

107TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 2990

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize additional projects under that Act, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

OCTOBER 2, 2001

Mr. HINOJOSA (for himself, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. GONZALEZ, Mr. ORTIZ, Mr. REYES, and Mr. RODRIGUEZ) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Resources

---

## A BILL

To amend the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000 to authorize additional projects under that Act, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “Lower Rio Grande Val-  
5       ley Water Resources Conservation and Improvement Act  
6       of 2001”.

1 **SEC. 2. AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL PROJECTS**  
2 **UNDER THE LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY**  
3 **WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND IM-**  
4 **PROVEMENT ACT OF 2000.**

5 Section 4(a) of the Lower Rio Grande Valley Water  
6 Resources Conservation and Improvement Act of 2000  
7 (Public Law 106–576; 114 Stat. 3067) is amended by  
8 adding at the end the following:

9 “(5) In the United Irrigation District of Hi-  
10 dalgo County, Texas, a pipeline and pumping system  
11 as identified in the Sigler, Winston, Greenwood, As-  
12 sociates, Incorporated, study dated January 2001.

13 “(6) In the Cameron County, Texas, Irrigation  
14 District No. 2, proposed improvements to Canal C,  
15 as identified in the February 8, 2001, engineering  
16 report by Martin, Brown, and Perez.

17 “(7) In the Cameron County, Texas, Irrigation  
18 District No. 2, a proposed Canal C and Canal 13  
19 Inner Connect, as identified in the February 12,  
20 2001, engineering report by Martin, Brown, and  
21 Perez.

22 “(8) In Delta Lake Irrigation District of Hi-  
23 dalgo and Willacy Counties, Texas, proposed water  
24 conservation projects, as identified by the AW Blair  
25 Engineering report of February 13, 2001.

1           “(9) In the Hidalgo and Cameron County,  
2           Texas, Irrigation District No. 9, a proposed project  
3           to salvage spill water using automatic control of  
4           canal gates as identified in the AW Blair Engineer-  
5           ing report dated February 14, 2001.

6           “(10) In the Brownsville Irrigation District of  
7           Cameron County, Texas, a proposed main canal re-  
8           placement as outlined in the Holdar-Garcia & Asso-  
9           ciates engineering report dated February 14, 2001.

10           “(11) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation  
11           District No. 16, a proposed off-district pump station  
12           project as identified by the Melden & Hunt, Incor-  
13           porated, engineering report dated February 14,  
14           2001.

15           “(12) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation  
16           District No. 1, a proposed canal replacement of the  
17           North Branch East Main, as outlined in the Melden  
18           & Hunt, Incorporated, engineering analysis dated  
19           February, 2001.

20           “(13) In the Donna (Texas) Irrigation District,  
21           a proposed improvement project as identified by the  
22           Melden & Hunt, Incorporated, engineering analysis  
23           dated February 13, 2001.

24           “(14) In the Hudspeth County, Texas, Con-  
25           servation and Reclamation District No. 1, the Alamo

1 Arroyo Pumping Plant water quality project as identified by the engineering report and drawings by  
2 Gebhard-Sarma and Associates dated July 1996 and  
3 the construction of a 1,000 acre-foot off-channel regulating reservoir for the capture and conservation of  
4 irrigation water, as identified in the engineering report by AW Blair Engineering dated March of 2001.

8 “(15) In the El Paso County, Texas, Water Improvement District No. 1, the Riverside Canal Improvement Project Phase I Reach A, a canal lining and water conservation project as identified by the  
10 engineering report and drawings by AW Blair Engineering dated November 1999.

14 “(16) In the Maverick County, Texas, Water Improvement and Control District No. 1, the concrete lining project of 12 miles of the Maverick Main Canal, identified in the engineering report by AW  
18 Blair Engineering dated March 2001.

19 “(17) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation District No. 6, rehabilitation of 10.2 miles of concrete lining in the main canal between Lift Stations  
22 Nos. 2 and 3 as identified in the engineering report by AW Blair Engineering dated March 2001.

24 “(18) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation District No. 2, Wisconsin Canal Improvements as  
25

1 identified in the Sigler, Winston, Greenwood & Asso-  
2 ciates, Incorporated, engineering report dated Feb-  
3 ruary 2001.

4 “(19) In the Hidalgo County, Texas, Irrigation  
5 District No. 2, Lateral ‘A’ Canal Improvements as  
6 identified in the Sigler, Winston, Greenwood & Asso-  
7 ciates, Incorporated, engineering report dated July  
8 25, 2001.”.

9 **SEC. 3. AMENDMENTS TO THE LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY**  
10 **WATER RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND IM-**  
11 **PROVEMENT ACT OF 2000.**

12 The Lower Rio Grande Valley Water Resources Con-  
13 servation and Improvement Act of 2000 (Public Law 106–  
14 576; 114 Stat. 3065 et seq.) is further amended as fol-  
15 lows:

16 (1) Section 3(a) is amended in the first sen-  
17 tence by striking “The Secretary” and all that fol-  
18 lows through “in cooperation” and inserting “The  
19 Secretary, acting through the Bureau of Reclama-  
20 tion, shall undertake a program under cooperative  
21 agreements”.

22 (2) Section 3(b) is amended to read as follows:

23 “(b) PROJECT REVIEW.—Project proposals shall be  
24 reviewed and evaluated under the guidelines set forth in  
25 the document published by the Bureau of Reclamation en-

1 titled ‘Guidelines for Preparing and Reviewing Proposals  
2 for Water Conservation and Improvement Projects Under  
3 P.L. 106–576’, dated June 2000.’.

4 (3) Section 3(d) is amended by inserting before  
5 the period at the end the following: “, including op-  
6 eration, maintenance, repair, and replacement”.

7 (4) Section 3(e) is amended by striking “the  
8 criteria established pursuant to this section” and in-  
9 serting “the guidelines referred to in subsection  
10 (b)”.

11 (5) Subsection (f) of section 3 is amended by  
12 striking “to prepare” and all that follows through  
13 the end of the subsection and inserting “to have the  
14 Secretary prepare the reports required under this  
15 section. The Federal share of the cost of such prepa-  
16 ration by the Secretary shall not exceed 50 percent  
17 of the total cost of such preparation.”.

18 (6) Section 3(g) is amended by striking  
19 “\$2,000,000” and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

20 (7) Section 4(b) is amended—

21 (A) in the first sentence by striking “costs  
22 of any construction” and inserting “total  
23 project cost of any project”; and

24 (B) in the last sentence by inserting “the  
25 actual” before “funds”.

1           (8) Section 4(c) is amended by striking  
2           “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$47,000,000 (2001  
3           dollars)”.

○