

## Union Calendar No. 27

119TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 1526

**[Report No. 119–40]**

To amend title 28, United States Code, to limit the authority of district courts to provide injunctive relief, and for other purposes.

---

### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 24, 2025

Mr. ISSA (for himself, Mr. VAN ORDEN, and Mr. WEBER of Texas) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

MARCH 25, 2025

Additional sponsors: Mr. GOODEN, Mr. HARRIS of North Carolina, Mrs. LUNA, Mr. SCHMIDT, Ms. TENNEY, Mr. LANGWORTHY, Mr. MCGUIRE, Mr. SELF, Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana, Mr. MEUSER, Mr. BABIN, and Mr. LALOTA

MARCH 25, 2025

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in *italic*]

[For text of introduced bill, see copy of bill as introduced on February 24, 2025]

# **A BILL**

To amend title 28, United States Code, to limit the authority of district courts to provide injunctive relief, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
 2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       *This Act may be cited as the “No Rogue Rulings Act*  
 5       *of 2025” as the “NORRA of 2025”.*

6       **SEC. 2. LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY OF UNITED STATES**

7                       **DISTRICT COURTS TO PROVIDE INJUNCTIVE**  
 8                       **RELIEF.**

9       (a) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 85 of title 28, United  
 10       *States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:*

11       **“§ 1370. Limitation on authority to provide injunctive**  
 12                       **relief**

13       “(a) *Except as provided in subsection (b), notwith-*  
 14       *standing any other provision of law, no United States dis-*  
 15       *trict court shall issue any order providing for injunctive*  
 16       *relief, except in the case of such an order that is applicable*  
 17       *only to limit the actions of a party to the case before such*  
 18       *district court with respect to the party seeking injunctive*  
 19       *relief from such district court and non-parties represented*  
 20       *by such a party acting in a representative capacity pursu-*  
 21       *ant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.*

22       “(b) *If a case is brought by two or more States located*  
 23       *in different circuits challenging an action by the executive*  
 24       *branch, that case shall be referred to a three-judge panel*  
 25       *selected pursuant to section 2284, except that the selection*

1 of judges shall be random, and not by the chief judge of  
 2 the circuit. The three-judge panel may issue an injunction  
 3 that would otherwise be prohibited under subsection (a),  
 4 and shall consider the interest of justice, the risk of irrep-  
 5 arable harm to non-parties, and the preservation of the con-  
 6 stitutional separation of powers in determining whether to  
 7 issue such an order.

8 “(c) An appeal of an order granting or denying in-  
 9 junctive relief pursuant to subsection (b) may lie to the cir-  
 10 cuit embracing the district or to the Supreme Court, at the  
 11 preference of the party.”.

12 (b) TABLE OF SECTIONS.—The table of sections for  
 13 such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following:

“1370. Limitation on authority to provide injunctive relief.”.



Union Calendar No. 27

119<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
1<sup>ST</sup> Session

**H. R. 1526**

[Report No. 119-40]

**A BILL**

To amend title 28, United States Code, to limit the authority of district courts to provide injunctive relief, and for other purposes.

MARCH 25, 2025

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed