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A BILL

To amend title 35, United States Code, to provide for patent reform.
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1 SEC. 2. FIRST INVENTOR TO FILE.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 100 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) The term ‘inventor’ means the individual or, if a joint invention, the individuals collectively who invented or discovered the subject matter of the invention.

“(g) The terms ‘joint inventor’ and ‘coinventor’ mean any 1 of the individuals who invented or discovered the subject matter of a joint invention.

“(h) The term ‘joint research agreement’ means a written contract, grant, or cooperative agreement entered into by 2 or more persons or entities for the performance
of experimental, developmental, or research work in the field of the claimed invention.

“(i)(1) The term ‘effective filing date’ for a claimed invention in a patent or application for patent means—

“(A) if subparagraph (B) does not apply, the actual filing date of the patent or the application for the patent containing a claim to the invention; or

“(B) the filing date of the earliest application for which the patent or application is entitled, as to such invention, to a right of priority under section 119, 365(a), or 365(b) or to the benefit of an earlier filing date under section 120, 121, or 365(c).

“(2) The effective filing date for a claimed invention in an application for reissue or reissued patent shall be determined by deeming the claim to the invention to have been contained in the patent for which reissue was sought.

“(j) The term ‘claimed invention’ means the subject matter defined by a claim in a patent or an application for a patent.”.

(b) CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 102 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

“(a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—
“(1) the claimed invention was patented, described in a printed publication, or in public use, on sale, or otherwise available to the public before the effective filing date of the claimed invention; or

“(2) the claimed invention was described in a patent issued under section 151, or in an application for patent published or deemed published under section 122(b), in which the patent or application, as the case may be, names another inventor and was effectively filed before the effective filing date of the claimed invention.

“(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

“(1) DISCLOSURES MADE 1 YEAR OR LESS BEFORE THE EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION.—A disclosure made 1 year or less before the effective filing date of a claimed invention shall not be prior art to the claimed invention under subsection (a)(1) if—

“(A) the disclosure was made by the inventor or joint inventor or by another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or

“(B) the subject matter disclosed had, before such disclosure, been publicly disclosed by the inventor or a joint inventor or another who
obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor.

“(2) DISCLOSURES APPEARING IN APPLICATIONS AND PATENTS.—A disclosure shall not be prior art to a claimed invention under subsection (a)(2) if—

“(A) the subject matter disclosed was obtained directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor;

“(B) the subject matter disclosed had, before such subject matter was effectively filed under subsection (a)(2), been publicly disclosed by the inventor or a joint inventor or another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or

“(C) the subject matter disclosed and the claimed invention, not later than the effective filing date of the claimed invention, were owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

“(c) COMMON OWNERSHIP UNDER JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENTS.—Subject matter disclosed and a claimed invention shall be deemed to have been owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the
same person in applying the provisions of subsection (b)(2)(C) if—

“(1) the subject matter disclosed was developed and the claimed invention was made by, or on behalf of, 1 or more parties to a joint research agreement that was in effect on or before the effective filing date of the claimed invention;

“(2) the claimed invention was made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of the joint research agreement; and

“(3) the application for patent for the claimed invention discloses or is amended to disclose the names of the parties to the joint research agreement.

“(d) PATENTS AND PUBLISHED APPLICATIONS EFFECTIVE AS PRIOR ART.—For purposes of determining whether a patent or application for patent is prior art to a claimed invention under subsection (a)(2), such patent or application shall be considered to have been effectively filed, with respect to any subject matter described in the patent or application—

“(1) if paragraph (2) does not apply, as of the actual filing date of the patent or the application for patent; or
“(2) if the patent or application for patent is entitled to claim a right of priority under section 119, 365(a), or 365(b), or to claim the benefit of an earlier filing date under section 120, 121, or 365(c), based upon 1 or more prior filed applications for patent, as of the filing date of the earliest such application that describes the subject matter.”.

(2) Continuity of intent under the CREATE Act.—The enactment of section 102(c) of title 35, United States Code, under paragraph (1) of this subsection is done with the same intent to promote joint research activities that was expressed, including in the legislative history, through the enactment of the Cooperative Research and Technology Enhancement Act of 2004 (Public Law 108–453; the “CREATE Act”), the amendments of which are stricken by subsection (c) of this section. The United States Patent and Trademark Office shall administer section 102(c) of title 35, United States Code, in a manner consistent with the legislative history of the CREATE Act that was relevant to its administration by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

(3) Conforming Amendment.—The item relating to section 102 in the table of sections for
chapter 10 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“102. Conditions for patentability; novelty.”.

(e) **Conditions for Patentability; Nonobvious Subject Matter.**—Section 103 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 103. Conditions for patentability; nonobvious subject matter

“A patent for a claimed invention may not be obtained, notwithstanding that the claimed invention is not identically disclosed as set forth in section 102, if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the claimed invention as a whole would have been obvious before the effective filing date of the claimed invention to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the claimed invention pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.”.

(d) **Repeal of Requirements for Inventions Made Abroad.**—Section 104 of title 35, United States Code, and the item relating to that section in the table of sections for chapter 10 of title 35, United States Code, are repealed.

(e) **Repeal of Statutory Invention Registration.**—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 157 of title 35, United States Code, and the item relating to that section in the table of sections for chapter 14 of title 35, United States Code, are repealed.

(2) REMOVAL OF CROSS REFERENCES.—Section 111(b)(8) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “sections 115, 131, 135, and 157” and inserting “sections 131 and 135”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall take effect upon the expiration of the 18-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply to any request for a statutory invention registration filed on or after that effective date.

(f) EARLIER FILING DATE FOR INVENTOR AND JOINT INVENTOR.—Section 120 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “which is filed by an inventor or inventors named” and inserting “which names an inventor or joint inventor”.

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) RIGHT OF PRIORITY.—Section 172 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “and the time specified in section 102(d)”.

(2) LIMITATION ON REMEDIES.—Section 287(c)(4) of title 35, United States Code, is amend-
ed by striking “the earliest effective filing date of
which is prior to” and inserting “which has an effec-
tive filing date before”.

(3) **International application designating the United States: effect.**—Section 363 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “except as otherwise provided in section 102(e) of this title”.

(4) **Publication of international application: effect.**—Section 374 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “sections 102(e) and 154(d)” and inserting “section 154(d)”.

(5) **Patent issued on international application: effect.**—The second sentence of section 375(a) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “Subject to section 102(e) of this title, such” and inserting “Such”.

(6) **Limit on right of priority.**—Section 119(a) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “; but no patent shall be granted” and all that follows through “one year prior to such fil-
ing”.

(7) **Inventions made with federal assistance.**—Section 202(c) of title 35, United States Code, is amended—
(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “publication, on sale, or public use,” and all that follows through “obtained in the United States” and inserting “the 1-year period referred to in section 102(b) would end before the end of that 2-year period”; and

(ii) by striking “prior to the end of the statutory” and inserting “before the end of that 1-year”; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking “any statutory bar date that may occur under this title due to publication, on sale, or public use” and inserting “the expiration of the 1-year period referred to in section 102(b)”.

(h) DERIVED PATENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 291 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 291. Derived Patents

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The owner of a patent may have relief by civil action against the owner of another patent that claims the same invention and has an earlier effective filing date if the invention claimed in such other patent was derived from the inventor of the invention claimed in
the patent owned by the person seeking relief under this section.

“(b) FILING LIMITATION.—An action under this section may be filed only before the end of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the issuance of the first patent containing a claim to the allegedly derived invention and naming an individual alleged to have derived such invention as the inventor or joint inventor.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 291 in the table of sections for chapter 29 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“291. Derived patents.”.

(i) DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS.—Section 135 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 135. Derivation proceedings

“(a) INSTITUTION OF PROCEEDING.—An applicant for patent may file a petition to institute a derivation proceeding in the Office. The petition shall set forth with particularity the basis for finding that an inventor named in an earlier application derived the claimed invention from an inventor named in the petitioner’s application and, without authorization, the earlier application claiming such invention was filed. Any such petition may be filed only within the 1-year period beginning the date of the first publication of a claim to an invention that is the same
or substantially the same as the earlier application’s claim
to the invention, shall be made under oath, and shall be
supported by substantial evidence. Whenever the Director
determines that a petition filed under this subsection dem-
donstrates that the standards for instituting a derivation
proceeding are met, the Director may institute a deriv-
tation proceeding. The determination by the Director wheth-
er to institute a derivation proceeding shall be final and
nonappealable.

“(b) Determination by Patent Trial and Ap-
peal Board.—In a derivation proceeding instituted
under subsection (a), the Patent Trial and Appeal Board
shall determine whether an inventor named in the earlier
application derived the claimed invention from an inventor
named in the petitioner’s application and, without author-
ization, the earlier application claiming such invention was
filed. The Director shall prescribe regulations setting forth
standards for the conduct of derivation proceedings.

“(c) Deferral of Decision.—The Patent Trial
and Appeal Board may defer action on a petition for a
derivation proceeding until the expiration of the 3-month
period beginning on the date on which the Director issues
a patent that includes the claimed invention that is the
subject of the petition. The Patent Trial and Appeal
Board also may defer action on a petition for a derivation
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proceeding, or stay the proceeding after it has been instituted, until the termination of a proceeding under chapter 30, 31, or 32 involving the patent of the earlier applicant.

“(d) Effect of Final Decision.—The final decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, if adverse to claims in an application for patent, shall constitute the final refusal by the Office on those claims. The final decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, if adverse to claims in a patent, shall, if no appeal or other review of the decision has been or can be taken or had, constitute cancellation of those claims, and notice of such cancellation shall be endorsed on copies of the patent distributed after such cancellation.

“(e) Settlement.—Parties to a proceeding instituted under subsection (a) may terminate the proceeding by filing a written statement reflecting the agreement of the parties as to the correct inventors of the claimed invention in dispute. Unless the Patent Trial and Appeal Board finds the agreement to be inconsistent with the evidence of record, if any, it shall take action consistent with the agreement. Any written settlement or understanding of the parties shall be filed with the Director. At the request of a party to the proceeding, the agreement or understanding shall be treated as business confidential information, shall be kept separate from the file of the involved
patents or applications, and shall be made available only
to Government agencies on written request, or to any per-
son on a showing of good cause.

“(f) ARBITRATION.—Parties to a proceeding insti-
tuted under subsection (a) may, within such time as may
be specified by the Director by regulation, determine such
contest or any aspect thereof by arbitration. Such arbitra-
tion shall be governed by the provisions of title 9, to the
extent such title is not inconsistent with this section. The
delays shall give notice of any arbitration award to the
Director, and such award shall, as between the parties to
the arbitration, be dispositive of the issues to which it re-
lates. The arbitration award shall be unenforceable until
such notice is given. Nothing in this subsection shall pre-
cede the Director from determining the patentability of
the claimed inventions involved in the proceeding.”.

(j) ELIMINATION OF REFERENCES TO INTER-
FERENCES.—(1) Sections 134, 145, 146, 154, 305, and
314 of title 35, United States Code, are each amended
by striking “Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences”
each place it appears and inserting “Patent Trial and Ap-
peal Board”.

(2)(A) Sections 146 and 157(a) of title 35, United
States Code, are each amended—
(i) by striking “an interference” each place it appears and inserting “a derivation proceeding”; and

(ii) by striking “interference” each additional place it appears and inserting “derivation proceeding”.

(B) The subparagraph heading for section 154(b)(1)(C) of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(C) GUARANTEE OF ADJUSTMENTS FOR DELAYS DUE TO DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS, SECRECY ORDERS, AND APPEALS.—”.

(3) The section heading for section 134 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 134. Appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board”.

(4) The section heading for section 146 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 146. Civil action in case of derivation proceeding”.

(5) The items relating to sections 134 and 135 in the table of sections for chapter 12 of title 35, United States Code, are amended to read as follows:

“134. Appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

“135. Derivation proceedings.”.
(6) The item relating to section 146 in the table of sections for chapter 13 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"146. Civil action in case of derivation proceeding."

(k) Statute of Limitations.—

(1) In general.—Section 32 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by inserting between the third and fourth sentences the following:

“A proceeding under this section shall be commenced not later than the earlier of either the date that is 10 years after the date on which the misconduct forming the basis for the proceeding occurred, or 1 year after the date on which the misconduct forming the basis for the proceeding is made known to an officer or employee of the Office as prescribed in the regulations established under section 2(b)(2)(D).”

(2) Report to Congress.—The Director shall provide on a biennial basis to the Judiciary Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives a report providing a short description of incidents made known to an officer or employee of the Office as prescribed in the regulations established under section 2(b)(2)(D) of title 35, United States Code, that reflect substantial evidence of misconduct before the Office but for which the Office was barred.
from commencing a proceeding under section 32 of title 35, United States Code, by the time limitation established by the fourth sentence of that section.

(3) **Effective date.**—The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall apply in any case in which the time period for instituting a proceeding under section 32 of title 35, United States Code, had not lapsed before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(l) **Small Business Study.**—

(1) **Definitions.**—In this subsection—

(A) the term “Chief Counsel” means the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration;

(B) the term “General Counsel” means the General Counsel of the United States Patent and Trademark Office; and

(C) the term “small business concern” has the meaning given that term under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).

(2) **Study.**—

(A) **In general.**—The Chief Counsel, in consultation with the General Counsel, shall conduct a study of the effects of eliminating the use of dates of invention in determining wheth-
er an applicant is entitled to a patent under title 35, United States Code.

(B) AREAS OF STUDY.—The study conducted under subparagraph (A) shall include examination of the effects of eliminating the use of invention dates, including examining—

(i) how the change would affect the ability of small business concerns to obtain patents and their costs of obtaining patents;

(ii) whether the change would create, mitigate, or exacerbate any disadvantages for applicants for patents that are small business concerns relative to applicants for patents that are not small business concerns, and whether the change would create any advantages for applicants for patents that are small business concerns relative to applicants for patents that are not small business concerns;

(iii) the cost savings and other potential benefits to small business concerns of the change; and

(iv) the feasibility and costs and benefits to small business concerns of alter-
native means of determining whether an
applicant is entitled to a patent under title
35, United States Code.

(3) REPORT.—Not later than the date that is
1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act,
the Chief Counsel shall submit to the Committee on
Small Business and Entrepreneurship and the Com-
mittee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Com-
mittee on Small Business and the Committee on the
Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report
regarding the results of the study under paragraph
(2).

(m) REPORT ON PRIOR USER RIGHTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the end of
the 4-month period beginning on the date of the en-
actment of this Act, the Director shall report, to the
Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the
Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Rep-
resentatives, the findings and recommendations of
the Director on the operation of prior user rights in
selected countries in the industrialized world. The
report shall include the following:

(A) A comparison between patent laws of
the United States and the laws of other indus-
trialized countries, including members of the
European Union and Japan, Canada, and Australia.

(B) An analysis of the effect of prior user rights on innovation rates in the selected countries.

(C) An analysis of the correlation, if any, between prior user rights and start-up enterprises and the ability to attract venture capital to start new companies.

(D) An analysis of the effect of prior user rights, if any, on small businesses, universities, and individual inventors.

(E) An analysis of legal and constitutional issues, if any, that arise from placing trade secret law in patent law.

(F) An analysis of whether the change to a first-to-file patent system creates a particular need for prior user rights.

(2) Consultation with other agencies.—In preparing the report required under paragraph (1), the Director shall consult with the United States Trade Representative, the Secretary of State, and the Attorney General.

(n) Effective date.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise pro-
vided in this section, the amendments made by this
section shall take effect upon the expiration of the
18-month period beginning on the date of the enact-
ment of this Act, and shall apply to any application
for patent, and to any patent issuing thereon, that
contains or contained at any time—

   (A) a claim to a claimed invention that has
an effective filing date as defined in section
100(i) of title 35, United States Code, that is
on or after the effective date described in this
paragraph; or

   (B) a specific reference under section 120,
121, or 365(c) of title 35, United States Code,
to any patent or application that contains or
contained at any time such a claim.

(2) INTERFERING PATENTS.—The provisions of
sections 102(g), 135, and 291 of title 35, United
States Code, as in effect on the day before the date
of the enactment of this Act, shall apply to each
claim of an application for patent, and any patent
issued thereon, for which the amendments made by
this section also apply, if such application or patent
contains or contained at any time—
(A) a claim to an invention having an effective filing date as defined in section 100(i) of title 35, United States Code, that occurs before the effective date set forth in paragraph (1) of this subsection; or

(B) a specific reference under section 120, 121, or 365(c) of title 35, United States Code, to any patent or application that contains or contained at any time such a claim.

SEC. 3. INVENTOR’S OATH OR DECLARATION.

(a) INVENTOR’S OATH OR DECLARATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 115 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 115. Inventor’s oath or declaration

“(a) Naming the Inventor; Inventor’s Oath or Declaration.—An application for patent that is filed under section 111(a) or commences the national stage under section 371 shall include, or be amended to include, the name of the inventor for any invention claimed in the application. Except as otherwise provided in this section, each individual who is the inventor or a joint inventor of a claimed invention in an application for patent shall execute an oath or declaration in connection with the application.
“(b) Required Statements.—An oath or declaration under subsection (a) shall contain statements that—

“(1) the application was made or was authorized to be made by the affiant or declarant; and

“(2) such individual believes himself or herself to be the original inventor or an original joint inventor of a claimed invention in the application.

“(c) Additional Requirements.—The Director may specify additional information relating to the inventor and the invention that is required to be included in an oath or declaration under subsection (a).

“(d) Substitute Statement.—

“(1) In general.—In lieu of executing an oath or declaration under subsection (a), the applicant for patent may provide a substitute statement under the circumstances described in paragraph (2) and such additional circumstances that the Director may specify by regulation.

“(2) Permitted circumstances.—A substitute statement under paragraph (1) is permitted with respect to any individual who—

“(A) is unable to file the oath or declaration under subsection (a) because the individual—

“(i) is deceased;
“(ii) is under legal incapacity; or

“(iii) cannot be found or reached after
diligent effort; or

“(B) is under an obligation to assign the
invention but has refused to make the oath or
declaration required under subsection (a).

“(3) CONTENTS.—A substitute statement under
this subsection shall—

“(A) identify the individual with respect to
whom the statement applies;

“(B) set forth the circumstances rep-
resenting the permitted basis for the filing of
the substitute statement in lieu of the oath or
declaration under subsection (a); and

“(C) contain any additional information,
including any showing, required by the Direc-
tor.

“(e) MAKING REQUIRED STATEMENTS IN ASSIGN-
MENT OF RECORD.—An individual who is under an obliga-
tion of assignment of an application for patent may in-
clude the required statements under subsections (b) and
(c) in the assignment executed by the individual, in lieu
of filing such statements separately.

“(f) TIME FOR FILING.—A notice of allowance under
section 151 may be provided to an applicant for patent
only if the applicant for patent has filed each required
oath or declaration under subsection (a) or has filed a sub-
stitute statement under subsection (d) or recorded an as-
signment meeting the requirements of subsection (e).

“(g) EARLIER-FILED APPLICATION CONTAINING RE-
QUIRED STATEMENTS OR SUBSTITUTE STATEMENT.—

“(1) EXCEPTION.—The requirements under
this section shall not apply to an individual with re-
spect to an application for patent in which the indi-
vidual is named as the inventor or a joint inventor
and who claims the benefit under section 120, 121,
or 365(c) of the filing of an earlier-filed application,
if—

“(A) an oath or declaration meeting the
requirements of subsection (a) was executed by
the individual and was filed in connection with
the earlier-filed application;

“(B) a substitute statement meeting the
requirements of subsection (d) was filed in the
earlier filed application with respect to the indi-
vidual; or

“(C) an assignment meeting the require-
ments of subsection (e) was executed with re-
spect to the earlier-filed application by the indi-
vidual and was recorded in connection with the earlier-filed application.

“(2) COPIES OF OATHS, DECLARATIONS, STATEMENTS, OR ASSIGNMENTS.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Director may require that a copy of the executed oath or declaration, the substitute statement, or the assignment filed in the earlier-filed application be included in the later-filed application.

“(h) SUPPLEMENTAL AND CORRECTED STATEMENTS; FILING ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Any person making a statement required under this section may withdraw, replace, or otherwise correct the statement at any time. If a change is made in the naming of the inventor requiring the filing of 1 or more additional statements under this section, the Director shall establish regulations under which such additional statements may be filed.

“(2) SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENTS NOT REQUIRED.—If an individual has executed an oath or declaration meeting the requirements of subsection (a) or an assignment meeting the requirements of subsection (e) with respect to an application for patent, the Director may not thereafter require that individual to make any additional oath, declaration, or
other statement equivalent to those required by this section in connection with the application for patent or any patent issuing thereon.

“(3) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—A patent shall not be invalid or unenforceable based upon the failure to comply with a requirement under this section if the failure is remedied as provided under paragraph (1).

“(i) ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PENALTIES.—Any declaration or statement filed pursuant to this section shall contain an acknowledgment that any willful false statement made in such declaration or statement is punishable under section 1001 of title 18 by fine or imprisonment of not more than 5 years, or both.”.

(2) RELATIONSHIP TO DIVISIONAL APPLICATIONS.—Section 121 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “If a divisional application” and all that follows through “inventor.”.

(3) REQUIREMENTS FOR NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATIONS.—Section 111(a) of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)(C), by striking “by the applicant” and inserting “or declaration”;

(B) in the heading for paragraph (3), by inserting “OR DECLARATION” after “AND OATH”; and
(C) by inserting “or declaration” after “and oath” each place it appears.

(4) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 115 in the table of sections for chapter 11 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“115. Inventor’s oath or declaration.”.

(b) FILING BY OTHER THAN INVENTOR.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 118 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 118. Filing by other than inventor

“A person to whom the inventor has assigned or is under an obligation to assign the invention may make an application for patent. A person who otherwise shows sufficient proprietary interest in the matter may make an application for patent on behalf of and as agent for the inventor on proof of the pertinent facts and a showing that such action is appropriate to preserve the rights of the parties. If the Director grants a patent on an application filed under this section by a person other than the inventor, the patent shall be granted to the real party in interest and upon such notice to the inventor as the Director considers to be sufficient.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 251 of title 35, United States Code, is amended in the third undesignated paragraph by inserting “or the
application for the original patent was filed by the assiginee of the entire interest” after “claims of the original patent”.

(c) SPECIFICATION.—Section 112 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “The specification” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—The specification”;

and

(B) by striking “of carrying out his invention” and inserting “or joint inventor of carrying out the invention”;

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “The specification” and inserting “(b) CONCLUSION.—The specification”;

and

(B) by striking “applicant regards as his invention” and inserting “inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention”;

(3) in the third undesignated paragraph, by striking “A claim” and inserting “(c) FORM.—A claim”;

(4) in the fourth undesignated paragraph, by striking “Subject to the following paragraph,” and
inserting “(d) Reference in Dependent Forms.—Subject to subsection (e),”;

(5) in the fifth undesignated paragraph, by striking “A claim” and inserting “(e) Reference in Multiple Dependent Form.—A claim”; and

(6) in the last undesignated paragraph, by striking “An element” and inserting “(f) Element in Claim for a Combination.—An element”.

(d) Conforming Amendments.—

(1) Sections 111(b)(1)(A) is amended by striking “the first paragraph of section 112 of this title” and inserting “section 112(a)”.

(2) Section 111(b)(2) is amended by striking “the second through fifth paragraphs of section 112,” and inserting “subsections (b) through (e) of section 112,”.

(e) Effective Date.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect upon the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any patent application that is filed on or after that effective date.

SEC. 4. DEFENSE TO INFRINGEMENT BASED ON EARLIER INVENTOR.

Section 273 of title 35, United States Code, is amended as follows:
(1) Subsection (a) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “use of a method in” and inserting “use of the subject matter of a patent in or outside”;

(B) by striking paragraph (3); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (3).

(2) Subsection (b) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “for a method”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “patented method” and inserting “patented process”;  

(C) in paragraph (3)—

(i) by striking subparagraph (A);

(ii) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraph (A) and (C), respectively; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) FUNDING.—

“(i) DEFENSE NOT AVAILABLE IN CERTAIN CASES.—A person may not assert the defense under this section if the subject matter of the patent on which the de-
fense is based was developed pursuant to a funding agreement under chapter 18 of this title or by a nonprofit institution of higher education, or a technology transfer organization affiliated with such an institution, that did not receive funding from a private business enterprise in support of that development.

“(ii) DEFINITIONS.—In this subpara-

“(I) the term ‘institution of higher education’ has the meaning given that term in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)); and

“(II) the term ‘technology transfer organization’ means an organization the primary purpose of which is to facilitate the commercialization of technologies developed by one or more institutions of higher education.”; and

(D) by amending paragraph (6) to read as follows:

“(6) PERSONAL DEFENSE.—
“(A) IN GENERAL.—The defense under this section may be asserted only by the person who performed or caused the performance of the acts necessary to establish the defense, as well as any other entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with such person, and, except for any transfer to the patent owner, the right to assert the defense shall not be licensed or assigned or transferred to another person except as an ancillary and subordinate part of a good faith assignment or transfer for other reasons of the entire enterprise or line of business to which the defense relates.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), any person may, on the person’s own behalf, assert a defense based on the exhaustion of rights provided under paragraph (2), including any necessary elements thereof.”

SEC. 5. POST-GRANT REVIEW PROCEEDINGS.

(a) INTER PARTES REVIEW.—Chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“CHAPTER 31—INTER PARTES REVIEW

Sec. 311. Inter partes review.
Sec. 312. Petitions.
Sec. 313. Preliminary response to petition.
Sec. 314. Institution of inter partes review.
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§ 311. Inter partes review

(a) In General.—Subject to the provisions of this chapter, a person who is not the owner of a patent may file with the Office a petition to institute an inter partes review of the patent. The Director shall establish, by regulation, fees to be paid by the person requesting the review, in such amounts as the Director determines to be reasonable, considering the aggregate costs of the review.

(b) Scope.—A petitioner in an inter partes review may request to cancel as unpatentable 1 or more claims of a patent only on a ground that could be raised under section 102 or 103 and only on the basis of prior art consisting of patents or printed publications.

(c) Filing Deadline.—A petition for inter partes review shall be filed after the later of either—

(1) the date that is 12 months after the grant of a patent or issuance of a reissue of a patent; or

(2) if a post-grant review is instituted under chapter 32, the date of the termination of such post-grant review.
§ 312. Petitions

(a) REQUIREMENTS OF PETITION.—A petition filed under section 311 may be considered only if—

“(1) the petition is accompanied by payment of the fee established by the Director under section 311;

“(2) the petition identifies all real parties in interest;

“(3) the petition identifies, in writing and with particularity, each claim challenged, the grounds on which the challenge to each claim is based, and the evidence that supports the grounds for the challenge to each claim, including—

“(A) copies of patents and printed publications that the petitioner relies upon in support of the petition; and

“(B) affidavits or declarations of supporting evidence and opinions, if the petitioner relies on expert opinions;

“(4) the petition provides such other information as the Director may require by regulation; and

“(5) the petitioner provides copies of any of the documents required under paragraphs (2), (3), and (4) to the patent owner or, if applicable, the designated representative of the patent owner.
(b) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—As soon as practicable after the receipt of a petition under section 311, the Direc-
tor shall make the petition available to the public.

§ 313. Preliminary response to petition

(a) PRELIMINARY RESPONSE.—If an inter partes review petition is filed under section 311, the patent owner shall have the right to file a preliminary response within a time period set by the Director.

(b) CONTENT OF RESPONSE.—A preliminary response to a petition for inter partes review shall set forth reasons why no inter partes review should be instituted based upon the failure of the petition to meet any requirement of this chapter.

§ 314. Institution of inter partes review

(a) THRESHOLD.—The Director may not authorize an inter partes review to commence unless the Director determines that the information presented in the petition filed under section 311 and any response filed under section 313 shows that a substantial new question of patentability exists.

(b) TIMING.—The Director shall determine whether to institute an inter partes review under this chapter pursuant to a petition filed under section 311 within 3 months after—
“(1) receiving a preliminary response to the petition under section 313; or

“(2) if no such preliminary response is filed, the last date on which such response may be filed.

“(c) NOTICE.—The Director shall notify the petitioner and patent owner, in writing, of the Director’s determination under subsection (a), and shall make such notice available to the public as soon as is practicable. Such notice shall include the date on which the review shall commence.

“(d) NO APPEAL.—The determination by the Director whether to institute an inter partes review under this section shall be final and nonappealable.

“§ 315. Relation to other proceedings or actions

“(a) INFRINGER’S CIVIL ACTION.—

“(1) INTER PARTES REVIEW BARRED BY CIVIL ACTION.—An inter partes review may not be instituted if, before the date on which the petition for such a review is filed, the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner filed a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of the patent.

“(2) STAY OF CIVIL ACTION.—If the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner files a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of the patent on or after the date on which the peti-
tioner files a petition for inter partes review of the patent, that civil action shall be automatically stayed until either—

“(A) the patent owner requests to lift the stay;

“(B) the patent owner files a civil action or counterclaim alleging that the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner has infringed the patent; or

“(C) the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner requests to dismiss the civil action.

“(3) TREATMENT OF COUNTERCLAIM.—A counterclaim challenging the validity of a claim of a patent does not constitute a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of a patent for purposes of this subsection.

“(b) PATENT OWNER’S ACTION.—An inter partes review may not be instituted if the petition requesting the proceeding is filed more than 9 months after the date on which the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner is served with a complaint alleging infringement of the patent. The time limitation set forth in the preceding sentence shall not apply to a request for joinder under subsection (c).
“(c) JOINER.—If the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that inter partes review any person who properly files a petition under section 311 that the Director, after receiving a preliminary response under section 313 or the expiration of the time for filing such a response, determines warrants the institution of an inter partes review under section 314.

“(d) MULTIPLE PROCEEDINGS.—Notwithstanding sections 135(a), 251, and 252, and chapter 30, during the pendency of an inter partes review, if another proceeding or matter involving the patent is before the Office, the Director may determine the manner in which the inter partes review or other proceeding or matter may proceed, including providing for stay, transfer, consolidation, or termination of any such matter or proceeding.

“(e) ESTOPPEL.—

“(1) PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE OFFICE.—The petitioner in an inter partes review under this chapter, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not request or maintain a proceeding before the Office with respect to a claim on any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised during an inter partes review of the
claim that resulted in a final written decision under section 318(a).

“(2) CIVIL ACTIONS AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS.—The petitioner in an inter partes review under this chapter, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not assert either in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 that a claim in a patent is invalid on any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised during an inter partes review of the claim that resulted in a final written decision under section 318(a).

§316. Conduct of inter partes review

“(a) REGULATIONS.—The Director shall prescribe regulations—

“(1) providing that the file of any proceeding under this chapter shall be made available to the public, except that any petition or document filed with the intent that it be sealed shall, if accompanied by a motion to seal, be treated as sealed pending the outcome of the ruling on the motion;
“(2) setting forth the standards for the showing of sufficient grounds to institute a review under section 314(a);

“(3) establishing procedures for the submission of supplemental information after the petition is filed;

“(4) in accordance with section 2(b)(2), establishing and governing inter partes review under this chapter and the relationship of such review to other proceedings under this title;

“(5) setting a time period for requesting joinder under section 315(c);

“(6) setting forth standards and procedures for discovery of relevant evidence, including that such discovery shall be limited to—

“(A) the deposition of witnesses submitting affidavits or declarations; and

“(B) what is otherwise necessary in the interest of justice;

“(7) prescribing sanctions for abuse of discovery, abuse of process, or any other improper use of the proceeding, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or an unnecessary increase in the cost of the proceeding;
“(8) providing for protective orders governing the exchange and submission of confidential information;

“(9) providing for the filing by the patent owner of a response to the petition under section 313 after an inter partes review has been instituted, and requiring that the patent owner file with such response, through affidavits or declarations, any additional factual evidence and expert opinions on which the patent owner relies in support of the response;

“(10) setting forth standards and procedures for allowing the patent owner to move to amend the patent under subsection (d) to cancel a challenged claim or propose a reasonable number of substitute claims, and ensuring that any information submitted by the patent owner in support of any amendment entered under subsection (d) is made available to the public as part of the prosecution history of the patent;

“(11) providing either party with the right to an oral hearing as part of the proceeding; and

“(12) requiring that the final determination in an inter partes review be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the
in institution of a review under this chapter, except
that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend
the 1-year period by not more than 6 months, and
may adjust the time periods in this paragraph in the
case of joinder under section 315(c).

“(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In prescribing regulations
under this section, the Director shall consider the effect
of any such regulation on the economy, the integrity of
the patent system, the efficient administration of the Of-

“(c) PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.—The Pat-
ent Trial and Appeal Board shall, in accordance with sec-

“(d) AMENDMENT OF THE PATENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—During an inter partes re-
view instituted under this chapter, the patent owner
may file 1 motion to amend the patent in 1 or more
of the following ways:

“(A) Cancel any challenged patent claim.

“(B) For each challenged claim, propose a
reasonable number of substitute claims.

“(2) ADDITIONAL MOTIONS.—Additional mo-
tions to amend may be permitted upon the joint re-
quest of the petitioner and the patent owner to materially advance the settlement of a proceeding under section 317, or as permitted by regulations prescribed by the Director.

“(3) Scope of claims.—An amendment under this subsection may not enlarge the scope of the claims of the patent or introduce new matter.

“(e) Evidentiary Standards.—In an inter partes review instituted under this chapter, the petitioner shall have the burden of proving a proposition of unpatentability by a preponderance of the evidence.

“§ 317. Settlement

“(a) In General.—An inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed. If the inter partes review is terminated with respect to a petitioner under this section, no estoppel under section 315(e) shall apply to that petitioner. If no petitioner remains in the inter partes review, the Office may terminate the review or proceed to a final written decision under section 318(a).

“(b) Agreements in Writing.—Any agreement or understanding between the patent owner and a petitioner,
including any collateral agreements referred to in such agreement or understanding, made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of an inter partes review under this section shall be in writing and a true copy of such agreement or understanding shall be filed in the Office before the termination of the inter partes review as between the parties. If any party filing such agreement or understanding so requests, the copy shall be kept separate from the file of the inter partes review, and shall be made available only to Federal Government agencies upon written request, or to any other person on a showing of good cause.

“§ 318. Decision of the Board

“(a) Final Written Decision.—If an inter partes review is instituted and not dismissed under this chapter, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall issue a final written decision with respect to the patentability of any patent claim challenged by the petitioner and any new claim added under section 316(d).

“(b) Certificate.—If the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issues a final written decision under subsection (a) and the time for appeal has expired or any appeal has terminated, the Director shall issue and publish a certificate canceling any claim of the patent finally determined to be unpatentable, confirming any claim of the patent de-
termined to be patentable, and incorporating in the patent
by operation of the certificate any new or amended claim
determined to be patentable.

“(e) Data on Length of Review.—The Office
shall make available to the public data describing the
length of time between the institution of, and the issuance
of a final written decision under subsection (a) for, each
inter partes review.

“§ 319. Appeal

“A party dissatisfied with the final written decision
of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section
318(a) may appeal the decision pursuant to sections 141
through 144. Any party to the inter partes review shall
have the right to be a party to the appeal.

“§ 320. Request for Stay of Certain Proceedings

“If a party seeks a stay of a civil action alleging in-
fringement of a patent under section 281, or a proceeding
before the International Trade Commission under section
337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, relating to an inter partes
review under this chapter, the court shall decide whether
to enter a stay based on—

“(1) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, will
simplify the issues in question and streamline the
trial;
“(2) whether discovery is complete and whether
a trial date has been set;

“(3) whether a stay, or the denial thereof,
would unduly prejudice the nonmoving party or
present a clear tactical advantage for the moving
party; and

“(4) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, will
reduce the burden of litigation on the parties and on
the court.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of chap-
ters for part III of title 35, United States Code, is amend-
ed by striking the item relating to chapter 31 and insert-
ing the following:

“31. Inter Partes Review ........................................................................ 311.”

(c) REGULATIONS AND EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) REGULATIONS.—The Director shall, not
later than the date that is 1 year after the date of
the enactment of this Act, issue regulations to carry
out chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code, as
amended by subsection (a) of this section.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made
by subsection (a) shall take effect upon the ex-
piration of the 1-year period beginning on the
date of the enactment of this Act and shall
apply to any patent issued before, on, or after that effective date.

(B) GRADUATED IMPLEMENTATION.—The Director may impose a limit on the number of inter partes reviews that may be instituted under chapter 31 of title 35, United States Code, during each of the first 4 1-year periods in which the amendments made by subsection (a) are in effect, if such number in each year equals or exceeds the number of such inter partes reexaminations that are ordered in the last fiscal year ending before the effective date of the amendments made by subsection (a).

(d) POST-GRANT REVIEW.—Part III of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“CHAPTER 32—POST-GRANT REVIEW

“§321. Post-grant review

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the provisions of this chapter, a person who is not the patent owner may file
with the Office a petition to institute a post-grant review of a patent. The Director shall establish, by regulation, fees to be paid by the person requesting the review, in such amounts as the Director determines to be reasonable, considering the aggregate costs of the post-grant review.

“(b) **Scope.**—A petitioner in a post-grant review may request to cancel as unpatentable 1 or more claims of a patent on any ground that could be raised under paragraph (2) or (3) of section 282(b) (relating to invalidity of the patent or any claim).

“(c) **Filing Deadline.**—A petition for a post-grant review may only be filed not later than the date that is 12 months after the date of the grant of the patent or of the issuance of a reissue patent (as the case may be).

**§ 322. Petitions**

“(a) **Requirements of Petition.**—A petition filed under section 321 may be considered only if—

“(1) the petition is accompanied by payment of the fee established by the Director under section 321;

“(2) the petition identifies all real parties in interest;

“(3) the petition identifies, in writing and with particularity, each claim challenged, the grounds on which the challenge to each claim is based, and the
evidence that supports the grounds for the challenge
to each claim, including—

“(A) copies of patents and printed publica-
tions that the petitioner relies upon in support
of the petition; and

“(B) affidavits or declarations of sup-
porting evidence and opinions, if the petitioner
relies on other factual evidence or on expert
opinions;

“(4) the petition provides such other informa-
tion as the Director may require by regulation; and

“(5) the petitioner provides copies of any of the
documents required under paragraphs (2), (3), and
(4) to the patent owner or, if applicable, the des-
ignated representative of the patent owner.

“(b) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—As soon as practicable
after the receipt of a petition under section 321, the Direc-
tor shall make the petition available to the public.

“§ 323. Preliminary response to petition

“(a) PRELIMINARY RESPONSE.—If a post-grant re-
view petition is filed under section 321, the patent owner
shall have the right to file a preliminary response to the
petition within 2 months after the date on which the peti-
tion is filed.
“(b) CONTENT OF RESPONSE.—A preliminary response to a petition for post-grant review shall set forth reasons why no post-grant review should be instituted based upon the failure of the petition to meet any requirement of this chapter.

“§ 324. Institution of post-grant review

“(a) THRESHOLD.—The Director may not authorize a post-grant review to commence unless the Director determines that the information presented in the petition filed under section 321, if such information is not rebutted, would demonstrate that it is more likely than not that at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition is unpatentable.

“(b) ADDITIONAL GROUNDS.—The determination required under subsection (a) may also be satisfied by a showing that the petition raises a novel or unsettled legal question that is important to other patents or patent applications.

“(c) TIMING.—The Director shall determine whether to institute a post-grant review under this chapter pursuant to a petition filed under section 321 within 3 months after—

“(1) receiving a preliminary response to the petition under section 323; or
“(2) if no such preliminary response is filed, the last date on which such response may be filed.

“(d) NOTICE.—The Director shall notify the petitioner and patent owner, in writing, of the Director’s determination under subsection (a) or (b), and shall make such notice available to the public as soon as is practicable. The Director shall make each notice of the institution of a post-grant review available to the public. Such notice shall include the date on which the review shall commence.

“(e) NO APPEAL.—The determination by the Director whether to institute a post-grant review under this section shall be final and nonappealable.

“§ 325. Relation to other proceedings or actions

“(a) INFRINGER’S CIVIL ACTION.—

“(1) POST-GRANT REVIEW BARRED BY CIVIL ACTION.—A post-grant review may not be instituted under this chapter if, before the date on which the petition for such a review is filed, the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner filed a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of the patent.

“(2) STAY OF CIVIL ACTION.—If the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner files a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of
the patent on or after the date on which the petitioner files a petition for post-grant review of the patent, that civil action shall be automatically stayed until either—

“(A) the patent owner requests to lift the stay;

“(B) the patent owner files a civil action or counterclaim alleging that the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner has infringed the patent; or

“(C) the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner requests to dismiss his civil action.

“(3) Treatment of Counterclaim.—A counterclaim challenging the validity of a claim of a patent does not constitute a civil action challenging the validity of a claim of a patent for purposes of this subsection.

“(b) Preliminary Injunctions.—If a civil action alleging infringement of a patent is filed within 3 months after the date on which the patent is granted, the court may not stay its consideration of the patent owner’s motion for a preliminary injunction against infringement of the patent on the basis that a petition for post-grant re-
view has been filed under this chapter or that such a post-
grant review has been instituted under this chapter.

“(c) JOINDER.—If more than 1 petition for a post-
grant review under this chapter is properly filed against
the same patent and the Director determines that more
than 1 of these petitions warrants the institution of a post-
grant review under section 324, the Director may consoli-
date such reviews into a single post-grant review.

“(d) MULTIPLE PROCEEDINGS.—Notwithstanding
sections 135(a), 251, and 252, and chapter 30, during the
pendency of any post-grant review under this chapter, if
another proceeding or matter involving the patent is be-
fore the Office, the Director may determine the manner
in which the post-grant review or other proceeding or mat-
ter may proceed, including providing for the stay, transfer,
consolidation, or termination of any such matter or pro-
ceeding. In determining whether to institute or order a
proceeding under this chapter, chapter 30, or chapter 31,
the Director may take into account whether, and reject
the petition or request because, the same or substantially
the same prior art or arguments previously were presented
to the Office.

“(e) ESTOPPEL.—

“(1) PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE OFFICE.—The
petitioner in a post-grant review under this chapter,
or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not request or maintain a proceeding before the Office with respect to a claim on any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised during a post-grant review of the claim that resulted in a final written decision under section 328(a).

“(2) Civil actions and other proceedings.—The petitioner in a post-grant review under this chapter, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, may not assert either in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28 or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 that a claim in a patent is invalid on any ground that the petitioner raised during a post-grant review of the claim that resulted in a final written decision under section 328(a).

“(f) Reissue patents.—A post-grant review may not be instituted under this chapter if the petition requests cancellation of a claim in a reissue patent that is identical to or narrower than a claim in the original patent from which the reissue patent was issued, and the time limitations in section 321(c) would bar filing a petition for a post-grant review for such original patent.
§ 326. Conduct of post-grant review

(a) REGULATIONS.—The Director shall prescribe regulations—

“(1) providing that the file of any proceeding under this chapter shall be made available to the public, except that any petition or document filed with the intent that it be sealed shall, if accompanied by a motion to seal, be treated as sealed pending the outcome of the ruling on the motion;

“(2) setting forth the standards for the showing of sufficient grounds to institute a review under subsections (a) and (b) of section 324;

“(3) establishing procedures for the submission of supplemental information after the petition is filed;

“(4) in accordance with section 2(b)(2), establishing and governing a post-grant review under this chapter and the relationship of such review to other proceedings under this title;

“(5) setting forth standards and procedures for discovery of relevant evidence, including that such discovery shall be limited to evidence directly related to factual assertions advanced by either party in the proceeding;

“(6) prescribing sanctions for abuse of discovery, abuse of process, or any other improper use
of the proceeding, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or an unnecessary increase in the
cost of the proceeding;

“(7) providing for protective orders governing
the exchange and submission of confidential infor-

mation;

“(8) allowing the patent owner to file a re-
sponse to the petition after a post-grant review has
been instituted, and requiring that the patent owner
file with such response, through affidavits or dec-
larations, any additional factual evidence and expert
opinions on which the patent owner relies in support
of the response;

“(9) setting forth standards and procedures for
allowing the patent owner to move to amend the pat-
ent under subsection (d) to cancel a challenged claim
or propose a reasonable number of substitute claims,
and ensuring that any information submitted by the
patent owner in support of any amendment entered
under subsection (d) is made available to the public
as part of the prosecution history of the patent;

“(10) providing either party with the right to
an oral hearing as part of the proceeding; and

“(11) requiring that the final determination in
any post-grant review be issued not later than 1
year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a proceeding under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months, and may adjust the time periods in this paragraph in the case of joinder under section 325(c).

“(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In prescribing regulations under this section, the Director shall consider the effect of any such regulation on the economy, the integrity of the patent system, the efficient administration of the Office, and the ability of the Office to timely complete proceedings instituted under this chapter.

“(c) PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall, in accordance with section 6, conduct each proceeding authorized by the Director.

“(d) AMENDMENT OF THE PATENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—During a post-grant review instituted under this chapter, the patent owner may file 1 motion to amend the patent in 1 or more of the following ways:

“(A) Cancel any challenged patent claim.

“(B) For each challenged claim, propose a reasonable number of substitute claims.
“(2) ADDITIONAL MOTIONS.—Additional motions to amend may be permitted upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner to materially advance the settlement of a proceeding under section 327, or upon the request of the patent owner for good cause shown.

“(3) SCOPE OF CLAIMS.—An amendment under this subsection may not enlarge the scope of the claims of the patent or introduce new matter.

“(e) EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS.—In a post-grant review instituted under this chapter, the petitioner shall have the burden of proving a proposition of unpatentability by a preponderance of the evidence.

“§ 327. Settlement

“(a) IN GENERAL.—A post-grant review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed. If the post-grant review is terminated with respect to a petitioner under this section, no estoppel under section 325(e) shall apply to that petitioner. If no petitioner remains in the post-grant review, the Office may terminate the post-grant review or proceed to a final written decision under section 328(a).
“(b) AGREEMENTS IN WRITING.—Any agreement or understanding between the patent owner and a petitioner, including any collateral agreements referred to in such agreement or understanding, made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of a post-grant review under this section shall be in writing, and a true copy of such agreement or understanding shall be filed in the Office before the termination of the post-grant review as between the parties. If any party filing such agreement or understanding so requests, the copy shall be kept separate from the file of the post-grant review, and shall be made available only to Federal Government agencies upon written request, or to any other person on a showing of good cause.

§ 328. Decision of the Board

“(a) FINAL WRITTEN DECISION.—If a post-grant review is instituted and not dismissed under this chapter, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall issue a final written decision with respect to the patentability of any patent claim challenged by the petitioner and any new claim added under section 326(d).

“(b) CERTIFICATE.—If the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issues a final written decision under subsection (a) and the time for appeal has expired or any appeal has terminated, the Director shall issue and publish a certifi-
cate canceling any claim of the patent finally determined
to be unpatentable, confirming any claim of the patent de-
termined to be patentable, and incorporating in the patent
by operation of the certificate any new or amended claim
determined to be patentable.
``(e) DATA ON LENGTH OF REVIEW.—The Office
shall make available to the public data describing the
length of time between the institution of, and the issuance
of a final written decision under subsection (a) for, each
post-grant review.
``§ 329. Appeal
``A party dissatisfied with the final written decision
of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section
328(a) may appeal the decision pursuant to sections 141
through 144. Any party to the post-grant review shall have
the right to be a party to the appeal.
``§ 330. Request for stay of certain proceedings
``If a party seeks a stay of a civil action alleging in-
fringement of a patent under section 281, or a proceeding
before the International Trade Commission under section
337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, relating to a post-grant
review under this chapter, the court shall decide whether
to enter a stay based on—
“(1) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, will simplify the issues in question and streamline the trial;

“(2) whether discovery is complete and whether a trial date has been set;

“(3) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, would unduly prejudice the nonmoving party or present a clear tactical advantage for the moving party; and

“(4) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, will reduce the burden of litigation on the parties and on the court.”.

(e) Conforming Amendment.—The table of chapters for part III of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“32. Post-Grant Review ................................................................. 321.”

(f) Regulations and Effective Date.—

(1) Regulations.—The Director shall, not later than the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, issue regulations to carry out chapter 32 of title 35, United States Code, as added by subsection (d) of this section.

(2) Applicability.—

(A) In General.—The amendments made by subsection (d) shall take effect upon the ex-
piration of the 1-year period beginning on the
date of the enactment of this Act and, except
as provided in section 18 and in paragraph (3),
shall apply to any patent that is described in
section 2(n)(1).

(B) LIMITATION.—The Director may im-
pose a limit on the number of post-grant re-
views that may be instituted under chapter 32
of title 35, United States Code, during each of
the 4 years following the effective date set forth
in subparagraph (A).

(3) PENDING INTERFERENCES.—

(A) PROCEDURES IN GENERAL.—The Di-
rector shall determine, and include in the regu-
lations issued under paragraph (1), the proce-
dures under which an interference commenced
before the effective date set forth in paragraph
(2) is to proceed, including whether such inter-
ference—

(i) is to be dismissed without preju-
dice to the filing of a petition for a post-
grant review under chapter 32 of title 35,
United States Code; or

(ii) is to proceed as if this Act had not
been enacted.
(B) Proceedings by Patent Trial and Appeal Board.—For purposes of an interference that is commenced before the effective date set forth in paragraph (2), the Director may deem the Patent Trial and Appeal Board to be the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, and may allow the Patent Trial and Appeal Board to conduct any further proceedings in that interference.

(C) Appeals.—The authorization to appeal or have remedy from derivation proceedings in sections 141(d) and 146 of title 35, United States Code, and the jurisdiction to entertain appeals from derivation proceedings in section 1295(a)(4)(A) of title 28, United States Code, shall be deemed to extend to any final decision in an interference that is commenced before the effective date set forth in paragraph (2) of this subsection and that is not dismissed pursuant to this paragraph.

(g) Citation of Prior Art and Written Statements.—

(1) In general.—Section 301 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
§ 301. Citation of prior art and written statements

(a) In General.—Any person at any time may cite to the Office in writing—

(1) prior art consisting of patents or printed publications which that person believes to have a bearing on the patentability of any claim of a particular patent; or

(2) statements of the patent owner filed in a proceeding before a Federal court or the Office in which the patent owner took a position on the scope of any claim of a particular patent.

(b) Official File.—If the person citing prior art or written statements pursuant to subsection (a) explains in writing the pertinence and manner of applying the prior art or written statements to at least 1 claim of the patent, the citation of the prior art or written statements and the explanation thereof shall become a part of the official file of the patent.

(c) Additional Information.—A party that submits a written statement pursuant to subsection (a)(2) shall include any other documents, pleadings, or evidence from the proceeding in which the statement was filed that addresses the written statement.

(d) Limitations.—A written statement submitted pursuant to subsection (a)(2), and additional information submitted pursuant to subsection (c), shall not be consid-
ered by the Office for any purpose other than to determine
the proper meaning of a patent claim in a proceeding that
is ordered or instituted pursuant to section 304, 314, or
324. If any such written statement or additional informa-
tion is subject to an applicable protective order, it shall
be redacted to exclude information that is subject to that
order.

“(e) Confidentiality.—Upon the written request
of the person citing prior art or written statements pursu-
ant to subsection (a), that person’s identity shall be ex-
cluded from the patent file and kept confidential.”.

(2) Conforming Amendment.—The item re-
lating to section 301 in the table of sections for
chapter 30 of title 35, United States Code, is
amended to read as follows:

“301. Citation of prior art and written statements.”.

(3) Effective Date.—The amendments made
by this subsection shall take effect upon the expira-
tion of the 1-year period beginning on the date of
the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any
patent issued before, on, or after that effective date.

(h) Reexamination.—

(1) Determination by Director.—

(A) In General.—Section 303(a) of title
35, United States Code, is amended by striking
“section 301 of this title” and inserting “section 301 or 302”.

(B) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this paragraph shall take effect upon the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any patent issued before, on, or after that effective date.

(2) APPEAL.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 306 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “145” and inserting “144”.

(B) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this paragraph shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any appeal of a reexamination that is pending before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 6. PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD.

(a) COMPOSITION AND DUTIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:
§ 6. Patent Trial and Appeal Board

(a) In General.—There shall be in the Office a Patent Trial and Appeal Board. The Director, the Deputy Director, the Commissioner for Patents, the Commissioner for Trademarks, and the administrative patent judges shall constitute the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. The administrative patent judges shall be persons of competent legal knowledge and scientific ability who are appointed by the Secretary, in consultation with the Director. Any reference in any Federal law, Executive order, rule, regulation, or delegation of authority, or any document of or pertaining to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences is deemed to refer to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board.

(b) Duties.—The Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall—

(1) on written appeal of an applicant, review adverse decisions of examiners upon applications for patents pursuant to section 134(a);

(2) review appeals of reexaminations pursuant to section 134(b);

(3) conduct derivation proceedings pursuant to section 135; and

(4) conduct inter partes reviews and post-grant reviews pursuant to chapters 31 and 32.
“(c) 3-MEMBER PANELS.—Each appeal, derivation proceeding, post-grant review, and inter partes review shall be heard by at least 3 members of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, who shall be designated by the Director. Only the Patent Trial and Appeal Board may grant rehearings.

“(d) TREATMENT OF PRIOR APPOINTMENTS.—The Secretary of Commerce may, in the Secretary’s discretion, deem the appointment of an administrative patent judge who, before the date of the enactment of this subsection, held office pursuant to an appointment by the Director to take effect on the date on which the Director initially appointed the administrative patent judge. It shall be a defense to a challenge to the appointment of an administrative patent judge on the basis of the judge’s having been originally appointed by the Director that the administrative patent judge so appointed was acting as a de facto officer.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 6 in the table of sections for chapter 1 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“6. Patent Trial and Appeal Board.”.

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.—Section 134 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—
(1) in subsection (b), by striking “any reexamination proceeding” and inserting “a reexamination”; and

(2) by striking subsection (c).

(c) Circuit Appeals.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 141 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§141. Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

“(a) Examinations.—An applicant who is dissatisfied with the final decision in an appeal to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section 134(a) may appeal the Board’s decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. By filing such an appeal, the applicant waives his or her right to proceed under section 145.

“(b) Reexaminations.—A patent owner who is dissatisfied with the final decision in an appeal of a reexamination to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section 134(b) may appeal the Board’s decision only to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

“(c) Post-Grant and Inter Partes Reviews.—A party to a post-grant or inter partes review who is dissatisfied with the final written decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board under section 318(a) or 328(a) may
appeal the Board's decision only to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

“(d) DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS.—A party to a derivation proceeding who is dissatisfied with the final decision of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in the proceeding may appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, but such appeal shall be dismissed if any adverse party to such derivation proceeding, within 20 days after the appellant has filed notice of appeal in accordance with section 142, files notice with the Director that the party elects to have all further proceedings conducted as provided in section 146. If the appellant does not, within 30 days after the filing of such notice by the adverse party, file a civil action under section 146, the Board's decision shall govern the further proceedings in the case.”.

(2) JURISDICTION.—Section 1295(a)(4)(A) of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(A) the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office with respect to a patent application, derivation proceeding, reexamination, post-grant review, or inter partes review at the instance of a party who exercised that party's right to participate
in the applicable proceeding before or appeal to
the Board, except that an applicant or a party
to a derivation proceeding may also have rem-
edy by civil action pursuant to section 145 or
146 of title 35; an appeal under this subpara-
graph of a decision of the Board with respect
to an application or derivation proceeding shall
waive the right of such applicant or party to
proceed under section 145 or 146 of title 35;”.

(3) PROCEEDINGS ON APPEAL.—Section 143 of
title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking the third sentence and in-
serting the following: “In an ex parte case, the
Director shall submit to the court in writing the
grounds for the decision of the Patent and
Trademark Office, addressing all of the issues
raised in the appeal. The Director shall have
the right to intervene in an appeal from a deci-
sion entered by the Patent Trial and Appeal
Board in a derivation proceeding under section
135 or in an inter partes or post-grant review
under chapter 31 or 32.”; and

(B) by striking the last sentence.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
this section shall take effect upon the expiration of the
1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to proceedings commenced on or after that effective date, except that—

(1) the extension of jurisdiction to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit to entertain appeals of decisions of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board in reexaminations under the amendment made by subsection (c)(2) shall be deemed to take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and shall extend to any decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences with respect to a reexamination that is entered before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act;

(2) the provisions of sections 6, 134, and 141 of title 35, United States Code, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act shall continue to apply to inter partes reexaminations that are requested under section 311 of such title before the effective date of the amendments made by this section;

(3) the Patent Trial and Appeal Board may be deemed to be the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for purposes of appeals of inter partes reexaminations that are requested under section 311
of title 35, United States Code, before the effective
date of the amendments made by this section; and

(4) the Director’s right under the fourth sen-
tence of section 143 of title 35, United States Code,
as amended by subsection (c)(3) of this section, to
intervene in an appeal from a decision entered by
the Patent Trial and Appeal Board shall be deemed
to extend to inter partes reexaminations that are re-
quested under section 311 of such title before the ef-
fective date of the amendments made by this section.

SEC. 7. PREISSUANCE SUBMISSIONS BY THIRD PARTIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 122 of title 35, United
States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol-
lowing:

“(e) PREISSUANCE SUBMISSIONS BY THIRD PAR-
TIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Any third party may sub-
mit for consideration and inclusion in the record of
a patent application, any patent, published patent
application, or other printed publication of potential
relevance to the examination of the application, if
such submission is made in writing before the earlier
“(A) the date a notice of allowance under section 151 is given or mailed in the application for patent; or

“(B) the later of—

“(i) 6 months after the date on which the application for patent is first published under section 122 by the Office, or

“(ii) the date of the first rejection under section 132 of any claim by the examiner during the examination of the application for patent.

“(2) OTHER REQUIREMENTS.—Any submission under paragraph (1) shall—

“(A) set forth a concise description of the asserted relevance of each submitted document;

“(B) be accompanied by such fee as the Director may prescribe; and

“(C) include a statement by the person making such submission affirming that the submission was made in compliance with this section.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect upon the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of
this Act and shall apply to any patent application filed before, on, or after that effective date.

SEC. 8. VENUE.

(a) Technical Amendments Relating to Venue.—Sections 32, 145, 146, 154(b)(4)(A), and 293 of title 35, United States Code, and section 21(b)(4) of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1071(b)(4)), are each amended by striking “United States District Court for the District of Columbia” each place that term appears and inserting “United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia”.

(b) Effective Date.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any civil action commenced on or after that date.

SEC. 9. FEE SETTING AUTHORITY.

(a) Fee Setting.—

(1) In General.—The Director may set or adjust by rule any fee established, authorized, or charged under title 35, United States Code, or the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.), for any services performed by or materials furnished by, the Office, subject to paragraph (2).

(2) Fees to Recover Costs.—Fees may be set or adjusted under paragraph (1) only to recover
the aggregate estimated costs to the Office for proc-
essing, activities, services, and materials relating to
patents (in the case of patent fees) and trademarks
(in the case of trademark fees), including adminis-
trative costs of the Office with respect to such pat-
ent or trademark fees (as the case may be).

(b) SMALL AND MICRO ENTITIES.—The fees set or
adjusted under subsection (a) for filing, searching, exam-
ingen, issuing, appealing, and maintaining patent applica-
tions and patents shall be reduced by 50 percent with re-
spect to the application of such fees to any small entity
that qualifies for reduced fees under section 41(h)(1) of
title 35, United States Code, and shall be reduced by 75
percent with respect to the application of such fees to any
micro entity as defined in section 123 of that title (as
added by subsection (f) of this section).

(c) REDUCTION OF FEES IN CERTAIN FISCAL
YEARS.—In each fiscal year, the Director—

(1) shall consult with the Patent Public Advi-
sory Committee and the Trademark Public Advisory
Committee on the advisability of reducing any fees
described in subsection (a); and

(2) after the consultation required under para-
graph (1), may reduce such fees.
(d) ROLE OF THE PUBLIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.—

The Director shall—

(1) not less than 45 days before publishing any proposed fee under subsection (a) in the Federal Register, submit the proposed fee to the Patent Public Advisory Committee or the Trademark Public Advisory Committee, or both, as appropriate;

(2)(A) provide the relevant advisory committee described in paragraph (1) a 30-day period following the submission of any proposed fee, in which to deliberate, consider, and comment on such proposal;

(B) require that, during that 30-day period, the relevant advisory committee hold a public hearing relating to such proposal; and

(C) assist the relevant advisory committee in carrying out that public hearing, including by offering the use of the resources of the Office to notify and promote the hearing to the public and interested stakeholders;

(3) require the relevant advisory committee to make available to the public a written report setting forth in detail the comments, advice, and recommendations of the committee regarding the proposed fee; and
(4) consider and analyze any comments, advice, or recommendations received from the relevant advisory committee before setting or adjusting (as the case may be) the fee.

(e) Publication in the Federal Register.—

(1) Publication and Rationale.—The Director shall—

(A) publish any proposed fee change under this section in the Federal Register;

(B) include, in such publication, the specific rationale and purpose for the proposal, including the possible expectations or benefits resulting from the proposed change; and

(C) notify, through the Chair and Ranking Member of the Committees on the Judiciary of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Congress of the proposed change not later than the date on which the proposed change is published under subparagraph (A).

(2) Public Comment Period.—The Director shall, in the publication under paragraph (1), provide the public a period of not less than 45 days in which to submit comments on the proposed change in fees.
(3) Publication of final rule.—The final rule setting or adjusting a fee under this section shall be published in the Federal Register and in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office.

(4) Congressional comment period.—A fee set or adjusted under subsection (a) may not become effective—

(A) before the end of the 45-day period beginning on the day after the date on which the Director publishes the final rule adjusting or setting the fee under paragraph (3); or

(B) if a law is enacted disapproving such fee.

(5) Rule of construction.—Rules prescribed under this section shall not diminish—

(A) the rights of an applicant for a patent under title 35, United States Code, or for a trademark under the Trademark Act of 1946; or

(B) any rights under a ratified treaty.

(f) Retention of authority.—The Director retains the authority under subsection (a) to set or adjust fees only during such period as the Patent and Trademark
Office remains an agency within the Department of Commerce.

(g) MICRO ENTITY DEFINED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 11 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 123. Micro entity defined.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this title, the term ‘micro entity’ means an applicant who makes a certification that the applicant—

“(1) qualifies as a small entity, as defined in regulations issued by the Director;

“(2) has not been named as an inventor on more than 4 previously filed patent applications, other than applications filed in another country, provisional applications under section 111(b), or international applications filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) for which the basic national fee under section 41(a) was not paid;

“(3) did not, in the calendar year preceding the calendar year in which the examination fee for the application is being paid, have a gross income, as defined in section 61(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, exceeding 3 times the median household in-
come for that preceding calendar year, as reported by the Bureau of the Census; and

“(4) has not assigned, granted, or conveyed, and is not under an obligation by contract or law to assign, grant, or convey, a license or other ownership interest in the application concerned to an entity that, in the calendar year preceding the calendar year in which the examination fee for the application is being paid, had a gross income, as defined in section 61(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, exceeding 3 times the median household income for that preceding calendar year, as most recently reported by the Bureau of the Census.

“(b) Applications Resulting from Prior Employment.—An applicant is not considered to be named on a previously filed application for purposes of subsection (a)(2) if the applicant has assigned, or is under an obligation by contract or law to assign, all ownership rights in the application as the result of the applicant’s previous employment.

“(c) Foreign Currency Exchange Rate.—If an applicant’s or entity’s gross income in the preceding year is not in United States dollars, the average currency exchange rate, as reported by the Internal Revenue Service, during the preceding year shall be used to determine
whether the applicant’s or entity’s gross income exceeds the threshold specified in paragraphs (3) or (4) of subsection (a).

“(d) Public Institutions of Higher Education.—

“(1) In general.—For purposes of this section, a micro entity shall include an applicant who certifies that—

“(A) the applicant’s employer, from which the applicant obtains the majority of the applicant’s income, is an institution of higher education, as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001), that is a public institution; or

“(B) the applicant has assigned, granted, conveyed, or is under an obligation by contract or law to assign, grant, or convey, a license or other ownership interest in the particular application to such State public institution.

“(2) Director’s Authority.—The Director may, in the Director’s discretion, impose income limits, annual filing limits, or other limits on who may qualify as a micro entity pursuant to this subsection if the Director determines that such additional limits are reasonably necessary to avoid an undue impact
on other patent applicants or owners or are otherwise reasonably necessary and appropriate. At least 3 months before any limits proposed to be imposed pursuant to this paragraph shall take effect, the Director shall inform the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate of any such proposed limits.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Chapter 11 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“123. Micro entity defined.”.

(h) ELECTRONIC FILING INCENTIVE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a fee of $400 shall be established for each application for an original patent, except for a design, plant, or provisional application, that is not filed by electronic means as prescribed by the Director. The fee established by this subsection shall be reduced by 50 percent for small entities that qualify for reduced fees under section 41(h)(1) of title 35, United States Code. All fees paid under this subsection shall be deposited in the Treasury as an offsetting receipt that shall not be available for obligation or expenditure.
(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This subsection shall take effect upon the expiration of the 60-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(i) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 10. FEES FOR PATENT SERVICES.

(a) GENERAL PATENT SERVICES.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 41 of title 35, United States Code, are amended to read as follows:

“(a) GENERAL FEES.—The Director shall charge the following fees:

“(1) FILING AND BASIC NATIONAL FEES.—

“(A) On filing each application for an original patent, except for design, plant, or provisional applications, $330.

“(B) On filing each application for an original design patent, $220.

“(C) On filing each application for an original plant patent, $220.

“(D) On filing each provisional application for an original patent, $220.

“(E) On filing each application for the reissue of a patent, $330.
“(F) The basic national fee for each international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) entering the national stage under section 371, $330.

“(G) In addition, excluding any sequence listing or computer program listing filed in an electronic medium as prescribed by the Director, for any application the specification and drawings of which exceed 100 sheets of paper (or equivalent as prescribed by the Director if filed in an electronic medium), $270 for each additional 50 sheets of paper (or equivalent as prescribed by the Director if filed in an electronic medium) or fraction thereof.

“(2) Excess claims fees.—

“(A) In general.—In addition to the fee specified in paragraph (1)—

“(i) on filing or on presentation at any other time, $220 for each claim in independent form in excess of 3;

“(ii) on filing or on presentation at any other time, $52 for each claim (whether dependent or independent) in excess of 20; and
“(iii) for each application containing a multiple dependent claim, $390.

“(B) MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS.— For the purpose of computing fees under sub-paragraph (A), a multiple dependent claim referred to in section 112 or any claim depending therefrom shall be considered as separate dependent claims in accordance with the number of claims to which reference is made.

“(C) REFUNDS; ERRORS IN PAYMENT.— The Director may by regulation provide for a refund of any part of the fee specified in sub-paragraph (A) for any claim that is canceled before an examination on the merits, as prescribed by the Director, has been made of the application under section 131. Errors in payment of the additional fees under this paragraph may be rectified in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Director.

“(3) EXAMINATION FEES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—

“(i) For examination of each application for an original patent, except for design, plant, provisional, or international applications, $220.
“(ii) For examination of each application for an original design patent, $140.

“(iii) For examination of each application for an original plant patent, $170.

“(iv) For examination of the national stage of each international application, $220.

“(v) For examination of each application for the reissue of a patent, $650.

“(B) Applicability of Other Fee Provisions.—The provisions of paragraphs (3) and (4) of section 111(a) relating to the payment of the fee for filing the application shall apply to the payment of the fee specified in subparagraph (A) with respect to an application filed under section 111(a). The provisions of section 371(d) relating to the payment of the national fee shall apply to the payment of the fee specified in subparagraph (A) with respect to an international application.

“(4) Issue Fees.—

“(A) For issuing each original patent, except for design or plant patents, $1,510.

“(B) For issuing each original design patent, $860.
“(C) For issuing each original plant patent, $1,190.

“(D) For issuing each reissue patent, $1,510.

“(5) DISCLAIMER FEE.—On filing each disclaimer, $140.

“(6) APPEAL FEES.—

“(A) On filing an appeal from the examiner to the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, $540.

“(B) In addition, on filing a brief in support of the appeal, $540, and on requesting an oral hearing in the appeal before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, $1,080.

“(7) REVIVAL FEES.—On filing each petition for the revival of an unintentionally abandoned application for a patent, for the unintentionally delayed payment of the fee for issuing each patent, or for an unintentionally delayed response by the patent owner in any reexamination proceeding, $1,620, unless the petition is filed under section 133 or 151, in which case the fee shall be $540.

“(8) EXTENSION FEES.—For petitions for 1-month extensions of time to take actions required by the Director in an application—
“(A) on filing a first petition, $130;
“(B) on filing a second petition, $360; and
“(C) on filing a third or subsequent petition, $620.

“(b) MAINTENANCE FEES.—
“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall charge the following fees for maintaining in force all patents based on applications filed on or after December 12, 1980:

“(A) Three years and 6 months after grant, $980.
“(B) Seven years and 6 months after grant, $2,480.
“(C) Eleven years and 6 months after grant, $4,110.

“(2) GRACE PERIOD; SURCHARGE.—Unless payment of the applicable maintenance fee under paragraph (1) is received in the Office on or before the date the fee is due or within a grace period of 6 months thereafter, the patent shall expire as of the end of such grace period. The Director may require the payment of a surcharge as a condition of accepting within such 6-month grace period the payment of an applicable maintenance fee.
“(3) NO MAINTENANCE FEE FOR DESIGN OR PLANT PATENT.—No fee may be established for maintaining a design or plant patent in force.”.

(b) DELAYS IN PAYMENT.—Subsection (c) of section 41 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “(c)(1) The Director” and inserting:

“(c) DELAYS IN PAYMENT OF MAINTENANCE FEES.—

“(1) ACCEPTANCE.—The Director”; and

(2) by striking “(2) A patent” and inserting

“(2) EFFECT ON RIGHTS OF OTHERS.—A patent”.

(c) PATENT SEARCH FEES.—Subsection (d) of section 41 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(d) PATENT SEARCH AND OTHER FEES.—

“(1) PATENT SEARCH FEES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall charge the fees specified under subparagraph (B) for the search of each application for a patent, except for provisional applications. The Director shall adjust the fees charged under this paragraph to ensure that the fees recover an amount not to exceed the estimated average cost to the Office of searching applications for
patent either by acquiring a search report from a qualified search authority, or by causing a search by Office personnel to be made, of each application for patent.

“(B) Specific fees.—The fees referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

“(i) $540 for each application for an original patent, except for design, plant, provisional, or international applications;

“(ii) $100 for each application for an original design patent;

“(iii) $330 for each application for an original plant patent;

“(iv) $540 for the national stage of each international application; and

“(v) $540 for each application for the reissue of a patent.

“(C) Applicability of other provisions.—The provisions of paragraphs (3) and (4) of section 111(a) relating to the payment of the fee for filing the application shall apply to the payment of the fee specified in this paragraph with respect to an application filed under section 111(a). The provisions of section 371(d) relating to the payment of the national fee shall
apply to the payment of the fee specified in this paragraph with respect to an international application.

“(D) REFUNDS.—The Director may by regulation provide for a refund of any part of the fee specified in this paragraph for any applicant who files a written declaration of express abandonment as prescribed by the Director before an examination has been made of the application under section 131.

“(E) APPLICATIONS SUBJECT TO SECRECY ORDER.—A search of an application that is the subject of a secrecy order under section 181 or otherwise involves classified information may be conducted only by Office personnel.

“(F) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—A qualified search authority that is a commercial entity may not conduct a search of a patent application if the entity has any direct or indirect financial interest in any patent or in any pending or imminent application for patent filed or to be filed in the Office.

“(2) OTHER FEES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall establish fees for all other processing, services, or
materials relating to patents not specified in this section to recover the estimated average cost to the Office of such processing, services, or materials, except that the Director shall charge the following fees for the following services:

“(i) For recording a document affecting title, $40 per property.

“(ii) For each photocopy, $.25 per page.

“(iii) For each black and white copy of a patent, $3.

“(B) COPIES FOR LIBRARIES.—The yearly fee for providing a library specified in section 12 with uncertified printed copies of the specifications and drawings for all patents in that year shall be $50.”.

(d) FEES FOR SMALL ENTITIES.—Subsection (h) of section 41 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(h) FEES FOR SMALL ENTITIES.—

“(1) REDUCTIONS IN FEES.—Subject to paragraph (3), fees charged under subsections (a), (b), and (d)(1) shall be reduced by 50 percent with respect to their application to any small business con-
cern as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act, and to any independent inventor or nonprofit organization as defined in regulations issued by the Director.

“(2) SURCHARGES AND OTHER FEES.—With respect to its application to any entity described in paragraph (1), any surcharge or fee charged under subsection (c) or (d) shall not be higher than the surcharge or fee required of any other entity under the same or substantially similar circumstances.

“(3) REDUCTION FOR ELECTRONIC FILING.— The fee charged under subsection (a)(1)(A) shall be reduced by 75 percent with respect to its application to any entity to which paragraph (1) applies, if the application is filed by electronic means as prescribed by the Director.”.

(e) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 41 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (e), in the first sentence, by striking “The Director” and inserting “WAIVER OF FEES; COPIES REGARDING NOTICE.—The Director”;

(2) in subsection (f), by striking “The fees” and inserting “ADJUSTMENT OF FEES.—The fees”;

(3) by repealing subsection (g); and
(4) in subsection (i)—

(A) by striking “(i)(1) The Director” and inserting the following:

“(i) ELECTRONIC PATENT AND TRADEMARK DATA.—

“(1) MAINTENANCE OF COLLECTIONS.—The Director”;

(B) by striking “(2) The Director” and inserting the following:

“(2) AVAILABILITY OF AUTOMATED SEARCH SYSTEMS.—The Director”;

(C) by striking “(3) The Director” and inserting the following:

“(3) ACCESS FEES.—The Director”; and

(D) by striking “(4) The Director” and inserting the following:

“(4) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—The Director”.

(f) ADJUSTMENT OF TRADEMARK FEES.—Section 802(a) of division B of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–447) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “During fiscal years 2005, 2006 and 2007,”, and inserting “Until such time as the Director sets or adjusts the fees otherwise,”; and
(2) in the second sentence, by striking “During fiscal years 2005, 2006, and 2007, the” and inserting “The”.

(g) Effective Date, Applicability, and Transition Provisions.—Section 803(a) of division B of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–447) Division B of Public Law 108–447 is amended by striking “and shall apply only with respect to the remaining portion of fiscal year 2005 and fiscal year 2006”.

(h) Electronic Filing Incentive.—

(1) in General.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a fee of $400 shall be established for each application for an original patent, except for a design, plant, or provisional application, that is not filed by electronic means as prescribed by the Director. The fee established by this subsection shall be reduced by 50 percent for small entities that qualify for reduced fees under section 41(h)(1) of title 35, United States Code. All fees paid under this subsection shall be deposited in the Treasury as an offsetting receipt that shall not be available for obligation or expenditure.

(2) Effective Date.—This subsection shall take effect upon the expiration of the 60-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.
(i) **Reduction in Fees for Small Entity Patents.**—The Director shall reduce fees for providing prioritized examination of utility and plant patent applications by 50 percent for small entities that qualify for reduced fees under section 41(h)(1) of title 35, United States Code, so long as the fees of the prioritized examination program are set to recover the estimated cost of the program.

(j) **Effective Date.**—Except as provided in subsection (h), this section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 11. SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINATION.**

(a) **In General.**—Chapter 25 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

```
§ 257. Supplemental examinations to consider, reconsider, or correct information

“(a) **Request for Supplemental Examination.**—A patent owner may request supplemental examination of a patent in the Office to consider, reconsider, or correct information believed to be relevant to the patent, in accordance with such requirements as the Director may establish. Within 3 months after the date a request for supplemental examination meeting the requirements of
```
this section is received, the Director shall conduct the sup-
plemental examination and shall conclude such examina-
tion by issuing a certificate indicating whether the infor-
mation presented in the request raises a substantial new
question of patentability.

“(b) REEXAMINATION ORDERED.—If the certificate
issued under subsection (a) indicates that a substantial
new question of patentability is raised by 1 or more items
of information in the request, the Director shall order re-
examination of the patent. The reexamination shall be
conducted according to procedures established by chapter
30, except that the patent owner shall not have the right
to file a statement pursuant to section 304. During the
reexamination, the Director shall address each substantial
new question of patentability identified during the supple-
mental examination, notwithstanding the limitations in
chapter 30 relating to patents and printed publication or
any other provision of such chapter.

“(c) EFFECT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A patent shall not be held
unenforceable on the basis of conduct relating to in-
formation that had not been considered, was inad-
equately considered, or was incorrect in a prior ex-
amination of the patent if the information was con-
sidered, reconsidered, or corrected during a supple-
mental examination of the patent. The making of a request under subsection (a), or the absence thereof, shall not be relevant to enforceability of the patent under section 282.

“(2) EXCEPTIONS.—

“(A) PRIOR ALLEGATIONS.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to an allegation pled with particularity, or set forth with particularity in a notice received by the patent owner under section 505(j)(2)(B)(iv)(II) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(j)(2)(B)(iv)(II)), before the date of a supplemental examination request under subsection (a) to consider, reconsider, or correct information forming the basis for the allegation.

“(B) PATENT ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS.—In an action brought under section 337(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337(a)), or section 281 of this title, paragraph (1) shall not apply to any defense raised in the action that is based upon information that was considered, reconsidered, or corrected pursuant to a supplemental examination request under subsection (a), unless the supplemental examination, and any reexamination ordered pursuant to the re-
request, are concluded before the date on which
the action is brought.

“(d) FEES AND REGULATIONS.—

“(1) FEES.—The Director shall, by regulation,
establish fees for the submission of a request for
supplemental examination of a patent, and to con-
sider each item of information submitted in the re-
quest. If reexamination is ordered under subsection
(b), fees established and applicable to ex parte reex-
amination proceedings under chapter 30 shall be
paid, in addition to fees applicable to supplemental
examination.

“(2) REGULATIONS.—The Director shall issue
regulations governing the form, content, and other
requirements of requests for supplemental examina-
tion, and establishing procedures for reviewing infor-
mation submitted in such requests.

“(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-
tion shall be construed—

“(1) to preclude the imposition of sanctions
based upon criminal or antitrust laws (including sec-
tion 1001(a) of title 18, the first section of the Clay-
ton Act, and section 5 of the Federal Trade Com-
mission Act to the extent that section relates to un-
fair methods of competition);
“(2) to limit the authority of the Director to investiga
tigate issues of possible misconduct and impose
sanctions for misconduct in connection with matters
or proceedings before the Office; or
“(3) to limit the authority of the Director to
issue regulations under chapter 3 relating to sanc-
tions for misconduct by representatives practicing
before the Office.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
tions for chapter 25 of title 35, United States Code, is
amended by adding at the end the following new item:
“257. Supplemental examinations to consider, reconsider, or correct informa-
tion.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
this section shall take effect upon the expiration of the
1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of
this Act and shall apply to any patent issued before, on,
or after that effective date.

SEC. 12. FUNDING AGREEMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 202(c)(7)(E)(i) of title
35, United States Code, is amended—
(1) by striking “75 percent” and inserting “15
percent”; and
(2) by striking “25 percent” and inserting “85
percent”.
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(b) Effective Date.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and shall apply to any patent issued before, on, or after that date.

SEC. 13. TAX STRATEGIES DEEMED WITHIN THE PRIOR ART.

(a) In General.—For purposes of evaluating an invention under section 102 or 103 of title 35, United States Code, any strategy for reducing, avoiding, or deferring tax liability, whether known or unknown at the time of the invention or application for patent, shall be deemed insufficient to differentiate a claimed invention from the prior art.

(b) Definition.—For purposes of this section, the term “tax liability” refers to any liability for a tax under any Federal, State, or local law, or the law of any foreign jurisdiction, including any statute, rule, regulation, or ordinance that levies, imposes, or assesses such tax liability.

(c) Exclusions.—This section does not apply to that part of an invention that—

(1) is a method, apparatus, technology, computer program product, or system, that is used solely for preparing a tax or information return or other tax filing, including one that records, transmits, transfers, or organizes data related to such filing; or
(2) is a method, apparatus, technology, computer program product, or system used solely for financial management, to the extent that it is severable from any tax strategy or does not limit the use of any tax strategy by any taxpayer or tax advisor.

(d) Rule of Construction.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to imply that other business methods are patentable or that other business-method patents are valid.

(e) Effective Date; Applicability.—This section shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act and shall apply to any patent application pending, and any patent issued, on or after that date.

SEC. 14. BEST MODE REQUIREMENT.

(a) In General.—Section 282 of title 35, United State Code, is amended in its second undesignated paragraph by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) Invalidity of the patent or any claim in suit for failure to comply with—

“(A) any requirement of section 112, except that the failure to disclose the best mode shall not be a basis on which any claim of a patent may be canceled or held invalid or otherwise unenforceable; or
“(B) any requirement of section 251.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Sections 119(e)(1) and 120 of title 35, United States Code, are each amended by striking “the first paragraph of section 112 of this title” and inserting “section 112(a) (other than the requirement to disclose the best mode)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect upon the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to proceedings commenced on or after that date.

SEC. 15. MARKING.

(a) VIRTUAL MARKING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 287(a) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “or when,” and inserting “or by fixing thereon the word ‘patent’ or the abbreviation ‘pat.’ together with an address of a posting on the Internet, accessible to the public without charge for accessing the address, that associates the patented article with the number of the patent, or when,”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this subsection shall apply to any case that is pending on, or commenced on or after, the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) FALSE MARKING.—
(1) CIVIL PENALTY.—Section 292(a) of title 35, United States, Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “Only the United States may sue for the penalty authorized by this subsection.”.

(2) CIVIL ACTION FOR DAMAGES.—Subsection (b) of section 292 of title 35, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b) A person who has suffered a competitive injury as a result of a violation of this section may file a civil action in a district court of the United States for recovery of damages adequate to compensate for the injury.”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to any case that is pending on, or commenced on or after, the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 16. ADVICE OF COUNSEL.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 29 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 298. Advice of counsel

“The failure of an infringer to obtain the advice of counsel with respect to any allegedly infringed patent, or the failure of the infringer to present such advice to the court or jury, may not be used to prove that the accused...
infringer willfully infringed the patent or that the infringer intended to induce infringement of the patent.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 29 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“298. Advice of counsel.”

SEC. 17. OWNERSHIP; ASSIGNMENT.

The fourth undesignated paragraph of section 261 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period the following: “and identifies all real parties in interest and those entities that control, directly or indirectly, such real parties in interest”.

SEC. 18. TRANSITIONAL PROGRAM FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENTS.

(a) REFERENCES.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, wherever in this section language is expressed in terms of a section or chapter, the reference shall be considered to be made to that section or chapter in title 35, United States Code.

(b) TRANSITIONAL PROGRAM.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall issue regulations establishing and implementing a transitional post-grant review proceeding for review of the validity of covered business method patents. The transitional proceeding
implemented pursuant to this subsection shall be re-
garded as, and shall employ the standards and pro-
cedures of, a post-grant review under chapter 32, subject to the following:

(A) Section 321(c) and subsections (b), (e)(2), and (f) of section 325 shall not apply to a transitional proceeding.

(B) A person may not file a petition for a transitional proceeding with respect to a covered business method patent unless the person or the person’s real party in interest has been sued for infringement of the patent or has been charged with infringement under that patent.

(C) A petitioner in a transitional proceeding who challenges the validity of 1 or more claims in a covered business method patent on a ground raised under section 102 or 103, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, may support such ground only on the basis of—

(i) prior art that is described by section 102(a) (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act); or

(ii) prior art that—
(I) disclose(s) the invention more than 1 year before the date of the application for patent in the United States; and

(II) would be described by section 102(a) (as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act) if the disclosure had been made by another before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

(D) The petitioner in a transitional proceeding, or the petitioner’s real party in interest, may not assert, either in a civil action arising in whole or in part under section 1338 of title 28, United States Code, or in a proceeding before the International Trade Commission, that a claim in a patent is invalid on any ground that the petitioner raised during a transitional proceeding that resulted in a final written decision.

(E) The Director may institute a transitional proceeding only for a patent that is a covered business method patent.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The regulations issued under paragraph (1) shall take effect upon the expi-
ration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of
the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any
covered business method patent issued before, on, or
after such effective date, except that the regulations
shall not apply to a patent described in the first sen-
tence of section 5(f)(2) of this Act during the period
that a petition for post-grant review of that patent
would satisfy the requirements of section 321(c).

(3) SUNSET.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—This subsection, and
the regulations issued under this subsection, are
repealed effective upon the expiration of the 4-
year period beginning on the date that the reg-
ulations issued under to paragraph (1) take ef-
fect.

(B) APPLICABILITY.—Notwithstanding
subparagraph (A), this subsection and the regu-
lations issued under this subsection shall con-
tinue to apply, after the date of the repeal
under subparagraph (A), to any petition for a
transitional proceeding that is filed before the
date of such repeal.

(e) REQUEST FOR STAY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a party seeks a stay of a
civil action alleging infringement of a patent under
section 281 relating to a transitional proceeding for that patent, the court shall decide whether to enter a stay based on—

(A) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, will simplify the issues in question and streamline the trial;

(B) whether discovery is complete and whether a trial date has been set;

(C) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, would unduly prejudice the nonmoving party or present a clear tactical advantage for the moving party; and

(D) whether a stay, or the denial thereof, will reduce the burden of litigation on the parties and on the court.

(2) REVIEW.—A party may take an immediate interlocutory appeal from a district court’s decision under paragraph (1). The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit shall review de novo the district court’s decision to ensure consistent application of established precedent.

(d) VENUE OF INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS.—Notwithstanding section 1400(b) of title 28, United States Code, an action for infringement under section 281 of title 35,
United States Code, of a covered business method patent may be brought only in a judicial district—

(1) where the defendant has its principal place of business or is incorporated;

(2) where the defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business;

(3) where the defendant has agreed or consented to be sued; or

(4) for foreign defendants that do not meet the requirements of paragraphs (1) or (2), in accordance with section 1391(d) of title 28, United States Code.

(e) ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS.—In an action for infringement under section 281 of title 35, United States Code, of a covered business method patent, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney’s fees and costs.

(f) ATM EXEMPTION FOR VENUE PURPOSES.—In an action for infringement under section 281 of title 35, United States Code, of a covered business method patent, an automated teller machine shall not be deemed to be a physical facility for purposes of section 1400(b)(2) of title 28, United States Code.

(g) DEFINITION.—
(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the term “covered business method patent” means a patent that claims a method or corresponding apparatus for performing data processing or other operations used in the practice, administration, or management of a financial product or service, except that the term does not include patents for technological inventions.

(2) **REGULATIONS.**—To assist in implementing the transitional proceeding authorized by this subsection, the Director shall issue regulations for determining whether a patent is for a technological invention.

(h) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed as amending or interpreting categories of patent-eligible subject matter set forth under section 101.

**SEC. 19. CLARIFICATION OF JURISDICTION.**

(a) **STATE COURT JURISDICTION.**—Section 1338(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: “No State court shall have jurisdiction over any claim for relief arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents, plant variety protection, or copyrights.”.
(b) Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.—Section 1295(a)(1) of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(1) of an appeal from a final decision of a district court of the United States, the District Court of Guam, the District Court of the Virgin Islands, or the District Court of the Northern Mariana Islands, in any civil action arising under, or in any civil action in which a party has asserted a compulsory counterclaim arising under, any Act of Congress relating to patents or plant variety protection;”.

(c) Removal.—

(1) In general.—Chapter 89 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§1454. Patent, plant variety protection, and copyright cases

“(a) In general.—A civil action in which any party asserts a claim for relief arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents, plant variety protection, or copyrights may be removed to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where the action is pending.
“(b) Special Rules.—The removal of an action under this section shall be made in accordance with section 1446 of this chapter, except that if the removal is based solely on this section—

“(1) the action may be removed by any party; and

“(2) the time limitations contained in section 1446(b) may be extended at any time for cause shown.

“(c) Clarification of Jurisdiction in Certain Cases.—The court to which a civil action is removed under this section is not precluded from hearing and determining any claim in the civil action because the State court from which the civil action is removed did not have jurisdiction over that claim.

“(d) Remand.—If a civil action is removed solely under this section, the district court—

“(1) shall remand all claims that are neither a basis for removal under subsection (a) nor within the original or supplemental jurisdiction of the district court under any Act of Congress; and

“(2) may, under the circumstances specified in section 1367(c), remand any claims within the supplemental jurisdiction of the district court under section 1367.”.
(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 89 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1454. Patent, plant variety protection, and copyright cases.”.

(d) TRANSFER BY COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 99 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 1632. Transfer by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

“When a case is appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under section 1295(a)(1), and no claim for relief arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents or plant variety protection is the subject of the appeal by any party, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit shall transfer the appeal to the court of appeals for the regional circuit embracing the district from which the appeal has been taken.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 99 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“1632. Transfer by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.”.
(c) **Effective Date.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to any civil action commenced on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 20. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**

(a) **Joint Inventions.**—Section 116 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph, by striking “When” and inserting “(a) Joint Inventions.—When”;

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by striking “If a joint inventor” and inserting “(b) Omitted Inventor.—If a joint inventor”; and

(3) in the third undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(c) Correction of Errors in Application.—Whenever”; and

(B) by striking “and such error arose without any deceptive intent on his part,”.

(b) **Filing of Application in Foreign Country.**—Section 184 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—
(A) by striking “Except when” and inserting “(a) FILING IN FOREIGN COUNTRY.—Except when”; and

(B) by striking “and without deceptive intent”; 

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by striking “The term” and inserting “(b) APPLICATION.—The term”; and 

(3) in the third undesignated paragraph, by striking “The scope” and inserting “(c) SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS, AMENDMENTS, AND SUPPLEMENTS.—The scope”.

(e) FILING WITHOUT A LICENSE.—Section 185 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “and without deceptive intent”.

(d) REISSUE OF DEFECTIVE PATENTS.—Section 251 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever”; and

(B) by striking “without any deceptive intention”; 

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by striking “The Director” and inserting “(b) MULTIPLE REISSUED PATENTS.—The Director”;
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(3) in the third undesignated paragraph, by striking “The provisions” and inserting “(c) APPLICABILITY OF THIS TITLE.—The provisions”; and

(4) in the last undesignated paragraph, by striking “No reissued patent” and inserting “(d) REISSUE PATENT ENLARGING SCOPE OF CLAIMS.—No reissued patent”.

(e) Effect of Reissue.—Section 253 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph, by striking “Whenever, without any deceptive intention,” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever”; and

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by striking “In like manner” and inserting “(b) ADDITIONAL DISCLAIMER OR DEDICATION.—In the manner set forth in subsection (a),”.

(f) Correction of Named Inventor.—Section 256 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “Whenever” and inserting “(a) CORRECTION.—Whenever”; and

(B) by striking “and such error arose without any deceptive intention on his part”; and
(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by
striking “The error” and inserting “(b) PATENT
VALID IF ERROR CORRECTED.—The error”.

(g) OWNERSHIP; ASSIGNMENT.—The fourth undesig-
nated paragraph of section 261 of title 35, United States
Code, is amended by inserting before the period the fol-
lowing: “and identifies all real parties in interest”.

(h) PRESUMPTION OF VALIDITY.—Section 282 of
title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “A patent” and inserting
“(a) IN GENERAL.—A patent”; and

(B) by striking the third sentence;

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph, by
striking “The following” and inserting “(b) DE-
FENSES.—The following”; and

(3) in the third undesignated paragraph—

(A) by striking “In actions involving the
validity or infringement of a patent” and insert-
ing “(c) NOTICE OF ACTIONS; ACTIONS DUR-
ING EXTENSION OF PATENT TERM.—In an ac-
tion involving the validity or infringement of
patent, the party asserting infringement shall
identify, in the pleadings or otherwise in writing
to the adverse party, all of its real parties in interest, and”; and

(B) by striking “Claims Court” and inserting “Court of Federal Claims”.

(i) Action for Infringement.—Section 288 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “,
without deceptive intention,”.

(j) Reviser’s Notes.—

(1) Section 3(e)(2) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “this Act,” and inserting “that Act,”.

(2) Section 202 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “the section 203(b)’’ and inserting “section 203(b)’’;

and

(B) in subsection (c)(7)—

(i) in subparagraph (D), by striking “except where it proves” and all that follows through “small business firms; and’’ and inserting: “except where it is determined to be infeasible following a reasonable inquiry, a preference in the licensing of subject inventions shall be given to small business firms; and’’; and
(ii) in subparagraph (E)(i), by striking “as described above in this clause (D);” and inserting “described above in this clause;”.

(3) Section 209(d)(1) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “nontransferrable” and inserting “nontransferable”.

(4) Section 287(c)(2)(G) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “any state” and inserting “any State”.

(5) Section 371(b) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “of the treaty” and inserting “of the treaty.”.

(k) UNNECESSARY REFERENCES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Title 35, United States Code, is amended by striking “of this title” each place that term appears.

(2) EXCEPTION.—The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall not apply to the use of such term in the following sections of title 35, United States Code:

(A) Section 1(c).

(B) Section 100.

(C) Section 101.

(D) Subsections (a) and (b) of section 105.
(E) The first instance of the use of such term in section 111(b)(8).

(F) Section 157(a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1).

(G) Section 161.

(H) Section 164.

(I) Section 171.

(J) Section 251(c), as so designated by this section.

(K) Section 261.

(L) Subsections (a), (g), and (h) of section 271.

(M) Section 287(b)(1).

(N) Section 289.

(O) The first instance of the use of such term in section 375(a).

(I) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect upon the expiration of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to proceedings commenced on or after that effective date.

SEC. 21. TRAVEL EXPENSES AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGES.

(a) AUTHORITY TO COVER CERTAIN TRAVEL RELATED EXPENSES.—Section 2(b)(11) of title 35, United
States Code, is amended by inserting “, and the Office is authorized to expend funds to cover the subsistence expenses and travel-related expenses, including per diem, lodging costs, and transportation costs, of non-federal employees attending such programs” after “world”.

(b) Payment of Administrative Judges.—Section 3(b) of title 35, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) Administrative Patent Judges and Administrative Trademark Judges.—The Director has the authority to fix the rate of basic pay for the administrative patent judges appointed pursuant to section 6 of this title and the administrative trademark judges appointed pursuant to section 17 of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1067) at not greater than the rate of basic pay payable for Level III of the Executive Schedule. The payment of a rate of basic pay under this paragraph shall not be subject to the pay limitation of section 5306(e) or 5373 of title 5.”.

SEC. 22. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FUNDING.

(a) Definition.—In this section, the term “Fund” means the United States Patent and Trademark Office Public Enterprise Fund established under subsection (c).

(b) Funding.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 42 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (b), by striking “Patent and Trademark Office Appropriation Account” and inserting “United States Patent and Trademark Office Public Enterprise Fund”; and

(B) in subsection (c), in the first sentence—

(i) by striking “To the extent” and all that follows through “fees” and inserting “Fees”; and

(ii) by striking “shall be collected by and shall be available to the Director” and inserting “shall be collected by the Director and shall be available until expended”.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by paragraph (1) shall take effect on the later of—

(A) October 1, 2011; or

(B) the first day of the first fiscal year that begins after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) USPTO REVOLVING FUND.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Treasury of the United States a revolving fund
to be known as the “United States Patent and Trademark Office Public Enterprise Fund”.

Amounts shall be deposited in the Fund as an offsetting receipt and shall be available for use by the Director without fiscal year limitation.

(2) DERIVATION OF RESOURCES.—There shall be deposited into the Fund, on and after the effective date set forth in subsection (b)(2)—

(A) any fees collected under sections 41, 42, and 376 of title 35, United States Code, except that—

(i) notwithstanding any other provision of law, if such fees are collected by, and payable to, the Director, the Director shall transfer such amounts to the Fund;

and

(ii) no funds collected pursuant to section 9(h) of this Act or section 1(a)(2) of Public Law 111–45 shall be deposited in the Fund; and

(B) any fees collected under section 31 of the Trademark Act of 1946 (15 U.S.C. 1113).

(3) EXPENSES.—Amounts deposited into the Fund under paragraph (2) shall be available, without fiscal year limitation, to cover—
(A) all expenses to the extent consistent with the limitation on the use of fees set forth in section 42(c) of title 35, United States Code, including all administrative and operating expenses, determined in the discretion of the Director to be ordinary and reasonable, incurred by the Director for the continued operation of all services, programs, activities, and duties of the Office relating to patents and trademarks, as such services, programs, activities, and duties are described under—

(i) title 35, United States Code; and

(ii) the Trademark Act of 1946; and

(B) all expenses incurred pursuant to any obligation, representation, or other commitment of the Office.

(d) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Director shall submit a report to Congress which shall—

(1) summarize the operations of the Office for the preceding fiscal year, including financial details and staff levels broken down by each major activity of the Office;
(2) detail the operating plan of the Office, including specific expense and staff needs for the upcoming fiscal year;

(3) describe the long-term modernization plans of the Office;

(4) set forth details of any progress towards such modernization plans made in the previous fiscal year; and

(5) include the results of the most recent audit carried out under subsection (f).

(e) ANNUAL SPENDING PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the beginning of each fiscal year, the Director shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of the plan for the obligation and expenditure of the total amount of the funds for that fiscal year in accordance with section 605 of the Science, State, Justice, Commerce, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109–108; 119 Stat. 2334).

(2) CONTENTS.—Each plan under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) summarize the operations of the Office for the current fiscal year, including financial
details and staff levels with respect to major activities; and 

(B) detail the operating plan of the Office, including specific expense and staff needs, for the current fiscal year.

(f) Audit.—The Director shall, on an annual basis, provide for an independent audit of the financial statements of the Office. Such audit shall be conducted in accordance with generally acceptable accounting procedures.

(g) Budget.—The Fund shall prepare and submit each year to the President a business-type budget in a manner, and before a date, as the President prescribes by regulation for the budget program.

SEC. 23. SATELLITE OFFICES.

(a) Establishment.—Subject to available resources, the Director shall, by not later than the date that is 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act establish 3 or more satellite offices in the United States to carry out the responsibilities of the Patent and Trademark Office.

(b) Purpose.—The purpose of the satellite offices established under subsection (a) are to—

(1) increase outreach activities to better connect patent filers and innovators with the Patent and Trademark Office;
(2) enhance patent examiner retention;

(3) improve recruitment of patent examiners;

and

(4) decrease the number of patent applications waiting for examination and improve the quality of patent examination.

(c) **REQUIRED CONSIDERATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In selecting the location of each satellite office to be established under subsection (a), the Director—

(A) shall ensure geographic diversity among the offices, including by ensuring that such offices are established in different States and regions throughout the Nation;

(B) may rely upon any previous evaluations by the Patent and Trademark Office of potential locales for satellite offices, including any evaluations prepared as part of the Office’s Nationwide Workforce Program that resulted in the 2010 selection of Detroit, Michigan, as the first ever satellite office of the Office.

(2) **OPEN SELECTION PROCESS.**—Nothing in paragraph (1) shall constrain the Patent and Trademark Office to only consider its evaluations in selecting the Detroit, Michigan, satellite office.
(d) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than the end of the first 3 fiscal years that begin after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director shall submit a report to Congress on—

(1) the rationale of the Director in selecting the location of any satellite office required under subsection (a);

(2) the progress of the Director in establishing all such satellite offices; and

(3) whether the operation of existing satellite offices is achieving the purposes required under subsection (b).

SEC. 24. PATENT OMBUDSMAN PROGRAM FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS.

Subject to available resources, the Director may establish in the Office a Patent Ombudsman Program. The duties of the Program’s staff shall include providing support and services relating to patent filings to small business concerns.

SEC. 25. PRIORITY EXAMINATION FOR TECHNOLOGIES IMPORTANT TO AMERICAN COMPETITIVENESS.

Section 2(b)(2) of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (E), by striking “and” after the semicolon;
(2) in subparagraph (F), by inserting “and” after the semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(G) may, subject to any conditions prescribed by the Director and at the request of the patent applicant, provide for prioritization of examination of applications for products, processes, or technologies that are important to the national economy or national competitiveness without recovering the aggregate extra cost of providing such prioritization, notwithstanding section 41 or any other provision of law;”.

SEC. 26. DESIGNATION OF DETROIT SATELLITE OFFICE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The satellite office of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to be located in Detroit, Michigan, shall be known and designated as the “Elijah J. McCoy United States Patent and Trademark Office”.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the satellite office of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to be located in Detroit, Michigan, referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a ref-
ere to the “Elijah J. McCoy United States Patent and Trademark Office”.

SEC. 27. EFFECTIVE DATE.

Except as otherwise provided in this Act, the provisions of this Act shall take effect 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any patent issued on or after that effective date.

SEC. 28. BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.