

111TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. RES. 1413

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the holding in *Miranda v. Arizona* may be interpreted to provide for the admissibility of a terrorist suspect's responses in an interrogation without administration of the Miranda warnings, to the extent that the interrogation is carried out to acquire information concerning other threats to public safety.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 27, 2010

Mr. TIAHRT submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the
Committee on the Judiciary

RESOLUTION

Expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the holding in *Miranda v. Arizona* may be interpreted to provide for the admissibility of a terrorist suspect's responses in an interrogation without administration of the Miranda warnings, to the extent that the interrogation is carried out to acquire information concerning other threats to public safety.

1 *Resolved*, That it is sense of the House of Representa-
2 tives that the “public safety” exception announced in *New*
3 *York v. Quarles* (467 U.S. 649 (1984)) to the holding in
4 *Miranda v. Arizona* (384 U.S. 436 (1966)) may be inter-

1 preted such that the responses of a person interrogated
2 in connection with an act of terrorism who has not been
3 administered the warnings described in Miranda are ad-
4 missible as evidence against that person in a criminal
5 prosecution, to the extent that the interrogation is carried
6 out because of a reasonable concern that the person has
7 information about other threats to public safety.

○