104TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 672

To provide a fair and balanced resolution to the problem of multiple imposition of punitive damages, and for the reform of the civil justice system.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL 4 (legislative day, MARCH 27), 1995

Mr. Hatch (for himself, Mr. McConnell, and Mr. Thomas) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

A BILL

To provide a fair and balanced resolution to the problem of multiple imposition of punitive damages, and for the reform of the civil justice system.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Civil Justice Fairness
- 5 Act of 1995".

6 TITLE I—PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 7 **REFORM**
- 8 SEC. 101. DEFINITIONS.
- 9 For purposes of this title, the term—

- (1) "claimant" means any person who brings a civil action and any person on whose behalf such an action is brought; if such an action is brought through or on behalf of an estate, the term includes the claimant's decedent; if such action is brought through or on behalf of a minor or incompetent, the term includes the claimant's legal guardian;
 - (2) "clear and convincing evidence" is that measure or degree of proof that will produce in the mind of the trier of fact a firm belief or conviction as to the truth of the allegations sought to be established; the level of proof required to satisfy such standard is more than that required under preponderance of the evidence, but less than that required for proof beyond a reasonable doubt;
 - (3) "harm" means any legally cognizable wrong or injury for which punitive damages may be imposed;
 - (4) "economic damages" means objectively verifiable monetary losses including medical expenses, loss of earnings, burial costs, loss of use of property, costs of repair or replacement, costs of obtaining substitute domestic services, loss of employment and loss of business or employment opportunities, to the

1	extent such recovery is allowed under applicable
2	Federal or State law;
3	(5) "nominal damages" means damages less
4	than or equal to \$500;
5	(6) "person" means any individual, corporation,
6	company, association, firm, partnership, society,
7	joint stock company, or any other entity (including
8	any governmental entity);
9	(7) "punitive damages" means damages award-
10	ed against any person or entity to punish or deter
11	such person or entity, or others, from engaging in
12	similar behavior in the future;
13	(8) "specific findings of fact" means findings in
14	written form focusing on specific behavior of a de-
15	fendant; and
16	(9) "State" means any State of the United
17	States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the
18	Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands,
19	Guam, American Samoa, and any other territory or
20	possession of the United States, or any political sub-
21	division thereof.
22	SEC. 102. MULTIPLE PUNITIVE DAMAGES FAIRNESS.
23	(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
24	(1) multiple or repetitive imposition of punitive
25	damages for harms arising out of a single act or

- course of conduct may deprive a defendant of all the assets or insurance coverage of the defendant, and may endanger the ability of future claimants to receive compensation for basic out-of-pocket expenses and damages for pain and suffering;
 - (2)(A) the detrimental impact of multiple punitive damages exists even in cases that are settled, rather than tried, because the threat of punitive damages being awarded results in a higher settlement than would ordinarily be obtained; and
 - (B) to the extent this premium exceeds what would otherwise be a fair and reasonable settlement for compensatory damages, assets that could be available for satisfaction of future compensatory claims are dissipated;
 - (3) fundamental unfairness results when anyone is punished repeatedly for what is essentially the same conduct;
 - (4) Federal and State appellate and trial judges, and well-respected commentators, have expressed concern that multiple imposition of punitive damages may violate constitutionally protected due process rights;

- 1 (5) multiple imposition of punitive damages 2 may be a significant obstacle to comprehensive set-3 tlement negotiations in repetitive litigation;
 - (6) limiting the imposition of multiple punitive damages awards would facilitate resolution of mass tort claims involving thousands of injured claimants;
 - (7) Federal and State trial courts have not provided adequate solutions to problems caused by the multiple imposition of punitive damages because of a concern that such courts lack the power or authority to prohibit subsequent awards in other courts; and
 - (8) individual State legislatures can create only a partial remedy to address problems caused by the multiple imposition of punitive damages, because each State lacks the power to control the imposition of punitive damages in other States.
- (b) GENERAL RULE.—Except as provided in subsection (c), punitive damages shall be prohibited in any civil action in any State or Federal court in which such damages are sought against a defendant based on the same act or course of conduct for which punitive damages have already been sought or awarded against such defendant.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	(c) CIRCUMSTANCES FOR AWARD.—If the court de-
2	termines in a pretrial hearing that the claimant will offer
3	new and substantial evidence of previously undiscovered,
4	additional wrongful behavior on the part of the defendant,
5	other than the injury to the claimant, the court may award
6	punitive damages in accordance with subsection (d).
7	(d) Limitations on Award.—A court awarding pu-
8	nitive damages pursuant to subsection (c) shall—
9	(1) make specific findings of fact on the record
10	to support the award;
11	(2) reduce the amount of the punitive portion
12	of the damage award by the sum of the amounts of
13	punitive damages previously paid by the defendant
14	in prior actions based on the same act or course of
15	conduct; and
16	(3) prohibit disclosure to the jury of the court's
17	determination and action under this subsection.
18	(e) Applicability and Preemption.—
19	(1) In general.—Except as provided in para-
20	graph (3), this section shall apply to any civil action
21	brought on any theory where punitive damages are
22	sought based on the same act or course of conduct
23	for which punitive damages have already been

sought or awarded against the defendant.

- 1 (2) APPLICATION TO TRIALS.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), this section shall apply to all civil actions in which the trial has not commenced before the effective date of this Act.
 - (3) Damages under other federal or state statute.—This section shall not apply to any civil action involving damages awarded under any Federal or State statute that prescribes the precise amount of punitive damages to be awarded.
 - (4) PREEMPTION.—This section shall not preempt or supersede any existing Federal or State law limiting or otherwise restricting the recovery for punitive damages to the extent that such law is inconsistent with the provisions of this section.

15 SEC. 103. UNIFORM STANDARDS FOR AWARD OF PUNITIVE

16 DAMAGES.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

- 17 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
 - (1) punitive damages are imposed pursuant to vague, subjective, elastic and often retrospective standards of liability, and these standards vary from State to State:
- 22 (2) the magnitude and unpredictability of puni-23 tive damage awards in civil actions have increased 24 dramatically over the last 30 years, unreasonably in-

- flating the cost of settling litigation, and discouraging socially useful and productive activity;
 - (3) the Supreme Court of the United States has recognized that punitive damages can produce grossly excessive, wholly unreasonably and often arbitrary punishment, and therefore raise serious constitutional due process problems; and
 - (4) excessive, arbitrary and unpredictable punitive damage awards disrupt, impair and burden interstate commerce, imposing unreasonable and unjustified costs on consumers, taxpayers, governmental entities, large and small businesses, volunteer organizations, and nonprofit entities.

(b) GENERAL RULE.—

- (1) Limitation on award of punitive damages may, to the extent permitted by applicable Federal or State law, be awarded in any civil action in any Federal or State court against a defendant if the claimant establishes by clear and convincing evidence that the harm suffered was the result of conduct that is either—
 - (A) specifically intended to cause harm; or
 - (B) carried out with conscious, flagrant disregard for the rights or safety of other persons.

1	(2) Prohibition of punitive damages.—Pu-
2	nitive damages may not be awarded in the absence
3	of an award of compensatory damages exceeding
4	nominal damages.
5	(c) Limitation Concerning Certain Drugs and
6	Medical Devices.—
7	(1) In GENERAL.—Punitive damages shall not
8	be awarded pursuant to this section against a manu-
9	facturer or product seller of a drug (as defined in
10	section $201(g)(1)$ of the Federal Food, Drug, and
11	Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. $321(g)(1)$) or medical de-
12	vice (as defined in section 201(h) of the Federal
13	Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321(h)))
14	which caused the claimant's harm where—
15	(A) such drug or device was subject to pre-
16	market approval by the Food and Drug Admin-
17	istration with respect to the safety of the for-
18	mulation or performance of the aspect of such
19	drug or device which caused the claimant's
20	harm or the adequacy of the packaging or label-
21	ing of such drug or device, and such drug or
22	device was in fact approved by the Food and
23	Drug Administration; or
24	(B) the drug or device is generally recog-
25	nized as safe and effective pursuant to condi-

- tions established by the Food and Drug Administration and applicable regulations, including packaging and labeling regulations.
 - (2) NONAPPLICABILITY.—The provisions of paragraph (1) shall not apply in any case in which—
 - (A) the defendant, before or after pre-market approval of a drug or device, withheld from or misrepresented to the Food and Drug Administration or any other agency or official of the Federal Government required information that is material and relevant to the performance of such drug or device and is causally related to the harm which the claimant allegedly suffered; or
 - (B) the defendant made an illegal payment to an official of the Food and Drug Administration for the purpose of either securing or maintaining approval of such drug or device.
- 19 (d) PLEADING OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES.—No com20 plaint or other such pleading shall be filed containing a
 21 prayer for relief seeking punitive damages in any civil ac22 tion subject to this section. A claimant may, however, pur23 suant to a pretrial motion and after a hearing before the
 24 court, amend the complaint or other such pleading to in25 clude a prayer for relief seeking punitive damages. The

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 1 court shall allow such motion to amend if the claimant
- 2 establishes at the hearing a reasonable likelihood of prov-
- 3 ing facts at trial sufficient to support an award of punitive
- 4 damages. Any such motion to amend shall be made not
- 5 later than 30 days after the close of discovery. A prayer
- 6 for relief added pursuant to this subsection shall not be
- 7 barred by lapse of time under any statute prescribing or
- 8 limiting the time within which an action may be brought
- 9 or right asserted if the time prescribed or limited had not
- 10 expired when the original pleading was filed.
- 11 (e) Bifurcation at Defendant's Request.—
- 12 (1) SEPARATE PROCEEDING.—At the request of 13 the defendant, the trier of fact shall consider in a 14 separate proceeding whether punitive damages are to
- be awarded and the amount of such award.
- 16 (2) ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE.—If a sepa-17 rate proceeding is requested, evidence relevant only 18 to the claim of punitive damages, as determined by 19 applicable State law, shall be inadmissible in any 20 proceeding to determine whether compensatory dam-
- 21 ages are to be awarded. Evidence admissible in the
- separate proceeding for punitive damages may in-
- clude evidence of the defendant's profits, if any,
- from its alleged wrongdoing, but shall not include
- evidence of the defendant's overall wealth.

1	(f) Proportional Awards.—The amount of puni-
2	tive damages that may be awarded to a claimant in any
3	civil action subject to this title shall not exceed 3 times
4	the amount of damages awarded to the claimant for the
5	economic damages, or \$250,000, whichever is greater.
6	This provision shall be applied by the court and shall not
7	be disclosed to the jury.
8	(g) Applicability and Preemption.—
9	(1) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall apply
10	to—
11	(A) any civil action brought in any Federal
12	or State court on any theory where punitive
13	damages are sought; and
14	(B) all civil actions in which the trial has
15	not commenced before the effective date of this
16	Act.
17	(2) Preemption.—This section supersedes
18	State law only to the extent that State law applies
19	to an issue covered by this section. Any issue that
20	is not governed by this section shall be governed by
21	applicable State or Federal law.
22	SEC. 104. EFFECT ON OTHER LAW.
23	Nothing in this title shall be construed to—
24	(1) waive or affect any defense of sovereign im-
25	munity asserted by any State under any law;

1	(2) supersede any Federal law;
2	(3) waive or affect any defense of sovereign im-
3	munity asserted by the United States;
4	(4) affect the applicability of any provision of
5	chapter 97 of title 28, United States Code;
6	(5) preempt State choice-of-law rules with re-
7	spect to claims brought by a foreign nation or a citi-
8	zen of a foreign nation;
9	(6) affect the right of any court to transfer
10	venue or to apply the law of a foreign nation or to
11	dismiss a claim of a foreign nation or of a citizen
12	of a foreign nation on the ground of inconvenient
13	forum; or
14	(7) create a cause of action for punitive dam-
15	ages.
16	TITLE II—JOINT AND SEVERAL
17	LIABILITY REFORM
18	SEC. 201. SEVERAL LIABILITY FOR NONECONOMIC LOSS.
19	(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
20	(1) because of the joint and several liability
21	doctrine, municipalities, volunteer groups, nonprofit
22	entities, property owners, and large and small busi-
23	nesses are often brought into litigation despite the
24	fact that their conduct often had little or nothing to

- do with the accident or transaction giving rise to the lawsuit;
 - (2) noneconomic damages are not assessed pursuant to any objective criteria and are therefore impossible to quantify, leading to unpredictable, highly subjective and often excessive awards;
 - (3) the imposition of joint and several liability for noneconomic damages frequently results in the assessment of unfair and disproportionate damages against defendants that bear no relationship to their fault or responsibility; and
 - (4) the unfair allocation of noneconomic damages under the joint and several liability doctrine disrupts, impairs, and burdens interstate commerce, imposing unreasonable and unjustified costs on consumers, taxpayers, governmental entities, large and small businesses, volunteer organizations, and non-profit entities.
- 19 (b) Definitions.—For purposes of this section, the 20 term—
 - (1) "economic damages" means objectively verifiable monetary losses including medical expenses, loss of earnings, burial costs, loss of use of property, costs of repair or replacement, costs of obtaining

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

- substitute domestic services, loss of employment and
- 2 loss of business or employment opportunities; and
- 3 (2) "noneconomic damages" means subjective, 4 nonmonetary losses including, but not limited to,
- 5 pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental suffering,
- 6 emotional distress, loss of society and companion-
- 7 ship, loss of consortium, injury to reputation and
- 8 humiliation.
- 9 (c) IN GENERAL.—In any civil action for personal in-
- 10 jury, wrongful death, or based upon principles of compara-
- 11 tive fault, the liability of each defendant for noneconomic
- 12 damages shall be several only and shall not be joint. Each
- 13 defendant shall be liable only for the amount of non-
- 14 economic damages allocated to such defendant in direct
- 15 proportion to such defendant's percentage of responsibility
- 16 as determined under subsection (d). A separate judgment
- 17 shall be rendered against such defendant for that amount.
- 18 (d) Proportion of Responsibility.—For pur-
- 19 poses of this section, the trier of fact shall determine the
- 20 proportion of responsibility of each person for the claim-
- 21 ant's harm whether or not such person is a party to the
- 22 action.
- 23 (e) Applicability and Preemption.—This title
- 24 shall not preempt or supersede any Federal or State law

to the extent that such law would further limit the application of joint liability to any kind of damages. TITLE III—CIVIL PROCEDURAL 3 REFORM 4 SEC. 301. SANCTIONS FOR ABUSIVE LITIGATION PRAC-6 TICES. 7 Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is 8 amended to read as follows: "Rule 11. Signing of Pleadings, Motions, and Other 10 Papers; Representations to Court; Sanc-11 tions 12 "(a) SIGNATURE.—Every pleading, written motion, and other paper shall be signed by at least one attorney of record in the attorney's individual name, or, if the party is not represented by an attorney, shall be signed by the party. Each paper shall state the signer's address and telephone number, if any. Except when otherwise specifically provided by rule or statute, pleadings need not be verified or accompanied by affidavit. An unsigned paper shall be stricken unless omission of the signature is corrected promptly after being called to the attention of the attorney 22 or party. 23 "(b) Representations to Court.—By presenting to the court (whether by signing, filing, submitting, or 25 later advocating) a pleading, written motion, or other

- 1 paper, an attorney or unrepresented party is certifying
- 2 that to the best of the person's knowledge, information,
- 3 and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the
- 4 circumstances—

9

10

11

12

13

- 5 "(1) it is not being presented for any improper 6 purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary 7 delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation;
 - "(2) the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law;
 - "(3) the allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support; and
- "(4) the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief.
- 19 "(c) Sanctions.—If, after notice and a reasonable
- 20 opportunity to respond, the court determines that subdivi-
- 21 sion (b) has been violated, the court shall, subject to the
- 22 conditions stated below, impose an appropriate sanction
- 23 upon the attorneys, law firms, or parties that have violated
- 24 subdivision (b) or are responsible for the violation.
- 25 "(1) How initiated.—

"(A) BY MOTION.—A motion for sanctions
under this rule shall be made separately from
other motions or requests and shall describe the
specific conduct alleged to violate subdivision
(b). It shall be served as provided in rule 5.

"(B) ON COURT'S INITIATIVE.—On its own initiative, the court may enter an order describing the specific conduct that appears to violate subdivision (b) and directing an attorney, law firm, or party to show cause why it has not violated subdivision (b) with respect thereto.

"(2) Nature of sanction; limitations.—A sanction imposed for violation of this rule shall be sufficient to deter repetition of such conduct or comparable conduct by others similarly situated, and to compensate the parties that were injured by such conduct. Subject to the limitations in subparagraphs (A) and (B), the sanction may consist of, or include, directives of a nonmonetary nature, an order to pay a penalty into court, or, if imposed on motion, an order directing payment to the movant of the reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred as a direct result of the violation.

- 1 "(A) Monetary sanctions may not be 2 awarded against a represented party for a viola-3 tion of subdivision (b)(2).
- "(B) Monetary sanctions may not be awarded on the court's initiative unless the court issues its order to show cause before a voluntary dismissal or settlement of the claims made by or against the party which is, or whose attorneys are, to be sanctioned.
- "(3) ORDER.—When imposing sanctions, the court shall describe the conduct determined to constitute a violation of this rule and explain the basis for sanctions imposed.
- 14 "(d) Inapplicability to Discovery.—Subdivisions
- 15 (a) through (c) of this rule do not apply to disclosures
- 16 and discovery requests, responses, objections, and motions
- 17 that are subject to the provisions of rules 26 through 37.
- 18 "(e) Nonlimitation on Inherent Power of the
- 19 Court.—Nothing in this rule limits the inherent power
- 20 of the Federal courts to sanction misconduct of attorneys
- 21 or parties appearing before such courts.".
- 22 SEC. 302. TRIAL LAWYER ACCOUNTABILITY.
- 23 (a) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of the
- 24 Congress that each State should require, under penalty
- 25 of law, each attorney admitted to practice law in such

1	State to disclose in writing, to any client with whom such
2	attorney has entered into a contingency fee agreement—
3	(1) the actual services performed for such client
4	in connection with such agreement;
5	(2) the precise number of hours actually ex-
6	pended by such attorney in the performance of such
7	services; and
8	(3) whether a referral fee was paid to any other
9	person.
10	(b) Study and Development of Model Legisla-
11	TION.—The Attorney General shall—
12	(1) study and evaluate contingent fee awards
13	and their abuses in cases arising in State and Fed-
14	eral court, with particular emphasis on cases in
15	which the resulting fees received by attorneys are
16	grossly disproportionate to the fees such attorneys
17	would command if they offered identical services on
18	an hourly rate competitive with that available in the
19	relevant legal market;
20	(2) develop model State legislation—
21	(A) described in subsection (a) of this sec-
22	tion; and
23	(B) based on the study conducted under
24	paragraph (1), to curb abuses of contingent fee
25	awards, taking into particular account—

1 (i) the risk that individual clients may
end up in an unduly weak bargaining posi-
3 tion where they, for lack of available funds
4 up front, are unable to pay an hourly rate
5 and must enter into a contingent fee
6 agreement if they are to obtain legal serv-
7 ices;
8 (ii) the danger that such clients may
9 ultimately pay what are effectively fla-
grantly excessive hourly rates;
(iii) the ways in which requiring attor-
neys to disclose to clients the hours ex-
pended on a contingent fee case may im-
prove civil justice, enhance the recovery re-
ceived by injured persons, and eliminate
abusive practices by attorneys who take
advantage of vulnerable clients;
18 (iv) the possibility that similar bene-
19 ficial effects may accrue from requiring, in
contingent fee cases, pre-agreement disclo-
sure of an attorney's best estimate of the
22 hours that a case will require if it proceeds
to various stages, the likelihood and

amount of an award expected at various

1	stages, and the attorney's hourly rate for
2	the legal services required;
3	(v) the further possibility that other
4	disclosure requirements or restrictions on
5	contingent fee awards may enhance civil
6	justice; and
7	(vi) the possibility that any other in-
8	equities in attorney fee payment in contin-
9	gent fee cases may appropriately be ad-
10	dressed through legislation, such as inequi-
11	ties that might result where an attorney
12	receives a fee award from a court but still
13	receives a full contingent fee award such
14	that the client receives no benefit whatso-
15	ever from court-awarded fees; and
16	(3) prepare and disseminate to State authorities
17	the findings made and model legislation developed as
18	a result of the study and evaluation.
19	(c) Reporting Requirements.—Not later than the
20	date that is 1 year after the effective date of this Act,
21	the Attorney General shall report to the Congress—
22	(1) the findings of the study and the model leg-
23	islation required by this section; and

1	(2) recommendations based on the findings on
2	the need for and appropriateness of further action
3	by the Federal Government.
4	SEC. 303. HONESTY IN EVIDENCE.
5	(a) Opinion Testimony by Experts.—Rule 702 of
6	the Federal Rules of Evidence is amended—
7	(1) by inserting "(a) In General.—" before
8	"If", and
9	(2) by adding at the end the following:
10	"(b) Adequate Basis for Opinion.—
11	"(1) Testimony in the form of an opinion by a
12	witness that is based on scientific, technical or medi-
13	cal knowledge shall be inadmissible in evidence un-
14	less the court determines that such opinion—
15	"(A) is based on scientifically valid reason-
16	ing;
17	"(B) is sufficiently reliable so that the pro-
18	bative value of such evidence outweighs the
19	dangers specified in rule 403; and
20	"(C) the techniques, methods, and theories
21	used to formulate that opinion are generally ac-
22	cepted within the relevant scientific, medical, or
23	technical field

1	"(2) In determining whether an opinion satis-
2	fies conditions in paragraph (1), the court shall con-
3	sider—
4	"(A) whether the opinion and any theory
5	on which it is based have been experimentally
6	tested;
7	"(B) whether the opinion has been pub-
8	lished in peer-review literature; and
9	"(C) whether the theory or techniques sup-
10	porting the opinion are sufficiently reliable and
11	valid to warrant their use as support for the
12	proffered opinion.
13	"(c) Expertise in the Field.—Testimony in the
14	form of an opinion by a witness that is based on scientific,
15	technical, or medical knowledge shall be inadmissible in
16	evidence unless the witness's knowledge, skill, experience,
17	training, education, or other expertise lies in the particular
18	field about which such witness is testifying.
19	"(d) DISQUALIFICATION.—Testimony by a witness
20	who is qualified as described in subsection (a) is inadmis-
21	sible in evidence if such witness is entitled to receive any
22	compensation contingent on the legal disposition of any
23	claim with respect to which such testimony is offered.".

1 SEC. 304. FAIR SHIFTING OF COSTS AND REASONABLE AT-

- 2 TORNEY FEES.
- 3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of
- 4 Civil Procedure is amended to read as follows:

5 "Rule 68. Offer of judgment or settlement

- 6 "(a) Offer of Judgment or Settlement.—At
- 7 any time, any party may serve upon an adverse party a
- 8 written offer to allow judgment to be entered against the
- 9 offering party or to settle a case for the money, property,
- 10 or to such effect as the offer may specify, with costs then
- 11 accrued.
- 12 "(b) Acceptance or Rejection of Offers.—If
- 13 within 21 days after service of the offer, or such additional
- 14 time as the court may allow, the adverse party serves writ-
- 15 ten notice that the offer is accepted, either party may then
- 16 file the offer and notice of acceptance together with proof
- 17 of service thereof and thereupon the clerk, or the court
- 18 if so required, shall enter judgment. An offer not accepted
- 19 shall be deemed withdrawn and evidence thereof is not ad-
- 20 missible except in a proceeding to determine costs and rea-
- 21 sonable attorney fees.
- 22 "(c) Determination of Final Judgments.—If
- 23 the judgment finally obtained is not more favorable to the
- 24 offeree than the offer, then the offeree must pay the actual
- 25 costs and reasonable attorney fees incurred after the expi-
- 26 ration of the time for accepting the offer, but only to the

- 1 extent necessary to make the offeror whole for actual costs
- 2 and reasonable attorney fees incurred as a consequence
- 3 of the rejection of the offer. When comparing the amount
- 4 of any offer of settlement to the amount of a final judg-
- 5 ment actually awarded, any amount of the final judgment
- 6 representing interest subsequent to the date of the offer
- 7 in settlement shall not be considered.
- 8 "(d) Determination of Costs.—(1) Upon the mo-
- 9 tion of either party, the court shall hold a hearing at which
- 10 the parties may prove costs and reasonable attorney fees,
- 11 and, upon hearing the evidence, the court shall enter an
- 12 appropriate order or judgment under this section.
- 13 "(2) Allowable costs under this rule shall include—
- 14 "(A) filing, motion, and jury fees;
- 15 "(B) juror food and lodging while the jury is
- kept together during trial and after the jury retires
- for deliberation;
- 18 "(C) taking, videotaping, and transcribing nec-
- 19 essary depositions including an original and one
- copy of those taken by the claimant and one copy of
- depositions taken by the party against whom costs
- are allowed, and travel expenses to attend deposi-
- 23 tions;
- 24 "(D) service of process by a public officer, reg-
- istered process server, or other means;

1	"(E) expenses of attachment;
2	"(F) premiums on necessary surety bonds;
3	"(G) ordinary witness fees;
4	"(H) fees of expert witnesses who are not regu-
5	lar employees of any party;
6	"(I) transcripts of court proceedings;
7	"(J) attorney fees, when authorized by contract
8	or law;
9	"(K) court reporters' fees;
10	"(L) models and blowups of exhibits and photo-
11	copies of exhibits may be allowed if they were rea-
12	sonably helpful to aid the trier of fact; and
13	"(M) any other item that is required to be
14	awarded to the prevailing party pursuant to statute
15	as an incident to prevailing in the action at trial or
16	on appeal.
17	"(3) Unless expressly authorized by law, allowable
18	costs under this rule shall not include—
19	"(A) investigation expenses in preparing the
20	case for trial;
21	"(B) postage, telephone, facsimile, and
22	photocopying charges, except for exhibits;
23	"(C) costs in investigation of jurors or in prepa-
24	ration for voir dire; and

- 1 "(D) transcripts of court proceedings not or-
- dered by the court.
- 3 "(e) Determination of Liability.—When the li-
- 4 ability of one party to another has been determined by
- 5 verdict of order or judgment, but the amount or extent
- 6 of the liability remains to be determined by further pro-
- 7 ceedings, any party may make an offer of judgment, which
- 8 shall have the same effect as an offer made before trial,
- 9 except that a court may shorten the period of time an
- 10 offeree may have to accept an offer, but in no case to less
- 11 than 10 days.
- 12 "(f) Subsequent Offers.—The fact that an offer
- 13 is made but not accepted does not preclude a subsequent
- 14 offer. An offeror shall not be deprived of the benefits of
- 15 an offer by a subsequent offer, unless and until the offeror
- 16 fails to accept an offer more favorable than the judgment
- 17 obtained.
- 18 "(g) Nonmonetary Awards.—If the judgment ob-
- 19 tained includes nonmonetary relief, a determination that
- 20 it is more favorable to the offeree than was the offer shall
- 21 be made only when the terms of the offer included such
- 22 nonmonetary relief.
- 23 "(h) REDUCTION OF AWARD TO AVOID UNDUE
- 24 HARDSHIP.—A court may reduce an award of costs and
- 25 reasonable attorney fees by up to 50 percent of the award

- 1 if the court finds special circumstances that make a full
- 2 award of attorney fees and costs unjust.
- 3 "(i) Reasonable Attorney's Fees.—For pur-
- 4 poses of this rule, a reasonable attorney's fee shall be cal-
- 5 culated on the basis of an hourly rate which shall not ex-
- 6 ceed that which is considered acceptable in the community
- 7 in which the attorney practices, considering the attorney's
- 8 qualifications and experience and the complexity of the
- 9 case.
- 10 "(j) Applicability.—This rule shall not apply to
- 11 class and derivative actions under rules 23, 23.1, and
- 12 23.2.".
- 13 (b) APPLICATION.—The provisions of rule 68 of the
- 14 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (as amended by sub-
- 15 section (a) of this section) shall supersede any statute
- 16 that—
- 17 (1) provides for the shifting of costs by which
- a specified party makes payment; and
- 19 (2) does not provide for the shifting of costs by
- which such party may receive payment.

1	TITLE IV—HEALTH CARE
2	LIABILITY REFORM
3	SEC. 401. LIMITATION ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES IN
4	HEALTH CARE LIABILITY ACTIONS.
5	(a) Maximum Award of Noneconomic Dam-
6	AGES.—
7	(1) IN GENERAL.—In any health care liability
8	action, in addition to actual damages or punitive
9	damages, or both, a claimant may also be awarded
10	noneconomic damages (including damages awarded
11	to compensate injured feelings, such as pain and
12	suffering and emotional distress) in an amount not
13	to exceed the maximum amount described in para-
14	graph (2).
15	(2) Maximum amount.—The maximum
16	amount described in this paragraph is \$250,000, re-
17	gardless of—
18	(A) the number of parties against whom
19	the health care liability action is brought; or
20	(B) the number of claims or actions
21	brought with respect to the health care injury.
22	(3) No discounting to present value.—An
23	award for future noneconomic damages in a health
24	care liability action shall not be discounted to
25	present value.

1	(4) Reduction in Jury Award.—
2	(A) With respect to a health care liability
3	action heard by a jury, the jury shall not be in-
4	formed about the limitation on noneconomic
5	damages, but any award for noneconomic dam-
6	ages in excess of \$250,000 shall be reduced ei-
7	ther before the entry of judgment or by amend-
8	ment of the judgment after entry.
9	(B)(i) An award of damages for non-
10	economic losses in excess of \$250,000 shall be
11	reduced to \$250,000 before accounting for any
12	other reduction in damages required by law.
13	(ii) If separate awards of damages for past
14	and future noneconomic damages are rendered
15	and the combined award exceeds \$250,000, the
16	award of damages for future noneconomic
17	losses shall be reduced first.
18	(b) Applicability.—This section—
19	(1) shall apply to any health care liability action
20	brought in any Federal or State court on any theory
21	where noneconomic damages are sought;
22	(2) does not create a cause of action for non-

economic damages;

- 1 (3) does not preempt or supersede any Federal 2 or State law to the extent that such law would fur-3 ther limit the award of noneconomic damages; and
- (4) does not preempt any State law enacted before the date of the enactment of this Act that places a cap on the total liability in a health care liability action.

8 SEC. 402. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.

- 9 (a) In General.—Except as provided in subsection 10 (b), no health care liability action may be initiated after 11 the expiration of the 2-year period that begins on the date 12 on which the alleged injury and its cause was or should 13 reasonably have been discovered, but in no event later 14 than 6 years after the date of the alleged occurrence of 15 the injury.
- 16 (b) EXCEPTION FOR MINORS.—In the case of an alleged injury suffered by a minor who has not attained 6
 18 years of age, no health care liability action may be initi19 ated after the expiration of the 2-year period that begins
 20 on the date on which the alleged injury and its cause was
 21 or should reasonably have been discovered, but in no event
 22 later than 6 years after the date of the alleged occurrence
 23 of the injury and its cause or the date on which the minor
 24 attains 12 years of age, whichever is later.

SEC. 403. PERIODIC PAYMENT OF FUTURE DAMAGES.

- 2 (a) Negotiated Agreement for Periodic Pay-
- 3 MENT OF FUTURE DAMAGES.—In any health care liability
- 4 action in which the damages awarded for any losses to
- 5 be incurred after the date on which the decision or judg-
- 6 ment is entered (hereafter in this section referred to as
- 7 "future damages") exceeds \$100,000, the court shall pro-
- 8 vide that the parties to the action shall have 60 days to
- 9 negotiate and consent to an agreement to provide for the
- 10 payment of such damages in a lump sum, periodic install-
- 11 ment payments, or a combination of both.
- 12 (b) COURT DETERMINED PERIODIC PAYMENT OF
- 13 FUTURE DAMAGES.—If the parties to health care liability
- 14 action described in subsection (a) fail to agree on the
- 15 terms and amount of payments of future damages pursu-
- 16 ant to such subsection, a defendant may elect to pay the
- 17 future damages on a periodic basis instead of a single
- 18 lump-sum payment. If the defendant elects to make peri-
- 19 odic payments, the periods for such payments and the
- 20 amount of such payments shall be determined by the
- 21 court, based upon projections of such future losses and
- 22 costs. For purposes of determining the total amount of
- 23 future damages, the court shall reduce the amounts to be
- 24 paid to present value for purposes of determining the
- 25 funding obligation of the individual required to make such
- 26 periodic payments.

- 1 (c) Conditions for the Termination of Future 2 Damages Payments.—
 - (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), periodic payments for future damages shall terminate in the event of the death of the claimant or in the event of the claimant's recovery or return to work.
 - OWED A DUTY OF SUPPORT.—The portion of any periodic payment allocable to loss of future earnings shall be paid to any individual to whom the claimant owed a duty of support immediately prior to the claimant's death to the extent such duty of support exists under applicable law at the time of death. Such payments shall terminate at the earlier of the death of the last person to whom a duty of support is owed or the expiration of the payment obligation pursuant to the judgment for periodic payments.

19 SEC. 404. STATE NO-FAULT DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.

- (a) Definitions.—For purposes of this section:
- 21 (1) MEDICAL ADVERSE EVENT.—The term
 22 "medical adverse event" means an injury that is the
 23 result of medical management as opposed to a dis24 ease process that creates disability lasting at least 1
 25 month after discharge, or that prolongs a hos-

- pitalization for more than 1 month, and for which compensation is available under a no-fault medical liability system established under this section.
 - (2) No-fault medical liability system.— The terms "no-fault medical liability system" and "system" mean a system established by a State conducting a demonstration project under this section that replaces the common law tort liability system for medical injuries with respect to certain qualified health care organizations and qualified insurers and which meets the requirements of this section.
 - (3) PROVIDER.—The term "provider" means physician, physician assistant, or other individual furnishing health care services in affiliation with a qualified health care organization.
 - (4) QUALIFIED HEALTH CARE ORGANIZATION.—The term "qualified health care organization" means a hospital, a hospital system, a managed care network, or other entity determined appropriate by the Secretary that elects in a State conducting a demonstration project under this section to participate in a no-fault medical liability system and meets the requirements of this section.
 - (5) QUALIFIED INSURER.—The term "qualified insurer" means a health care malpractice insurer,

	00
1	including a self-insured qualified health care organi-
2	zation, that elects in a State conducting a dem-
3	onstration project under this section to participate
4	in a no-fault medical liability system and meets the
5	requirements of this section.
6	(b) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Health and
7	Human Services (hereafter in this section referred to as
8	the "Secretary") shall award grants to 1 or more States
9	to establish demonstration projects under which the State
0	establishes a no-fault medical liability system in accord-
1	ance with this section.
2	(c) Applications by States.—
3	(1) In GENERAL.—To be eligible to receive a
4	grant under this section, a State shall prepare and
5	submit to the Secretary an application at such time,
6	in such manner, and containing such information as
7	the Secretary may require, including the following
8	information:
9	(A) Identification of the State agency or
20	agencies that will administer the no-fault medi-
21	cal liability system and be the grant recipient of
22	funds for the State.
23	(B) Identification of each qualified health
24	care organization selected by the State to par-

ticipate in the system, including—

1	(i) the location of each organization;
2	(ii) the number of patients generally
3	served by each organization;
4	(iii) the types of patients generally
5	served by each organization;
6	(iv) an analysis of any characteristics
7	of each organization that makes the orga-
8	nization appropriate for participation in
9	the system;
10	(v) whether the organization is self-in-
11	sured for malpractice liability; and
12	(vi) such other information as the
13	Secretary determines appropriate.
14	(C) Identification of each qualified insurer
15	selected by the State to participate in the sys-
16	tem including—
17	(i) a schedule of the malpractice in-
18	surance premiums generally charged by
19	each insurer under the common law tort li-
20	ability system; and
21	(ii) such other information as the Sec-
22	retary determines appropriate.
23	(D) A description of the procedure under
24	which qualified health care organizations and
25	insurers elect to participate in the system.

1	(E) A description of the system established
2	by the State to assure compliance with the re-
3	quirements of this section by each qualified
4	health care organization and insurer.
5	(F) A description of how funds granted to
6	a State will be expended and a description of
7	fiscal control, accounting, and audit procedures
8	to assure the proper disbursement of and ac-
9	counting for funds received under this section
10	(G) A description of procedures for the
11	preparation and submission to the State of ar
12	annual report by each qualified health care or-
13	ganization and qualified insurer participating in
14	a system that shall include—
15	(i) a description of activities con-
16	ducted under the system during the year
17	and
18	(ii) the extent to which the system ex-
19	ceeded or failed to meet relevant perform-
20	ance standards including compensation for
21	and deterrence of medical adverse events.
22	(2) Consideration of applications.—In re-
23	viewing all applications received from States desiring
24	to establish demonstration projects under this sec-

tion, the Secretary shall consider—

1	(A) data regarding medical malpractice
2	litigation patterns in each State;
3	(B) the contributions that any system shall
4	make toward reducing costs associated with
5	health care injuries;
6	(C) diversity among the populations served
7	by the systems;
8	(D) geographic distribution; and
9	(E) such other criteria as the Secretary de-
10	termines appropriate.
11	(d) WAIVER.—The Secretary may waive compliance
12	with any requirement of this title applicable to health care
13	negligence to permit the operation of a demonstration
14	project established under this section.
15	(e) Duration.—A demonstration project under this
16	section shall be conducted for a period of not more than
17	5 years.
18	(f) Evaluation and Reports.—
19	(1) By the states.—Each State conducting a
20	demonstration project under this section shall con-
21	duct ongoing evaluations of the effectiveness of any
22	no-fault medical liability system established in such
23	State and shall submit an annual report to the Sec-
24	retary concerning the results of such evaluations at

1	such times and in such manner as the Secretary
2	shall require. The report shall—
3	(A) incorporate information from annual
4	reports submitted to the State by qualified
5	health care organizations and insurers partici-
6	pating in the system;
7	(B) include an analysis of the feasibility
8	and desirability of developing and implementing
9	a no-fault medical liability program; and
10	(C) include a recommendation for legisla-
11	tion on the development and implementation of
12	no-fault medical liability programs.
13	(2) By the secretary.—The Secretary shall
14	submit an annual report to the Congress concerning
15	the effectiveness of the demonstration projects con-
16	ducted under this section. Such report shall analyze
17	the reports received by the Secretary under para-
18	graph (1).
19	(g) Limitations on Use of Grants.—
20	(1) Administrative expenses.—Not more
21	than 10 percent of the amount of each grant award-
22	ed to a State under this section may be used for ad-
23	ministrative expenses.

- 1 (2) WAIVER OF LIMITATION.—The limitation 2 under paragraph (1) may be waived as determined 3 appropriate by the Secretary.
- 4 (h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There 5 are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be 6 necessary to carry out the purposes of this section.

7 SEC. 405. DEFINITIONS.

- 8 For purposes of this title:
 - (1) CLAIMANT.—The term "claimant" means any person who asserts a health care liability claim or who files a health care liability action, including a person who asserts or claims a right to legal or equitable contribution, indemnity or subrogation, arising out of a health care liability claim or action, and any person on whose behalf such a claim is asserted or such an action is brought, whether deceased, incompetent, or a minor.
 - (2) ECONOMIC DAMAGES.—The term "economic damages" has the same meaning as defined under section 101(4).
 - (3) HEALTH CARE LIABILITY ACTION.—The term "health care liability action" means a civil action brought in a Federal or State court, against a health care provider, an entity which is obligated to provide or pay for health benefits under any health

1 plan (including any person or entity acting under a contract or arrangement to provide or administer 2 any health benefit), or the manufacturer, distributor, 3 supplier, marketer, promoter, or seller of a medical product, in which the claimant alleges a claim (in-5 cluding third party claims, cross claims, counter 6 7 claims, or distribution claims) based upon the provision of (or the failure to provide or pay for) health 8 9 care services or the use of a medical product, regardless of the theory of liability on which the claim 10 is based, or the number of plaintiffs, or defendants 11 12 or causes of action. TITLE V—CONTROL OF ABUSIVE 13 PRISONER LITIGATION PRAC-14 **TICES** 15 SEC. 501. REFORM OF IN FORMA PAUPERIS DETERMINA-17 TIONS. 18 (a) Partial Payment of Fees.—Section 1915(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended in the first 20 sentence— (1) by inserting "or with payment of a partial 21 22 fee or with payment of the total fees and costs in

installment payments," after "security therefor,";

and

23

1	(2) by inserting "the full amount of" after "un-
2	able to pay".
3	(b) Prisoner's Statement of Assets.—Section
4	1915 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by add-
5	ing at the end the following:
6	"(f) If a prisoner in a correctional institution files
7	an affidavit in accordance with subsection (a), such pris-
8	oner shall include in the affidavit a statement of all assets
9	the prisoner possesses. The court shall make inquiry of
10	the correctional institution in which the prisoner is incar-
11	cerated for information available to such institution relat-
12	ing to the extent of the prisoner's assets. The court shall
13	require full or partial payment of filing fees according to
14	the prisoner's ability to pay.".
15	SEC. 502. IMPROVING COURTS' ABILITIES TO DISMISS
16	NONMERITORIOUS IN FORMA PAUPERIS
17	CLAIMS.
18	Section 1915(d) of title 28, United States Code, is
19	amended—
20	(1) by striking "and may" and inserting "at
21	any time and shall''; and
22	(2) by inserting ", or fails to state a claim on
23	which relief can be granted, or the claim is insub-
24	stantial in that the plaintiff suffered no injury or an

1	insubstantial injury, even if partial filing fees have
2	been imposed by the court" before the period.
3	SEC. 503. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES IN
4	PRISONER LITIGATION.
5	(a) Exhaustion Requirement.—Section 7(a) of
6	the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act (42
7	U.S.C. 1997e) is amended—
8	(1) in paragraph (1)—
9	(A) by striking "in any action brought"
10	and inserting "no action shall be brought";
11	(B) by striking "the court shall" and all
12	that follows through "require exhaustion of"
13	and insert "until"; and
14	(C) by inserting "are exhausted" after
15	"available"; and
16	(2) in paragraph (2) by inserting "or are other-
17	wise fair and effective" before the period at the end.
18	(b) Modification of Required Minimum Stand-
19	ARDS.—Section 7(b)(2) of the Civil Rights of Institu-
20	tionalized Persons Act (42 U.S.C. 1997e(b)(2)) is amend-
21	ed—
22	(1) by striking subparagraph (A); and
23	(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (B)
24	through (E) as subparagraphs (A) through (D), re-
25	spectively.

1	(c) Review and Certification Procedure
2	CHANGES.—Section 7(c) of the Civil Rights of Institu-
3	tionalized Persons Act (42 U.S.C. 1997e(c)) is amended—
4	(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or are oth-
5	erwise fair and effective" before the period at the
6	end; and
7	(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting "or is no
8	longer fair and effective" before the period at the
9	end.
10	TITLE VI—MISCELLANEOUS
11	PROVISIONS
12	SEC. 601. FEDERAL CAUSE OF ACTION PRECLUDED.
13	This Act shall not provide a basis for Federal court
14	jurisdiction pursuant to section 1331 or 1337 of title 28
15	United States Code.
16	SEC. 602. EFFECTIVE DATE.
17	Except as otherwise provided in this Act, this Act
18	shall take effect 30 days after the date of its enactment
19	and shall apply to all civil actions commenced on or after
20	such date, including any action in which the harm or the
21	conduct which caused the harm occurred before the effec-
22	tive date of this Act.

 \bigcirc

S 672 IS——2

S 672 IS——3

S 672 IS——4