

114TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# H. R. 5636

To increase the effectiveness of and accountability for maintaining the physical security of NIST facilities and the safety of the NIST workforce.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 6, 2016

Mr. LOUDERMILK (for himself, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. MOOLENAAR, Mr. POSEY, and Mr. BABIN) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology

---

## A BILL

To increase the effectiveness of and accountability for maintaining the physical security of NIST facilities and the safety of the NIST workforce.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4 This Act may be cited as the “National Institute of  
5 Standards and Technology Campus Security Act”.

6 **SEC. 2. NIST CAMPUS SECURITY.**

7 (a) SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY.—The Department of  
8 Commerce Office of Security shall directly manage the law  
9 enforcement and security programs of the National Insti-

1 tute of Standards and Technology through an assigned  
2 Director of Security for the National Institute of Stand-  
3 ards and Technology. This subsection shall be carried out  
4 without increasing the number of full time equivalent em-  
5 ployees of the Department of Commerce, including the  
6 National Institute of Standards and Technology.

7 (b) REPORTS.—Such Director of Security shall pro-  
8 vide an activities and security report on a quarterly basis  
9 for the first year after the date of enactment of this Act,  
10 and on an annual basis thereafter, to the Under Secretary  
11 for Standards and Technology and to the Committee on  
12 Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Rep-  
13 resentatives and the Committee on Commerce, Science,  
14 and Transportation of the Senate.

15 (c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—Not later  
16 than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the  
17 Comptroller General shall submit a report to the Secretary  
18 of Commerce, and to the Committee on Science, Space,  
19 and Technology of the House of Representatives and the  
20 Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation in  
21 the Senate, that—

22 (1) evaluates the costs and performance of the  
23 National Institute of Standards and Technology Po-  
24 lice Services Group;

1           (2) compares the total costs of that Police Serv-  
2           ices Group with the estimated cost of private police  
3           contractors to perform the same work;

4           (3) examines any potential concerns with pri-  
5           vate police contractors performing the duties of the  
6           Police Services Group;

7           (4) makes recommendations, based on the find-  
8           ings under paragraphs (2) and (3), for how the Na-  
9           tional Institute of Standards and Technology should  
10          spend its money on security without diminishing the  
11          security on its campuses;

12          (5) proposes oversight and direction that the  
13          Police Services Group or outside security contractors  
14          need to ensure physical security at National Insti-  
15          tute of Standards and Technology campuses;

16          (6) establishes the percentage of National Insti-  
17          tute of Standards and Technology personnel, includ-  
18          ing the Police Services Group and outside security  
19          contractors, that follow security policies, processes,  
20          and procedures applicable to their responsibilities;

21          (7) determines the number of known security  
22          breaches and other similar incidents at National In-  
23          stitute of Standards and Technology campuses in-  
24          volving National Institute of Standards and Tech-  
25          nology personnel and external parties from fiscal

1 year 2012 to the date of the completion of this re-  
2 port, and their impact and resolution; and

3 (8) analyzes management, operational, and  
4 other challenges encountered in the course of pro-  
5 tecting National Institute of Standards and Tech-  
6 nology facilities and the extent to which such chal-  
7 lenges impact security, and includes assessment of  
8 the National Institute of Standards and Tech-  
9 nology's attempts to mitigate those challenges.

○