1) coordinate with the City of Los Angeles to*
 17 *identify new or expanded recreational opportunities*
 18 *on lands that are leased or could be leased by the City*
 19 *for recreation;*

20 *(2) undertake necessary background studies to*
 21 *support the authorized purposes of the project, includ-*
 22 *ing recreation; and*

23 *(3) ensure that the water quality parameters for*
 24 *the project are sufficient to meet authorized project*
 25 *purposes.*

1 **SEC. 315. MORRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.**

2 *In carrying out operations and maintenance activities*
3 *for the project for harbor development, Morro Bay, Cali-*
4 *fornia, authorized by section 2 of the Act of March 2, 1945*
5 *(chapter 19, 59 Stat. 21), the Secretary shall carry out any*
6 *necessary repair or maintenance activities on a breakwater,*
7 *revetment, or seawall constructed as part of the project in*
8 *such a manner as to ensure each such activity is sufficient*
9 *to meet the authorized purpose of the project.*

10 **SEC. 316. OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

11 *In carrying out the study for the project for shoreline*
12 *protection, Oceanside, California, authorized pursuant to*
13 *section 414 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000*
14 *(114 Stat. 2636; 121 Stat. 1176; 134 Stat. 2672; 138 Stat.*
15 *3077), the Secretary shall coordinate with the city of Ocean-*
16 *side, California, on the development of a recommendation*
17 *that—*

18 *(1) is feasible;*

19 *(2) maximizes the use of natural features and*
20 *nature-based features (as those terms are defined in*
21 *section 1184(a) of the Water Resources Development*
22 *Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a)));*

23 *(3) utilizes updated sediment sampling and*
24 *analysis; and*

25 *(4) is acceptable to the city of Oceanside.*

1 **SEC. 317. PAJARO RIVER, CALIFORNIA.**

2 *At the request of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary*
 3 *is authorized to acquire any interest in real property re-*
 4 *quired for the project for flood protection on the Pajaro*
 5 *River, California, authorized by section 203 of the Flood*
 6 *Control Act of 1966 (80 Stat. 1421), in fee simple title.*

7 **SEC. 318. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT**
 8 **PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND**
 9 **TEXAS.**

10 *Section 5056 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 11 *of 2007 (121 Stat. 1213) is amended—*

12 *(1) in subsection (b)—*

13 *(A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “con-*
 14 *struction” and all that follows through “enhance-*
 15 *ment” and inserting “construction, and design of*
 16 *water resource protection and restoration*
 17 *projects”; and*

18 *(B) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting*
 19 *the following:*

20 *“(2) USE OF EXISTING INFORMATION.—In car-*
 21 *rying out the program authorized by paragraph*
 22 *(1)(A), the Secretary shall consider data and analyses*
 23 *developed under paragraph (1)(B) and any relevant*
 24 *Federal, State, and local water resource planning ef-*
 25 *forts in the Rio Grande Basin.*

1 “(3) *PROJECTS*.—In carrying out the program
2 authorized by paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall
3 include projects for—

4 “(A) drought, wildfire, flooding, and other
5 natural disaster resiliency mitigation, including
6 through the use of measures utilizing a natural
7 feature or nature-based feature (as those terms
8 are defined in section 1184(a) of the Water Re-
9 sources Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C.
10 2289a));

11 “(B) the protection and restoration of fish
12 and wildlife habitat;

13 “(C) addressing the impacts of excess sedi-
14 mentation and salinity on surface and ground-
15 water availability;

16 “(D) improvements or rehabilitation of
17 water-resources related infrastructure;

18 “(E) the prevention and control of the
19 spread of aquatic invasive species; and

20 “(F) other water resource protection and
21 restoration activities determined appropriate by
22 the Secretary.”;

23 (2) in subsection (f), by striking “\$15,000,000
24 for each of fiscal years 2008 through 2029” and in-

1 serting “\$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2026
2 through 2030”; and

3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(g) *REPORTS*.—Not later than December 31, 2027,
5 and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to
6 Congress a report that—

7 “(1) contains an evaluation of the program car-
8 ried out under subsection (b)(1)(A), including a de-
9 scription of all projects carried out under such pro-
10 gram;

11 “(2) contains a description of future projects
12 planned to be carried out under such program;

13 “(3) provides updates of a systemic habitat needs
14 assessment and an assessment of needs for other re-
15 lated purposes in the Rio Grande Basin, including
16 flood damage reduction, wildfire risk mitigation, and
17 drought mitigation; and

18 “(4) identifies any needed adjustments in the au-
19 thorization of such program.”.

20 **SEC. 319. MIAMI HARBOR, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.**

21 (a) *IN GENERAL*.—In accordance with the Act of Octo-
22 ber 15, 1940 (33 U.S.C. 701h–1), the Secretary shall repay
23 the non-Federal interest for the Federal share of any ad-
24 vanced funds contributed by the non-Federal interest for the
25 project for navigation, Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade Coun-

1 *ty, Florida, authorized by section 1001(17) of the Water Re-*
 2 *sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1052).*

3 *(b) APPLICATION OF CORPS POLICY.—In providing the*
 4 *repayment required under subsection (a), the Secretary*
 5 *may not require a new start determination for a project*
 6 *before providing such repayment.*

7 **SEC. 320. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET, LOUISIANA.**

8 *In carrying out the project for ecosystem restoration,*
 9 *Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, Louisiana, authorized by*
 10 *section 7013(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 11 *of 2007 (121 Stat. 1281), notwithstanding any requirement*
 12 *of the plan described in such section that the non-Federal*
 13 *interest provide land for the project in fee in order to satisfy*
 14 *the property rights requirements of the project, the Sec-*
 15 *retary shall, where feasible, allow the non-Federal interest*
 16 *to provide easements on the land for the project in order*
 17 *to satisfy such property rights requirements.*

18 **SEC. 321. PILOTTOWN ANCHORAGE AREA, LOUISIANA.**

19 *Pursuant to section 106 of the Water Resources Devel-*
 20 *opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 635), the Secretary is au-*
 21 *thorized to operate and maintain, at Federal expense, the*
 22 *Pilottown anchorage area, Mississippi River above Head of*
 23 *Passes, Louisiana, extending from River Mile 6.7 above*
 24 *Head of Passes to Mile 1.5 above Head of Passes established*
 25 *by the United States Coast Guard.*

1 **SEC. 322. JACK HUBBARD MEMORIAL BREAKWATER, BURT**
2 **TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN.**

3 (a) *DESIGNATION.*—*The breakwater at the Grand*
4 *Marais Harbor, Michigan, authorized pursuant to section*
5 *1 of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter 211, 21 Stat. 190;*
6 *64 Stat. 166), shall hereafter be known and designated as*
7 *the “Jack Hubbard Memorial Breakwater”.*

8 (b) *REFERENCES.*—*Any reference in a law, map, regu-*
9 *lation, document, paper, or other record of the United*
10 *States to the breakwater referred to in subsection (a) shall*
11 *be deemed to be a reference to the Jack Hubbard Memorial*
12 *Breakwater.*

13 **SEC. 323. SARDIS LAKE, PANOLA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.**

14 *Section 8377(e) of the Water Resources Development*
15 *Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3824) is amended—*

16 (1) *in paragraph (1), by striking “The Secretary*
17 *is authorized to” and inserting “Not later than 180*
18 *days after the date of enactment of the Water Re-*
19 *sources Development Act of 2026, the Secretary shall”;*
20 *and*

21 (2) *in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “subsection*
22 *such easements” and inserting “subsection the min-*
23 *imum easements”.*

1 **SEC. 324. HYDRAULIC EVALUATION OF UPPER MISSISSIPPI**
 2 **RIVER.**

3 *Section 8219 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 4 *of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 652 note) is amended by adding at the*
 5 *end the following:*

6 “(e) *COORDINATION WITH UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER*
 7 *SYSTEM FLOOD RISK AND RESILIENCY STUDY.*—*The Fed-*
 8 *eral Emergency Management Agency may only use infor-*
 9 *mation developed under subsection (a) with respect to any*
 10 *area of the Upper Mississippi River in accordance with a*
 11 *congressionally authorized project described in a final re-*
 12 *port of the Chief of Engineers for a study conducted with*
 13 *respect to such area under section 1227 of the Water Re-*
 14 *sources Development Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3084) (includ-*
 15 *ing any study described in subsection (d)(2) of such sec-*
 16 *tion).”.*

17 **SEC. 325. LOWER MISSOURI RIVER COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD**
 18 **PROTECTION.**

19 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may carry out a*
 20 *project for flood risk management in the Lower Missouri*
 21 *River, as identified in the System Plan, if the Secretary*
 22 *determines that the project is feasible.*

23 (b) *ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES.*—

24 (1) *PROJECTS INCLUDED IN SYSTEM PLAN.*—*For*
 25 *any project identified in the System Plan as a Sys-*
 26 *tem Plan component, the Secretary may carry out*

1 *flood risk management measures for such project in*
2 *accordance with the design criteria and guidelines of*
3 *the System Plan.*

4 (2) *COMPATIBLE MEASURES.—The Secretary*
5 *may include in a flood risk management project au-*
6 *thorized under this section compatible measures for*
7 *environmental improvements and recreation, if the*
8 *Secretary determines the compatible measures are—*

9 (A) *feasible;*

10 (B) *consistent with System Plan rec-*
11 *ommendations;*

12 (C) *beneficial to the community;*

13 (D) *not detrimental to flood protection; and*

14 (E) *supported by State and local partners.*

15 (c) *SPINOFF STUDIES.—Any project or spinoff studies*
16 *identified in the System Plan may be considered a continu-*
17 *ation of the Lower Missouri Basin study and studied and*
18 *designed in accordance with section 216(a) of the Water Re-*
19 *sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2681).*

20 (d) *COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall coordinate*
21 *activities carried out pursuant to this section with other*
22 *activities carried out by the Secretary in the Missouri River*
23 *Basin.*

24 (e) *SYSTEM PLAN UPDATE.—*

1 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary is authorized to*
2 *update the System Plan, as necessary and in accord-*
3 *ance with section 216 of the Water Resources Develop-*
4 *ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2681), as additional*
5 *data is developed, and projects are implemented pur-*
6 *suant to this section.*

7 (2) *PROJECTS NOT INCLUDED IN SYSTEM*
8 *PLAN.*—*For any project not identified in the System*
9 *Plan as a System Plan component, the Secretary is*
10 *authorized to study such project and, if the Secretary*
11 *determines that such project is feasible and consistent*
12 *with the flood risk management measures identified*
13 *in the feasibility report for such study and the goals*
14 *identified in the System Plan, the Secretary shall up-*
15 *date the System Plan pursuant to paragraph (1) so*
16 *that the System Plan includes such project.*

17 (f) *COST LIMITATION.*—

18 (1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Except as provided in para-*
19 *graph (2), the Secretary may not expend more than*
20 *\$60,000,000 in Federal funds for a single project car-*
21 *ried out under this section in the State of Missouri.*

22 (2) *EXCEPTION.*—*With respect to a project under*
23 *this section in the State of Missouri for which the*
24 *Federal share of costs is expected to exceed*
25 *\$60,000,000 or a project within the scope of the Lower*

1 *Missouri Basin study, the Secretary may carry out*
2 *the project if—*

3 *(A) the Secretary submits to the Committee*
4 *on Transportation and Infrastructure of the*
5 *House of Representatives and the Committee on*
6 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate the*
7 *determination that the project is feasible; and*

8 *(B) construction of the project, to be carried*
9 *out substantially in accordance with the System*
10 *Plan and subject to the conditions described in*
11 *such determination, is specifically authorized by*
12 *Congress.*

13 *(g) LIMITATION FOR INCOMPLETE OR DEFERRED*
14 *MAINTENANCE OR UPKEEP.—The Secretary may not carry*
15 *out a project under this section to address deferred, or in-*
16 *complete, maintenance or upkeep of such project, except if*
17 *such maintenance or upkeep is—*

18 *(1) attributable to changed conditions or design*
19 *standards; and*

20 *(2) in compliance with such project with respect*
21 *to which the Secretary is authorized to provide assist-*
22 *ance under section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941*
23 *(33 U.S.C. 701n).*

24 *(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

1 (1) *LOWER MISSOURI BASIN STUDY*.—*The term*
 2 *“Lower Missouri Basin study” has the meaning given*
 3 *such term in section 216(a)(10) of the Water Re-*
 4 *sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2681).*

5 (2) *SYSTEM PLAN*.—*The term “System Plan”*
 6 *means the Lower Missouri Flood Risk and Resiliency*
 7 *System Plan prepared pursuant to section 216(a) of*
 8 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134*
 9 *Stat. 2681).*

10 **SEC. 326. MISSOURI RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION**
 11 **COMMITTEE.**

12 *Section 5018 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 13 *of 2007 (121 Stat. 1199) is amended—*

14 (1) *in subsection (b), by adding at the end the*
 15 *following:*

16 “(6) *CHAIR*.—*The Chair of the Committee shall*
 17 *be elected by the members of the Committee.”; and*

18 (2) *in subsection (c), by striking “The Federal*
 19 *Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.)” and insert-*
 20 *ing “Chapter 10 of title 5, United States Code,”.*

21 **SEC. 327. GREAT LAKES COMMISSION.**

22 *There is authorized to be appropriated to the Executive*
 23 *Director of the Great Lakes Commission established under*
 24 *Article IV of the Great Lakes Basin Compact (82 Stat. 414)*
 25 *to support water resources development efforts related to the*

1 *execution of the Compact, and carried out in collaboration*
 2 *with the Secretary, \$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2027*
 3 *through 2030.*

4 **SEC. 328. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RES-**
 5 **TORATION.**

6 *Section 506 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 7 *of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–22) is amended by adding at the*
 8 *end the following:*

9 “(g) *LIMITATION.*—*The total Federal amount expended*
 10 *for a project carried out under this section shall be not more*
 11 *than \$20,000,000.”.*

12 **SEC. 329. TRUCKEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NEVADA.**

13 *Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the*
 14 *features within the State of Nevada of the project for flood*
 15 *protection on Truckee River and tributaries, California and*
 16 *Nevada, authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act*
 17 *of 1954 (68 Stat.1264), are no longer authorized.*

18 **SEC. 330. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO.**

19 *Section 3117 of the Water Resources Development Act*
 20 *of 2007 (121 Stat. 1137) is amended by striking “to assist*
 21 *in carrying out any operation or maintenance activity as-*
 22 *sociated with the flood control project” and inserting “to*
 23 *assist in carrying out any operation, maintenance, rec-*
 24 *reational management, or related stewardship activities as-*
 25 *sociated with the flood control project, including activities*

1 *that improve recreational access, visitor use management,*
 2 *public safety, or natural and cultural resources steward-*
 3 *ship”.*

4 **SEC. 331. KINZUA DAM SAFETY MODIFICATION STUDY, AL-**
 5 **LEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK AND PENNSYL-**
 6 **VANIA.**

7 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out the dam safety*
 8 *modification study pursuant to section 8 of the National*
 9 *Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467f) for the project*
 10 *for the Kinzua Dam and Allegheny Reservoir, New York*
 11 *and Pennsylvania, authorized pursuant to section 5 of the*
 12 *Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1586; 52 Stat.*
 13 *1217), the Secretary shall identify any real property associ-*
 14 *ated with such project that the Secretary determines is not*
 15 *needed to carry out the authorized purposes of the project.*

16 (b) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—*Upon completion of*
 17 *the dam safety modification study described in subsection*
 18 *(a), the Secretary may convey, without consideration, to the*
 19 *Seneca Nation of Indians, all right, title, and interest of*
 20 *the United States in and to the real property identified as*
 21 *excess under such subsection.*

22 (c) *REVERSION.*—*If the Secretary determines that the*
 23 *property conveyed under this section is not used for a public*
 24 *purpose, all right, title, and interest in and to the property*

1 *shall revert, at the discretion of the Secretary, to the United*
 2 *States.*

3 **SEC. 332. SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NORTH**
 4 **CAROLINA.**

5 *The project for hurricane and storm damage risk re-*
 6 *duction, Surf City and North Topsail Beach, North Caro-*
 7 *lina, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Resources*
 8 *Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1367) is*
 9 *modified to deauthorize the portion of the project known*
 10 *as North Topsail Beach, in accordance with the Supple-*
 11 *mental Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December*
 12 *9, 2025.*

13 **SEC. 333. MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT,**
 14 **TUSCARAWAS RIVER, OHIO.**

15 *Pursuant to section 133 of the Water Resources Devel-*
 16 *opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2327a), the Secretary shall*
 17 *carry out rehabilitation efforts at the sluice gates of the*
 18 *Massillon Local Protection Project, Tuscarawas River,*
 19 *Ohio, authorized by section 6 of the Act of June 22, 1936*
 20 *(chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1592; 50 Stat. 879).*

21 **SEC. 334. TOUSSAINT RIVER FEDERAL NAVIGATION**
 22 **PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO.**

23 *The cost share for operation and maintenance activi-*
 24 *ties for the Toussaint River Federal navigation project,*
 25 *Carroll Township, Ohio, authorized pursuant to section 107*

1 *of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), shall*
 2 *be in accordance with the cost share described in section*
 3 *101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
 4 *(33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).*

5 **SEC. 335. RIO PUERTO NUEVO FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT**
 6 **PROJECT, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.**

7 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out the project for flood*
 8 *control, Rio Puerto Nuevo, Puerto Rico, authorized by sec-*
 9 *tion 204(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1828;*
 10 *100 Stat. 4127; 132 Stat. 76), the Secretary shall conduct*
 11 *a general reevaluation report to reconsider natural and na-*
 12 *ture-based approaches to flood control as alternatives to the*
 13 *construction of each project element described in subsection*
 14 *(b).*

15 (b) *PROJECT ELEMENTS DEFINED.*—*For purposes of*
 16 *subsection (a), the project elements to be reconsidered are—*

17 (1) *Contract No. 4 for the Central Río Piedras*
 18 *and Las Américas Bridges;*

19 (2) *Contract No. 5A for the Notre Dame Bridge;*

20 (3) *Contract No. 6A for the Upper Río Piedras;*

21 (4) *Contract No. 6B for Quebrada Buena Vista;*

22 (5) *Contract No. 7B for Quebradas Doña Ana*

23 *and Contract No. 7A and 7C for Quebradas Josefina;*

24 *and*

1 (6) *Contract No. 5B for the West Piñero Avenue*
2 *Bridge.*

3 (c) *INCLUSIONS IN GENERAL REEVALUATION RE-*
4 *PORT.—In conducting the reevaluation report under sub-*
5 *section (a) the Secretary shall include in such report the*
6 *following data and analyses, updated to reflect conditions*
7 *as of the date of enactment of this Act:*

8 (1) *Hydrologic and hydraulic data;*

9 (2) *A review of local acceptability and economic*
10 *conditions in the project area.*

11 (3) *Any real estate costs analysis.*

12 (4) *Cost apportionment analysis, including in*
13 *such updated analysis a detailed description of pro-*
14 *jected operation and maintenance responsibilities as-*
15 *sociated with the completed project and the estimated*
16 *cost to complete the project.*

17 (d) *CONSIDERATION; CONSULTATION.—In reevalu-*
18 *ating the project elements under subsection (a), the Sec-*
19 *retary shall—*

20 (1) *consider the use of natural and nature-based*
21 *features;*

22 (2) *consult with the heads of applicable Federal*
23 *agencies, agencies of Puerto Rico, and community*
24 *stakeholders within the geographic scope of the project;*

1 (3) *validate any findings through an external re-*
2 *view process that is independent and outside of the*
3 *Corps of Engineers; and*

4 (4) *develop a process for public participation*
5 *through which the Secretary shall—*

6 (A) *regularly publish any findings; and*

7 (B) *hold public hearings and regular con-*
8 *sultation meetings to give ample opportunity for*
9 *public comment on the findings.*

10 (e) *INTERIM PROGRESS REPORT.—Not later than 1*
11 *year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary*
12 *shall transmit to the Committee on Transportation and In-*
13 *frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-*
14 *mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate an*
15 *interim status report with respect to the reevaluation report*
16 *required under subsection (a), and include in such interim*
17 *status report—*

18 (1) *any conclusions and recommendations made,*
19 *and activities carried out, pursuant to subsection (a);*

20 (2) *identification of any additional, site-specific*
21 *areas within the geographic scope of the project for*
22 *which the Secretary recommends any additional re-*
23 *evaluation; and*

24 (3) *any interim actions taken by the Secretary*
25 *to reduce comprehensive flood risk within the geo-*

4 (f) *EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.*—*The Secretary shall*
5 *expedite the completion of each reevaluation of a project ele-*
6 *ment under subsection (a).*

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall expedite completion of the Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency Study, Texas, carried out pursuant to title IV of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 76; 138 Stat. 3082), any review required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) as part of the study, and the report of the Chief of Engineers for the study.

(b) *REPORTS.*—The report of the Chief of Engineers for the study described in subsection (a) shall contain recommendations for carrying out a comprehensive project as described in subsection (c), and is not required to include further evaluation of alternatives to the comprehensive project that are not acceptable to the non-Federal interest for the project.

•HR 9497 RH

1 (1) *to be carried out by the Secretary, in part-*
2 *nership with the Harris County Flood Control Dis-*
3 *trict, as part of the project in Buffalo Bayou and its*
4 *tributaries, Texas, authorized by the Act of June 20,*
5 *1938 (chapter 535, 52 Stat. 804);*

6 (2) *designed considering any prior studies and*
7 *information provided to the Corps of Engineers by the*
8 *Harris County Food Control District;*

9 (3) *which shall include an analysis of a sub-*
10 *terranean tunnel conveyance system; and*

11 (4) *that, carried out pursuant to a comprehen-*
12 *sive benefits plan developed by the Secretary and de-*
13 *termined acceptable by the non-Federal interest—*

14 (A) *is capable of conveying, at an appro-*
15 *priate rate, the volume of water in the Buffalo*
16 *Bayou and Tributaries Study area, based on an*
17 *analysis that includes conveyance during a*
18 *storm event equivalent to Hurricane Harvey;*

19 (B) *is designed to avoid, or minimize to the*
20 *extent practicable, any adverse effects on the en-*
21 *vironment and community; and*

22 (C) *is designed to promote infrastructure re-*
23 *siliency, including infrastructure necessary for*
24 *reservoir operations.*

1 (d) *DEADLINE.*—*The Secretary shall prioritize Federal*
 2 *funding for the completion of the Buffalo Bayou and Tribu-*
 3 *taries Resiliency Study pursuant to subsection (a) in the*
 4 *first submission after the date of enactment of this Act of*
 5 *each of the following:*

6 (1) *A budget submission.*

7 (2) *A submission of a work plan to Congress*
 8 *pursuant to the joint explanatory statement for an*
 9 *annual appropriations Act or as part of the submis-*
 10 *sion of a spend plan to Congress for a supplemental*
 11 *appropriations Act under which the Corps of Engi-*
 12 *neers receives funding.*

13 (e) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—*Not later than 2 years*
 14 *after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall*
 15 *submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-*
 16 *ture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on*
 17 *Environment and Public Works of the Senate the report of*
 18 *the Chief of Engineers for the study described in subsection*
 19 *(a), regardless of design maturity status of the project cov-*
 20 *ered by the report.*

21 (f) *COMPREHENSIVE BENEFITS PLAN DEFINED.*—*In*
 22 *this section, the term “comprehensive benefits plan” means*
 23 *a comprehensive benefits plan developed pursuant to the*
 24 *policies described in the memorandum relating to “Policy*
 25 *Directive – Comprehensive Documentation of Benefits in*

1 *Decision Document*”, dated January 5, 2021, and signed
 2 by the Assistant Secretary for Civil Works.

3 **SEC. 337. CHAMBERS, GALVESTON, AND HARRIS COUNTIES,**
 4 **TEXAS, EXPEDITED REAL ESTATE TRANS-**
 5 **FERS.**

6 *Section 1327(a) of the Water Resources Development*
 7 *Act of 2024 (138 Stat. 3144) is amended—*

8 *(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “(including*
 9 *the portions of Spilman Island and Pelican Island*
 10 *owned by, or subject to an easement held by, the*
 11 *United States), to determine whether any such land*
 12 *or easements are no longer required for project pur-*
 13 *poses” before “; and”; and*

14 *(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “under such*
 15 *paragraph” before “are no longer”.*

16 **SEC. 338. COASTAL VIRGINIA.**

17 *(a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a*
 18 *measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative*
 19 *jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part*
 20 *of the feasibility study for flood risk management, ecosystem*
 21 *restoration, and navigation, Coastal Virginia, authorized*
 22 *by section 1201(9) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 23 *of 2018 (132 Stat. 3802), the Secretary may use, for any*
 24 *portion of the cost of such analysis, funds—*

1 (1) *made available to the Secretary after the date*
2 *of enactment of this Act for water resources develop-*
3 *ment investigations; or*

4 (2) *contributed by such agency head.*

5 (b) *FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-*
6 *retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection*
7 *(a) at Federal expense, except that, with respect to an anal-*
8 *ysis described in subsection (a) to the extent it relates to*
9 *formulation of measures to reduce risk to a military instal-*
10 *lation, upon request of the non-Federal interest for the*
11 *project described in subsection (a), the Secretary may use*
12 *funds contributed by the non-Federal interest pursuant to*
13 *the terms and conditions of the agreement between the Sec-*
14 *retary and the non-Federal interest for the project that re-*
15 *late to sharing the cost of the study.*

16 (c) *SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section af-*
17 *fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head*
18 *of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-*
19 *struction of an authorized water resources development*
20 *project, or a separable element of such project, resulting*
21 *from the study described in subsection (a).*

22 **SEC. 339. NORFOLK COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT,**
23 **VIRGINIA.**

24 (a) *IN GENERAL.—In carrying out an analysis of a*
25 *measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative*

1 *jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part*
2 *of the feasibility study for the modification of the project*
3 *for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Norfolk*
4 *Coastal Storm Risk Management, Virginia, authorized by*
5 *section 401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
6 *2020 (134 Stat. 2738), the Secretary may use, for any por-*
7 *tion of the cost of such analysis, funds—*

8 *(1) made available to the Secretary after the date*
9 *of enactment of this Act for water resources develop-*
10 *ment investigations; or*

11 *(2) contributed by such agency head.*

12 **(b) FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.**—*The Sec-*
13 *retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection*
14 *(a) at Federal expense, except that, with respect to an anal-*
15 *ysis described in subsection (a) to the extent it relates to*
16 *formulation of measures to reduce risk to a military instal-*
17 *lation, upon request of the non-Federal interest for the*
18 *project described in subsection (a), the Secretary may use*
19 *funds contributed by the non-Federal interest pursuant to*
20 *the terms and conditions of the agreement between the Sec-*
21 *retary and the non-Federal interest for the project that re-*
22 *late to sharing the cost of the study.*

23 **(c) SAVINGS PROVISION.**—*Nothing in this section af-*
24 *fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head*
25 *of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-*

1 *struction of an authorized water resources development*
 2 *project, or a separable element of such project, resulting*
 3 *from the study described in subsection (a).*

4 **SEC. 340. PUGET SOUND AND ADJACENT WATERS RESTORA-**
 5 **TION, WASHINGTON.**

6 *Section 544(f) of the Water Resources Development Act*
 7 *of 2000 (114 Stat. 2675; 132 Stat. 3826) is amended to*
 8 *read as follows:*

9 *“(f) LIMITATION.—The total Federal amount expended*
 10 *for a critical restoration project under this section (includ-*
 11 *ing a critical restoration project for which an agreement*
 12 *was entered into pursuant to this section before the date*
 13 *of enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of*
 14 *2026 and construction is not complete as of such date of*
 15 *enactment) shall not be more than \$20,000,000.”.*

16 **SEC. 341. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER.**

17 *The total cost of constructing dredged material sta-*
 18 *bilization and retaining structures related to maintenance*
 19 *dredging for the project for navigation, Lower Willamette*
 20 *and Columbia Rivers, from Portland, Oregon, to the sea,*
 21 *authorized by the first section of the Act of June 18, 1878*
 22 *(chapter 264, 20 Stat. 157) shall be considered eligible oper-*
 23 *ation and maintenance costs assigned to commercial navi-*
 24 *gation for the purpose of section 210(a)(2) of the Water Re-*
 25 *sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(a)(2)).*

1 **SEC. 342. LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM RES-**
 2 **TORATION ASSESSMENT.**

3 *The Secretary shall conduct an assessment of the lower*
 4 *Columbia River Basin to identify opportunities to carry*
 5 *out cost-effective projects and measures to support salmon*
 6 *and steelhead restoration by—*

7 *(1) restoring, improving, and reconnecting*
 8 *aquatic and riparian habitat; and*

9 *(2) providing cold water refugia for native anad-*
 10 *romous fish species.*

11 **SEC. 343. PUGET SOUND NEARSHORE ECOSYSTEM RES-**
 12 **TORATION, WASHINGTON.**

13 *In carrying out the project for ecosystem restoration,*
 14 *Puget Sound, Washington, authorized by section 1401(4) of*
 15 *the Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.*
 16 *1713; 136 Stat. 3806), the Secretary shall consider the re-*
 17 *moval, relocation, and replacement of the following sites to*
 18 *be a project feature the costs of which are shared as con-*
 19 *struction:*

20 *(1) Highway 101 causeway and bridge over the*
 21 *Lilliwaup Estuary of the Hood Canal site.*

22 *(2) NE North Shore Road roadway and bridge*
 23 *over the Tahuya Estuary of the Hood Canal site.*

24 *(3) Highway 101 causeway and bridge over the*
 25 *Snow Creek and Salmon Creek (at the head of Dis-*
 26 *covery Bay) site.*

1 (4) *County and local roads and bridges over the*
2 *Nooksack and Lummi Rivers and Tennant Creek at*
3 *the Nooksack Estuary of the Northern Puget Sound*
4 *site.*

5 **SEC. 344. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, WASH-**
6 **INGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARYLAND,**
7 **AND VIRGINIA.**

8 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out the feasibility*
9 *study for the project for water supply, Washington, District*
10 *of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, authorized by section*
11 *8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
12 *2022 (136 Stat. 3745; 138 Stat. 3160), the Secretary shall*
13 *carry out a second phase of the study focused on the identi-*
14 *fication of a secondary water source and additional water*
15 *storage capability or other long-term and large-scale backup*
16 *water supply solutions necessary to meaningfully reduce the*
17 *structural water supply risk of the region.*

18 (b) *TREATMENT OF STUDY.*—*In carrying out the sec-*
19 *ond phase of the study under this section, the Secretary—*

20 (1) *shall treat such study as a continuation of*
21 *the first phase of the study carried out under section*
22 *8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act*
23 *of 2022; and*

24 (2) *shall continue such study without a new in-*
25 *vestment decision.*

1 **SEC. 345. FEDERAL TRIANGLE AREA, WASHINGTON, DIS-**
2 **TRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

3 (a) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out an analysis of a*
4 *measure benefitting Federal land under the administrative*
5 *jurisdiction of the head of another Federal agency as part*
6 *of the feasibility study for the project for flood risk manage-*
7 *ment, Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Co-*
8 *lumbia, authorized by section 8201(a)(12) of the Water Re-*
9 *sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3745), the Sec-*
10 *retary may use, for any portion of the cost of such analysis,*
11 *funds—*

12 (1) *made available to the Secretary for water re-*
13 *sources development investigations; or*

14 (2) *contributed by such agency head.*

15 (b) *FEDERAL EXPENSE REQUIREMENT.*—*The Sec-*
16 *retary shall carry out each analysis described in subsection*
17 *(a) at Federal expense.*

18 (c) *SAVINGS PROVISION.*—*Nothing in this section af-*
19 *fects any cost-sharing requirement applicable to the head*
20 *of a Federal agency, other than the Secretary, for the con-*
21 *struction of an authorized water resources development*
22 *project, or a separable element of such project, resulting*
23 *from the study described in subsection (a).*

1 ***TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES***
2 ***INFRASTRUCTURE***

3 ***SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.***

4 *The following projects for water resources development*
5 *and conservation and other purposes, as identified in the*
6 *reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water Re-*
7 *sources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant to*
8 *section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-*
9 *ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed*
10 *by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by the Sec-*
11 *retary substantially in accordance with the plans, and sub-*
12 *ject to the conditions, described in the respective reports or*
13 *decision documents designated in this section:*

14 (1) *NAVIGATION.—*

<i>A. State</i>	<i>B. Name</i>	<i>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</i>	<i>D. Estimated Costs</i>
1. MS	<i>Gulfport Harbor, Gulfport, Deep Draft Naviga- tion</i>	<i>June 23, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$411,114,000 Non-Federal: \$137,102,000 Total: \$548,216,000</i>
2. WA & OR	<i>Columbia River Turning Basins Navigation Im- provements</i>	<i>September 26, 2025</i>	<i>Federal: \$16,408,000 Non-Federal: \$5,489,000 Total: \$21,897,000</i>

15 (2) *FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—*

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. KY	<i>Kentucky River, Beattyville Flood Risk Management Project, Lee County</i>	<i>March 24, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$7,979,000 Non-Federal: \$4,562,000 Total: \$12,541,000</i>
2. NY, NJ	<i>New York–New Jersey Harbor and Tributaries Study, East Riser, New Jer- sey Actionable Element</i>	<i>July 6, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$180,916,000 Non-Federal: \$97,417,000 Total: \$278,333,000</i>

1 (3) *ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.*—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. MO	<i>Lower Osage River Basin, Miller, Osage, and Cole Counties, Aquatic Eco- system Restora- tion</i>	<i>July 6, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$95,334,000 Non-Federal: \$51,333,000 Total: \$146,667,000</i>
2. WY	<i>Little Goose Creek, Sheridan</i>	<i>June 4, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$45,333,000 Non-Federal: \$35,601,000 Total: \$80,934,000</i>

2 (4) *HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-*
3 *DUCTION.*—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. NC	Surf City, Onslow and Pender Counties, North Carolina, Coastal Storm Risk Management	July 15, 2025	Initial Federal: \$121,750,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$76,863,000 Total: \$198,613,000 Renourishment Federal: \$171,007,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$191,297,000 Renourishment Total: \$362,304,000

1

(5) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. AZ	Rio de Flag, Flagstaff	June 12, 2026	Total: \$244,633,000
2. CA	Port of Long Beach Deep Draft Navigation project, Los Angeles County	June 11, 2026	Total: \$255,811,000
3. FL	Central and Southern Florida, Canal 111 (C-111) South Dade Project	October 14, 2025	Federal: \$477,280,500 Non-Federal: \$477,280,500 Total: \$954,561,000
4. FL	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central Everglades Planning Project	June 16, 2026	Federal: \$6,160,809,000 Non-Federal: \$6,160,809,000 Total: \$12,321,618,000
5. KY	Kentucky Lock Addition, Lower Cumberland and Tennessee Rivers	June 11, 2026	Federal: \$2,056,161,750 Non-Federal: \$685,387,250 Total: \$2,741,549,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
6. MS	<i>Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater – North DeSoto County Feasibility Study, DeSoto County</i>	<i>March 10, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$47,341,000 Non-Federal: \$25,491,000 Total: \$72,832,000</i>
7. TN	<i>Chickamauga Lock Replacement Project, Chickamauga Lake, Hamilton County</i>	<i>June 11, 2026</i>	<i>Federal: \$1,175,090,250 Non-Federal: \$391,696,750 Total: \$1,566,787,000</i>

1 **SEC. 402. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF PROJECTS AND AC-**
2 **TIVITIES.**

3 (a) CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—*The Sec-*
4 *retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite*
5 *completion of the following projects:*

6 (1) *Projects for emergency streambank erosion*
7 *and shoreline protection under section 14 of the Flood*
8 *Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) for the following*
9 *areas:*

10 (A) *County Creek, Bartlett, Illinois.*

11 (B) *Ohio River, Brandenburg, Kentucky.*

12 (C) *Falmouth, Massachusetts, in the vicin-*
13 *ity of Menauhant Road.*

14 (D) *Muskegon Heights, Michigan.*

15 (E) *Old Mission Peninsula, Michigan.*

16 (F) *Salt Brook, Summit, New Jersey.*

1 (G) *Euclid Creek, Euclid, Ohio.*

2 (H) *Little Miami River, Milford, Ohio.*

3 (I) *Mountain Creek, Macungie, Pennsyl-*
4 *vania.*

5 (2) *Projects for beach erosion and hurricane and*
6 *storm damage reduction under section 3 of the Act of*
7 *August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g) for the following*
8 *areas:*

9 (A) *Micro Beach and Makaka Beach,*
10 *Garapan, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands.*

11 (B) *Bolongo Bay, St. Thomas, United*
12 *States Virgin Islands.*

13 (3) *Projects for flood control under section 205 of*
14 *the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) for the*
15 *following areas:*

16 (A) *Ak-Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.*

17 (B) *Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.*

18 (C) *McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.*

19 (D) *Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-*
20 *las, Arizona.*

21 (E) *Palermo, Butte County, California.*

22 (F) *Beseck Lake, Connecticut.*

23 (G) *Woodbridge, Connecticut.*

24 (H) *Little Wekiva River, Florida.*

1 (I) *Peachtree and Nancy Creek watersheds,*
2 *Atlanta, Georgia.*

3 (J) *Golconda, Illinois.*

4 (K) *Traverse City, Michigan.*

5 (L) *Marshall County, Minnesota.*

6 (M) *Peckman River, Verona, New Jersey.*

7 (N) *Third River, Clifton, New Jersey.*

8 (O) *Nanny Hagen Brook, Pleasantville,*
9 *New York.*

10 (P) *McKenzie River, Springfield, Oregon.*

11 (Q) *42nd Street Levee, Springfield, Oregon.*

12 (R) *Medina Colonia, Zapata County,*
13 *Texas.*

14 (4) *Projects for navigation under section 107 of*
15 *the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577) for*
16 *the following areas:*

17 (A) *Kodiak Harbor, Kodiak, Alaska.*

18 (B) *Laupahoehoe Harbor, Hawaii.*

19 (C) *Black River and Lake Huron, Alcona*
20 *Township, Michigan.*

21 (5) *Project for beneficial uses of dredged mate-*
22 *rial, New Jersey Meadowlands (also known as Hack-*
23 *ensack Meadowlands), New Jersey, under section 204*
24 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33*
25 *U.S.C. 2326).*

1 (6) *Projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration*
2 *under section 206 of the Water Resources Development*
3 *Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330) for the following areas:*

4 (A) *El Corazón de los Tres Ríos del Norte,*
5 *Pima County, Arizona.*

6 (B) *North Haven, Connecticut.*

7 (C) *Savannah River below Augusta, Geor-*
8 *gia.*

9 (D) *Waimea 400 Wetlands, Kauaʻi, Ha-*
10 *waii.*

11 (E) *Marshall County, Minnesota.*

12 (F) *Polk Swamp, Dorchester County, South*
13 *Carolina.*

14 (G) *Ficklen Island Dam, Fredericksburg,*
15 *Virginia.*

16 (7) *Projects to modify projects for improvement*
17 *of the environment or drought resiliency under section*
18 *1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986*
19 *(33 U.S.C. 2309a) for the following areas:*

20 (A) *Wayne County, Iowa.*

21 (B) *Wild Rice River, Minnesota.*

22 (C) *Lower Pearl River, Mississippi.*

23 (D) *Rio Chama and Rio Grande between*
24 *the Abiquiu and Cochiti Reservoirs, New Mexico.*

1 (8) *Projects to control, retain, and reuse*
2 *stormwater associated with flood control efforts under*
3 *section 1108 of the Water Resources Development Act*
4 *of 2024 (138 Stat. 3006) for the following areas:*

5 (A) *Jonesboro, Arkansas.*

6 (B) *Klondike Canyon, Rancho Palos Verdes,*
7 *California.*

8 (C) *City of Lompoc, California.*

9 (D) *Sutter County, California, including*
10 *Yuba City and Robbins.*

11 (E) *Lake Avondale, Avondale Estates, Geor-*
12 *gia.*

13 (F) *Falmouth, including Woods Hole, Mas-*
14 *sachusetts.*

15 (G) *Waveland, Mississippi.*

16 (9) *Project for removal of obstructions and clear-*
17 *ing channels for flood control, City of Chico, Cali-*
18 *fornia, under section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937*
19 *(33 U.S.C. 701g).*

20 (b) *OTHER PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES.—The Sec-*
21 *retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite*
22 *completion of the following:*

23 (1) *Project for flood risk management, Little Col-*
24 *orado River, Navajo County, Arizona, authorized by*

1 *section 401(2) of the Water Resources Development*
2 *Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).*

3 (2) *Project for flood damage reduction, Rio de*
4 *Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section*
5 *101(b)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of*
6 *2000 (114 Stat. 2576; 121 Stat. 1107; 130 Stat. 1716;*
7 *136 Stat. 3833; 138 Stat. 3067) (as modified by this*
8 *Act).*

9 (3) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Tres Rios,*
10 *Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(4) of the Water*
11 *Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577).*

12 (4) *Project for beach erosion, Surfside Sunset*
13 *and Newport Beach, Orange County, California, au-*
14 *thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act*
15 *of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177).*

16 (5) *Project for flood risk management, West-*
17 *minster, East Garden Grove, California Flood Risk*
18 *Management, authorized by section 401(2) of the*
19 *Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.*
20 *2735).*

21 (6) *Maintenance dredging at the Greenwich Har-*
22 *bor, Connecticut.*

23 (7) *Maintenance dredging at the Southport Har-*
24 *bor, Connecticut.*

1 (8) *Project for ecosystem restoration, water sup-*
2 *ply, flood control, and protection of water quality,*
3 *Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon,*
4 *Florida, authorized by section 1001(14) of the Water*
5 *Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1051).*

6 (9) *Comprehensive plan for the purpose of restor-*
7 *ing, preserving, and protecting the Northern Estu-*
8 *aries Ecosystem, Florida, authorized by section 8215*
9 *of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136*
10 *Stat. 3760; 138 Stat. 3138).*

11 (10) *Operations and maintenance activities nec-*
12 *essary as of the date of enactment of this Act for the*
13 *project for navigation, Chicago Harbor Lock, Chicago,*
14 *Illinois, authorized pursuant to the first section of the*
15 *Act of July 11, 1870 (chapter 240, 16 Stat. 226; 21*
16 *Stat. 182; 37 Stat. 217; 40 Stat. 1283; 76 Stat.*
17 *1176).*

18 (11) *Project for navigation, Port of Iberia, Lou-*
19 *isiana, authorized by section 1001(25) of the Water*
20 *Resources Control Act of 2007 (121 Stat 1053; 128*
21 *Stat 1351).*

22 (12) *Project for ecosystem restoration, Naval*
23 *Weapons Station Earle Oyster Reef Restoration, Mon-*
24 *mouth County, New Jersey, pursuant to the Hudson-*
25 *Raritan Estuary Ecosystem Restoration project au-*

1 *thorized by section 401(5) of the Water Resources De-*
2 *velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2740).*

3 *(13) Project for navigation, Times Beach dike*
4 *wall repair, Buffalo Harbor, New York, authorized by*
5 *the Act of May 20, 1826 (chapter 78, 4 Stat. 175).*

6 *(14) Maintenance dredging of the project for*
7 *navigation, Tillamook Bay, Oregon, authorized by the*
8 *first section of the Act of July 27, 1912 (chapter 254,*
9 *37 Stat. 220).*

10 *(15) Project for periodic beach nourishment,*
11 *Presque Isle Peninsula, Erie, Pennsylvania, author-*
12 *ized by section 101 of the Water Resources Develop-*
13 *ment Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 2918).*

14 *(16) Project for ecosystem restoration, Lower*
15 *Blackstone River, Rhode Island, authorized pursuant*
16 *to section 206 of the Water Resources Development*
17 *Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330; 136 Stat. 3804).*

18 *(17) Maintenance dredging at Two Rivers Har-*
19 *bor, Two Rivers, Wisconsin, authorized pursuant to*
20 *the Act of March 3, 1871 (chapter 118, 16 Stat. 538;*
21 *34 Stat. 1101; 49 Stat. 1035; 72 Stat. 298).*

22 *(c) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Secretary*
23 *shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-*
24 *tion of the Pima-Maricopa Irrigation Project, associated*
25 *with the Gila River Indian Community, Arizona, author-*

1 ized pursuant to section 203 of the Water Resources Devel-
 2 opment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

3 ***TITLE V—DAM SAFETY***

4 ***SEC. 501. NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM AMENDMENTS.***

5 *The National Dam Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467*
 6 *et seq.) is amended—*

7 *(1) in section 8(e)(4), by striking “2” and insert-*
 8 *ing “7”;*

9 *(2) in section 8A(j)(4), by striking “2026” and*
 10 *inserting “2031”; and*

11 *(3) in section 14(a)(2)(A)(ii)—*

12 *(A) in subclause (I), by striking “and low-*
 13 *head dams”; and*

14 *(B) in subclause (II), by striking “and low-*
 15 *head dams”.*

16 ***SEC. 502. STUDY ON HIGH HAZARD POTENTIAL DAM*** 17 ***PRIORITIZATIONS.***

18 *(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the*
 19 *date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Fed-*
 20 *eral Emergency Management Agency shall complete a study*
 21 *to identify the issues and concerns that prevent States from*
 22 *prioritizing projects that will have the greatest community*
 23 *benefit under section 8A of the National Dam Safety Pro-*
 24 *gram Act (33 U.S.C. 467f–2) and produce recommendations*
 25 *on how to address such issues and concerns.*

1 (b) *TIMELINE.*—*The Administrator shall begin the*
2 *study required under subsection (a) on the date of enact-*
3 *ment of this Act and shall submit to Congress a status re-*
4 *port on such study not later than 1 year after such date*
5 *of enactment.*

Union Calendar No. 695

119TH CONGRESS
2^D Session

H. R. 9497

[Report No. 119-795]

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

SEPTEMBER 3, 2026

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed